EDUCATION [1950] DEPARTMENT

Press SERIES

①

Closed

G.O. No. 473 Education

DATED - 16 - 2 - 50

42034/5

(80)

[DEPATCH ABSTRACT]

War - Educational Concessions to the children and dependants of soldiers Extension of concessions to pupils detained in one & and the same class for more than one year due to illness — Orders

(2) C1

From
Sri K.+T.N. Nambiar, B.Sc.,
Asst. Director of Employment
Exchanges and Ex-officio
Secretary, Madras Provincial
Sailors', Soldiers' & Airmen's
Board, Madras. 6.

To
The Secretary to Government of
Madras,
Education and Public Health
Department,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Rc. 3662/49-C dated 30---8---49.

66994
2 - SEP 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH

Sir,

Sub: Educational concessions to children and dependants of soldiers.

Ref: (1) G.O. No. 873 Education dated 25-3-49.
(2) Letter No. 1825/49 dated 5-8-49 from the President S.Kanara D.S.S.&A Board.

J

A copy of the above quoted letter is forwarded herewith for a ruling as to the continuance of educational concessions to students who fail due to illness and reasons other than neglect of studies under circumstances beyond their control such as calamity in the family etc. not specified in G.O. No. 873 Education dated 25-3-49. The decision arrived at may kindly be communicated in due course.

Yours faithfully,

1-9.49
for Secretary.

Encl:1.

Copy to the President, S.Kanara D.S.S.&A Board for information with reference to his letter cited above.

1/9

21/

26/9

E & P.H (C1)

Endorsement 66994/49-1C1 Edn., dated 24-9-49

Referred to the D.P.I. for urgent Remarks

23/9/49

28/9/49

24/9/49

To
The D.P.I
(with copy of
H 1 + 3 of)

[illegible]

5/10

Copy of letter No. 1826/49 dated 5-8-49 from the Collector and President, D.S.S.&A Board, S.Kanara to the M.P.S.S.&A Board.

Sub: Educational concessions to children and dependants of Soldiers. 49

Ref: Your D.Dis. No. 2395/dated 31-5-49 forwarding G.O. No. 873, Education dated 25th March 1949.

The above Government order withdraws educational concessions to pupils who have not been successful in their examinations in the first year itself. There are cases of failures due to sickness of the students and some other calamity in their family. Such cases deserve educational concessions during their stay for the second year in the same class as their failures were not due to neglect of studies on their part.

It was unanimously resolved by the members of this Board during their last meeting held on 13th June 1949 that a request might be made to the Government regarding the continuance of educational concessions to students who fail due to reasons other than neglect of studies, andreasons beyond their control.

I, therefore request that this matter may kindly be taken up with the Government.

Sd. x x x x x
Collector & President
D.S.S.A Bd., S.Kanara.

/True copy/

1.9.49
Head clerk.

80
119

Education Department.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

5 NOV 1949

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

Roc.No.1958 W2/49 dated 29-10-1949.

85835

Sir,

WAR - Educational Concessions to children and dependants of soldiers - Extension of concessions to pupils who are detained in one and the same class for more than one year.

Ref: Govt.Endt. No.66994/49-1 Cl Edn., dated 24-9-1949

With reference to Government Endorsement cited above, forwarding for remarks copies of letters from the Collector and President, District Soldiers' Sailors' and Airmen's Board, South Kanara and the Secretary, Madras Provincial Sailors' Soldiers Board, Madras, I have the honour to state that the Retrenchment Committee contemplated the continuance of the concessions for the second year in case of to pupils, who stay in one and the same class on account of failure due to illness. The Government did not allow this provision - vide G.O.No.873 Edn., dated 25-3-49. I recommend that Government may be pleased to reconsider the question and allow the concessions for the second year in cases of failure due to proved illness subject to the production of a certificate to the effect that the pupil was absent from school for the whole or a part of the year on account of illness and had consequently to remain in the same class for a 2nd year. The other reasons suggested viz. calamity and other things beyond control, for purposes of granting these concessions, need not be accepted as they cannot be well defined.

