Rdl.
7.
250 —30-7-96.

Educational (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 499

DATED 15th June 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

REVENUE INSPECTORS

Passing orders regarding the training in agriculture of candidates for the post of Revenue Inspector.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational.

* * * * *

7. (7) The Hon'ble Mr. Monro's proposal to restrict appointments on Rs. 100 and Rs. 50 to diplomates in Agriculture who possess certain general educational qualifications is disapproved of by the Board and is open to numerous and obvious objections, not the least of which is that the College of Agriculture is incapable of turning out enough men to render the scheme workable. There is more to be said in favour of requiring candidates for the post of Revenue Inspector to have passed a test in Agriculture, but the difficulty of entering the public service is greater than it was and the expediency of prescribing an additional examination just at present is doubtful. The importance of training Revenue Inspectors in Agriculture is, however, considerable and it may be found possible to exempt these officers from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service and to prescribe a course at the College of Agriculture for them instead. The Board of Revenue and the Director of Public Instruction will be requested to favour Government with their views on this proposal.

* * * * *

READ—also the following papers :—

Endorsement, in the Revenue Department, dated 12th March 1895, Mis. No. 1084.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), dated 18th February 1895, No. 38 :—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), dated 10th November 1894, Mis. No. 6847 :—

Read—G.O., dated 2nd August 1894, Mis. No. 2995, Revenue.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to the Board, for information and report, Government Order, Educational, regarding the reorganization of the Saidapet College of Agriculture, and addressing the Government of India with reference to the proposal that the services of the Agricultural Chemist or his Assistant might be obtained for setting papers for the Agricultural Diploma, and of the latter for lecturing at the College for a certain period in the year.

Resolution—dated 10th November 1894, Mis. No. 6847.

In G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, it was suggested that in view of the importance of training Revenue Inspectors in Agriculture, it might be possible to exempt these officers from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service and to prescribe a course at the College of Agriculture instead. The Board was called upon to report on this proposal.

2. According to the Public Service Notification (G.O., dated 9th May 1894, No. 317, Educational), Revenue Inspectors must have passed the Upper Secondary, or the Matriculation Examination, as well as the—

Revenue Test, Lower grade.
Account Test, do.

They must further pass a special test in Surveying—G.O., dated 8th July 1885, No. 800, Revenue.

3. It does not appear to the Board at all desirable that any one should be appointed as Revenue Inspector who does not possess the general education implied by his having passed the tests first mentioned above. Nor does it appear possible to do away with any of the special tests demanded of such officers. With a view, however, to encouraging the study of agriculture amongst candidates for appointment as Revenue Inspector, the Board would suggest that an intermediate test in that subject, such as might be taken by students of the College of Agriculture after a year's special study there, or by pupils from the proposed Farm Schools (G.O., dated 27th April 1892, No. 410, Revenue), should be instituted, and that persons otherwise qualified for appointment as Revenue Inspectors who pass this test should have a preferential claim to appointment as such over those who have not passed the test.

4. The test should be of the general character of that prescribed under the old scheme for the Technical Examinations for the "Agriculture Group Certificate" as revised by Dr. Duncan's Committee in 1891 (*vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 29th August 1893, No. 361), but having in view the fact that it is considered desirable that candidates who have passed the intermediate test in surveying under the Technical Examination scheme should undergo special training in Surveying for the Survey Department, that subject might be eliminated from the group test.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Director of Public Instruction.

Read—also the following letter from the Hon'ble D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture, dated Madras, 11th January 1895, No. 216:—

I have the honour to enclose a letter from the Principal, College of Agriculture, No. 1064, of the 19th ultimo, and to request you to favour me, as soon as possible, with the views of the Board of Revenue on the scheme of study proposed by Mr. Keess for Revenue Inspectors, and on the other suggestions made by him.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. KEESS, Esq., M.A., M R.A.C., Acting Principal, Madras College of Agriculture, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated Saidapet, 19th December 1894, No. 1064.

With reference to your official memorandum, No. 12,172, dated 13th December 1894, I have the honour to submit my views on the proposal contained in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Resolution of Board of Revenue, No. 6847, dated 10th November 1894 (herewith returned).

2. During five sessions—viz., 1886-87 to 1891-92—a Junior Department, the students of which underwent a year's course of instruction, existed at the college. I always advocated the abolition of this department, as it was then organised, on the following grounds:—

First.—The course of studies prescribed was simply the first year's course of the Senior Department (three years) and was not complete in itself for any recognised purpose.

Second.—The Agriculture Group Certificate "A" (Higher Examinations in Science, Arts and Industries), which the successful students of the Junior Department could obtain, was not recognised for any purpose whatsoever. The effect of this circumstance is obvious.

Third.—The men of the Senior Department who were preparing for the diploma were to a certain extent hampered by the presence at the college of the men of the Junior Department.

3. Knowing as I do the details of the working of the old Junior Department, I beg to state that I am in favour of the establishment of a class with a one year's course *only on the following conditions.* In any other case, I request I may be considered as opposed to the scheme:—

First.—The one year's course should be complete in itself for a special purpose.

Second.—The certificate obtainable at the end of the course should be recognised, after the diploma and single subject certificate in Agriculture (Advanced), as giving its possessor, if otherwise qualified, a preferential claim to the post of Revenue Inspector.

Third.—The course should be so arranged as not in any way to hamper the men preparing for the diploma.

4. For *general* purposes, I am not in favour of a *one* year's course in Agriculture either at this College or at a Farm School. It is only for the training of men for some *special* purpose, such as to increase their utility as Revenue Inspectors, that I should feel inclined to advocate such a course. If the second condition in paragraph 3 is agreed to, there will be no difficulty in satisfying the first condition. The difficulty in doing so existed in former years, because it was not known for what special purpose the old Junior Department existed. The third condition noted in paragraph 3 will, I think, commend itself to you.

