Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE.
(Council ~~(ASSEMBLY~~ SECTION)
23.11.1949.

STARRED.

SRI P. R. K. SARMA, M.L.C.,
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

*Q.NO.155.

Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state:-

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan ~~WASXFIXESXXX~~ now appointed as ~~at~~ Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a lino-operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a lino-operator and then as an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of these kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the Press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras; ~~and whether this had anything to do with the appointment;~~

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri S. Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent lino-operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent, efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government ~~will~~ intend to review the appointment before it is conformed?

T. M. 23.11.1949.

2

[illegible]
(Council question [illegible])
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that [illegible]

(b) whether it is a fact that [illegible]

[illegible]

3

Very Urgent.

E.P.H
C2.

Sub: Legislative council question 155 - certain particulars called for.

Memo. No. 93625 C1/49-1 Edn. d 5-12-49

The S.G.P. is requested to furnish very urgently information on the following points :-

H.E. ~~items (a) to~~ x on p.1 cf).

31/12/49.

6/12

3.12.49

31 Dec 49

To
The Supdt. Govt. Press
Madras

Office of the Superintendent,
Government Press, Madras.

From
Janab R. Siddique,
Superintendent, Government Press, Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
12 DEC 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

No. 64/mis/15/Limo. Dated 10th December 1949.

Sir,

Sub: Legislative Council Question No.155 - Certain particulars called for.
Ref: Education and Public Health Department Memorandum No.93625 C2/49-1 Edn., dated 5th December 1949.

--

97140

p3 ante

With reference to the above, I am enclosing herein the answers to the questions called for.

R Siddique
10.12.49.
Superintendent.

L
15/12.

Enc.

6

Office of the Superintendent,
Government Press, Madras.

From
Janab R. Siddique,
Superintendent, Government Press, Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

12 DEC 1949

No. 64/Mis/15/49. Dated 10th December 1949.

Sub: Legislative Council Question — Certain particulars called for.
Ref: Education and Public Health Department Memorandum No. 93625 C2/49-1 Edn., dated 5th December 1949.

--

With reference to the above, I am enclosing herewith the answers to the questions called for.

9714

7

ENCLOSURE.

I.

Reply to Govt. Memo. No.93625 C2/49-1 Edn., dated
5th December 1949.

--

1-3 [illegible]

(a). Yes. He was first appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino operator in December 1933 and continued as such till 31-11-193 He was fixed in the time scale of pay of Rs 45-3/2-72/72 from 1st November 1939 consequesnt on the introduction of salary system for the compositors and Lino operators and again fitted up in the scale of Rs 72-2/2-80/72 as per orders in G.O. No. Mis. 1602 Edn., dated 15-10-1941. His present scale of pay is Rs 200-30/2-440/200. p49 (W) ||

(b) He was not initially certified by Medical Officer (Surgeon I District) as unfit to work as a Lino operator. In fact during his service as a Lino operator a certificate to the effect that he was declared fit to resume duties in Government service was granted by the Surgeon, I District, Madras on 7-10-1940 when he returned from 3 months leave from 10-7-1940 on account of 'Lead Neuritis' (vide copy of medical certificate granted by the Surgeon, I Distric dated 7-10-1940 enclosed). Again a letter was also received from the Surgeon, I District, to the effect that Sri S. Krishnan had bee aggravated by chronic lead poisoning and that his disability was likely to recur if he continued as a Lino operator (vide copy of letter Mis. No.197-1 dated 31-10-1940 enclosed). p9 [illegible]

p5 (X) || (c) Yes. He was deputed to work in the Machine Department on his pay as Lino operator from 2-1-1941 under the supervision of the Overseer and to assist him in the management of the department before creation of the post of Deputy Overseer sanctioned in G.O. No. Ms.1542 Edn., dated 12-11-1943.

(d) Yes.

p5 (Y) (e). The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned in G.O. No.Ms. 1542 Edn., dated 12-11-1943. The post was created in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri S. Krishnan was already deputed to assist the Overseer ~~(vide (c) above)~~ omit he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943.

(f). It was considered by the Madras Public Services Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri Krishnan, a permanent Lino operator, are sufficient for recruitm by transfer from Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service although the are more persons technically qualified as a Printers in the Subordinate Service of the Government Press.

pages 11-15 [illegible] (g). Yes. Letters dated 1-12-1948 ~~and 6-12-1948~~ addressed the Secretary of the Madras Government Press Workers' Union to the Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department (copy enclosed) on this subject may please be referred to.

