

1950

Edn.

(~~194~~) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

(U)

G.O. NO. 761 Edn. → p 3.

closed.

Dated 8-3-50.

USM
Su/pdt.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant - Building - L.S.N-Convent Girls' High School, Gtthapalem

Irregularity condoned - Payment of advance grant: Sanctioned.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	2080	Edn.	28-6-49.				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

From

The Director of Public Instruction
M a d r a s.

To

The Secretary to Government
Education and Public Health Department
Fort St. George, M a d r a s.

No. R.C. 672 D2/46 Dated 24-2-1950.

Sir,

Subject :-

Grant- Building- L.S.N. Convent Girls' High School-
Ottapalam- Condonation of irregularity- aid.

Ref:- G.O. No. Ms. 2080 Edn. dated 28-6-1949.

Government in their order Ms. No. 1375 Edn. dated 28-5-1948 sanctioned the inclusion in the list of approved works of the scheme for the extension of L.S.N. Convent Girls' High School at an approximate cost of Rs.41,000/- . This approximate cost includes the following items of work.

Item (i). Constructing 5 additional rooms - Rs.22,000/- (completed).

Item (ii) Constructing 2 wings (two rooms for each wing) ... - Rs.19,000/-

Detailed plans and estimate for the scrutiny by the Joint Consulting Architect to Government were called for. They were not sent. The Correspondent reports that due to the urgency for the accommodation the construction was started just 4 weeks before the scheme was included in the approved list of works in May 1948 and that the construction was completed in November 1948. The plans and estimates were not approved from technical point of view by the Joint Consulting Architect to Government. The construction was started without inviting competitive tenders and entrusting the work to the lowest tenderer.

2. The actual amount spent on the scheme is reported to be Rs.24872-12-8. The Correspondent has now submitted a valuation certificate from the Executive Engineer West Coast Division for the extension already carried out which puts the value at Rs.25,000/- as against the actual amount of Rs.24872-12-8 spent on the scheme. In the circumstances the irregularities committed by the management may be condoned to enable the payment of the advance grant of Rs.7,000/- sanctioned in G.O. No. Ms. 2080 Edn. dated 28-6-1949.

3. As the management have completed the construction of the building without the plans and estimates being scrutinised by the Joint Consulting Architect to Government and as they had also made certain deviations from the original plans and estimates which have raised the cost of the work, I recommend that the grant be restricted to half the original estimated approximate cost of Rs.22,000/- in view of the irregularities.

(ie., Rs.11,000)

4. The title to the property is being scrutinised by the Government Solicitor. The advance grant may be permitted to be authorised pending the examination of the title. The balance will however be paid in 50-51 after the title is declared to be in order.

5. The 2nd item of the original scheme mentioned in para 1 above, has not yet been taken up. As against the original proposal for Rs.19,000/- the management intends to have a scheme with increased accommodation for Rs.40,750/- This matter is being considered and will be reported on separately.

Yours faithfully,

R. S. Hanumanth

for Director of Public Instruction,

A.S./24-2.

See 24/2

pp 33-35 of

under 8/3/50

3
Edm. (B)
Grant - Building - L.S.N. Convent Girls' High School, Gtthapalam - Irregularity Condoned - Payment of advance grant - Sanctioned.

Read :- G.O. No. 2080 Edm. dated 28-6-49.
From the D.P.G. Re.No. 672 D2/46 d/24-2-50

Order No 761 Edm. dated 8-3-1950
Ms

The action of the management of the L.S.N. Convent High School, Gtthapalam, in having started the construction of five additional rooms at an estimated cost of Rs 22,000/- before the scheme was included in the list of approved works and without entrusting the work to a ^{the lowest} tenderer was not in order. The irregularity is, however, condoned as a special case. ~~In the circumstances reported by the D.P.G., the~~ grant will be restricted to one half of the original estimated cost of Rs 22,000/- ^{as the management has made certain deviations} ~~authorised.~~

*from the original plans
destinations which have
raised the cost of the work*

2. The D.P.I. is informed that the building grant due to the school should be disbursed after the title deeds are scrutinised by the Government Solicitor. There should however be no delay in this.

To
The D.P.G.
The A.G. thus for
2 S/cos.

*sd
4/3/50
won
4-3-50*

*in
16/3/50*

*sd
7-3-50*

Note. The draft is in accordance with the recommendations of the D.P.G. and follows the precedent in Memo No. 354/49 48735 G2/49-2 Edm d/11-7-49. The management's proposal to revise the second part of the scheme may be considered.

on receipt of the report promised in para 5 of the Director's letter on p 2 of. The draft overleaf may be issued with the concurrence of Finance.

4/3/50
KSL
4-3-50

4/3/50

5/4/50
3/3/50



Edm. U.O

14 833 B/50-1 Edm.
4-3-50

Fin (Exp A)

The draft order overleaf may be accepted.

Please be below

5/3/50

5/3/50


Of late, the tendency of the D.P.S. seems to be to recommend the grant of subsidy pending scrutiny of the title deeds by the Govt. Solicitor and subject to an understanding being taken that the management will refund the amount if the title deeds are found to be defective. In another file this Dept. have advised that this is not the correct procedure to be followed and as a matter of course. In the present case, it is stated that the title deeds are being scrutinized by the Govt. Solicitor. It is not clear why the disbursement of the grant should not await the scrutiny which is likely to be over soon. Govt. may therefore be advised to await the result of the scrutiny before sanctioning the grant.

R
5/3/50

A.D.S.

6/3/50

2nd Dept



948

bottom file

The papers referred at Z in the Finance Dept's note are now in Finance. The return of the file may be awaited.

6/3/50

6/3/50

The file has since been received from Finance and they have advised that in respect of certain other schemes

that the grants may be disbursed in 1950-51 after the title deeds are found to be in order. In view of this it is for orders whether the D.P.S. may be informed that the grant towards this scheme may also be disbursed ^{early} in 1950-51 after the scrutiny of the title deeds is completed.

May be circulated to H.M. (Eon) along with the top file.

5/3/50
KSL
7-3-50

A above seems acceptable.

Para 1 of the draft on page 1 date may be retained.


7-3-50

5

Draft may be accepted.

7/3/50

H.M. (E.L.)



that the growth may be disturbed in 1920-21 after the
 check one found to be in order. In view of this it is for
 winter the 1920-21 may be informed that the growth towards
 the volume may also be disturbed in 1920-21 after the
 of the check is completed.

1920-21
 1921-22
 1922-23

1923-24
 1924-25
 1925-26

1926-27
 1927-28
 1928-29

1929-30
 1930-31
 1931-32

1932-33
 1933-34
 1934-35

1935-36
 1936-37
 1937-38

1938-39
 1939-40
 1940-41

1941-42
 1942-43
 1943-44