Yours faithfully,

3/xi

for Director of Public Instruction.

KHR.1-11.

3/11

6

60

Education Department.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

15 NOV 1949

Roc.No. 1958 W2/49 dated 9-11-1949.

Sir,

8946

WAR - Educational Concessions to children and dependants of soldiers - Extension of concessions to pupils, who are detained in one and the same class for more than one year.

Ref: My letter Roc.No.1958 W2/49 dated 29-10-49.

In my letter referred to above, I recommended that the concessions may be allowed to students who stay in one and the same class due to proved illness subject to the production of a certificate to the effect that the pupil was absent from school for the whole or part of the year on account of illness and had ~~sub~~consequently to remain in the same class for a second year. My intention is that concessions may be allowed only to the pupils who were absent from school for the whole or part of the year resulting in Non-attendance at the Examination on account of illness and had consequently to remain in the same class for a second year.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

KHR.12-11.
12/11/49.

14/11

(6) 9

Edn. & P.H. Dept.(Cl)

MEMO NO. 66994/49-2Cl Edn., dated 17-11-49

26/18/11

H.5476

Sub: Educational concessions - Children and dependents of soldiers - Extension of concession to pupils who are detained in one and the same class for more than one year.

Ref: From the DPI, No. 1958 W2/49, dated 29-10-49 and 9-11-1949.

The D.P.I. is requested to state whether prior to the issue of orders in G.O.No. 873 Edn., dated 25-3-49, students who failed to secure promotion due to proved illness were allowed to retain the concession for a ~~period~~ third year also, with reference to instruction 3 (6) appended to G.O.No. 610 Edn., dated 20-4-1945. He is also requested to state why such cases of proved illness should not be considered on their individual merits and why general orders are necessary in view of the fact that the concession if generally granted is likely to be abused.

17/11/49

17/11

To
The D.P.I.

21/11

(7)

Education Department.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

4 DEC 1949

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.
Roc.No.1958 W2/49 dated 29-11-1949.

Sir,

Sub: WAR - Educational Concessions - Children and dependants of soldiers - Extension of concessions to pupils who are detained in one and the same class for more than one year.

Ref: Govt.Memo No.66994/49-2 C-1 Edn., dated 17-11-1949.

94874

With reference to the Memo cited above, I have the honour to state that there are no cases of the category referred to in the Government Memo in my office. It is not known whether there are such cases in subordinate offices.

2. In the circumstances stated, I have no objection to the suggestion that such cases of proved illness may be considered on individual merits. But such cases will not be put up for consideration unless it is known that consideration is permissible. Moreover I will have to address Government on every case.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

KHR.1-12.

12

Issue sub.
Take 6 copies.

14/2/50

Edn & P.H.Deptt.(C1

War - Educational concessions to the children and dependants of soldiers - Extension of concessions to pupils detained in one and the same class for more than one year due to illness - Ordered.

Read:- G.O.No.610 Edn., dated 20-4-45.
" 873 Edn., dated 25-3-49.
From the Madras Provincial Sailors', Soldiers' and Airmens' Board, Madras, Rc.3662/49-C dated 30-8-49.
From the D.P.I., No.1958 W2/49 dated 29-10-49, 9-11-49 and 29-11-49.

G.O.~~Ms~~.No. 473 Press Edn., dated 16.2.50

The Government direct that the educational concessions granted to the children and dependants of Defence Service Personnel which have been restricted to one year in each class, may be made available for a second year also in cases where students fail to secure promotion for want of the prescribed term days due to ill health. Each case will be considered on merits.

2. The proviso appended to this order may be added to sub-paragraph (6) of para 3 of the Appendix to G.O.No.610 Edn., dated 20-4-45, as subsequently amended.