R. Siddique
10-12-49
Superintendent,
Government Press, Madras.

II.

CERTIFICATE OF FITNESS TO RETURN TO DUTY.

--

I, Surgeon, 1st District do hereby certify that I carefully examined S. Krishnan, Lino operator of the Government Press depa ment and find that he has recovered from his illness and is now fit to resume duties in Government service.

I also certify that before arriving at this decision I exam the original medical certificate and statement of the case (or certified copies thereof) on which leave was granted, and have these into consideration in arriving at my decision.

(Sd) G. Dinker Rao
Surgeon, I Distr

[illegible] 9/12.

8

9

III.

Copy of letter No.Mis.197-1 dated 31st Octotober 1940 from Capt. D.K Sabhesan, M.S., F.R.C.S., A.I.R.O., Surgeon, I District, Madras to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras.

--

Ref: Your letter No.15/Lino dated the 25th October 1940 re: Mr. S. Krishnan.

Mr. S. Krishnan has been suffering from repeated inability to use his right index finger; this was the result of the original injury and has been aggravated by chronic lead poisoning.

I am of opinion that his disability is likely torecur if he continues as a Lino operator.

10/12.

IV.

Copy of letter dated 1st December 1948 from the Secretary, Government Press Workers' Union, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

Government Press - Assistant Superintendents - Appointments made under orders, dated the 29th November 1948 - Personnel - Protest against.

--

The Union views with dismay the action of Government in appoin ing Sri K. Rajaratna Mudaliar, Head Computor and Sri S. Krishnan, Lino operator, as Assistant Superintendents, Government Press, Madras in the orders above cited and is greatly perturbed to note that the claims of better qualified employees with high official status have been unsympathetically by-passed, in spite of the Union's emphatic and convincing representations to Government in this behalf. Though the Government have been pleased to revoke the appointment of Sri L. Madhavabalakrishnan, probationary Binding Foreman, as Assistant Superintendent, in deference to the protests of the Union, still the Union has to state that its representations have not been completely heard in regard to the positive aspect of the same. The two appointments just made are not based on any solid sound and sympathetic considerations and could not be defended from any point of view-points of view of qualifications, pay, service and due representation to different branches of labour in the Press. The motives and considerations which actuated the Government in cancelling the appointment of Sri L. Madhavabalakrishnan as Assistant Superintendent should have prevailed with them, also in their appointments of the said Sri K. Rajaratna Mudaliar and Sri S. Krishnan as Assistant Superintendents, and so much so, the substantive Head Reader Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar, B.A., and the seniormost Top senior Reader Sri E.N. Munuswamy Mudaliar should have been consequently appointed to the said posts, in preference to the promotees now in question, inasmuch as the latter more than satisfy in every respect of the qualifications and conditions required for such appointments. The Union feels very sorry to think that their former representations to Government in this matter have not been given the consideration which they deserved.

2. With a view to prove that the Government have not been reasonable in their action and that the Union's point of view is right in stressing that Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar and Sri E.N. Munuswami Mudaliar alone should be appointed to those posts, the Union proposes to examine below the cases of Sri K. Rajaaratnam Mudaliar and Sri S. Krishnan and compare them with those of Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar and Sri E.N. Munuswami Mudaliar and put on record incidentally that the erstwhile Superintendent, Mr. A. Lepper, had been of a thoroughly and purblindedly prejudiced mind against the latter Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar and Sri E,N. Munuswami Mudaliar topmost senior employees of the Reading department for no fault of theirs and had been systematically jettisoning the interest and welfare of the Reading department in particular:-

(a) Sri K. Rajaratna Mudaliar, the Head Computor, appointed now as Assistant Superintendent, rose from the low position of a compositor with no educational qualifications worth the name. He was drawing Rs 102-8-0 in 1946 in the grade of Rs 80-7½/2-110. In the recent fixation of pay, his scales of pay were given an unconsciously inordinate increase of Rs 150-10-200, out of all

Sectt. II-27—60,004—17-11-48

Edn (19~~4~~50) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

Closed

Supdt

G.O. NO.	999
Dated	25-3-50

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question 155⊗ Appointment of Sri S. Krishnan as Asst Supdt. Govt. Press — Papers Recorded