5. I beg to differ from the opinion expressed by the Board regarding the inclusion of *Surveying* in the one year's course. In Board's Proceedings, dated 14th September 1894, No. 379, it was decided that those who passed in "Surveying, Intermediate," which is prescribed for the diploma, should undergo the special training of one month laid down for Revenue Inspectors. The diploma course is meant for *general* agricultural purposes, and the fact that it does not exactly satisfy the technical requirements of Revenue Inspectors is not a matter of much consequence. With the proposed one year's course it is different. If Revenue Inspectors require a certain kind of surveying, the one year's course should include instruction in that particular kind of surveying. The difficulty appears to lie in teaching practically "the method of preparation and use of Revenue Survey records." Personally, I don't think the difficulty is insurmountable. If our Surveying Instructor were deputed for one month to join a Revenue Survey Party, he could, I think, come back to us with a knowledge of the preparation and use of Revenue Survey records sufficient for the purposes of teaching up to necessary standard. I have spoken to Mr. Subramania Iyer, who, it should be remembered, is a B.C.E. and a L.T., and he is anxious to be deputed to join a Revenue Survey Party for a month or for any other period you may decide on.

6. The course to be complete in itself should, I think, include—

Agriculture, Intermediate, the present syllabus.
Botany, Elementary, the present syllabus.
Veterinary Science, Elementary, the present syllabus.
Surveying.—A special syllabus including *everything* required by a Revenue Inspector; also such matter as may be required for properly understanding the next mentioned syllabus.
Agricultural Engineering.—A special syllabus including everything required by a Revenue Inspector.

Resolution—dated 18th February 1895, No. 38.

The Acting Director of Agriculture questions the expediency of the proposal made in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 10th November 1894, No. 6847, viz., that a preferential claim to appointment as Revenue Inspector should be promised to youths who undergo a one year's course at the College of Agriculture, and thereupon pass an examination in the prescribed subjects of study.

2. The promise would either mean nothing at all and be delusive, or would seriously hamper Collectors in the responsible and difficult task of selecting candidates for Government service and of promoting deserving subordinates. It must be remembered that the post of Revenue Inspector is not a life-appointment, but is merely a step on the ladder of promotion by which graduates, and others, who begin at the bottom must climb to higher appointments. The man who is Revenue Inspector one year may be Taluk Head Accountant the next, and when he next obtains a rise it will be to the post of Sub-Magistrate, where (unless he happens to be also Deputy Tahsildar) his agricultural proclivities must rust, or lie dormant, until, in

course of years, he is promoted to act as Tahsildar. Numbers of graduates, and other young men of good attainments, are now accepting fifteen-rupee posts in the offices of the Collectors, Divisional officers and Tahsildars in view of future advancement, and it would seem unwise to block their chief way to promotion and to discourage good men from beginning at the bottom of the ladder.

3. If the Acting Director understands it aright, the suggestion of Government in paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, was that a course at the College of Agriculture might be accepted as an exemption from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service. The Board has shown that none of the tests now required for the post of Revenue Inspector can well be dispensed with.

It occurs to the Acting Director that an encouragement to go through a year's course at the Agricultural College may be supplied by a relaxation of the age-bar in favour of candidates for the public service who have gone through such course and obtained a pass certificate. It might be notified that any candidate who had obtained such certificate should be admissible into the Revenue Department up to the completion of his 26th year of age, and that, in the selection of candidates, the possession of such certificate will also be taken into favourable consideration by officers making appointments. A similar privilege might be accorded to candidates who had obtained the diploma in Agriculture.

4. The Principal of the College is, however, strongly opposed to a one year's course for general purposes, and his proposals in the letter read above are based on the assumption that the youths who go through the one year's course are to be promised a preferential claim to the post of Revenue Inspector. As the Acting Director of Agriculture deprecates this proposal, he refrains from offering any remarks regarding the scheme of study until Government is pleased to decide that the experiment suggested in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 10th November 1894, No. 6847, should be tried.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Secretary

To the Director of Public Instruction with one enclosure, returned.
„ Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Endorsement—dated 12th March 1895, Mis. No. 1084, Revenue.

Transferred to the Educational Department.

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Secretary to Government.

From the Honourable Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 21st March 1895, No. 3406.

With reference to clause (7) of paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, I have the honour to state that a course of study in the subjects proposed by Mr. Keess for Revenue Inspectors and embodied in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 38, dated 18th February 1895 (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), meets with my approval and support. The details may be worked out by a committee of experts if Government approves of the general scheme.

2. The Acting Director of Agriculture, however, questions the expediency of giving a preferential claim to appointments as Revenue Inspectors to youths who have successfully passed through a year's course at the College of Agriculture, considers that this would seriously hamper Collectors in the selection of candidates for vacancies in

the subordinate ranks of the Revenue Department, and interprets the suggestion of Government in the order under reply as simply meaning that a course of study at the College of Agriculture might be accepted as an exemption from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service. I do not quite agree with his arguments or conclusions. It goes without saying that a course of study in Agriculture is essential for Revenue Inspectors to perform their functions satisfactorily. Under the existing rules, heads of offices have power to entertain in service persons over 25 years of age and the concession proposed by the Acting Director of Agriculture of extending the age limit by one year would not improve matters. Nor would the other proposal made by him—that the officers making appointments should take into favourable consideration the possession of certificates from the College of Agriculture—do much in the direction of rendering the Revenue Inspectorate thoroughly efficient. I would strongly recommend that the claims of men who have passed the prescribed public test for the office of Revenue Inspectors and have successfully gone through a year's course at the College of Agriculture be preferentially considered in filling vacancies in the Revenue Inspectorate; or that, in the event of Collectors finding it impossible to secure the services of qualified candidates, the men they may select be appointed sub. *pro tem.* and sent to the College of Agriculture for a year's training subject to confirmation on their going through the prescribed course and passing the final examination at the college. This would meet all difficulties urged by the Acting Director of Agriculture in filling vacancies in the Revenue Department, while at the same time it would realize the end in view, viz., the diffusion of a knowledge of agriculture and allied subjects among the subordinate officers of the Revenue Department.

ORDER—dated 15th June 1895, No. 499, Educational.

The Government agrees with the Director of Agriculture in considering that Collectors would be seriously hampered in the selection and promotion of their subordinates by a rule prescribing that a preferential claim to appointment as Revenue Inspector should be promised to youths who have received some training in agriculture. The proposed course of one year at the College of Agriculture is moreover not sufficiently long to ensure that students undergoing it will acquire more than a mere smattering of the subject.