25/2

To the Superintendent, Government Press.
,, Director of Public Instruction.
,, Secretary, Madras Provincial Soldiers', Sailors and Airmen's Board.
,, Commissioner for Government Examinations.
,, Collectors of all districts.
,, Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
,, Director of Agriculture. } through the Development Department
,, Director of ~~Veterinary Services.~~ Animal Husbandry }
,, Director of Industries and Commerce. }
,, Commissioner of Labour. }
,, Accountant-General, through the Finance Department.
,, Finance Department.
,, Development Department.
,, Revenue Department.
,, Board of Revenue.
,, Public (Military) Department.
,, Local Administration Department.
,, Public Works Department.

To the ~~Special Officers~~ Presidents of all district boards.
,, Commissioners of all Municipalities, through the Chairmen concerned.
,, Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.
,, Commissioner, Corporation of Madras, through the Mayor.
,, Government of India, Ministry of Education (18 copies).
,, Public Works Department (Information and Publicity).
,, Principal, Government College of Indian Medicine.
,, Assistant Director of Recruiting, Southern area, Poona.
,, Registrar, University of Madras.
,, Registrar, Annamalai University.
,, Registrar, Andhra University.
,, Secretary, Indian National Army Enquiries and Relief Committee, Narasingapuram, Mount Road, Madras.
,, Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of States (with 50 copies for communication to the States).
,, Joint General Secretary, the Indian National Army

4/2/50
4/2/50

5/2/50

Appendix

At the end of sub-paragraph (6) of para 3 of the Appendix to G.O.No.610 Edn., dated 20th April 1945, the following proviso shall be added:-

"Provided that in cases where the student fails to secure promotion to a higher class for want of the prescribed term days due to ill health, the concessions may be granted for a second year in the same class, each being decided on ~~if the Director of Public Instruction is satisfied about the~~ merits ~~of each case~~"

Not file (9)

Edn & P.H.Deptt.(C1).

p1 cf C.No.66994/49 C1, From the Asst. Director of Employment Exchanges and Ex-Officio Secretary, M.P.S.S.& A.Board, No.3662/49-C dated 30-8-49.

p5, 7 & 11 cf From the D.P.I., No.1958 W2/49 dated 29-10-49, ~~and~~ 9-11-49 and 29-11-49

War - Educational concessions - Children and dependants of soldiers - Extension of concessions to pupils who are detained in one and the same class for more than one year.

~~The Secretary of the Madras Provincial~~ Sailors' Soldiers' and Airmen's Board, in forwarding a copy of a letter from the Collector and President, D.S.S.A.Board, South Kanara, requests for a ruling whether Military personnel educational concessions to students who fail to secure promotion due to illness and for reasons other than neglect of studies and under circumstances beyond their control such as calamity in their family, etc., not specified in G.O.No.873 Edn., dated 25-3-49, might be continued for a second year also. From the letter of the President, South Kanara D.S.S. & A.Board, it is seen that there are cases of failures due to sickness of the students or some other calamity in their families. The Board, therefore, recommends that such cases deserve the continuance of the educational concessions during their second year study also in the same class ~~as~~ if their failures were not due to neglect of studies on their part. The Board unanimously decided that the educational concessions to students who fail to secure promotion due to reasons other than neglect of studies and reasons beyond their control, should be continued for a second year also. The D.P.I. reports that though the Retrenchment Committee recommended the continuance of the concessions for a second year also in case of pupils who fail to secure promotion due to illness, the Govt. did not agree to the proposal - vide order in G.O.No.873 Edn., dated 25-3-49. He recommends that the Govt. might reconsider the question and allow the concessions for the second year in cases of students who failed to secure promotion due to

p1 cf

p3 cf

(J)

p3 cf,

p5 cf

(J)

