

EDUCATION (1948) DEPARTMENT

MS. SERIES.

done
f

G.O. No. 935.

DATED 21. 3. 1950.

14

Sub.

Municipal Council Tirupattur - Deficit in the
Elementary Education Fund during 1948-49.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Dept.,
M a d r a s.

Sir, :-

Ref:- G.O.No.Ms. 2674 Edn.dated 24-8-1949
2) This office letter R.O.C.B.1. 9832/49 dated 9-9-1949
through the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.

R.S.14/10

F o r w a r d e d.

Chairman.

2/

8/15-10

L.P.H.
(9)

Memo No. 80882/49-1-C - Education, dated 19.10.1949.

Sub: B.E. - 1949-50 - E.E. Fund - M.C., Tirupattur -
Laying of increased education tax.

From the Commr., Tirupattur Mty., R.O. B. - No. 9832/48, Dated 9.9.49. p. 1 ante

The Commr., Tirupattur Mty., is requested to submit a
copy of his letter, R.O. B. - 9832/48, dated 9.9.1949,
said to have been submitted through the Insp. of M.Cs.
and P. Bds. but not yet received by the Govt.

Mr. Doraiswamy

RM
19/10/49

20/10/49 Commr., Tirupattur Mty.
(tho (to C.M.C.))
Copy to the Insp. of M.Cs.
and P. Bds.
RM
19/10/49

3

From
Mr. J. Doraiswamy, B.A.,
Commissioner,
Tirupattur Municipality,
Tirupattur N.4.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Dept.
Fort St. George,
M A D P A S.

R.O.C.B.1.89832/48

Dated: 28-10-1949.

1 NOV 1949

Sir,

Sub: Budget Estimate - 1949-50 - Elementary Education
Fund - Tirupattur Municipality.

Ref: G.O.Ms.No.2674 Edn. dated 24-8-49.
This office letter R.O.C.B.1.9832/48 dated 9.9.49. p. 5 infra

8/16/55
p. 5 infra

I submit herewith a copy of this office letter
R.O.C.B.1.9832/48 dated 9-9-49 addressed to Government through the
Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards for favour of
early orders.

Forwarded

C. M. Doraiswamy
CHAIRMAN. 31/10/49

P.S.K.28/10/p.

J. Doraiswamy
COMMISSIONER. 29/10/49

29/10/49

3

Copy of this office letter No. P.O.C.B.1.9832/49 dated 2-9-1949 addressed to the Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department, Madras, through the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Old High Court Buildings, M a d r a s.

* * * * *

Sub: Budget - Estimate - 1949-----50 - Elementary Education Fund
Tirupattur Municipality.

Ref: G.O.No.Ms.2674 Edn. dated 24--8--1949. *Page 27-29*

While approving the Budget Estimate of the Elementary Education Fund of this Municipality for 1949-----50 Government directed the levy of Education tax at 50% of the property tax per annum with effect from 1-10-1949. *4*

When this was placed before the Council, it resolved to request the Government not to raise the rate of Education tax as the present rate itself is abnormal.

I submit herewith a copy of the resolution for favour of orders.

Forwarded

(Sd) J. Doraiswamy,
Commissioner

(Sd) C.M. Subramania Naidu,
Chairman.

/ True Copy /

P.S.K.28/10/a.

J. Doraiswamy
COMMISSIONER. *Y/Ch*

Copy of Council's Resolution No. 667 dated 2-9-49.

Page 27-29
Read C.O.No.Ms.2674 Edn. dated 24-8-49 altering the rate of levy of education tax to 50 per cent of the property tax from 1--10--1949.

RESOLUTION: As the present tax itself is unbearable, it is resolved to request the Government not to raise the present tax.

(Sd) C.M. Subramania Naidu,
Chairman

/ True Copy /

P.S.K.28/10/p.

J. Doraiswamy
COMMISSIONER. *Y/Ch*

29.10.49

C. no. 80882/49

18/1/50

The Commr.
Tirupattur Mpl.
(through the Secy)
17/1/50
18/1/50

END (C)

B.E. - 1949-50 - Elementary Education Fund -
Municipal Council, Tirupattur - Increase of Education
Tax.

Memo No: 80882/49-5-C, Bd. A 28-1-50.