2. The tests at present prescribed for the post of Revenue Inspector are of such importance that they cannot be relaxed without detriment to the public service; it is therefore impossible to replace any of them by an examination in knowledge of agriculture: the Government is therefore not prepared to impose any additional test. Dr. Duncan's proposal, that men selected for the post of Revenue Inspector should be appointed sub. *pro tem.* and sent to the college for a year's course in agriculture, must be rejected as impracticable on the score of excessive cost, and no other means of meeting the difficulty suggests itself. The Government is therefore regretfully compelled to relinquish, for the present at least, the idea of taking active measures to encourage the study of agriculture among subordinate officers in the Revenue Department.

(True Extract,)

Lowel Bowden
for Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Baitt.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 15th June 1895, No. 499.

JUNE.

Revenue Inspectors.

Passing orders regarding the training in agriculture of candidates for the post of Revenue Inspector.

No. 1276

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 10th November 1894.

No. 6847 Mis

READ Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, dated 2nd August 1894, No. 2995 Mis.

Resolution

In 2995/2-8-94 G O dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, it was suggested that in view of the importance of training Revenue Inspectors in Agriculture, it might be possible to exempt these officers from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service and to prescribe a course at the College of Agriculture instead. The Board was called upon to report on this proposal.

2. According to the Public Service Notification

Notification, G/C 9th May/94 No 317 Education,
Revenue Inspectors must have passed:—
the Upper Secondary, or the Matriculation
Examination, as well as the Revenue
Test; Lower Grade, Account Test,
Lower Grade.
They must further pass a special
test in surveying — G/O dated 8th July
1885 No 100 Revenue.

3. It does not appear to the Board at all desirable that any one should be appointed as Revenue Inspector who does not possess the general education implied by his having passed the tests first mentioned above. Nor does it appear possible to do away with any of the special tests demanded of such officers. With a view, however, to encouraging the study of Agriculture amongst candidates for appointment as Revenue Inspectors, the Board would suggest that an intermediate test in that subject such as might be taken by students of the College of Agriculture after a year's special study there, or by pupils from the proposed Farm schools (G/C dated 27th April/92, No 410 Revenue) should be instituted and that persons otherwise qualified for appointment as Revenue Inspectors who pass this test, should have a preferential claim to appointment as such over those who have not passed the test.

4. The test should be of the general character of that prescribed under the old scheme for the Technical Examinations for the "Agriculture Group Certificate" as revised by Dr Duncan Committee

Committee in 1891 — vide Board's Proceedings 29th August/93 No. 361, but having in view the fact that it is considered desirable that candidates who have passed the intermediate test in Surveying under the Technical Examination scheme, should undergo ~~a~~ special training in Surveying from the Survey Department, that subject might be eliminated from the group test.

Printed in Gp 460: 22694 Vol.

/True Extract/

Majumdar
Secretary

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction

DE

~~Revenue~~ 1894 ~~Dept~~

Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

MADRAS.

~~Current Number 8345~~

To

CHIEF SECRETARY 16 NOV. 1894 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

REVENUE DEPARTMENT ORDER. ROUTINE SERIES No. 2263 15-11-94.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 12 NOV 94

Recd 12 Nov. Recd in Edl: 16/11 Regd 17/11/94

No. of Enclosures.

Proceedings, dated 10th November 1894.

No. 6847 Mis

Subject.

Revenue Inspectors.

Proposing certain intermediate examination to be passed by the Students of Agricultural College for being appointed

G.O. 2-8-94 No 2995
22.6 — 460 Educational
8-7-85 No 800
27-4-92 No 410 Rev
B.O. 29-8-93 No 361
G.O 9-5-94 No 317-Edul
338/87: 18-5-92 ER

(Sett. 20—2,000—3-9-94.)

CURRENT No. 1276

Educational Dept

Endorsement 2263. R

Transferred to the Educational Department.

Reclan

Under Secy to Govt.

Fort St. George
15 Nov: 1894.

To The Edl Dept.

Note
... the subject "Exam. of Rev. Inspectors" ... the present current ... submitted ... the Registrar

I have nothing to add, as the matter will be dealt with fully in Edul Dept

B.M.M. 13.xi.94

ADS 14.xi.94

To await reply from the D.P.I.

Reminder to the ... signed ... 26/41

CURRENT No. 314

2B

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture).

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), dated 10th November 1894, Mis. No. 6847 :—

Read—G.O., dated 2nd August 1894, Mis. No. 2995, Revenue.

Abstract.—Communicating to the Board, for information and report, Government Order, Educational, regarding the reorganization of the Saidapet College of Agriculture, and addressing the Government of India with reference to the proposal that the services of the Agricultural Chemist or his Assistant might be obtained for setting papers for the Agricultural Diploma, and of the latter for lecturing at the College for a certain period in the year.

Resolution—dated 10th November 1894, Mis. No. 6847.

In G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, it was suggested that in view of the importance of training Revenue Inspectors in Agriculture, it might be possible to exempt these officers from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service and to prescribe a course at the College of Agriculture instead. The Board was called upon to report on this proposal.

2. According to the Public Service Notification (G.O., dated 9th May 1894, No. 317, Educational), Revenue Inspectors must have passed the Upper Secondary, or the Matriculation Examination, as well as the—

Revenue Test, Lower grade.
Account Test, do.

They must further pass a special test in Surveying—G.O., dated 8th July 1885, No. 800, Revenue.

3. It does not appear to the Board at all desirable that any one should be appointed as Revenue Inspector who does not possess the general education implied by his having passed the tests first mentioned above. Nor does it appear possible to do away with any of the special tests demanded of such officers. With a view, however, to encouraging the study of agriculture amongst candidates for appointment as Revenue Inspector, the Board would suggest that an intermediate test in that subject, such as might be taken by students of the College of Agriculture after a year's special study there, or by pupils from the proposed Farm Schools (G.O., dated 27th April 1892, No. 410, Revenue), should be instituted, and that persons otherwise qualified for appointment as Revenue Inspectors who pass this test should have a preferential claim to appointment as such over those who have not passed the test. 2(a)

4. The test should be of the general character of that prescribed under the old scheme for the Technical Examinations for the "Agriculture Group Certificate" as revised by Dr. Duncan's Committee in 1891 (*vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 29th August 1893, No. 361), but having in view the fact that it is considered desirable that candidates who have passed the intermediate test in surveying under the Technical Examination scheme should undergo special training in Surveying for the Survey Department, that subject might be eliminated from the group test.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Director of Public Instruction.

