Educational (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 374

Dated 7th May 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

TEXT BOOK COMMITTEE

Passing orders in regard to the resolutions of the -- on the draft rules prepared for its working.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C	848		1895				

Proceedings of a Special meeting of the Madras Text Book Committee held at the Office of the Director of Public Instruction on Wednesday the 12th December 1894 at 6 P. M.

Present

G. H. Stuart Esq. M.A. President.
Mrs. Brander.
W. Kees Esq. M. A, M.R.A.C.
Rao Bahadur V. Krishnamachariyar avargal
E. Marsden Esq. B.A.
The Hon'ble & Rev. W. Miller M.A., L.L.D. & C.I.E.
W. B. Morren Esq. M.A.
Rev. E. Sell B.D.
M.R.Ry M. Seshagiri Sastriyar Avargal M.A.
J. H. Stone Esq. M.A.
E. Stradiot Esq.

M. S. Hari Hara Aiyar, B.A. – Secretary.

I Read Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction dated 30th November 1894 No. B.B 1012 communicating along with the Draft Rules of the Text Book Committee the following extract from G.O. no. 893 Educational dated 23rd November 1894

"2. The revised Rules received with the Director's letter dated 31st October 1894, No. 909 B.B. will be referred to the Text Book Committee for remarks"

Resolved that the draft rules be considered in their serial order.

II. Read Rule 1.

Resolved that the word "Educational" be inserted before "Department" and that "classes or forms" be read as "classes and forms"

III Read Rules 2 & 3.

Resolved that Rules 2 & 3 be approved as they stand in the draft

IV Read Rule 4.

i Proposed by Dr. Miller & seconded by Mr. Sell "that at least half of whatever number of members may be appointed as ex officio should represent private efforts in Education"

Amendment. Proposed by Mr Stone and seconded by Mr Marsden that none of the members be ex officio

Amendment carried 6 – 2.

Amendment having next been proposed as substantive motion, was carried by 8 – 2.

ii Proposed by Dr. Miller & seconded by Mr. Sell that Government be requested to revise the list of members so that a majority of them shall be representatives of private effort in Education.

Proposal carried by 7 – 2.

iii Proposed by Dr. Miller & seconded by Mr Morren that the word (President) in the second line of Rule 4 be omitted and that a new rule be added – viz. "Government shall appoint one of the members to be President"

Carried 8 – 1.

V Read Rules 5, 6 & 7

Resolved that Rules 5, 6 & 7 be approved as they stand in the Draft.

VI Read Rule 8.

Resolved that the words "On the last Wednesday" be inserted before "in October"

VII Read Rule 9.

Resolved that the word "half" be substituted for the words "50 per cent of"

VIII. Read Rule 10.

Resolved that the word "ordinarily" be inserted between "shall" & "be"

IX Read Rules 11 & 12.

Resolved that Rules 11 & 12 be approved

X Read Rule 13.

Resolved that the words "when held at"

the ordinary place of meeting" be inserted after "Sub-Committees" and that the last clause be so altered that the words "to vote" may appear after the word "discussions"

XI. Read Rules 14 + 15.

Resolved that Rules 14 + 15 be approved as they stand.

(Signed) G. H. Stuart.
President.

(Signed)
M. S. Hari Hara ~~Aiyar~~,
Secretary. (True Copy)

M. S. Hari Hara Aiyar
Secretary

1895
Ed Dep.
Enclos. No. 1
To
O. No. 339

Eachinkadu Bungalow,
Yercaud, Salem.

11th January 1895.

Note by Dr Miller

I. I am heartily glad that an amendment to the effect that none of the Members be Ex Officio was carried with something like practical unanimity. If this Amendment be acted upon it will at least remove the slur that would otherwise have been cast upon the representatives of private effort in Education, — a slur which would have been all the greater because the proposals considered on the 12th Dec. were in substitution

---

whether he

member of the Committee or not; and any rule drawn up in accordance stitution for a G.O. in which private Managers were treated as on an equality with Departmental Officials & in which the names of the institutions which they represented were allowed to appear.

At the same time, I think that the interests of Education will be greatly forwarded if Government should make it manifest that it regards those who represent private effort in Education as the equals of Departmental Officials by making some of them Members of the Committee _ex officio_. This would be at least a small step towards manifesting to the public that non-departmental

-mental institutions & their Managers are not merely tolerated but welcomed & even respected by Government. It seems to me that anyone who holds the position of Principal of Pachyappa's College or of the Madras Christian College may be presumed to be a fit Member of the T.B. Committee as safely as one who holds the position of Principal of the Presidency College or Professor of Sanskrit in that College. If, however, such Principals of public Colleges under private managers should be found unfit at any time it is surely enough that Government should, as it does, retain the power of removing their names from the Committee "at any time & without assigning reasons." I can see no objection to the course proposed unless it be the desire of the Department to withhold all recognition that it is possible to withhold from non-departmental institutions.

