

¹⁹⁵⁰
 Edu (194⁰) Department.

Rs. SERIES.

G.O. NO.

621

Dated

27/2/50

Chand B.
 RK
 Singh

p# 1-11, 21 & 23 & notes on p13-24-A

(13)

[Despatch Abstract.]

BASIC EDUCATION — ASST BASIC EDUCATION
 OFFICERS — SANCTIONED.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	631	Edu.	17/3/51				
	2553						
	2553						

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
M a d r a s.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

C.No.1358 M/49 dated 26-11-49.

Sir,
Sub: Basic Education - Creation of two posts of
Assistant Basic Education Officers-proposals -
Submitting.

There are at present 36 Basic Training Schools and 235 Basic Schools in the Province. Of these, 12 Basic Training Schools and 57 Basic Schools are located in the Northern districts (Anantapur and Godavari divisions), and 24 Basic Training Schools and 178 Basic schools are situated in the Tamil, Malayalam and Kannada areas.

2. The Basic Education Officer, Kakinada is in charge of the inspection of Basic Training Schools in the Northern districts and looks also to the needs of the Basic schools and Basic Education work in those districts in general. The Basic Education Officer, Madras has to attend to the inspection of Basic Training schools and look to the needs of Basic schools in the Southern districts, whose number is more than twice the number of the institutions in the Northern districts.

3. In addition to the inspection work, the Basic Education Officer, Madras has to do administrative work in my office as he has been vested with the administrative responsibility of Basic schools and Basic Training Schools in the whole province (Vide para 2 of G.O.No.1331 Education dated 26-5-1948). The volume of work which has to be done by the Basic Education Officer, Madras has become heavy since he has also to visit places for selecting centres for future expansion and arrange for the accommodation, equipment, etc., of new institutions.

3. For purposes of affording relief to him and for making inspection and control of schools more effective, it is considered necessary to employ two Assistant Basic Education Officers with their Headquarters at Cuddalore and Palghat. Though real control over Basic Training Schools and Basic schools can be secured only through two Madras Educational Service officers with a view to economise expenditure I recommend only the creation of two Subordinate Education Service officer posts (in Grade I) of Assistant Basic Education Officers. Each of them may be provided with one lower division clerk and one peon. The posts may be sanctioned for a period of two years from 1-12-1949.

4. The cost of the proposal will be as follows exclusive of Dearness allowance and other allowances:

Cost in 1949-50 (for 3 months)

2 Subordinate Education service Officers @ Rs.165/-p.m. in the scale of Rs.165-245 (3 x 165 x 2)	Rs. 990
1 Lower Division Clerk for each officer in the scale of Rs.45-90 (3 x 45 x 2)	270
1 Peon for each officer in the scale of Rs. 18-25 (3 x 18 x 2)	108

1,368

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
 The Director of Public Instruction
 Government of Madras
 Madras
 28-11-49

There are at present 26 Basic Training Schools and 25 Basic Schools in the Province. Of these 17 Basic Training Schools and 15 Basic Schools are located in the Northern Districts (Madurai, Tirunelveli, and Karaikal) and 9 Basic Training Schools and 10 Basic Schools are situated in the Tamil, Malabar, and Coorg Districts.

The Basic Training Schools are in charge of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, and the Basic Schools are in charge of the District Officers. The Basic Training Schools are also in charge of the District Officers. The Basic Training Schools are also in charge of the District Officers.

The purpose of the Basic Training Schools is to provide training for the District Officers. The purpose of the Basic Schools is to provide education for the children of the District Officers. The Basic Training Schools are also in charge of the District Officers.

The cost of the Basic Training Schools is Rs. 1,65,245 (2x 202 1/9 x 12). The cost of the Basic Schools is Rs. 1,65,245 (2x 202 1/9 x 12). The cost of the Basic Training Schools is Rs. 1,65,245 (2x 202 1/9 x 12).

Ultimate cost:

- 2. Subordinate Education Service Officers on Rs. 165-245 (2x 202 1/9 x 12)
- 2. Lower Division Clerks on Rs. 45-90 (2 x 67 1/2 x 12)
- 2. Peons on Rs. 18-25 (x 2 x 22 x 12)

Rs.