2

EC

proved illness subject to their producing certificates from the medical authorities. He states that other reasons viz., calamity and other things beyond control need not be taken into account for the continuance of the concessions as they cannot be well defined. The Director was asked to state whether prior to the issue of orders in G.O.No.873 Edn., dated 25-3-49 students who failed to secure promotion due to proved illness were allowed to retain the concession for a third year also and whether such cases of proved illness should not be considered on their individual merits ~~and why~~ without general orders ~~are necessary~~ being issued in view of the fact that the concession if ~~gener~~ally granted under general orders is likely to be abused. The further remarks of the D.P.I. are at p.11 c.f. He states that there are no such cases prior to the orders at (J). He points out that he has no objection to the suggestion that such cases may be considered on individual merits. but requests general orders to make consideration permissible. ~~Butxhexreportsxthatxunlessxitxisxstatedxthat He further states that such cases will not be put up for consideration unless it is known that consideration is permissible. For orders whether this department may suggest that an executive order may be issued that such cases of proved illness may be considered by Govt. on the individual merits.~~

~~The remarks of Finance may be invited u.o.~~

p 9 cf

(J)

Ibid

p 11 cf

14/12/49

While in his letter dated 29.x.49 the D.P.I recommended the grant of the Concessions for a Second year to pupils who failed to secure promotion on account of illness, in his letter dated 9.11.49 he recommends the grant of the Concession for a second year only to students who were detained for want of term days on account of absence from classes due to illness and not to those who failed to pass the examination. The difference is that a student who through bona fide illness is unable to put in the required number of term days is on a different footing than a student who fails to secure promotion for want of sufficient marks at the examination.

p5 cf

p7 cf

The recommendation of the D.P.I may be accepted.

Fin. may see for remarks.

13/12 | Fin

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
85383
15 DEC 1949
Exp C
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Ed P.M.U.O
6699/Fin-301
15-12-49

3

(10)

Fin (4 p C)

Please see the notes on pp1-2 above.

2 According to Rule (6) in para 3 in the Appendix to G.O. No. 610 Edn., dated 20.4.45, the concessions available to children and dependents of defence service personnel were to be withdrawn from those who stayed for more than two years in one and the same class but were to be regranted on promotion to the next higher class. The Retrenchment & Reorgn. Committee recommended that the concessions might be restricted, except in the case of illness, to pupils who stayed in one and the same class for one year only, the concession being granted on promotion to the next higher class. The Govt. decided that the concession should be restricted to one year in each class instead of two. The intention apparently was that no exception should be made in the case of pupils who stayed for more than a year in the same class on account of illness. The D.P.I. has now recommended that concessions may be allowed to pupils, who were absent from school for the whole or part of the year on account of illness resulting in non-attendance at the examination and consequent detention in the same class for a second year, subject to the production of a medical certificate. He has no objection to the suggestion that, instead of issuing general orders making the concessions available to all cases of detention on account of illness, individual cases of proved illness may be considered on merits. Ed P.A. support the D.P.I's recommendations.

(K)/pp 7-8

A on p1 cf. of (J)

B on p2 cf. of (J)

p7 CF.

of Rems. on p9 cf and para 2, p11 cf

Foot of p2 above

3 As the decision not to make a distinction between cases of detention due to illness and those due to other causes was taken by the Cabinet, the present proposal will have to be referred to

X on p20 CF. of (J)

the Cabinet. Finance may advise that orders in circulation including HM (Fin) may be obtained whether the previous decision of the Cabinet should be reconsidered.

22/12/49

22/12/49

Finance may advise that no change need be made in the present provision.

27/12/49

27/12/49 D/S.

Big concession will be given only once in every class, whatever the reason for detention in that class.

The D.P.I.'s recommendation may be accepted in Fin. But the matter should go before the Cabinet.

27/12/49

E 28.H (C1)

29 DEC 1949 10174

Fin. No. 85383/Sup C-1 27.12.49

The ~~question~~ file relates to the continuance of educational concessions for a second year to ~~sm~~ students who ~~were~~ are detained for want of term days ~~on account of~~ Consequent on their absence from classes due to illness. In G.O.No.873 Edn., dated 25-3-49, it was ordered that from the academic year 1949-50 educational concessions granted to children and dependants of Defence Services Personnel might be restricted to one year in each class instead of two ~~as at present~~ ~~from the academic year 1949-50~~, ~~but will~~ the concession being ~~be~~ granted on promotion to the next higher class.

The Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee ~~has~~ made the following recommendation prior to the issue of orders at Ⓙ

> "Concessions which are available now to pupils who stay for two years in one and the same class may be removed and restricted except in case of illness to pupils who stay in one and the same class for one year only, the concession being granted on promotion to the next higher class."

When the matter was placed before the Cabinet it

---

was decided as follows:-

> "Educational concessions granted to children and dependants of Defence Services Personnel may be restricted to one year in each class instead of two as at present, from the academic year 1949-50."

The D.P.I. now requests that the Govt. ~~might~~ may reconsider the ~~question~~ matter and allow the concessions for ~~the~~ a ~~xxxx~~ second year in cases ~~of~~ where students ~~who~~ failed to secure promotion due to proved illness subject to their producing certificates from the medical authorities. He has subsequently recommended that the grant of concessions for a second year may be granted only to students who were detained for want of term days on account of their absence from classes due to illness and not to those who merely failed to ~~pass~~ pass the examination. The difference is that a student who ~~though~~ through bona fide illness is unable to put in the required number of term days is on a different footing than a student who fails to secure promotion for want of sufficient marks at the examination. This department supported the proposal of the D.P. I.

Finance Department [redacted] accept the recommendation of the DP.I. but suggests that the matter should go before the Cabinet.

The case may be circulated for orders whether the ~~xx~~ recommendation of the D.P.I. for the continuance of the concessions for a second year also in cases ~~of illness~~ where students failed to secure promotion for want of term days due to illness may be accepted and whether the matter ~~should~~ may be placed before the Cabinet.

3/1/50

5/1/50

7/1/50

H.M. (Edn)

H.M. (Fin)

H.P.M.

6 ~~5~~ 4

May agree that war service concessions, which have been restricted to one year in each class, may be extended to two years, in cases where the student is prevented from illness to maintain the prescribed term days.

Finance consider that this may be placed before the Cabinet, but as the relaxation is a natural one, and will have a small financial effect, this does not appear very necessary

H-N (E & L) ✓
H-N (Fin) ✓
H-Cr ✓

21.1

24-1-50

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT. 24 JAN 1950 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft order is submitted below for approval. Finance may see before issue.

4/2/50

5/2/50

S 6/2 Fin

Ed8-NUO 66994/49-1(C) 6-2-50

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 7 FEB 1950 8458 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Fin (Ex.f.c)

(Previous Finance note on pp 3-4 above)

The orders in circulation above and the draft order below may be perused.

11/2/50

D/S.

11-2-50

13/2/50

1140 14 FEB 1950

Issue

14/2/50

E 20.4

Fin 8458 Ex.f. 13-2-50

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

12

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction, MADRAS,
Dated: 20th March 1950.

R.O.C. No. 1958-W.2/49

ASSISTANT SECRETARY 25 MAR 1950 4448 EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Sir,

Sub: War – Educational Concessions to children and dependants of soldiers – Extension of concession to pupils detained in one and the same class for more than one year due to illness.

...

Ref: Government Order Press No. 473 Education dated 16th February 1950.

p13 (S)

...

With reference to Government Order quoted above I have honour to state that in my letters R.O.C. No~~s~~. 1958-W.2/49 date 29.10.1949 and 9.11.1949, I recommended that the concessions ma be allowed to students who stay in one and the same class for t second year due to proved illness and consequent inability to attend the examination. The intention was to allow the concessions only to pupils who were absent from school for the whole or part of the year resulting in the non-attendance at the examination on account of illness and had consequently to remai in the same class for the second year.

p57 (S)
p74 (S)
for want of term days

2. In the Government Order quoted above there is no men tion about the attendance at the examination.. The condition i the Government Order is "failure to secure promotion for want of the prescribed term days due to ill-health."