READ—also the following letter from the Hon'ble D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture, dated Madras, 11th January 1895, No. 216 :—

I have the honour to enclose a letter from the Principal, College of Agriculture, No. 1064, of the 19th ultimo, and to request you to favour me, as soon as possible, with the views of the Board of Revenue on the scheme of study proposed by Mr. Keess for Revenue Inspectors, and on the other suggestions made by him.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. KEESS, Esq., M.A., M.R.A.C., Acting Principal, Madras College of Agriculture, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated Saidapet, 19th December 1894, No. 1064.

With reference to your official memorandum, No. 12,172, dated 13th December 1894, I have the honour to submit my views on the proposal contained in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Resolution of Board of Revenue, No. 6847, dated 10th November 1894 (herewith returned).

2. During five sessions, viz, 1886–87 to 1891–92, a Junior Department, the students of which underwent a year's course of instruction, existed at the College. I always advocated the abolition of this department, as it was then organised, on the following grounds :—

First.—The course of studies prescribed was simply the first year's course of the Senior Department (three years) and was not complete in itself for any recognised purpose.

Second.—The Agriculture Group Certificate "A" (Higher Examinations in Science, Arts and Industries), which the successful students of the Junior Department could obtain, was not recognised for any purpose whatsoever. The effect of this circumstance is obvious.

Third.—The men of the Senior Department who were preparing for the diploma were to a certain extent hampered by the presence at the College of the men of the Junior Department.

3. Knowing as I do the details of the working of the old Junior Department, I beg to state that I am in favour of the establishment of a class with a one year's course *only on the following conditions*. In any other case, I request I may be considered as opposed to the scheme :—

First.—The one year's course should be complete in itself for a special purpose.

Second.—The certificate obtainable at the end of the course should be recognised, after the diploma and single subject certificate in Agriculture (Advanced), as giving its possessor, if otherwise qualified, a preferential claim to the post of Revenue Inspector.

Third.—The course should be so arranged as not in any way to hamper the men preparing for the diploma.

4. For *general* purposes, I am not in favour of a *one* year's course in Agriculture either at this College or at a Farm School. It is only for the training of men for some *special* purpose, such as to increase their utility as Revenue Inspectors, that I should feel inclined to advocate such a course. If the second condition in paragraph 3 is agreed to, there will be no difficulty in satisfying the first condition. The difficulty in doing so existed in former years, because it was not known for what special purpose the old Junior Department existed. The third condition noted in paragraph 3 will, I think, commend itself to you.

5. I beg to differ from the opinion expressed by the Board regarding the inclusion of *Surveying* in the one year's course. In Board's Proceedings, dated 14th September 1894, No. 379, it was decided that those who passed in "Surveying, Intermediate," which is prescribed for the diploma, should undergo the special training of one month laid down for Revenue Inspectors. The diploma course is meant for *general* agricultural purposes, and the fact that it does not exactly satisfy the technical requirements of Revenue Inspectors is not a matter of much consequence. With the proposed one year's course it is different. If Revenue Inspectors require a certain kind of surveying, the one year's course should include instruction in that particular kind of surveying. The difficulty appears to lie in teaching practically "the method of preparation and use of Revenue Survey records." Personally, I don't think the difficulty is insurmountable. If our Surveying Instructor were deputed for one month to join a Revenue Survey Party, he could, I think, come back to us with a knowledge of the preparation and use of Revenue Survey records sufficient for the purposes of teaching up to necessary standard. I have spoken to Mr. Subramania Iyer, who, it should be remembered, is a B.C.E. and a L.T., and he is anxious to be deputed to join a Revenue Survey Party for a month or for any other period you may decide on.

6. The course to be complete in itself should, I think, include :—

Agriculture, Intermediate, the present syllabus.
Botany, Elementary, the present syllabus.
Veterinary Science, Elementary, the present syllabus.

Surveying.—A special syllabus including *everything* required by a Revenue Inspector; also such matter as may be required for properly understanding the next mentioned syllabus.

Agricultural Engineering.—A special syllabus including everything required by a Revenue Inspector.

RESOLUTION—dated 18th February 1895, No. 38.

The Acting Director of Agriculture questions the expediency of the proposal made in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 10th November 1894, No. 6847, viz., that a preferential claim to appointment as Revenue Inspector should be promised to youths who undergo a one year's course at the College of Agriculture, and thereupon pass an examination in the prescribed subjects of study.

2. The promise would either mean nothing at all and be delusive, or would seriously hamper Collectors in the responsible and difficult task of selecting candidates for Government service, and of promoting deserving subordinates. It must be remembered that the post of Revenue Inspector is not a life-appointment, but is merely a step on the ladder of promotion by which graduates, and others, who begin at the bottom must climb to higher appointments. The man who is Revenue Inspector one year may be Taluk Head Accountant the next, and when he next obtains a rise it will be to the post of Sub-Magistrate, where (unless he happens to be also Deputy Tahsildar) his agricultural proclivities must rust, or lie dormant, until, in course of years, he is promoted to act as Tahsildar. Numbers of graduates, and other young men of good attainments, are now accepting fifteen-rupee posts in the offices of the Collectors, Divisional officers and Tahsildars in view of future advancement, and it would seem unwise to block their chief way to promotion, and to discourage good men from beginning at the bottom of the ladder.

3. If the Acting Director understands it aright, the suggestion of Government in paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 22nd June 1891, No. 460, Educational, was that a course at the College of Agriculture might be accepted as an exemption from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service. The Board has shown that none of the tests now required for the post of Revenue Inspector can well be dispensed with.

It occurs to the Acting Director that an encouragement to go through a year's course at the Agricultural College may be supplied by a relaxation of the age-bar in favour of candidates for the public service who have gone through such course and obtained a pass certificate. It might be notified that any candidate who had obtained such certificate should be admissible into the Revenue Department up to the completion of his 26th year of age, and that, in the selection of candidates, the possession of such certificate will also be taken into favorable consideration by officers making appointments. A similar privilege might be accorded to candidates who had obtained the diploma in Agriculture.