4,851	50954	1032
1,620	1620	576+120
528	528	528+656+120
6,999	7,243	2,064
	9,307	1032
	9,699	
	15,500	1032

or Rs. 7,000/-

I request the favour of early orders.

Yours faithfully,

R. V. Rudrappaswami,
 28.11.49.
 For Director of Public Instruction

Memo No. 93319 B2/49-2 Edn., dt. 2/12/49

Sub:- Post of Asst. Basic Edn., Officer
Ref:- Lr. from the D.P.I. No. 1358 M/49 dt. 26-11-49

Very urgent

The D.P.I. is requested to report whether ^{the} Junior Dy. Inspector of schools ^{are} appointed for the inspection of Basic Schools in ^{the} Coimbatore Belt area will not be able to assist ^{the} Basic Edn. Officer at H.qrs. in the inspection of ^{other} Basic schools and whether ~~an addl.~~ ^{are} addl. officer ^{is} really necessary.

2. The Director is also requested to consider the point whether it may not be possible for the regular inspecting officer of the Edn., dept., ^{including the DEG} to inspect Basic schools within ^{the} jurisdiction, thereby avoiding the need for the creation of ^{the} addl. posts proposed.

3. Information should ^{also} be furnished whether the Basic Edn. Officer himself ~~should~~ inspect ^{the} Basic trg. schools and if so, how the inspection is ^{made} ~~done~~.

4. The Dir. is requested to re-examine the question more fully and substantiate the need for the ~~creation of~~ ^{the} two posts proposed with detailed

To The D.P.I.
Rk 2/12

6/12/49
6/12/49
7/12/49


EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras-6.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.



R.C.No.1358-M/49 dated 5th January 1950.

SUB: BASIC EDUCATION - Posts of Assistant Basic
Education Officers - Creation of.

* * *

REF: Government Memorandum No.93319-B2/49-2 Edu-
cation dated 7th December 1949.

2. D.O. No.23769-B2/49-13 Education dated
24th September 1949.

Before offering my remarks on the points raised in
the above Memorandum, I have to invite the attention of Govern-
ment to paragraph 5 of the D.O.No.23769-B2/49-13 Education dated
24--9--1949 from the Secretary to Government, wherein it has
been suggested that a separate inspecting staff for Basic Schools
should be provided immediately and the Director has been asked
to submit necessary proposals in this behalf. The proposal for
the creation of two Assistant Basic Education Officers submitted
in my letter R.C.No.1358-M/49 dated 26-11-1949 is in accordance
with the above suggestion.

2. The Basic Education Officer, Madras was attending to
the inspection of Basic Training Schools in the earlier stages
but not of the Basic Schools. During 1948-49, he has paid 46
visits to Basic (and Elementary) schools and 25 visits to Training
Schools; he has also inspected 8 Basic Training Schools. During
1949-50, he has paid 16 visits to Basic Schools and 14 visits to
Training Schools and has inspected one Basic Training School.
His administrative work with reference to the responsibilities
entrusted to him in paragraph 2 of G.O.No.1331 Education dated
26--5--1948, has now increased considerably and it is represen-
ted by him that it is no longer possible for him to do inspection
of Basic.....

of Basic Training Schools though he will, continue to visit Basic education institutions to ensure efficiency. He represents that in view of the special responsibilities attached to his post, a comparison with the work of the average District Educational Officer (who while supervising and controlling 600 to 700 elementary schools and visiting a large number of them during the year actually inspects nearly 30 Secondary, Training and Special Schools) is not possible. It is presumably with this view that Government have ordered me to submit proposals for a separate inspecting staff in the D.O. letter referred to.

3. With reference to the query whether the Junior Deputy Inspector of Schools appointed for the inspection of Basic Schools in the Coimbatore belt area will not be able to assist the Basic Education Officer at headquarters in the inspection of other Basic Schools, I have to state that the Junior Deputy Inspector is already inspecting all the Basic Schools in the Coimbatore district and his status does not justify an extension of his jurisdiction any further.

