Sectt. II-27—104,006—4-11-49.

Edu (1950) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3337

(Edu)

Dated 19/12/50

[Despatch Abstract.]

Appeal – Municipal High School, Mayuram – Sri S. Seshagiri, Headmaster – Recovery of money lost by theft in the School – appeal against the Commissioner's orders Rejected.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

②

R.c. No. C.1. 3821/50.

1435 G1/50

Municipal Office, Mayuram,
3rd May 1950.

8 - MAY

38038

From
Sri M.C. Daniel,
Commissioner,
Mayuram Municipality.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

C.162 B/50

Through

The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mayuram.

Sir,

In submitting herewith in original the appeal petition of Sri S. Seshagiri, B.A., L.T. Probationery Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad, I have to state that since I have already given my findings on the charges framed against the appellant in this office Proceedings No. 9354/49 dated 17--2--50, a copy of which is also submitted by the appellant himself, I do not propose to reiterate them here. With regard to new points raised in the appeal I have to state as follows:

As one who has had the opportunity of conducting the enquiry in the matter, I am satisfied that the theft in question took place not because there was no safety for the room in which the iron safe was kept, not because the management has not provided a terraced office room, but because of the admitted neglect of duty by the Headmaster in that he left every thing to the clerk Sri K. Arunajatesan, who in turn left every thing to the peon Sri E. Govindarajan. In fact this was also the opinion of the Police Officer who conducted the enquiry in the matter. So the Headmaster's plea of want of safety for the office room cannot hold water. I must repeat in this connection that had the Headmaster exercised some prudence and forsight as an ordinary man in the like circumstances would do, this theft would not have occurred.

2. If the appellant's plea that duplicate keys have been used to open the iron safe be true, I should say this has become possible, only by his admitted negligence in usually leaving the keys of the iron safe in the drawer of his table.

3. Prior to his appointment as Headmaster, the appellant was an L.T. assistant. He was appointed as Headmaster in the leave vacancy of the permanent incumbent from 1-7-49. His reference to the unblemished service looses all its force, when it is found that the incident in question happened on 22-9-49 within 2 months and 22 days of his joining duty as Headmaster, solely due to his neglect of duty and indifference.

4. As one who has to issue warrants, distraint properties moveable and immoveable of poor people who cannot afford to pay the municipal taxes - because I do not have any option in such matters - I could not reconcile myself in the circumstances of the case, with any proposal to write off this amount. After enquiry and perusal of the papers I was definitely of opinion that nothing shorter than out right reversion from the Headmaster's post would meet the ends of justice in the present case. But taking the most lenient view possible, I left him with a recovery. I wish the Headmaster has realised the gravity of the blunder committed by him and taken a new leaf from this incident instead of coining explanation in support of his admitted neglect of duty.

5. These facts were placed before the Appointment Committee with my office note dated 27-4-50 a copy of which is submitted herewith. A copy of the Appointment Committee's resolution No.4. dated 27-4-50 is also submitted. I therefore recommend that the appeal may be rejected as recommended by the Appointment Committee.

This office connected file bearing No. 9354/49 containing 230 pages Current File and 14 pages Note File has been submitted to the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Madras, along with the appeal petition of Sri E. Govindarajan, peon, Municipal High School, Koranad, with this office reference No. 1531/50 dated 21-3-50.

4-5-50

Commissioner.

s.r./3.5.50.

4-5-50

Copy submitted to the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Madras with a copy of my note dated 27-4-50 together with a copy of the resolution No. 4 dated 27-4-50 of the Appointment Committee. This office connected file 9354/49 may be submitted to the Secretary to Government, Education Department when done with.

**

Forwarded

Vice Chairman,
(in charge under Section 18(1) A of
the M.D.M. Act as amended).

4-5-50

::

(A)

Copy of Commissioner's note dated 27-4-50 ~~for~~ to the Appointment Committee.

--

H 19-21

I have already given my findings on the charges framed against Sri S. Seshagiri Iyer in this office Proceedings No. 9354/49 dated 17-2-50 and I do not therefore propose to reitrate them here. It may be kindly perused. As one who has had the opportunity of conducting the enquiry in the matter, I am satisfied that the theft in question took place not because there was no safety for the room in which the iron safe was kept, not because the management has not provided a terraced office room, but because of the admitted neglect of duty by the Headmaster in that he left every thing to the clerk Sri K. Arunajatesan, who in turn left every thing to the peon Sri E. Govindarajan. In fact this was also the opinion of the Police Officer who conducted the enquiry in the matter. So the Headmaster's plea of want of safety for the office room cannot hold water. I must repeat in this connection that had the Headmaster exercised some prudence and forsight as an ordinary man in the like circumstances would do this theft would not have occurred.

2. If the appellant's plea that duplicate keys have been used to open the iron safe be true, I should say this has become possible only by his admitted negligence in usually leaving the keys of the iron safe in the drawer of his table.