II. The list submitted on the 12th December contains the names of Eighteen Gentlemen connected with the Department & of only Eight who can in any way be regarded as representatives of private effort in

Education. Such a disproportion appears to me to be subversive of the entire idea of a T.B. Committee & is totally opposed to what the Education Commission had in view in recommending the formation of such Committees. In connection with this matter there is a further point which seems to me to be one of great importance. Such few representatives of private effort as appear on the list are connected without exception with such bodies as Pachyappa's Trustees, the Church Mission Society, the Doveton College Committee & the Madras Christian College Council.

There is not a single representative of that class of effort in Education which the Despatch of 1854 aimed at eliciting & not merely at encouraging & aiding. Such bodies as I have just mentioned have some independent vitality of their own. No doubt they greatly need the sympathy & aid of Government & its Officials, but do not entirely depend ~~[illegible]~~ upon such support. The case is different with institutions not connected with bodies which existed independently of & prior to the Despatch of 1854. The little effort hitherto made by the Department to encourage such institutions has prevented them from being so numerous as might be desired. Still institutions of the kind exist in Madras, Kumbakonam, Masulipatam, Trichinopoly, Calicut, & many other Stations. It seems to me that it is to such institutions above all others that some recognition & encouragement should be given by placing some of those who have to do with them among the members of the T. B. Committee. The policy of Government is to look to institutions of this kind for the supply of by far the largest part of the instrumentalities of ordinary Education. A highly suitable opportunity for making clear that such institutions are to be welcomed & honoured seems to me to be afforded by the formation of the T.B. Committee. It will be a new hindrance to the actual carrying out of the declared policy of Government if this opportunity be not taken advantage of. —

William Miller

1895
Ed Dept.
Enclos. No 4
To
G.O. No 339

1895
Ed Dept.
Enclos. No 1
To
G.O. No 339

No 187.

Old College
12th March 1895.

From
The President,
Text Book Committee,
Madras

To
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras

Sir,

I have the honour to send herewith the resolutions of the Text book Committee on the revised rules forwarded to the committee for remarks in G.O. no. 893 Educational dated 23rd November 1894

2. I dissent entirely from resolution IV (ii) of the Committee. It seems to me that distinctions between officials and non-officials are quite out of place in a matter of this kind and that the only thing to be considered in appointing a member of the Committee is whether he is likely to be an efficient member of the Committee or not and any rule drawn up in accordance

with the above resolution of the Committee might possibly seriously hamper Government in making its selections

3. ~~For~~ Similar reasons I disagree with the Suggestions put forward by Dr. Miller in his note appended to the resolutions of the Committee. Dr. Miller appears to think that the Text Book Committee should be in some degree a representative body - representative of the various institutions engaged in Education. This notion is, I consider, based on an entirely false view of the proper constitution of such a committee. The only interests to be considered by the Committee are the interests of Sound education and I am not aware that there is any dispute between officials, as such, and non-officials, as such, as to what these interests are

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient Servant

G H Stuart

President, Text Book Committee

Edl. R no 200/95.

671: 11-9-94 ✓
893: 23-11-94 ✓
4 Record Papers ✓
~~459: 22-6-94~~
~~259: 20-4-94~~
490 - 7 - 2 - 93 ✓

From

The President,
Text Book Committee

To

The Director of Public Instruction
Madras

152: 18-2-92 Edl.
211: 2-4-94

No. 187

Dated 12th }
Received } March 1895.

MAR 95
MADRAS

Enclosures (4)

Submitting with remarks the resolutions of the Text Book Committee on the draft rules prepared for its working.

CURRENT NO. 339

No. B.B./202. dated 16th March 189~~4~~5
Recd 17
Regd 18
Sender 4

Submitted to Government

D Duncan

Director of Public Instruction

BOOK BRANCH
DESPATCHED
16 MAR. 95

UNDER SECRE
17 MAR 95
PUBLIC

[Edl. / 7.]
200—18-7-95.

[G. / 25]
200—8-2-95.

Ed DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 339 of 95

FOR ORDERS.