The Commr., Tirupattur Mpl. is
requested to report the actual expenditure incurred by the
Mpl. on payment of D.A. to the Elementary School
teachers during 1948-49 and the R.E. in this account
for 1949-50. It should also be stated whether any
subsidy was drawn by the Council for the above
purpose during 1948-49 or 1949-50.

A ~~very early~~ reply is requested should
be sent at a very ~~early~~ date.

18/1/50
19/1/50

MM
28/1/50

From
Mr. J. Doraiswamy, B.A.,
Commissioner,
Tirupattur Municipality,
Tirupattur. N.A.

To
The Secretary to Government
Education and Public Health
Department, M A D P A S.

9 FEB 1950

Roc. Bl. 9832/48.

Dated :- 4-2-50.

Sir,

Sub :- Budget estimate-1949-50. Elementary education fund -
Municipal Council, Tirupattur-increase of education Tax.
Ref :- Government Memorandum No. 80882/49-5-cl Education
dated 28-1-50.

I submit herewith the particulars called for by Government in
their memorandum No. referred to above.

1. Actual expenditure incurred by the Municipality
for payment of Dearness allowance 16899-0-0
to Elementary school teachers (excluding that of peons and
conductresses) during 1948-49.
2. Revised estimate on account 16230-0-0
of the above for 1949-50

No subsidy was drawn by the council for the purpose during
1948-49 or 1949-50.

Forwarded.

C. M. S. *[Signature]*
Chairman.
N.K.4/2. 6/2/50

J. Doraiswamy
COMMISSIONER. 6/2/50

62-50

C. no: 80882/49

For (A)

Special

Subsidy - Municipal Council,
Tirupattur - Deficit in the Elementary
Education Fund during 1948-49 - Equivalent
D.A. Grant - Sanctioned -

Read again:*

From the Commission, Tirupattur Municipality

No: 9872/49/B, D) 19-7-1949

From the Commission, Tirupattur Municipality -

No: 9832/48 D) 14-10-1949

From the Commission, Tirupattur Municipality -

No: 9832/48 D) 4-2-1950

Read also:

pp 27-29/49/C GO: Ms: No: 2674 En dated 24th August 1949

Order No 935

En D) 21-3-50

Ms

1. The Government sanction a
subsidy of Rs 11,571/- (Rupees Eleven
thousand, five hundred and seventy one only)
to the Municipal Council, Tirupattur
during the current year, towards the expenditure
incurred on dearness allowance to teachers in
elementary schools during 1948-49.

2. The A.G. is requested to place
the amount at the disposal of the
Municipal Council, Tirupattur. The Commission,
Tirupattur Municipality should present the
necessary bills at the treasury for obtaining
payment of the amount or for getting it
admitted.

3. The expenditure will be
debited to "37-Education - I - primary -
Grants to local bodies for primary education -
A - Local bodies - I - ordinary Areas - (i) Excluding
Post-war reconstruction - 4 - Teaching - Voted"
in the B.E for 1949-50

12

4. In paragraph II (iii) of G.O. No: 2674 Education dated the 24th August 1949, the Government directed that the rate of Education tax levied in the municipalities be raised from 48 7/9 % to 50% of the property tax per annum, with effect from the 1st October 1949. If, in pursuance of the order referred to above, the tax is levied at the increased rate, ~~the~~ it will continue to be operative till the 31st March 1950, vide G.O. No: 819 E.A. dated the 11th March 1950. If the tax has not been actually increased, the order may be treated as cancelled.

MM
21/3/50

28/8/49
The Commr,
Tirupattur Mty.
(through the Commr)
The A.G. through the
The O.P.I.
Copy to Finance Dept.
The Exor of Treas.
/ Comr to L.A. Dept.
28/8/49.

MM
21/3/50

Edu. & P.H. Dept. (G)

Memorandum No: 80882/49-B-9 - Education, dated
Subj: Budget Estimate - 1949-50 - Elementary Education
Fund - Municipal Council, Tirupattur -
Increase of education tax.

27-8-49 Read again: G.O. No. 2674, Education, dated 24.8.1949.
Read also: From the Commr, Tirupattur Mty., No. B-9832/48, dt 9.9.1948.
The Govt regret that the orders in paragraph I. II. (iii) of the G.O. cited above cannot be reconsidered.
Immediate steps should be taken to levy education tax at the enhanced rate as already directed.