12

all proportion to his responsibilities ~~at~~ and work and he is drawing Rs 160 as Head Computor. He is the holder of a post which has seen a sudden boom as it were in the scale of pay. He was drawing Rs 102-8-0 in 1946 and now he is raised to the gazetted post of Rs 200/- before even the lapse of two years, while other employees in the Reading department better qualified educationally and technically are groping about in the dark for want of due recognition and uplift. The Government will not find it difficult here to see that the appointment has not been made on sound principle~~s~~ and the person has been appointed to a post with no due consideration to the seniority in pay. After all, the Head Computor manages a section containing only 21 men all of mere composing experience and low educational qualifications. The department which he represents, i.e. the Composing, has already been represented in the person of Sri S. Venkatarajam Naidu, now acting as Assistant Superintendent appointed in October 1948.

(b) Sri S. Krishnan entered service as a Linotype operator in 1932 and subsequently on his becoming a disabled Linotype operator after a service of ten years as such, he was transferred to the Computing Section. Then he was put on other duty as Assistant Overseer on the same scale of pay and in 1943 he was appointed as Deputy Overseer (a newly created post during the war period, nay specially created for him owing to unwholesome influence) on the scale of Rs 85-7½/2-115-10/2-125. In the recent revision of pay the grade was raised to Rs 120-170 and in that post he was drawing Rs 125/- in September 1948. Now at the present moment he has no official locus standi worth mentioning a mere Linotype operator on Rs 78/-. After all, he has put in a service of 16 years and has been holding substantivley no post of importance and and responsibility as to warrant his being selected for the superior post of an Assistant Superintendent, while other employees

---

13

With better qualifications and higher status are languishing. In appointing this man, the Govt. have made matters worse aggravated the feelings of the Union. Recently the Govt. have been pleased to abolish the post of Deputy Overseer and to order that only employees of the Machine department would be able to fill that up, changing the designation into Machine Foreman. Such being the case, the Union is completely at a loss to understand what special considerations might have weighed with Govt. to appoint the once-holder of an abolished temporary post to the Gazetted post of an Asst. Supt. and to take into consideration the pay which that employee was drawing there at for the purposes of such an appointment. Therefore, the Govt. will not find it hard here also to see that this person had no advanced status, much less any status at all in a substantive superior post, as to merit any consideration whatsoever, for the appointment of an Asst. Supt. Also, this substantive Linotype Operator had the only technical qualification of Machine Work (Lower Grade not Higher Grade) to his credit, which too he passed a rather easy affair when he worked as Deputy Overseer while there are employees with greater qualifications awaiting chances of such promotions. Practically also this employee was only an Asst. to the Overseer, something like a chief Machine Maistri. Already, Sri.P. Anjaneyalu, the permanent Overseer, has been recently appointed as Asst. Supt. giving due representation to the Machine department. From that consideration also, the appointment of Sri S. Krishnan is indefensible as the Machine department has had its representation and over-representation has to be avoided with a view to give representation to other unrepresented departments like the Reading department.

(c) Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar, the substantive Head Reader, is a Graduate of the Madras University. He possesses the topmost educational qualification of a Bachelor of Arts, of all the employees in the technical sections of the Press. Technically also he possesses the optimum qualifications. He is the top-notch candidate in the Press. He has put in nearly a service of 25 years. Practically also he is in charge of the biggest literary section in the Press, consisting of more than 200 employees and is running the department with consumate tact and ability. He is drawing Rs 170 in the grade of Rs 150-10-200. He had been the Manager of the Government Branch Press, Ootacamund, from 1933 to 1937 and his work as such had been reputed to be of a high order as to have merited the appreciation from the then Secretariat staff. There is no other person more qualified and efficient than he in the Press to occupy the post of Asst. Supt. with distinction. To overlook his case in favour of other less qualified persons, is apt to be viewed by the Union and in fact by any impartial observer as highly prejudicial not only to the said Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar but also to the interests of labour itself in the Press.

(d) Sri E.N. Munuswami Mudaliar possesses the requisite educational and technical qualifications. He is the seniormost top Senior Reader on a substantive pay of Rs 130/- in the grade of Rs 125-175 and is now officiating as Head Reader on Rs 150-200 during the absence on leave of Mr. S. Ganapathi Ayyar. His case deserves consideration at the hands of Govt. next to that of the substantive Head Reader.