4. The Principal of the College is, however, strongly opposed to a one year's course for general purposes, and his proposals in the letter read above are based on the assumption that the youths who go through the one year's course are to be promised a preferential claim to the post of Revenue Inspector. As the Acting Director of Agriculture deprecates this proposal, he refrains from offering any remarks regarding the scheme of study until Government is pleased to decide that the experiment suggested in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 10th November 1894, No. 6847, should be tried.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction, with one enclosure, returned.
" Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

Rev 1895 Death

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1895.

Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

MADRAS.

Current number 1756

Desp 12/3

Printed March th.

Recd 5.3.95
Infd Edl. 13/3
Recd 14/3

Proceedings, 18th February 1895, No. 38.

CHIEF SECRETARY 13. MAR. 1895 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 5 MAR 95 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Ed: C No 275 / 95 Lodged
Ed — 1276 / 94.
G.O. 460: 22-6-94 S.C (copy)
317: 9-5-94 S.C
Rubber Nofn amended.
388: 19-18-8-92 ...
800: 8-7-85 Rev. S.C
369: 25-3-85 S.C

B. Pgs M. for 1/94 (Rev. Sett.) (Vol IX Sept. 94)

Revenue Inspectors—Remarking on proposals for training candidates for appointment as — at the College of Agriculture.

Strike off from R.D. (Col 3) & put up.

With an endorsement.

7/3
9/3

G.O. 2-8-94 No 2995
22-6 — 460 Educational
9-5-94 — 317
8-7-85 — 800
27-4-92 — 410
29-8-93 — 361 B of R
15-11-94 — 2263 R

Ed

CURRENT No. 314

Endorsement mis. No 1482. Ed.

Transferred to the Educational Dept.

Gibson 11/3
Secretary to Govt.

Fort St. George
12th March 1895

RS
9-3-95

CURRENT No. 363

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, 21 March 1895.

No. 3406.

58

From

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

With reference to clause (7) of para 7 of G.O. Educational, No. 460, dated 22nd June 1894, I have the honour to state that a course of study in the subjects proposed by Mr. Kees for Revenue Inspectors and embodied in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 35 dated 18 February 1895 (Revenue settlement, Land Records, and agriculture) meets with my approval and support. The details may be worked out by a Committee of experts if Government approves of the

382
C No 314

Ed. Cor.
15 (b) 1,500—17-11-94.

the general scheme.

2. The Ag: Director of Agriculture, however, questions the expediency of giving a preferential claim As to appointments as Revenue Inspectors As youths who have successfully passed through a years course at the College of Agriculture, considers that this would seriously hamper Collectors in the selection of candidates for vacancies in the subordinate ranks of the Revenue Department, and interprets the suggestion of Government in the order under reply as simply meaning that a course of study at the College of Agriculture might be accepted

accepted as an exemption from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission As the public service. I do not quite agree with his arguments or conclusions. It goes without saying that a course of study in Agriculture is essential for Revenue Inspectors to perform their functions satisfactorily. Under the existing rules, heads of offices have power As entertain in service persons over 25 years of age and the concession proposed by the Ag: Director of Agriculture of extending the age limit by one year would not improve matters. Nor would the New proposal made by him — that the officers making appointments should

should take into favourable consideration the possession of certificates from the College of Agriculture—do much in the direction of rendering the Revenue Inspectorate thoroughly efficient. I would strongly recommend that the claims of men who have passed the prescribed public test for the office of Revenue Inspector and have successfully gone through a years course at the college of Agriculture be preferentially considered in filling vacancies in the Revenue Inspectorate; or that, in the event of Collectors finding it impossible to secure the services of qualified

qualified candidates, the men they may select be appointed subprobem and sent to the College of Agriculture for a year's training subject to confirmation on their going through the prescribed course and passing the final examination at the college. This would meet all difficulties urged by the Ag: Director of Agriculture in filling vacancies in the Revenue Department, while at the same time it would realize the end in view; viz. the diffusion of a knowledge of agriculture and allied subjects among the subordinate officers of the Revenue Department.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
J Freeman
Director of Public Instruction

~~Ed~~ CURRENT No. 363
Educational Depth

Ed C No 314
" 1276 of 94
" 225 (lodged)
G.O. 460 - 22-6-94 ...
317 = 9-5-94
Public Notifn (amended)
388-89: 18-5-92
800 = 8-7-85 SC Rev
369 = 25-3-85 Rev & C

From,

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Hon'ble the Chief Secretary
to Government,
Fort St George.

DESPATCHED
21 MAR. 95

No. 3406

Dated 21st March 1895

Despatched D.O.
Recd & Regd 22 March

Enclosures 4

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 MAR 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

submitting his views regarding the training of candidates for appointment as Revenue Inspectors.

[G. / 25.]
600—8-3-95.

Ed. DEPARTMENT.

C. Nos 1276 of 94 & 314 & 363 of 95

FOR ORDERS.

As H.E. has said it is very desirable that officers in the Revenue Dept shd know Agriculture, but it is also desirable that they shd know the subjects of the General & Special Tests laid down as qualifications for officials of the various grades, & wh have been determined by Govt. to be necessary for them. Learning Agriculture properly takes a great deal of time & when men begin at or near the bottom of the ladder of promotion, as the best men in our subordinate service do, they have not time enough to qualify in Agriculture as well as in the other subjects. In my opinion, too, though agriculture is very desirable a knowledge of these other subjects is even more desirable. All that has been suggested in the case of Revenue Inspectors is that they should pass through a year's course in Agriculture. This would give them a mere smattering of it, wh wd be partially lost in going up the ladder, as most of them are,

In clause (7) of para 7 of G.O. d 22nd June 94 no 460 Ed. it was suggested that in view of the importance of training Rev. Inspectors in Agriculture, it might be possible to exempt these officers from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the Public Service and to prescribe a course at the College of Agriculture instead, and the Bd of Rev. & the D.P.I. were requested to report on the proposal.