4. In paragraph 2 of the Government Memorandum the point is raised whether it may not be possible for the regular inspecting officers of the Education Department to inspect Basic Schools within their jurisdiction. Experience has shown that this arrangement is unworkable. Out of the 235 basic schools now existing, only 53 have shown some income through craft work. The remaining schools are all handicapped for various reasons and it is not possible to locate the responsibility on officers who have neither training nor experience in Basic Education work. Therefore, in the initial stages separate arrangements have to be made to tend these pioneering institutions and guide their working. That is why the creation of two Assistant Basic Education Officers has been recommended as a temporary measure for two years only. The position can be reviewed at the end of two years and similar officers created to assist the Basic Education Officer of the Andhra area. The statistics required in

~~-3- 11 7~~

15

R. V. Rudrappaswami,
9.1.50
Director of Public Instruction

Ram.9/1/1950.

for Dir

1.9/1/1950.

9-1-50

Enter
in selection
list

12/1

$$\frac{004}{121150}$$

Sub:- BASIC EDN., Creation of two posts of Asst. Basic Edn., Officers.

The D.P.I. has recommended the employment of two Asst. Basic Edn., officers in the current year for the reasons explained in the letter in c.f.. The extra cost for 3 months in the current year is estimated to Rs. 1400/- while the recurring cost is Rs. 7,000/-

Besides ^{the} two officers, one at the H.Qrs, and the other at Kakinada, there is a Junior Dy. Inspector of School, at Perianaickenpalayam, to inspect the Basic Schools in the Coimbatore ^{belt} ~~blot~~ area.

1. The proposal may be accepted and the file sent to Finance for remarks.

g
1/12/49

mm
1/12/49

2/12/49 *Fin.*

E.P.H. W.W.
93319 B2/49-1

2-12-49



para 3 pic F

pic F

cf p13cf g (B)

cf 2 on 1/12/49
of (B)

A above

M on p10 F

Finance (E.III)

Please see the note of E & P.H. above.

The proposal relates to the employment of two Assistant Basic Education Officers in the grade of Senior Deputy Inspector of Schools on Rs. 165-245 with ^{one L.D. clerk and one peon for each of these officers as also proposed} their headquarters at Cuddalore and Palghat. There are at present two Basic Education officers, one for the Southern districts and the other for the Northern districts, with their headquarters at Madras and Kakinada respectively. The Basic Education Officer at Madras is a gazetted officer, and it is presumed that the Basic Education Officer at Kakinada is also on a gazetted status. In addition to these two officers, there is a Junior Deputy Inspector of Schools at Perianaickenpalayam to inspect the Basic Schools in the Coimbatore belt area. It is stated that the Basic Education Officer, Madras has to do administrative work in the Director of Public Instruction's office as he has been vested with the administrative responsibility of Basic Schools and Basic Training Schools in the whole

province. It is also stated that the volume of work of the Basic Education Officer, Madras has become heavy since he has also to visit places for selecting centres for future expansion etc. The present proposal is therefore made with a view to give relief to the Basic Education Officer, Madras and make the inspection and control of Schools more effective. The extra cost involved works out to Rs. 9,500/- per annum.

2. Prior to the issue of orders in the G.O. at (B), the Basic Education officer, Madras was on a scale of pay of Rs. 165-245 (i.e. scale admissible to Senior Deputy Inspector of Schools). In October 1947, the Director of Public Instruction represented that the Basic Education Officer, Madras, who was then treated as a member of the Subordinate Educational Service, had jurisdiction over all the basic schools in the Tamil districts, ~~and in~~ ^{and} ~~addition~~, unlike the Basic Education Officer for the Telugu districts, ^{he} had to advise the Director on all matters relating to Basic Education. As the Basic Education officer, Madras had to meet District Education Officers and the Inspectress etc., and discuss with them proposals for starting new schools etc, and as he was only a member of the Subordinate Educational Service, the Director of Public Instruction recommended the raising of the status of the Basic Education Officer from Non-gazetted to gazetted, in order to give him sufficient authority and status. It was also stated that with the raising of the status, the Basic Education Officer, Madras would have a free hand and independent status to do basic Education work. The Government approved the suggestions of the Director of Public Instruction and orders were issued accordingly in G.O. No. 1331 Education dated 26-5-48. From the above, it ^{may be seen} ~~is clear~~ that the post of Basic Education Officer, Madras was raised from Non-gazetted to gazetted rank only for entrusting the administrative responsibility of Basic Schools to the Officer, and the latter mentioned factor is not an additional phenomenon. It is therefore not clear how the work ~~of~~ the Basic