3. Before the advent of Mr. A. Lepper as Supt., the Hd. Reader was automatically promoted as Asst. Supt. In the history of the Govt. Press, it will be seen that only the Hd. Reader or in his absence the senior next to him normally had the status of Asst. Supt. and in the temporary or long absence of the Asst. Supt. the Head Reader or his Assistant alone (none from other sections) had the privilege and the power to dispose of files, etc., and in the absence of Hd. Reader or his Assistant, only an Asst. Supt. had the competency to control the Reading staff. The Hd. Reader and the seniormost top Sr. Reader are, by virtue of their position, better placed than all other section heads to be in intimate contact with the work of other sections, and their employees, so much so, they are in a position to control not only their section of 200 st

but also other sections which advantage none of the recently appointed Asst. Supts. could claim to possess. The Govt. may be surprised to know from a perusal of the Govt. Press Office Manual as it was in vogue two decades back that the Head Reader was normally made to act as even Supt. during the latter's absence.

4. Hence the Union wishes to point out that the Reading department has been given no representation at all in proportion to its strength and to the number of better qualified employees on its rolls, in the matter of the recent appointments of Asst. Supts. For not even one of the four posts of Asst. Supts. the Head Reader was selected in spite of his topmost qualifications in every concivable respect, and other departmental heads were preferred. This a sheer injustice that cries aloud for immediate redress. Some departments are given over-representation and some others like the Reading department are given no representation at all. The Union humbly and politely asks the Govt. whether an injustice can go further.

A list showing the educational and technical qualifications is also appended for information.

5. Now, therefore -

(a) Whereas the Union feels dissatisfied and despondent that the appointments now made as Asst. Supts. have not been made on any well-thought out, just and sound principles with due regard to service, qualifications, pay and departmental representations as pointed in the foregoing paragraphs.

(b) whereas the claims of senior, able and efficient employees are set at nought in favour of lesser men;

(c) whereas the Union has reason to believe that the Govt. do not see their way to recognize and reward the due merits of labour and that considerations other than a just deal to labour have prevailed with them;

(d) whereas the Union believes that its presentations made before in this connection had only an adverse and contrary effect resulting in the appointment of unlooked for men to such posts; and

(e) whereas the Union is of the view that a more vehement form of protest has to be made in order to impress on the Govt. that there is a deep-seated and genuine discontent over this question in the Press,

the Executive Committee of the Union hereby gives notice to the Govt. that, if the two persons Sri K. Rajarathna Mudr. and Sri S. Krishnan are not, within ten days from to-day, reverted to their original posts of Head Computor and Linotype Operator, respectively and if Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar and Sri E.N. Munuswami Mudr. are not appointed to the said posts vice the former two, the Union, by way of expressing its strong feeling on the matter, has no other option but to launch on a sustained campaign of Satyagraha for two hours daily either in the morning or in the evening in the Press as and when decided by the Executive Committee, until its reasonable requests are conceded and their just grievances heeded and redressed by the Govt., which the Union trusts fervently that they would do in the ultimate. Otherwise, it is needless to say that there is bound to be an endlessly smouldering discontent in the labour ranks of the Press, hampering its smooth and harmonious working, and engendering an unwelcome feeling of continued disgruntlement and desperation.

8/1/12

LIST SHOWING THE EDUCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS.

--

1. Sri K. Rajarathna Mudaliar - Madras Govt. Technical Examinations - Compositor's work (Higher), Press Work(Lower), Proofreading(Lower) Machine Work (Lower), Group Certificate in Printing; Examination of the City and Guilds of London Institute - Compositor's Work (Grade I).	1. Sri S. Ganapathi Ayyar, B.A., Madras Govt. Technical Examinations - Proofreading (Higher); Examinations of City and Guilds of London Institute - Machine Work (Grade II) and Compositor's Work (Final); Examination of Central Polly-Technic - Printing (Final).
2. Sri S. Krishnan - Inter (Parts I & III) Madras Government Technical Examination - Machine Work (Lower).	2. Sri E.N. Munuswamy Mudalier - Holder of Secondary School - Leaving Certificate; Madras Government Technical Examinations - Proof Reading (Higher), Compositor's Work (Lower), Press Work (Lower), Examinations of the City and Guilds of London Institute, Machine Work (Lower) - Compositors Work (Grade I), (Grade II - First Class), Compositor's Work (Final), Machine Work (Grade I and Final), ~~Machine Work (~~ Examination of Central Polytechnic - Printing Final.

8/1/12.

Telephonic Message.