The Board is of opinion that none of the tests now required for the post of Rev. Inspector can well be dispensed with and suggests that persons who have undergone a one year's course at the College of Agriculture and have passed an examination in the prescribed subjects of study should be given

a

a preferential claim to the post of Rev. Inspector. The Principal of the College of Agr. accepts this suggestion and propounds a scheme of study with which the D.P.I. agrees. The Ag. Director of Agriculture strongly deprecates the proposal of the Board and therefore refrains from offering any remarks on the scheme of study proposed by Mr Kees. He suggests that an encouragement to the study of agriculture may be afforded by declaring that candidates who have gone through a years' course at the College of Agriculture & obtained a pass certificate should be admissible into the Rev. Dept up to the completion of their 26th year of age, and that in the selection of candidates the possession of such certificate should be taken into favourable consideration by officers making appointments. The D.P.I. points out that Heads of Depts have now power to entertain in service persons over 25 years of age and that the concessions proposed by the Ag Dir. of Agr. would not improve matters. He is strongly in favour of the original proposal of the Board and says that the details of the scheme of study proposed by Mr Kees may be worked out by a Committee of experts if it is generally approved of by Govt.

The proposal made in para 7(?) of G.O. of 22 June 1894 No. 460 Edl, as I understand it, merely suggested that for Rev. Inspectors a course in agriculture in lieu of the existing rules applicable to their case. The Board considers it impossible to dispense with any of the present tests viz. Revenue Lower, Account Lower, & the general Educational test. — This being so, it is submitted that all that can be done is to say that men who have gone through a course of Agriculture should have a preferential claim to the appointment of Rev. Inspector, ~~or rather that~~ Dr Duncan's proposal that, in the absence of qualified candidates, Collectors should select other men, appoint them sub pro tem & send them to the College of Agriculture for a year training seems impracticable — as no provision is made for carrying on the duties of the men undergoing the

Ceteris paribus

TKR
1/5/95

& would enable them to do very little good. Experience shows that men who consider themselves to be, without being, good agriculturists, versed in Continental methods, are prone to suggest alterations in Native methods of culture which are anything but improvements. I think it would be a mistake to give one-year-course men a recognized status as agriculturists. Dr Duncan's ~~proposal~~ sub-pro-tem arrangements would cost too much in the case of 630 Rev. Inspectors costing R 2,62,000. I agree with Mr Winterbotham in considering that the proposals now before us "would seriously hamper Collectors in the responsible & difficult task of promoting deserving subordinates" & so would injure the Public Service. I think therefore they should be laid aside.

JG
26/5

FOR ORDERS.

Circ

I quite agree

[initials]
1/6/95

I am afraid that it is impossible to relax any of the special tests for entering the Public Service, and it therefore follows that an agricultural course if insisted upon, would only superimpose an additional test. This is shown to be such a difficulty in the case, that under the circs there is nothing for it, but to abandon the idea.

W
4 June

training.

On the whole, it seems better not to move at all in the matter unless Govt. is prepared to insist either on a course of agriculture as a condition antecedent to appointment or confirmation as revenue inspector. In June last, it was considered premature to do this & circumstances have not altered much since then. – If a mere preferential claim is given to men who have gone through a course of agriculture, it is doubtful if Mr. Kees wld. get anyone to attend his special class for would-be Rev. Inspectors

[illegible] 18/5/95

Training in Agriculture of candidates for the post of Rev. Inspector

H.M. [illegible]

(For orders)

In G.O. 15-6-95 No. 499 [illegible]

C 20/5 C 29/5 [illegible]
[illegible] C 31/5
R. 3/6 C/3/6.
R 5/6

[5]

Educational DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

A
Again G.O., dated 22 June 1894, No. 460 para 7, 7
Again G.O., dated 18 , No.
Current No. 314 of 1895, dated 12 Mar. from Rev. Dept.
Current No. 363 of 1895, dated 21 Mar. from the D.P.I.

Order. d/ 15th June 1895 No. 499
R.S.

The Govt. agrees with the Director of Agriculture in considering that Collectors would be seriously hampered ~~in the duty of selecting candidates for Govt. service, and~~ in the selection & promotion of their subordinates by a rule prescribing that a preferential claim to appointments as Revenue Inspector should be promised to youths who have received some training in agriculture. The proposed course of one year at the College of Agriculture is moreover not sufficiently long to ensure that students undergoing it ~~should~~ will acquire more than a mere smattering of the subject.

2. The tests at present prescribed for the post of Revenue Inspr. are of such importance that they cannot be relaxed without detriment to the public service; & it is therefore impossible to ~~substitute~~ replace any of them by an examn. in knowledge of agriculture; & the Govt. is therefore not prepared to impose any additional test. Dr. Duncan's proposal that men selected for the post of Revenue Inspector should be appointed *sub pro tem* & sent to the College for

a year's course in agriculture, must be rejected as impracticable on the score of excessive cost, — and The conclusion thus is that in spite of the desirability of giving revenue inspectors some training in agriculture, it is not possible at present to take any steps towards & no other means of meeting the difficulty suggests itself. The Govt. is therefore regretfully compelled to relinquish, for the present at least, the idea of ~~giving revenue inspectors training in agriculture~~, ~~encouraging~~ taking active measures to encourage the study of agriculture among subordinate officers in the revenue Department

Revenue Inspectors & Agriculture

Cs.

Pp. / from order,

14/6/95

10-6-95

The D.P.I. / The Rev. Dept. 4/7

Note for the U.S.

Should not C. No. 1276 (also) be printed in this case please? The Rev Dept transferred it to Edul.

15/6/95

already printed in C 314

yes, I did not notice it before 1276 15-6

15th June 1895, No. 499, Educational.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational.

* * * * *

7. (7) The Hon'ble Mr. Monro's proposal to restrict appointments on Rs. 100 and Rs. 50 to diplomates in Agriculture who possess certain general educational qualifications is disapproved of by the Board and is open to numerous and obvious objections, not the least of which is that the College of Agriculture is incapable of turning out enough men to render the scheme workable. There is more to be said in favour of requiring candidates for the post of Revenue Inspector to have passed a test in Agriculture, but the difficulty of entering the public service is greater than it was and the expediency of prescribing an additional examination just at present is doubtful. The importance of training Revenue Inspectors in Agriculture is, however, considerable and it may be found possible to exempt these officers from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service and to prescribe a course at the College of Agriculture for them instead. The Board of Revenue and the Director of Public Instruction will be requested to favour Government with their views on this proposal.