Education Officer who was doing the same work till May '48 though in a subordinate capacity, could have increased soon after he was elevated to gazetted post. This factor can be admitted only, if the number of basic Training Schools opened in Tamilnad after 26-5-48 has increased greatly in comparison with the number of schools existing on that date. There are at present 36 Basic Training Schools and 235 Basic Schools in the Province. The Basic Education Officer, Kakinada is in charge of 12 Basic Training Schools and 57 Basic Schools, whereas the Basic Education Officer, Madras, is in charge of 24 Basic Training Schools and 178 Basic Schools. The number of institutions under the latter officer is twice the number in charge of the former officer, and consequently there is need for a Second Officer. But E & P.H. Department have stated that there is already a Junior Inspector of Schools at Perianaickenpalayam to inspect the Basic Schools in the Coimbatore belt area. In spite of the administrative work of the Basic Education Officer at Madras, it should be possible for him and the Junior Inspector at Perianaickenpalayam, to allocate the work relating to ^{inspection in the} Southern Districts between themselves. The need for two more officers has not been justified at all. It is also not clear whether the regular inspecting officers of the Education Department like District Education Officer's, Senior and Junior Deputy Inspectors of Schools etc., will not inspect these basic schools and basic training schools ^{also} ~~etc.~~ and whether these institutions should be inspected by the Basic Education Officer alone, and ^{if it is the latter} ~~whether the inspection~~ ^{how many times in a year} ~~of these schools by the Basic Education Officer will be~~ ^{above} ~~annual~~. In the absence of ^{above} ~~the~~ information, it does not seem possible to estimate correctly the need for the additional staff proposed. E & P.H. Department may, therefore, be requested to obtain further information on the lines indicated above if they wish to press for proposal. They may also be requested to obtain information regarding the number of schools, both ordinary and

and training schools which an Inspecting officer of the status of District Educational Officer (gazetted) in the Education Department is normally expected to inspect in the course of a year, and examine ^{the} further need for the additional staff proposed in the light of these particulars.

A on p.3 ante.

Submitted that the regular Inspecting Officers in the Edn. dept. may not be able to inspect Basic schools. Officers in the Dept. who ^{have} received spl. trg. in Basic Edn., will alone be able to inspect these schools.

Basic Edn. officer is not expected to inspect the schools. He generally formulates plans for the expansion of the Basic Edn. in the Province. The need for separate officers for inspection of Basic schools is imperative.

B. on p.4 ante.

D.E.Os do not inspect B.E. schools. They generally inspect Secondary schools. No analogy can, therefore, be drawn.

2. For orders whether further reference be made to the Director on the points suggested by Fin. Dept. on the matter may be pressed in circulation.

Make a further reference and ask D.P. to give comparable figures of inspections done by officers in non basic schools.

A draft memo is submitted for approval

[Memo No. 93319 B2/48-2 dated 7/12/49]

Fin. D.O.
8/12/50
3.12.49

95027
DEO 1949

This cannot
absorb all his
time



Letter from the D.P. No. 1358-M/49 of 5.1.50.

The D.P. has clearly explained how the two posts of Asst Basic Edn officers are necessary. It has ^{the do. or ① 5 with reference to} ~~been~~ ^{minutes of H.M. in} ~~been~~ ^{another file} that a separate inspecting staff should be provided for Basic schools. In the circumstances the need for the Asst Basic Edn officers may be conceded. ^{the} file may be again sent to Fin for remarks, before circulation.