17

From
The Asst Secretary to Govt.
E & P.H. Dept

To
The Superintendent
Govt. Press.

Sub. Legislative Council Question No 155.

p 5 CF. Ref: ~~Your~~ Your letter No 64/Mis/15/Lnio of 10-12-49.

-

Please state whether the representation from p 11 of the Govt. Press workers' Union of ~~[illegible]~~ 1.12.48 referred to in your letter cited was forwarded to Govt. and if so when. It ~~[illegible]~~ does not appear to have [illegible] been.

Issued
3/1/50

29/12/49.

30/12/49

JD
29/12/49

C.F. 304.

OFFICE OF THE ....

Date 194 C2 19

Hours .... Minutes ....

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6 JAN 1950
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

From S.G.P.

To A.S. E&PH.

~~Ref.~~ Som T.M. dt 3/1/50
Sub. Legislative Council Qn. No 155 – My letter No. 64/Mis/15/Lino dt 10/12/49.

1265

The representation from the Govt. Press workers' union dt 1/12/49 reffered to was withdrawn by the vice-President of the union on 6/12/49

pages 11-15 ante

6/1/50

[Please write on both sides.

2 C.F. 304.

20/

21/

Edn
C2

Memo. 93625 C2/49-2 Edn. dt 16.1.50.

Legislative Council Question No. 155. Certain Particulars. Ref. S.G.P.'s letter No 64/Mis/15/LIM dt 10.12.49

p.5 cf

The attention of the S.G.P. is invited to the proposed answer to clause (c) of the Leg. Council Question. He is requested to

p.7 cf

specifically state whether Sri Krishna was actually allowed to work as compositor for some months on the same pay as Lino-operator, ~~when there was~~ & then as an Asst. Overseer when there was no post as such

24

25

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE.
(Council section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.	ANSWER.
*No. 155.	Vide p 7 cf
Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state --	
(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;	(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-operator. From 1-12-39, a time-scale of Rs 72-2/2-80 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale. p 7 cf
(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a lino-operator;	(b) The answer is in the negative.
(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a lino-operator and then as an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;	pp. 7 and 23 cf (c) and (d) The answer is in the affirmative.
(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;	
(e) when, why and ~~from~~ for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan? p 17 GoNo 1542 Fin 12-11-43 (Y) p 7 cf	p 5 (Y) (e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 12-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Govt. in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Dept. As Sri S. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943.

8

p7 cf

QUESTION.	ANSWER.
(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent lino-operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;	(f) It was considered by the M.P.S.C. during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri S. Krishnan ~~are~~ were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Govt. Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Govt. Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.
(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Govt. Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and	(g) ~~The answer is in the affirmative.~~ The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment ~~and~~ another ~~The representation was however withdrawn~~ appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.
(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed.	(h) The answer is in the negative.

pages 11-15 cf

p19 cf.

25/1

25/1

23/1/50

24-1-50

EPH No. 93625 C2/49-3
25.7.52

C2

Received from CDB stating that the Legve. Sectt. refuses to receive these answers

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Council Section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.

*No. 155.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state --

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a Lino-Operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a Lino-Operator and then as ~~x~~ an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent Lino-Operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed?

Education & Public Health Dept.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-Operator. From 1-12-1939, a time-scale of Rs 72-2/2-80 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) and (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 12-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Government in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri S. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943,

(f) It was considered by the Madras Public Service Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri S. Krishnan were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Government Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.

(g) The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment and another appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.

(h) The answer is in the negative.

(THE ABOVE ANSWER HAS BEEN APPROVED BY HON'BLE SRI K. Madhava Menon, MINISTER FOR EDUCATION AND LAW)

V. Ramaswami
for Secretary to Government.

To the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature

26/1/50

E.&.P.H.U.O.No. 93625 C2/49-3
25-1-1950

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Council Section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.

*No. 155.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state --

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a Lino-Operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a Lino-Operator and then as x an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent Lino-Operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed?

Education & Public Health Dept.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-Operator. From 1-12-1939, a time-scale of Rs 72-2/2-80 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) and (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 12-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Government in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri S. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943.

(f) It was considered by the Madras Public Service Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri S. Krishnan were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Government Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.

(g) The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment and another appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.

(h) The answer is in the negative.