In August last the D.P.I. submitted a set of rules to regulate the working of the Text-Book Committee. Govt considered these rules in G.O. d 11th Sept 94, no 671, Ed. and returned them to the D.P.I. for revision with reference to its remarks. When the rules were resubmitted to Govt, revised, they were referred to the Text-Book Committee for remarks in G.O. d 23rd Nov. 94, no 893, Ed. The Committee accepts all the rules except no. 4 which deals with the constitution of the Committee. The committee considers that none of the members should be ex officio and requests that the list of members be revised so that a majority of them shall be representative of private effort in education. Dr Miller has recorded a note in support of this request and suggests that the Committee be made representative of the various agencies engaged in educational work. Mr Stuart, President of the Committee disagrees with this view. He is of opinion that the only thing to be considered in appointing a member of the Committee is whether he is likely to be an efficient member or not and that to comply with the request of the Committee that a majority of it should be representative of private effort in education might seriously hamper Govt. in making its selections.

Mr Stuart's view of the question appears to be correct. It is therefore submitted that members be appointed by name as in G.O. of the 18th Feb. 92, No 152, Ed.

The verbal alterations proposed by the Committee in Rules 1, 8, 9, 10 & 13 may all be approved? as also the additional rule proposed, viz:- The Govt shall appoint one of the members to be president?

FOR ORDERS

Rules for the working of the Text Book Committee.

H.M.

Mr Stuart seems to me quite right. The Committee should not be appointed to represent the different educational agencies but should consist of the best individuals that can be selected for the work. It is clear however that any scheme of ex officio appointments will be open to objection & members must be appointed by name.

JG

Ex

JG

[G./25.]
100—21-12-94.

DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated 189

FOR ORDERS.

Dr Miller's note speaks of the proportion of unofficial ~~representatives~~ members of the Committee – (8:18) as being "totally "opposed to what the Education Commission "had in view in recommending the formation "of such Committees"

~~[illegible]~~

The recommendation of the Commission was merely this: "that the text-book Committees should "include qualified persons of "different sections of the community not "connected with the Department" (vide p. 34). So that Dr Miller's statement is rather too strong. It is, however, true that different sections of the communi~~ties~~ty are not properly represented. The individuals not connected with the Department* are the following:-

1. Rev. J. Cooling B.A. – Royapeta College
2. Rev. E.H. Davies M.A. Doveton College
3. Rev. J.W. Foley M.A. Bishop Corrie's G. School
4. Dr Miller } Christian College
5. W.B. Morren Esq. }
6. C.A. Phipps Esq. Madras Railway
7. Rev. E Se
E. [illegible]

* Excluding the Malayalam & Telugu Translators to Govt.

Mr Sell has gone away so has Mr Quinn JG

Of the eight, five are ~~[illegible]~~ missionaries, & all are Europeans. There is thus not much representation of different sections of the community; & Dr. Muller's criticism is to this extent just — though the Director's rejoinder may very possibly be "qualified re-"presentatives of other sections of the "community are not to be found."

D
6.4.95

Dr. Muller writes in only a general way and makes no specific suggestion as to the outsiders who should be appointed. He seems to have overlooked the necessity for the members of the T. B. Committee being resident at Madras. It is not at all likely that if members of the Committee were selected from up country stations their attendance at the meetings of the Committees & Sub Committees would be at all regular. I have gone carefully over the list and I cannot see any other official than No. 2⁺ who could conveniently be cut out. (⁺ & perhaps No 26) All the others are either specialists or else educationists of such position that they ought certainly to have a say as to the text books to be used throughout the Presidency. I suppose that Dr. Muller in mentioning the stations that he has had in view the

[illegible]

Rules for the working of the Text Book Committee

The D. P. I.'s attention may be called to this

JG

H.M.

For orders

× 10th

Both are wanted. Mr Stone is a specialist in History but he is going to Rajahmundry?

JG

DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

of the Noble, St. Joseph's & Victoria Colleges and probably Rai Bahadur S. Sheshayya of the Kumbakonam College who also holds the post of Tamil Translator to Govt. These gentlemen would even though they but rarely attended meetings be of help but to appoint them would necessitate either the augmentation of the Committee or the exclusion from it of officials whose advice on the subject of text books is at least as greatly (that of) not greater value than those who would take their places.

18/4/95

I think it is desirable that these 3 men should be placed on the Committee though they will swell the number & won't often attend.

JG
20/4

Draft rules for the working of Committees

Hon Mr Grose

Issued

C 19/4
R 22/A

Edl.
7.
250—29-7-96.

[G/53]
,000—30-3-95.

Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT MADRAS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

G.O. d/ 23 Novr. 1894 No. 893
C. 339 of 1895

Order. d/ 7th May 1895, No. 374

JS 4/5

The Govt. accepts the verbal alterations proposed in Rules 1, 8 to 10, and 13 as also the additional rule suggested viz. "The Govt. shall appoint one of the members to be President". Bu as regards the general question which has been raised H.E. in Council agrees with Mr. Stuart in considering that the Committee should not be appointed mainly to represent the different educational agencies at work, but should consist of the best individuals that can be selected for the work. Accepting this view the Govt. admits that ex officio appointments are open to objection & determines therefore to appoint every member by name & not in virtue

Text Book Committ

of his office.

2. ~~As Dr. Miller points out,~~ the recommendation of the Educational Commission, however, was "that the text book Committees "should include qualified persons of "different sections of the community not "connected with the Department", &, as the Honble Dr. Miller points out, the Committee contemplated in rule no. 4. does not include representatives from many sections of the unofficial community. This is a consideration which the D.P.I. should bear in mind in making recommendations for filling up vacancies in the Committee.

3. With refce to the foregoing remarks the Govt. now resolves to substitute the following for rule no 4.

"The present Committee shall consist of the following gentlemen

1. ~~Mr.~~ G.H. Stuart Esq. M.A.
2. Major H.D. Love R.E.
3. W. Reess Esq. B.A.
4. A.A. Hale Esq. M.A.
5. M.R.Ry. M. Seshagiri Sastri M.A. (Asst.)
6. E. Holden Esq.
7. Miss A.M.C. Arnold
8. E. Marsden Esq. B.A.
9. Mrs. J. Brander
M.R.Ry. N. Raghunatha Chariyar B.A.

DESPATCHED
15 [illegible] 95

Cs.

(from orders)

3. The D.P.I. will now be reques to submit a draft rule to be subs for rule no 4. Members should mentioned by name, & the Director consider whether no. 10 the Assist Inspr. of Schools, 4th division, mig not be omitted. It is understood th Mr. M.G. Quinn & the Rev. J. Sell h left for England & the Hon. & Rev. Dr. Mil resignation has ~~been~~ been accepted by There will thus be several vacan in filling up which Dr. Duncan sh consider the claims of Mr. Barrow Victoria College, the Rev. J.W. Sewell St Joseph's College, the Rev. C.W.A. Cla ? of the Noble College & M.R.Ry. Ra S. Seshagiri if they are willing to

2/5/95

[G./25.]
—8-3-95.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

F~~OR~~rom ORDERS.

11. A. Chatterton Esq. B.Sc.
12. Rev. J. Cooling B.A.
13. Rev. E.G. Davies M.A.
14. Rev. J.M. Foley M.A.
15. R. Ll. Jones Esq. M.A.
16. Khaja Khan Sahib B.A.
17. Rao Bahadur V. Krishnamachariyar Avargal
18. M.R.Ry. M. Krishnan Avargal B.A.
19. M.R.Ry. P. Mangesha Rao Avargal B.A.
20. The Honble & Revd. W. Miller M.A., LL.D., C.I.E.
21. W.B. Morren Esq. M.A.
22. C.E. Phipps Esq.
23. M.G. Quinn Esq. M.A.
24. M.R.Ry. L. Rangappa Chettigaru B.A.
25. Rev. E. Sell B.D.
26. J.H. Stone Esq. M.A.
27. E. Stradiot Esq.
28. M.R.Ry. J.M. Velu Pillai Avg

30. Rev. J. D. W. Sewell S.J.

31 Rao Bahadur S. Seshayya

32. Rev. ~~J. H. E. Rudfreh~~ C. W. A. Clarke M.A."

The Govt understand that ~~Messrs~~ Mr. Quinn & the Rev. J. Sell have left ~~Madras~~ India; if the period of their departure is to exceed six months the D.P.I. should submit his recommendations for filling the vacancies created.

4. (from orders)

X

23.4.95

The D.P.I. (q + o)

I doubt if this can go out yet. Mr. Barrow Father Sewell Revd Mr. Clarke & S. Sheshayya (~~Clarke~~ is a Govt. Servt. & Tamil translator) have not signified their willingness to serve. Moreover the ~~Hon'ble~~ Revd & Hon'ble Dr. Miller made only general remarks and perhaps the D.P.I. might be able to suggest other non Officials. There is the question whether the Asst. Inspr. of Schools No. 10 on the list might not make way for a non Offl. Surely the Inspr. of Schools and the Asst. Commr. both be wanted.

Text book Committee

FOR ORDERS.

D.S. & C.S.

4

H M

Draft for H

Ask the D.P.I. ~~what~~ committee shd be appointed, suggesting that Messrs Barrow Sewell Seshayya & Clarke shd be appointed if they will serve & ~~asking letter~~ intimating that No 10 may not be ~~is~~ necessary

JC

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

TATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.