RM
20/1/50

MM
22/1/50 3/23/1

Finance (EIII)

(Pre Finance with on p.1-4 above)

The memo to the D.P. and ~~for~~ his reply to the same on p.5-11 c.f. and the notes above may be perused. From there it is observed that the point raised by this dept at X on p.2-3 above has not been answered. On the other hand it is observed from para 3 on p.9 c.f. that the Junior Dy. Inspector appointed for the ~~basic~~ inspection of the Basic schools in the Coimbatore area is already inspecting all the Basic schools in that district and ^{that} his status does not justify an extension of his jurisdiction any further. The D.P. has not stated that this Junior Dy. Inspector cannot undertake any more inspection work. It therefore seems that if his status is raised from that of Junior Dy. Inspector to that of Senior Dy. Inspector and his jurisdiction is also consequently increased then he can assist the Basic Edn Officer.

at the headquarters to a large extent in the inspection of these Basic Schools. If this is done, then the inspection work of the Basic Edn Officer will be reduced to the absolute minimum. The question of appointing ^{two} addl Asst Basic Trg Officers can also be avoided by this arrangement. E.H. Dept may be therefore be requested to examine this suggestion. 25/1/50

Sm
24.1.50
25.1.50

25/1/50

E.H.H

S.H.H (22)


With ref. to the ^{noting to} Fin. Dept, it is submitted that the Jr. Dy. Inspecting Schools at Perianaickenpalayam is inspecting only 17 Basic Schools functioning in the Coimbatore ^{belt} area. From the D.P.D.'s letter, it will be seen that there are over 200 Basic Schools scattered throughout the Province. It may not be either economical or possible for the inspecting officer stationed at Perianaickenpalayam to inspect all the Basic Schools in the Province, even though his status is raised to that of a Senior Deputy Inspector.

In the circumstances, ^{there} is a great ~~imperative~~ need for the separate officers, if the expenditure incurred from Provincial Funds on Basic Edn is not to go to waste.

A
25/1/50

2. In order, whether the matter should be pressed in circulation or whether further action should be taken w.r.t. the noting of the Fin. Dept.

SM
2/2/50 S



CA
93319 B709

10.11.50
1.11.50

50.86

I am inclined to agree with Finance. The Basic Education Officer, in the interest of his own administrative work, must come and inspect schools so that he may not become merely a secretarial drag.

H.N. (E.H.)


3/2

25/1/50

I don't agree. The Basic Education Officer has too much of administrative work in the Office to inspect the Basic Schools. I entirely agree with the foregoing. The recommendation may be accepted.

R.H.

4/2



The file may be circulated to H.D. (Fin) also.

SM
4/2/50 S
1/4 (Fin)
2

H.N. (Fin)

R.H.

15.2



S.H.H (2)

A draft order is submitted for approval. Finance may see before issue. The new post may be sanctioned for a period of one year to begin with.

25/1/50

SM
20/2/50 Finance



S.H.H (2)

NO 93319 B709-4
20/2/50

Finance (E.H.)

(Per Finance note on p 5-6 above)

The orders in circulation

on p 7 above and the draft order below may be

perused, and the case returned to E.H.H.

For v.o.
11875/11/11/1

23.2.50

DS


E.H.H.

23/2/50

E.H.H.

Issue

25/2/50



To

The D.P.I.

The A.G. thro' Fin.

8/c. 3

E. & P. H. Dept. (B2)

Basic Education - Asst. Basic Education Officers - Sanctioned.

From the D.P.I., No.1358-M/49, dated 26-11-1949.

order No. 621 dated 27.2.50

The Govt. sanction two posts of Asst.

Basic Education Officers in the Madras Educational Subordinate Service in a scale of pay of Rs.165-245 for a period of one year. Sanction is also accorded for a lower division clerk on Rs.45-90 and a peon on Rs.18-25 for each of the persons to be appointed as Asst. Basic Education Officers.

25/2/50

Sub: Expansion of Basic Education.
Ref: Your D.O.No.568-M/49, dated the 14th June 1949.

H.M.(E.& L.) discussed your proposals with the Basic Education Officer. He considers that the scheme of compulsory Basic Elementary education should be re-examined with reference to the income which can be derived from the sale of articles produced in schools. I am to ask you to furnish an estimate of the income that will be derived under the scheme with reference to the experience of last year.