(THE ABOVE ANSWER HAS BEEN APPROVED BY HON'BLE SRI K. Madhava Menon, MINISTER FOR EDUCATION AND LAW)

V. Ramaswami
for Secretary to Government.

To the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature

2/11

E.&.P.H.U.O.No. 93625 C2/49-3
25-1-1950

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Council Section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.

*No. 155.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state --

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a Lino-Operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a Lino-Operator and then as x an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent Lino-Operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed?

Education & Public Health Dept.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-Operator. From 1-12-1939, a time-scale of Rs 72-2/2-80 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) and (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 12-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Government in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri S. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943.

(f) It was considered by the Madras Public Service Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri S. Krishnan were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Government Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.

(g) The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment and another appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.

(h) The answer is in the negative.

(THE ABOVE ANSWER HAS BEEN APPROVED BY HON'BLE SRI K. Madhava Menon, MINISTER FOR EDUCATION AND LAW)

V. Ramaswami
for Secretary to Government.

To the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature

E.&.P.H.U.O.No. 93625 C2/49-3
25-1-1950

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Council Section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.

*No. 155.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state --

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a Lino-Operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a Lino-Operator and then as x an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent Lino-Operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed?

Education & Public Health Dept.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-Operator. From 1-12-1939, a time-scale of Rs 72-2/2-80 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) and (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 12-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Government in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri S. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943.

(f) It was considered by the Madras Public Service Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri S. Krishnan were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Government Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.

(g) The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment and another appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.

(h) The answer is in the negative.

(THE ABOVE ANSWER HAS BEEN APPROVED BY HON'BLE SRI K. Madhava Menon, MINISTER FOR EDUCATION AND LAW)

V. Ramaswami

for Secretary to Government.

To the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature

28/1

E.&.P.H.U.O.No. 93625 C2/49-3
25-1-1950

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Council Section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.

*No. 155.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state --

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a Lino-Operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a Lino-Operator and then as x an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent Lino-Operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed?

Education & Public Health Dept.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-Operator. From 1-12-1939, a time-scale of Rs 72-2/2-80 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) and (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 12-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Government in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri S. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943.

(f) It was considered by the Madras Public Service Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri S. Krishnan were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Government Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.

(g) The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment and another appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.

(h) The answer is in the negative.

(THE ABOVE ANSWER HAS BEEN APPROVED BY HON'BLE SRI K. Madhava Menon, MINISTER FOR EDUCATION AND LAW)

V. Ramaswami
for Secretary to Government.

To the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature

1871

E.&.P.H.U.O.No. 99626 CB/49-3
25-1-1950

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Council Section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.

*No. 155.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state --

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a Lino-Operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a Lino-Operator and then as ~~a~~ an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent Lino-Operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed?

Education & Public Health Dept.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-Operator. From 1-12-1938, a time-scale of Rs 70-2/2-80 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) and (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 12-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Government in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri S. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 12-11-1943.

(f) It was considered by the Madras Public Service Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri S. Krishnan were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Government Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.

(g) The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment and another appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.

(h) The answer is in the negative.

(THE ABOVE ANSWER HAS BEEN APPROVED BY HON'BLE SRI K. Madhava Menon, MINISTER FOR EDUCATION AND LAW)

V. Ramaswami
for Secretary to Government.

To the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature 881/2011

E.&P.H.U.O.No. 22008 CD/40-1
25-1-1950

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE
(Council Section)

SRI P.R.K. SARMA, M.L.C.

QUESTION.

*No. 155.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state —

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri G. Krishnan now appointed as Assistant Superintendent was first appointed as a Lino-Operator; and if so, what was his pay then, as also his scale of pay;

(b) whether it is a fact that he was initially certified by medical opinion as unfit to work as a Lino-Operator;

(c) whether it is a fact that he was allowed to work as a computor for some months on the same pay as a Lino-Operator and then as ~~a~~ an Assistant Overseer, when virtually there was no post of this kind on the regular cadre of appointments in the press;

(d) whether he was related to the then Personal Assistant to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras;

(e) when, why and for whom the temporary post of Deputy Overseer was created in the Government Press; and why that post was given to Sri Krishnan;

(f) whether the qualifications possessed by the permanent Lino-Operator are sufficient to discharge the duties of Assistant Superintendent efficiently and whether higher qualified persons are available in the Press for the post;

(g) whether it is a fact that the Madras Government Press Workers' Union has protested against this; and

(h) whether the Government intend to review the appointment before it is confirmed?