* * * * *

Read—also the following papers :—

Endorsement, in the Revenue Department, dated 12th March 1895, Mis. No. 1084.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), dated 18th February 1895, No. 38 :—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), dated 10th November 1894, Mis. No. 6847 :—

Read—G.O., dated 2nd August 1894, Mis. No. 2995, Revenue.

Abstract.—Communicating to the Board, for information and report, Government Order, Educational, regarding the reorganization of the Saidapet College of Agriculture, and addressing the Government of India with reference to the proposal that the services of the Agricultural Chemist or his Assistant might be obtained for setting papers for the Agricultural Diploma, and of the latter for lecturing at the College for a certain period in the year.

Resolution—dated 10th November 1894, Mis. No. 6847.

In G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, it was suggested that in view of the importance of training Revenue Inspectors in Agriculture, it might be possible to exempt these officers from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service and to prescribe a course at the College of Agriculture instead. The Board was called upon to report on this proposal.

2. According to the Public Service Notification (G.O., dated 9th May 1894, No. 317, Educational), Revenue Inspectors must have passed the Upper Secondary, or the Matriculation Examination, as well as the—

Revenue Test, Lower grade.
Account Test, do.

They must further pass a special test in Surveying—G.O., dated 8th July 1885, No. 800, Revenue.

3. It does not appear to the Board at all desirable that any one should be appointed as Revenue Inspector who does not possess the general education implied by his having passed the tests first mentioned above. Nor does it appear possible to do away with any of the special tests demanded of such officers. With a view, however, to encouraging the study of agriculture amongst candidates for appointment as Revenue Inspector, the Board would suggest that an intermediate test in that subject, such as might be taken by students of the College of Agriculture after a year's special study there, or by pupils from the proposed Farm Schools (G.O., dated 27th April 1892, No. 410, Revenue), should be instituted, and that persons otherwise qualified for appointment as Revenue Inspectors who pass this test should have a preferential claim to appointment as such over those who have not passed the test.

4. The test should be of the general character of that prescribed under the old scheme for the Technical Examinations for the "Agriculture Group Certificate" as revised by Dr. Duncan's Committee in 1891 (*vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 29th August 1893, No. 361), but having in view the fact that it is considered desirable that candidates who have passed the intermediate test in surveying under the Technical Examination scheme should undergo special training in Surveying for the Survey Department, that subject might be eliminated from the group test.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Director of Public Instruction.

Read—also the following letter from the Hon'ble D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture, dated Madras, 11th January 1895, No. 216:—

I have the honour to enclose a letter from the Principal, College of Agriculture, No. 1064, of the 19th ultimo, and to request you to favour me, as soon as possible, with the views of the Board of Revenue on the scheme of study proposed by Mr. Keess for Revenue Inspectors, and on the other suggestions made by him.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. KEESS, Esq., M.A., M.R.A.C., Acting Principal, Madras College of Agriculture, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated Saidapet, 19th December 1894, No. 1064.

With reference to your official memorandum, No. 12,172, dated 13th December 1894, I have the honour to submit my views on the proposal contained in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Resolution of Board of Revenue, No. 6847, dated 10th November 1894 (herewith returned).

2. During five sessions—viz., 1886-87 to 1891-92—a Junior Department, the students of which underwent a year's course of instruction, existed at the college. I always advocated the abolition of this department, as it was then organised, on the following grounds:—

First.—The course of studies prescribed was simply the first year's course of the Senior Department (three years) and was not complete in itself for any recognised purpose.

Second.—The Agriculture Group Certificate "A" (Higher Examinations in Science, Arts and Industries), which the successful students of the Junior Department could obtain, was not recognised for any purpose whatsoever. The effect of this circumstance is obvious.

Third.—The men of the Senior Department who were preparing for the diploma were to a certain extent hampered by the presence at the college of the men of the Junior Department.

3. Knowing as I do the details of the working of the old Junior Department, I beg to state that I am in favour of the establishment of a class with a one year's course *only on the following conditions.* In any other case, I request I may be considered as opposed to the scheme:—

First.—The one year's course should be complete in itself for a special purpose.

Second.—The certificate obtainable at the end of the course should be recognised, after the diploma and single subject certificate in Agriculture (Advanced), as giving its possessor, if otherwise qualified, a preferential claim to the post of Revenue Inspector.

Third.—The course should be so arranged as not in any way to hamper the men preparing for the diploma.

4. For *general* purposes, I am not in favour of a *one* year's course in Agriculture either at this College or at a Farm School. It is only for the training of men for some *special* purpose, such as to increase their utility as Revenue Inspectors, that I should feel inclined to advocate such a course. If the second condition in paragraph 3 is agreed to, there will be no difficulty in satisfying the first condition. The difficulty in doing so existed in former years, because it was not known for what special purpose the old Junior Department existed. The third condition noted in paragraph 3 will, I think, commend itself to you.

5. I beg to differ from the opinion expressed by the Board regarding the inclusion of *Surveying* in the one year's course. In Board's Proceedings, dated 14th September 1894, No. 379, it was decided that those who passed in "Surveying, Intermediate," which is prescribed for the diploma, should undergo the special training of one month laid down for Revenue Inspectors. The diploma course is meant for *general* agricultural purposes, and the fact that it does not exactly satisfy the technical requirements of Revenue Inspectors is not a matter of much consequence. With the proposed one year's course it is different. If Revenue Inspectors require a certain kind of surveying, the one year's course should include instruction in that particular kind of surveying. The difficulty appears to lie in teaching practically "the method of preparation and use of Revenue Survey records." Personally, I don't think the difficulty is insurmountable. If our Surveying Instructor were deputed for one month to join a Revenue Survey Party, he could, I think, come back to us with a knowledge of the preparation and use of Revenue Survey records sufficient for the purposes of teaching up to necessary standard. I have spoken to Mr. Subramania Iyer, who, it should be remembered, is a B.C.E. and a L.T., and he is anxious to be deputed to join a Revenue Survey Party for a month or for any other period you may decide on.