3. This will cause hardship to the students who have pu in the required term days, but were unable to attend the examina tion due to sickness and were consequently detained. I reques therefore, the favour of orders of Government whether the concessions may be allowed to students who were absent from school for the whole or part of the year resulting in the non-attendance at the examination on account of illness and had consequently to remain in the same class for the second year.

4. I request the favour of early orders of Government in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

k.v.s./21-3.

13

6 ~~5~~ 9/~~8~~

May agree that war service concessions, which have been restricted to one year in each class, may be extended to two years, in cases where the student is prevented from illness to maintain the prescribed term days.

Finance consider that this may be placed before the Cabinet, but as the relaxation is a natural one, and will have a small financial effect, this does not appear very necessary

10/1

H.M. (E & L) ✓

H.M. (Fin) ✓ 21.1

H.C. ✓ 24.1.50

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT. 24 JAN 1950 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft order is submitted below for approval. Finance may see before issue.

S.R. 4/2/50

4/2/50

5/2/50

S 6/2 Fin

Ed.R.W.O. 66994/49-H(C) 6-2-50

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT FINANCE DEPARTMENT Expl 7 FEB 1950 8458

Fin (H.F.C.)

(Previous Finance note on pp3-4 above)

The orders in circulation above and the draft order below may be issued.

11/2/50 D/S.

11/2/50

13/2/50

11409 14 FEB 1950

Issue 14/2/50

E 20.11

Finance 8458 H.F. 13-2-50

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

9 ⑫

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction, MADRAS,
Dated: 20th March 1950.

R.O.C. No. 1958-W.2/49

ASSISTANT SECRETARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 25 MAR 1950 4448

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
M A D R A S.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, M A D R A S.

Sir,

Sub: War - Educational Concessions to children and dependants of soldiers - Extension of concession to pupils detained in one and the same class for more than one year due to illness.

...

Ref: Government Order Press No. 473 Education dated 16th February 1950.

p137 Ⓢ

...

With reference to Government Order quoted above I have honour to state that in my letters R.O.C. Nos. 1958-W.2/49 date 29.10.1949 and 9.11.1949, I recommended that the concessions ma be allowed to students who stay in one and the same class for t second year due to proved illness and consequent inability to attend the examination. The intention was to allow the concessions only to pupils who were absent from school for the whole or part of the year resulting in the non-attendance at the examination on account of illness and had consequently to remai in the same class for the second year.

p57 Ⓢ
p77 Ⓢ
for want of term days

2. In the Government Order quoted above there is no men tion about the attendance at the examination.. The condition i the Government Order is "failure to secure promotion for want of the prescribed term days due to ill-health."

3. This will cause hardship to the students who have pu in the required term days, but were unable to attend the examination due to sickness and were consequently detained. I request, therefore, the favour of orders of Government whether the concessions may be allowed to students who were absent from school for the whole or part of the year resulting in the non-attendance at the examination on account of illness and had consequently to remain in the same class for the second year.

4. I request the favour of early orders of Governmen in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

k.v.s./21-3.

9 22/3

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction, [illegible]
R.O.C. No. [illegible] Dated: [illegible]

From

The Director of Public Instruction,

To

The [illegible] to Government,
Education Department,
[illegible]

Sir,

Sub: War - Educational concessions to children and dependants of soldiers - Extension of concession to pupils detained in one and the same class for more than one year due to illness.

...

Ref: Government Memo. [illegible] Education dated [illegible]

...

With reference to Government memo quoted above, I have the honour to state that [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

2

Edn.
D.

⑬

Memo. No. 44~~5~~48/-2D. Edn., dated, 23-5-50.

War - Educational concessions to children and dependants of Soldiers - Extension of concession to pupils detained in one and the same class for more than one year due to illness.

30
24/5

PP. 1-2 N. 7.

Ref: G.O. No. 4448D/50-1. Edn., dated, 14-5-50.