2.It has been suggested that the subject 'Basic Education' should be dealt with by an officer not lower in rank than that of a Dy.D.P.I. that he should be solely in charge of Basic Education without entangling it with non-basic education and that he should be directly responsible to you. I am to ask you to examine the suggestion and make concrete proposals.

3.The Government desire that the entire allotment made under Basic Education in the Budget Estimate as detailed in the margin ** should be utilised and that no portion of it should be allowed to lapse. If there are any special difficulties in the utilisation of the provision you may make suggestions to overcome them. A quarterly report of the progress of expenditure may be sent, if the provision for construction of buildings for Government Basic schools cannot be utilised, it may be spent on the acquisition of necessary lands in the current year so that buildings may be constructed at least next year.

4.I am to ask you to furnish information about the number of new basic schools which may be opened in 1950-51. Particulars in regard to the schools and the provision required for them may be reported. It has been suggested that a separate inspecting staff for basic schools should be provided immediately. I am to ask to submit necessary proposals in this behalf.

5. The Govt.generally concur in the views expressed by you in your D.O.No.276-M/49, dated 6.6.49. They accept your suggestion that the concession regarding the supply of timber other than teak for construction of buildings for elementary schools may be extended to local bodies also if they agree to meet the entire cost of construction from the E.E. fund.

Yours sincerely,

(True copy)

EJ/- 20-1-1950.

**

- a) Subsidies to local bodies for construction of buildings for Basic schools - Rs.5 lakhs.
- b) Grants to private managements for construction of buildings for Basic schools - Rs.5 lakhs.
- c) Construction of buildings for Basic schools to be opened under Government - Rs.2 lakhs.
- d) Acquisition of lands with irrigation facilities for Basic training schools - Rs.1 lakhs.
- e) Subsidies to local bodies towards the equipment of basic schools - Rs.1.08 lakhs.
- f) Grants to private agencies for equipment of basic schools - Rs.1.08 lakhs.

Subj: Extension of Basic Education.
Ref: Your D.O. No. 588-442, dated the 14th June 1941.

H.M.(E.S.I.) discussed your proposals with the Basic Education Officer. He considers that the scheme of compulsory basic elementary education should be re-examined with reference to the income which can be derived from the sale of articles produced in schools. I am to ask you to furnish an estimate of the income that will be derived under the scheme with reference to the experience of last year.

2. It has been suggested that the subject 'Basic Education' should be dealt with by an officer not lower in rank than that of a Dy. D.P.I. that he should be solely in charge of Basic Education without entrusting it with non-basic education. I am to ask you to examine the suggestion and make concrete proposals.

3. The Government desire that the entire allotment made under Basic Education in the Budget Estimate as detailed in the margin ** should be utilized and that no portion of it should be allowed to lapse. If there are any special difficulties in the utilization of the provision you may make suggestions to overcome them. A masterly report of the progress of expenditure may be sent, if the provision for construction of buildings for Government Basic schools cannot be utilized, it may be spent on the acquisition of necessary lands in the current year so that buildings may be constructed at least next year.

4. I am to ask you to furnish information about the number of new basic schools which may be opened in 1940-41. Particulars in regard to the schools and the provision required for them may be reported. It has been suggested that a separate inspecting staff for basic schools should be provided immediately. I am to ask to submit necessary proposals in this behalf.

5. The Govt. generally concern in the scheme expressed by you in your D.O. No. 23702-44-13, dated 14-1-44. They request your suggestion that the construction of buildings for elementary other than task for construction of buildings for elementary schools may be extended to local bodies also if they agree to bear the entire cost of construction from the F.T. fund.

Yours sincerely,
(2nd copy)

SL/- 20-1-1950.

(1) Subsidies to local bodies for construction of buildings for basic schools - Rs. 5 lakhs.

(2) Grants to private management for construction of buildings for basic schools - Rs. 1 lakh.

(3) Construction of buildings for basic schools for Government - Rs. 2 lakhs.