28/8/49

20
The Commr, Tirupattur Mty.
(through the Commr)
Copy to the D.P.I.
" E.A. & P.H.
" L.A. Dept.
(2 Spares)

MM
21/3/50

MM
21/3/50

Note: - The increase complained against is only by about 1 3/4 % of property tax per annum or 3/4 % of annual value per annum. Even with the increased rate of edu. tax, the total tax on properties in the mty. works out only to 21 3/4 %.

28/8/49

of annual value per annum. This rate cannot be considered
unbearable, as thought by the C.E. Further, the C.E. hasn't indicated
any other way of avoiding the deficit, ^{the latest estimate of} which is Rs. 27,969. The C.E.'s
request not to raise the tax need not, therefore, be agreed to.

L.A. may see the draft order of before circulation.

2/11/49
3/11/49

3/11/49

I have already
expressed my views that it
is inequitable and uneconomical
to place the burden of general
education, on only one class of
tax payers, houseowners. If there is
deficit, it should be covered from
the general income of the Council
or the revenue of Govt.

L.A.

4
X1



E.F.P.H. No. No.
80882/49-29-Edu
4. 11. 1949.

L.A. Deptt. (D)
Please see the note of the E.F.P.H.
Deptt. on pp 1-2 above.

The present total rate of tax
(inclusive of the increased rate of
education tax (viz 7 1/4% ^{ha} or 50% of the
property tax ordered in G.O. No. 2674 Edn
dated 24.5.49.) is 21 3/4% per annum.
The rate is somewhat high for
a Municipality which has neither
a water supply nor a drainage
scheme. This cannot however
be helped in view of the large
deficit in the E.E. Account. The
main source of income for
the M.C. is the property tax. The
general account - ordinary - is not

(C)
X will facilitate
easy calculation
(vide C/34-35)

vide C/38-39

in a position to meet any portion of the deficit in the E.E. Account.

The maximum grant that can be paid by the govt. is Rs 17,000 only. In the circumstances there is no case for reconsidering the orders in G.O. No. 2674 Edn. & 24.8.49 increasing the rate of education tax slightly by about 2% of the property tax.

vide C/38-39
(Working surplus Rs 6,550)
+ expe. on payment of d. a. to E.E. staff C/32

If the E.E. is keen about the reduction in the rate of education tax, it may have to close down many of its schools.

With these remarks the case may be returned to E.P.H. Deptt. w.o.

No 5/11/49 22
S. 1645

The increase in

the rate of edn tax ordered in G.O. No. 2674 Edn & 24.8.49 is slight being only $\frac{3}{4}\%$ of rentals per annum. The increase may stand as the financial position of the mpl cl is bad both under the Govt and Ely Edn Act. Even with the increase in the rate Govt have to give a grant of Rs 17,000 to the cl this year towards payment of salaries to Ely school staff.

88380
12 NOV 1949

L.A. No. 47607-49-1A
11-11-49

10.11.49
Secy
E.P.H.

Edu. and H. Dept. (9)

L.A.'s. note on pages 3-5 ante may be perused. It is for orders whether the draft on page 1 ante will now be approved.

H.M. (F. & D.) and H.M. (L.A.) may see.

no
D/11/49
to
11/11/49

OST
18/11/49
3

① What is the conventional maximum rate for this class of Municipality -
② Why should not grant cover the amount of deficit after the tax is raised to the conventional maximum

19
11

① above:- Tirupattur has no W.S. or Drainage Scheme, Govt seems to provide electric light. The conventional maximum rate of tax for

for such a mty. is 16%.

Item (2) in Secy's. note on p. 6 ante:- The Budget Estimate on page 29 of (C) as approved by the Govt. takes credit for the increased rate of tax. yet it is expected to close with a deficit the latest estimate of which is Rs. 27,969/- L.A. have stated that the General Account is not in a position to meet any portion of the deficit. So, a subsidy will necessarily have to be paid by the Govt. Such subsidies are restricted to the amount of expre. on dearness allowance to est. edu. staff or the amount of actual deficit, whichever is less. In the present case, the expre. on L.A. amounts to only Rs. 18,620/- and a subsidy of like amount will have to be paid by the Govt., leaving the remaining remaining deficit to be met by the Cl. in the best way it thinks fit.

Subd. for orders.

no
D/11/49
to
21/11/49

OST
22/11/49
5

we cannot force the municipality to increase its tax rate which already exceeds the conventional maximum. The expenditure on D.A. may be met by a subsidy

23
21 / 11 / 49

Resubmitted. The question of payment of subsidy is usually considered only after the receipt of the R.E. for 1948-50 along with the B. E. for 1950-51. This need not, therefore, be sent to Finance now.