The D. P. I. is requested to ~~Say~~ State when his reply to the reference cited may be expected.

19/5/50

20/5/5

23/5/50

To
The D. P. I.

25/5

D 3 (14) W.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St.George,
Madras.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
25 MAY 1950
12829
ASSISTANT SECRETARY

25/5

Rc. No.1958 W2/48 dated 19/5 /1950.

Sub:- War - Educational concessions to children and dependants of soldiers.

PP.1-2 N.7. Ref:- U.O.Note No.4448 D/50 I Education dated 14/4/50.

I have the honour to submit that so far as schools are concerned, the promotion of a pupil who does not attend the annual examination on account of illness, on the basis of his progress in the year is only a matter of discretion on the part of the Head Master. It is not obligatory on his part to promote such a pupil. In this view, the G.O. No.473 Education dated 16/2/50 requires an amendment as suggested in my letter Rc. No.1958 W2/49 dated 20/3/50.

Ⓢ 13 d.7.
P.1 ante

Yours faithfully,

For Director of Public Instruction.

Tsn. 22/5/

23/5 23/5

(15)

Edn.
D

Memo. No. 4448/50 – 3 D. Edn., dated, 28/6/

. War – Educational concessions to children and dependants of soldiers – Extension of concession to pupils detained in one and the same class for more than one year due to illness – Amendment to G.O. No. 473. Edn., dated 16-2-'50 – ~~D.P.I.'s~~ proposal – Not approved.

24
28/6.

Ⓢ/13 d.7.

P. 1 d.7. Ref: From the D.P.I., R.O.C. 1958 – W. 2/49, dated, 20-3-'50.

P. 3 d.7. From the D.P.I., Rc. No. 1958 W2/48, dated, 19-5-'50.

The D.P.I. is ~~intended~~ informed that the concession granted in G.O. No. 473 ~~210~~. Edn., dated, 16.2.50 ~~2-4-45~~, is intended to cover only such students as have to be detained for want of term days owing to ill health. The Govt. consider that ill health merely at the time of the annual examination is remediable and that if the student was good and had been doing well in the class, the mere fact that he could not write the annual examination need not ~~and~~ should not stand in the way of his promotion. They therefore consider that it will be wrong on the part of the Head Master if he does ~~did~~ not

2

not use ~~his~~ discretion to give the pupil ~~him~~ promotion. ~~The D.P.I. is therefore informed that~~ the orders in G.O. No. 473. Edn., dated, 16-2-'50, ~~no do~~ ~~need~~ do not therefore ~~require to be amended.~~ require revision.

21/6/50.

Clea
26.6.50

27/6/50

To
The D.P.I.

29/6

EDN.DEPT.(C).

Sub: WAR - Educational concessions to children and dependents of soldiers - Extension of concession to pupils detained in one and the same class for more than one year due to illness.

--

Ref: From the D.P.I., No.1958-W.2/49, dated 20-3-1950.