Education & Public Health Dept.

ANSWER.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. In 1933, he was appointed as a temporary piece-work Lino-Operator. From 1-12-1939, a time-scale of Rs 75-5/3-90 was fixed for the post and he was granted this scale.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) and (d). The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The post of Deputy Overseer was sanctioned on 13-11-43. The post was created after inspection of the Press by the then Adviser to Government in order to relieve the pressure of work and to ensure efficient management of the Machine Department. As Sri G. Krishnan had already been deputed to assist the Overseer, he was appointed to that post with effect from 13-11-1943.

(f) It was considered by the Madras Public Service Commission during selection that the qualifications possessed by Sri G. Krishnan were sufficient for recruitment by transfer from the Government Press Subordinate Service as Assistant Superintendent in the Stationery and Printing Service. There are no doubt persons technically better qualified as printers in the subordinate service of the Government Press, but the Commission did not consider them suitable.

(g) The representation of the Union protesting against this appointment and another appointment, was withdrawn by the Vice-President of the Union.

(h) The answer is in the negative.

(THE ABOVE ANSWER HAS BEEN APPROVED BY HON'BLE SRI K. Madhava Menon, MINISTER FOR EDUCATION AND LAW)

V. Ramaswami
for Secretary to Government.

To the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature

2871

[illegible]
[illegible]

Madras Legis. 3,002—13-8-49.

C2

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,

Fort St. George, dated the 29. 11. 1949

30 NOV 1949

93625

QUESTION No. 155 for the next meeting of the Legislative ~~Assembly to~~ Council

~~be held on the~~ ~~194 .~~

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the E and P.H. Department.

The question has been admitted.

29/11 29/11

29/11

To

The E & P. H. Department.

w.o. 9061 | 49 - 24.L. / 29 - 11 - 49.

EPH / C2

Please see the Legislative Council question on p. 1. cf. Information on points (a) to ~~(f)~~ (g) may first be called for from the S.G.P. Meanwhile the old papers on the question are being collected. Note will be put up [illegible] refer to Clauses (g) & (h) of the [illegible] of [illegible] the S.G.P. A draft memo. to the S.G.P. is sub. for approval.

p3 cf 3.12.49

p5 cf 3/12

3-12-49

(Issued as memo. No. 93625 C2/49-1 Edn. d 5-12-49)

(From the S.G.P. No. 64 Mis/Simo d 10.12.49)

Please see the S.G.P's reply on pp. 5-7 cf.

2/ A draft answer

submitted. May be circulated to
H M (E & L)

Please put up the disposal on the letter dated 1-12-48 referred to in clause (g) on p 7 cf.

JD
21/12/49

22-12-49

Subd. The letter referred to does not appear to have been received in the dept. For orders whether the S.G.P. may be asked to state whether the representation was forwarded to Govt. and if so when. Subject to orders a draft t.m is subd. for approval

Not traceable in my office (Mr. A.V. was in the then)

29/12/49

30/12/49

JD
2/1/50

(Issued as t.m. d 30.12.49) p. 17 cf
(From the S.G.P. t.m. d 5.1.50) p 19 cf

Please see the t.m from the S.G.P. on p. 19 cf. Answer to clause (g) has been modified suitably.

6.1.50.

JD
9/1/50

10-1-50

S
HM (E&L)

HM (E&L)

Reply to (c) is incomplete and may appear evasive. Was he allowed to work as computor and was there a post of overseer

9/1

A draft memo is submitted.

JD
12/1/50

17/1/50

From the S.G.P No 65/Mis/25 Lino d 18 1-50 p 23 cf

Please see clause (c) of the

C.No. 93625 C2/49.

question & the reply of the S.G.P. in p-23 cf. In the circumstances, the answer to clauses (c) & (d) may be "The answer is in the affirmative". May be circulated to HM (E & L)

JD
23/1/50

24-1-50

S 25/1

H.M (E & L)

25/1

23/1

EPH/C2

The Madras Legislative Assembly and Council have been prorogued by H.E. the Governor w.e.f. 25-1-50. The question has therefore lapsed. The papers may be 'recorded' for future reference.

(PP)

3.2.50.

JD
3/2/50

G.O. Ms.No. 999 Edn d 25 3/50

Recorded.

Abstract:
Legislative council Question 155* - Appointment of Sri. S. Krishnan as Asst Supdt. Govt. Press - Papers Recorded.

4-2-50

3.2.50