The point for orders at present is whether the request of the Tirupattur M. Cl. to reconsider the orders in paragraph 1-II-(iii) of No. 2674, Edu., dated 24-8-1948 should be complied with. Secy. presumably considers that the increase

C/28

increase in the tax may be cancelled. If so, the anticipated deficit will increase to Rs. 29,102/-, out of which the Cl. will be eligible for a subsidy of only Rs. 18,620/- towards payment of dearness allowance to its est. staff. The Cl. will, therefore, have to find means for meeting the remaining deficit of Rs. 10,482/- whereas, if the tax is not raised at the increased rate from 1.10.1949, the remaining deficit will be reduced to Rs. 9,347/-.

L. A. consider that the increased rate may stand. For orders whether the increase in the rate of tax may stand or may be cancelled.

23/11/49

24/11/49

27,967
1,133
29,102
18,620
10,482
1,133
9,349

11,571

29,102
11,571
17,531

I cannot support the increase in rate. L. A. may see again. Apart from placing a burden which these local bodies are not able to bear, we have to show some respect to the maxima fixed by us

L.A.)

25/11

E. & P. H. No. 80882/49-3-G. Edu. 25. 11. 1949

L. A. Deptt. (D)

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
26 NOV 1949
50876

The rates at which the taxes are levied in the Mty. are as follows.

1. Tax on buildings on the basis of their annual rental value.

General purposes 4% per half year
Savenging tax 1 3/4%
Lighting tax 1 1/2%
Education tax 3 1/4%
Total 10 1/2%

N/C
28/11

Excludes increase of 2 1/2% pa. of bud under rep.)

3/12/49

6 1/2
11/12

This dept need not object to the view of E & P H Secy at H 8 above. The Genl fund of the Cl. is not in a position to contribute towards the deficit in the E. & P. H. The deficit in the E. & P. H. at the end of 1948-49 was Rs 11,571. This is due to d. a paid in that year. So, this amount may be sanctioned as d. a grant for that year. If this is done the deficit in 1949-50 will be only Rs 17,531. This is within the amount of d. a grant admissible for 1949-50. Thus, the budget can be balanced by admissible d. a grants, ~~without~~ even if the increase in rate of Edu tax is cancelled as proposed by Secy E & P H

p. 377 (C)

R

Secy

SECRETARY TO GOVT
82816
11 DEC 1949
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

5/12/49
95380
11 DEC 1949
EDUCATION

no: 95380/W-49,6m
9/12/49

5/12/49

E & P H

Please see the LA note above.

It is for orders whether their suggestion may be accepted. The remarks of Finance may be invited on the suggestion of LA. above.

3/12/49

9/12/49

P.T.O.

Please see the notes on pp. 1-9 above. With reference to the suggestion of L.A. at 'R' on p. 9 above, E. & P.H. may be requested to state what the actual expenditure incurred by the Tiruppur Municipal Council during 1948-49 in payment of D.A. to teachers was, and whether any subsidy was sanctioned to the Tiruppur Municipal Council towards payment of D.A. to teachers during 1948-49, and whether the deficit for

948-49 is arrived at after taking into account of the subsidy, if any, sanctioned for 1948-49
27.12.49
27.12.49
B 27.12.49
S.P.H.

E.A.P.A.(C)

Please see the note of Finance above. No subsidy was sanctioned to the Tirupattur M.C. towards expenditure on payment of D.A. to Elementary School teachers during 1948-49. However, the actual expenditure on D.A. during 1948-49 and the R.E. for 1948-50 on this account is not known. There may be called for from the Commr. Draft below is for approval.

1/1/50
28/1/50

28/1/50

Issued as memo no: 80882/49-SC, E.A. D 28-1-1950
[From the Commr, Tirupattur Municipality
No. 9832/49/B, D 4-2-1950]

The actual expenditure incurred by the Tirupattur Municipal Council towards the payment of D.A. to Elementary School teachers during 1948-49 was Rs 16,899. No subsidy was sanctioned towards payment of D.A. to teachers during 1948-49. With this information the file may be sent to Finance Dept for remarks with reference to their note above.

27/1/50 Finance

B 27.12.49

Fin no 83816 SA-1
27.12.49

10/14/1
28 DEC 1949

received from the index of the subsidy register

666/10

16650
200
1570
19360

67/4

69/4



Exp. A No. 80882/49-69

28-2-50.