6. The course to be complete in itself should, I think, include—

Agriculture, Intermediate, the present syllabus.
Botany, Elementary, the present syllabus.
Veterinary Science, Elementary, the present syllabus.
Surveying.—A special syllabus including *everything* required by a Revenue Inspector; also such matter as may be required for properly understanding the next mentioned syllabus.
Agricultural Engineering.—A special syllabus including everything required by a Revenue Inspector.

Resolution—dated 18th February 1895, No. 38.

The Acting Director of Agriculture questions the expediency of the proposal made in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 10th November 1894, No. 6847, viz., that a preferential claim to appointment as Revenue Inspector should be promised to youths who undergo a one year's course at the College of Agriculture, and thereupon pass an examination in the prescribed subjects of study.

2. The promise would either mean nothing at all and be delusive, or would seriously hamper Collectors in the responsible and difficult task of selecting candidates for Government service and of promoting deserving subordinates. It must be remembered that the post of Revenue Inspector is not a life-appointment, but is merely a step on the ladder of promotion by which graduates, and others, who begin at the bottom must climb to higher appointments. The man who is Revenue Inspector one year may be Taluk Head Accountant the next, and when he next obtains a rise it will be to the post of Sub-Magistrate, where (unless he happens to be also Deputy Tahsildar) his agricultural proclivities must rust, or lie dormant, until, in

course of years, he is promoted to act as Tahsildar. Numbers of graduates, and other young men of good attainments, are now accepting fifteen-rupee posts in the offices of the Collectors, Divisional officers and Tahsildars in view of future advancement, and it would seem unwise to block their chief way to promotion and to discourage good men from beginning at the bottom of the ladder.

3. If the Acting Director understands it aright, the suggestion of Government in paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, was that a course at the College of Agriculture might be accepted as an exemption from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service. The Board has shown that none of the tests now required for the post of Revenue Inspector can well be dispensed with.

It occurs to the Acting Director that an encouragement to go through a year's course at the Agricultural College may be supplied by a relaxation of the age-bar in favour of candidates for the public service who have gone through such course and obtained a pass certificate. It might be notified that any candidate who had obtained such certificate should be admissible into the Revenue Department up to the completion of his 26th year of age, and that, in the selection of candidates, the possession of such certificate will also be taken into favourable consideration by officers making appointments. A similar privilege might be accorded to candidates who had obtained the diploma in Agriculture.

4. The Principal of the College is, however, strongly opposed to a one year's course for general purposes, and his proposals in the letter read above are based on the assumption that the youths who go through the one year's course are to be promised a preferential claim to the post of Revenue Inspector. As the Acting Director of Agriculture deprecates this proposal, he refrains from offering any remarks regarding the scheme of study until Government is pleased to decide that the experiment suggested in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 10th November 1894, No. 6847, should be tried.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction with one enclosure, returned.
„ Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Endorsement—dated 12th March 1895, Mis. No. 1084, Revenue.

Transferred to the Educational Department.

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Secretary to Government.

From the Honourable Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 21st March 1895, No. 3406.

With reference to clause (7) of paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 22nd June 1894, No. 460, Educational, I have the honour to state that a course of study in the subjects proposed by Mr. Keess for Revenue Inspectors and embodied in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 38, dated 18th February 1895 (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), meets with my approval and support. The details may be worked out by a committee of experts if Government approves of the general scheme.

2. The Acting Director of Agriculture, however, questions the expediency of giving a preferential claim to appointments as Revenue Inspectors to youths who have successfully passed through a year's course at the College of Agriculture, considers that this would seriously hamper Collectors in the selection of candidates for vacancies in

the subordinate ranks of the Revenue Department, and interprets the suggestion of Government in the order under reply as simply meaning that a course of study at the College of Agriculture might be accepted as an exemption from some of the ordinary rules regarding admission to the public service. I do not quite agree with his arguments or conclusions. It goes without saying that a course of study in Agriculture is essential for Revenue Inspectors to perform their functions satisfactorily. Under the existing rules, heads of offices have power to entertain in service persons over 25 years of age and the concession proposed by the Acting Director of Agriculture of extending the age limit by one year would not improve matters. Nor would the other proposal made by him—that the officers making appointments should take into favourable consideration the possession of certificates from the College of Agriculture—do much in the direction of rendering the Revenue Inspectorate thoroughly efficient. I would strongly recommend that the claims of men who have passed the prescribed public test for the office of Revenue Inspectors and have successfully gone through a year's course at the College of Agriculture be preferentially considered in filling vacancies in the Revenue Inspectorate; or that, in the event of Collectors finding it impossible to secure the services of qualified candidates, the men they may select be appointed sub. *pro tem.* and sent to the College of Agriculture for a year's training subject to confirmation on their going through the prescribed course and passing the final examination at the college. This would meet all difficulties urged by the Acting Director of Agriculture in filling vacancies in the Revenue Department, while at the same time it would realize the end in view, viz., the diffusion of a knowledge of agriculture and allied subjects among the subordinate officers of the Revenue Department.

ORDER—dated 15th June 1895, No. 499, Educational.

The Government agrees with the Director of Agriculture in considering that Collectors would be seriously hampered in the selection and promotion of their subordinates by a rule prescribing that a preferential claim to appointment as Revenue Inspector should be promised to youths who have received some training in agriculture. The proposed course of one year at the College of Agriculture is moreover not sufficiently long to ensure that students undergoing it will acquire more than a mere smattering of the subject.

2. The tests at present prescribed for the post of Revenue Inspector are of such importance that they cannot be relaxed without detriment to the public service; it is therefore impossible to replace any of them by an examination in knowledge of agriculture: the Government is therefore not prepared to impose any additional test. Dr. Duncan's proposal, that men selected for the post of Revenue Inspector should be appointed sub. *pro tem.* and sent to the college for a year's course in agriculture, must be rejected as impracticable on the score of excessive cost, and no other means of meeting the difficulty suggests itself. The Government is therefore regretfully compelled to relinquish; for the present at least, the idea of taking active measures to encourage the study of agriculture among subordinate officers in the Revenue Department.

(True Extract,)

Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 15th June 1895, No. 499.

JUNE.

Revenue Inspectors.

Passing orders regarding the training in agriculture of candidates for the post of Revenue Inspector.

First copy sent to P...

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
1895 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.