--

(S) (A) In his letter at p.5 c.f. of G.O. No. 473, Edn., dated 16-2-50, the D.P.I. recommended that the concessions admissible under G.O. No. 610 Edn., dated 20-4-45, might be granted to students who stayed ~~in one~~ for a second year in the same class in cases of failure due to proved illness subject to the production of a certificate to the effect that the pupil ~~who~~ was absent from school for the whole or part of the year on account of illness and had consequently to remain in the same class for a second year. (S) Subsequently in his letter on p.7 c.f. of the same G.O. he stated that his intention was that the concessions might be allowed only to ~~the~~ pupils who were absent ~~for~~ the whole or part of the year resulting in non-attendance at the examination on account of illness and had consequently to remain in the same class for a second year. ~~The Govt.~~ It was thought that the revised recommendation was to the effect that the concessions for a second year might be granted only to students who were detained for want of term days on account of their absence from classes due to illness and consequently could not sit for the examination having lost their term days and not to those who merely failed to pass the examination. Orders were issued accordingly in G.O. (S) No. 473, Edn., dated 16-2-50. The D.P.I. now points out that his intention was to allow the concessions only to pupils who were absent from school for the whole or part of the year due to illness resulting in the non-attendance at the examination and had consequently to remain in the same class for the second year. He says that the Orders in G.O. at flag (S) would cause hardship to students who had put in the required number of term days but who were unable to attend the examination due to sickness and were consequently detained. (A) If the student had put in the required number of term days and that ~~he would~~ was prevented just at the time of examination to sit for the examination due to ill health the promotion to the higher class the can be made by the Head of the institution if the progress of the boy during the course of the year was found to be satisfactory. Thus ~~it will~~ the failure due to the sickness would not prevent the head of the institution promoting the student if he had had the required number of term days and if he made the required progress in his studies during the year. On the other hand if the student, due to want of term days on account of illness/ has been detained in the same class ~~on account of departmental rules or university regulations~~ it is not in the power of the head of the institution to promote such students. The head of the institution should *detain* such boys for another year in the same class. It is to help such students that the orders in G.O. No. 473, Edn., dated 16-2-50 were passed.

A

(S)

2. The Director's statement at 'X' ~~above is not clear~~ in page 1 CF is not quite clear. It does not appear necessary to amend the orders in G.O. No. 473, Edn., dated 16-2-50 as desired by the Director. This may perhaps be sent to the D.P.I. u.o. for remarks.

2½

59
14/4

6/4/50.

12/4/50

D.P.I. u.o.

Despatch copy of this u.o. note

Edn u.o. no 4448D/50-1 Edn dt 14.4.50

Issued as U.O. No. 4448D/50-1, dated, 14-4-50.

d. No. 12829. From the D.P.I., Rc. No. 1958 W2/48, dated, 19-5-50.

P. 3 d. 7.

Please see the reply from the D.P.I to the W.O. reference above. He says that the promotion of the pupil who does not attend the annual examination due to illness but who has put in the required number of term days on the basis of his progress during the preceding year is only a matter of discretion ~~of~~ on the part of the head of the institution and that it is not obligatory on his part to promote such a pupil. The position taken up by the DPI is not clear. If the promotion of the type of student

p3cf

X

He should obviously promote the boy if the boy is fit for promotion even though he was prevented by illness from attending the annual examination.

Instruction 3(8) of G.O. 610 Edn 20.4.45

(9)

(9)

referred to at 'X' on the previous page by Head master of the institution is discretionary. Whenever a headmaster does not promote a student of the type referred to at X on the previous page inspite of the discretionary power vested in him it may be assumed that the conduct of the pupil which is an essential factor for the continuance of the education concession has not been satisfactory and it may be construed that the continuance of the educational concession to such a pupil is prohibited under ~~Rule~~ instruction 3.(8) of the ~~Rules~~ instruction issued under G.O No 610 Edn Dated 20.4.45. When it is not possible for the Headmaster of an institution who is able to assess the work of a pupil refuses to promote ~~the boy~~ the pupil when he has the discretionary power to promote him Govt need not incur additional expenditure by extending the educational concession to him for another year. The existing orders may stand and no revision is necessary ~~in orders~~. ~~This may be forwarded~~.

R.J.S.
12.6.50.

16/6/50

S.

I agree. The G.O. does not require to be amended. The concession is intended to cover only such boys as have to be detained for want of term days owing to ill health. Ill health merely at the time of the annual examination is remediable. If the boy was good and had been doing well in the class, the mere fact that he could not write the annual examination need not and indeed should not stand in the way of his promotion. It will be wrong on the part of the H.M. if he did not use his discretion to give him promotion. This may be pointed out to the D.P.I.

9.6.50.

Please see the orders of Secy. on page 3 ante. A draft memo. is subd. for approval.

21/6/50.

Cca
26.6.50

After issue the papers must be stitched with the relevant f/o.

27/6/50