(Previous Finance note on p. 10 above)

Please see the letter from the Commr, Tirupattur M.C. on p. 9 C.F. and the note of Edu. on p. 10 above. The circumstances stated, Finance may agree to a subsidy of Rs. 11,571/- being sanctioned to wipe off the deficit in the E.E. Fund at the end of 1948-49 as proposed by L.A. at 'R' on p. 9 above, as the actual expenditure incurred on the D.A. of teachers is stated to be Rs. 16,899 and that no subsidy was drawn by the Council for the purpose during 1948-49 or 1949-50.

As regards the payment of subsidy for 1949-50, the question may be considered after the Revised Estimates for 1949-50 have been received and examined by Edu. and L.A.

B 6.3.50
5.3.50

B 6.3.50
REPS

B 6.3.50

1085

Fin no. 14162 Exp A-1
6-3-50

Em (A)

Finance have agreed to a subsidy of Rs 11,571 being sanctioned as D.A. grant towards the deficit in the Elementary Education Fund at the end of 1948-49. The ~~order~~ orders in para II (iii) of G.O. Ms. No. 2674 E.A. dated 24/8/1949 directing the municipal council, Tirupattur to alter the rate of levy of Education tax within its area from 48 2/3% to 50% of property tax per annum w.e.f. 1-10-49 may therefore be cancelled - the question of sanctioning a D.A. grant for 1949-50 may be taken up while examining the Elementary Education Fund Budget of the municipal council for 1950-51. A draft order is submitted for approval.

Don't be

Canceled

17/3/50

18/3/50

28/1/50

12
Secretary's ^{remarks} ~~order~~ in the margin on p 11 ante.

In G.O. No: 2624 En dated 24-8-1949, the Government while passing orders on the B.E for 1949-50 of the Elementary Education Fund under the control of the Municipal Council, Tirupattur directed that the rate of Education tax in the municipality should be increased from 48 7/29% to 50% of the property tax per annum, with effect from 1-10-1949, bringing the total rate of Education tax of the municipality to 7 1/4% of property rentals. In the letter dated 9-9-1949, the Commissioner forwarded a resolution of the Council to the effect that the existing rate of Education tax was unbearable and that the Government be requested not to increase the rate of taxation. In a subsequent letter, the Commissioner enquired whether pending issue of orders, he might issue tax bills for the half year ending 31-3-1950 at the existing rates.

The question whether the orders enhancing the rate of Education tax should be cancelled has been examined in the preceding notes. The previous Secretary was not for increasing the tax. The LA Department agreed with the Secretary and suggested with a view to avoid the deficit in the Elementary Education Fund, that a subsidy of Rs 11,571 might be paid to the Council towards the expenditure incurred by it on payment of D.A to teachers in 1948-49. LA also pointed out that if this was done, the deficit in 1948-50 would be only Rs 17,531.

The Finance Department have agreed to the payment of a subsidy of Rs 11,571 towards the expenditure on D.A to teachers in 1948-49. As regards the deficit in 1948-50, it is suggested that the question may be considered in connection with the B.E for 1950-51.

It has recently been decided that the maximum rate of Education tax leviable in a municipality should be only 5% of p.r and orders have been issued asking the municipal Council, Tirupattur among others to reduce the rate of Education tax to this rate w.e.f 1-4-1950.

pp 27-294/©

int

p 28/©

int

$$\frac{50 \times 29}{100 \times 2} = 7 \frac{1}{4} \%$$

p 28/

int

int

p 1 c f

int

p 2 ante / p 7 ante
pp 8-9 ante

int

int

p 11 ante

int

int

not put p

pp 27-294/©

Jes. B

20/3/50.

13
Now the question for consideration is whether the order already issued in G.O. No: 2624 En dt 24-8-1949 raising the rate of Education tax w.e.f 1-10-1949 should be cancelled. In any case, the rate will be in force only for the half-year ending the 31st March 1950. It is not known whether the Commissioner is actually levying Education tax at this rate. If the tax is being levied at the increased rate, it may be allowed to continue. ~~For order~~. If not the order may be cancelled. For orders.

td
20/3/50

td
20/3/50

td
20/3/50

td
20/3/50

A draft ~~order~~ is submitted.

td
20/3/50

td
20/3/50

td
20/3/50