3. Prior to his appointment as Headmaster, the appellant was an L.T. assistant. He was appointed as Headmaster in the leave vacancy of the permanent incumbent from 1-7-49. His reference to the unblemished service looses all its force, when it is found that the incident in question happened on 22-9-49 within 2 months and 22 days of his joining duty as Headmaster, solely due to his neglect of duty and indifference.

4. As one who has to issue warrants, distraint properties moveable and immoveable of poor people who cannot afford to pay the municipal taxes - because I do not have any option in such matters - I could not reconcile myself in the circumstances of the case, with any proposal to write off this amount. After enquiry and perusal of the papers I was definitely of opinion that nothing shorter than out right reversion from the Headmaster's post, would meet the ends of justice in the present case. But taking the most lenient view possible, I left him with a recovery. I wish the Headmaster has realised the gravity of the blunder committed by him and taken a new leaf from this incident instead of coining explanation in support of his admitted neglect of duty.

Appeal has therefore to be rejected.

If the Appointment Committee is pleased to agree, the appeal petition may be forwarded to Government with the above remarks.

(Sd.) M.C. Daniel,
Commissioner.

/True copy/

Commissioner.
4.5

4.5.50

P.t.o.

Copy of Appointment Committee Resolution No.4. dated 27-4-50.

H 9-13 [illegible]

Sub: Read appeal petition dated 3-4-50 from Sri S. Seshagiri, B.A., L.T. assistant, Municipal High School, Koranad, to the Government of Madras, on the orders of the Commissioner in R.c. No. 9354/49 dated 17-2-50 regarding the theft of the school fees in the Municipal High School, Koranad and the office note thereon.

H 19-21 [illegible]

H 19-21 [illegible]

The ~~Commission~~ Committee after the perusal of the papers is satisfied that the punishment meted out to the appellant by the Commissioner in this office proceedings R.c. No. 9354/49 dated 17-2-50 is the most lenient possible in the circumstance of the case.

The Committee therefore requests that his appeal may be rejected.

(Sd.) S. Muthian Chettiar,
(Sd.) A.M. Ismail
(Sd.) M.C. Daniel.

/True copy/

Commissioner,
Mayuram Municipality.

s.r./3.5.50. 4/5 4.5.50

---

⑤ 11

N.Dis.365/49 dated 5-4-1950. Municipal High School, Koranad.

COMMISSIONER, MAYURAM MUNICIPALITY
RECEIVED
6 APR 1950
MAYURAM.

Submitted to the Commissioner, Mayuram Municipality.

Headmaster. 5.4.50.

k.5-4-1950.

Submit accordingly the G.O. on the subject. This to be submitted through the proper channel within a month from the date of its receipt. There should therefore be no delay.

6.4.50

Cl-2 46-382 1-50

8

HEADMASTER, MUNICIPAL HIGH SCHOOL, KORANAD.
RECEIVED.
5 APR 1950

(6)

9

From

S. Seshagiri B.A.,L.T.,
1st Assistant, Municipal High School, Koranad.

To

The Secretary,
Education Department,
Government of Madras, Fort St. George, Madras.

Through

The Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad; and

The Commissioner, Mayuram Municipality, Mayuram.

Sir,

Sub:-Municipal High School, Koranad - Theft of School Funds - Appeal against Commissioner's order.

I beg to submit the following appeal against the Municipal Commissioner's proceedings R.C. No. C.1. 9354/49 dated 17-2-50 a copy of which is herewith enclosed.

Pr 19-21 infra

C1

1. I was acting as Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad in the leave vacancy of Sri. T.P.Ramaswamy Iyer from 1-7-49 to 28-2-50. On 22-9-49, a sum of Rs.1112-3-0 was locked up in the school safe by the school clerk in the evening. Just then I was presiding over a staff meeting. At about 6-45 p.m. the staff meeting came to an end and I left the school at 7 p.m.

2. Information that the cash had been stolen was brought to me at 8-15 p.m. I duly informed the police and the Municipal Commissioner. The commissioner came immediately and 2 police constables also came.

3. My suspicions were strongly against the peon, E.Govindarajalu, who carried the news of the theft to me and who ought to have been there on duty all the time.

4. On 22-11-49 the following charges were framed against me by the Commissioner in his memo No.9354/49 dated 22-11-49.

P15 infra

1. That I had failed to check and verify whether the locks of the iron safe had been properly secured immediately after keeping the un-remitted cash in the safe.

p.t.o.

2. That there was a pecuniary loss of Rs.1112-3-0 caused to the Municipal Council on 22-9-49 by my negligence and breach of rules and

3. That I had allowed an outsider to do the duties of a peon in the school generally and specially on 22-9-49.

5. I answered the charges and the commissioner has ordered that out of the sum of Rs.1112-3-0, a sum of Rs.800-15-0 be recouped from my salary.

6. I submitted in my reply that though I had not verified and checked whether the locks of the iron safe had been properly secured on the particular day, it was due to circumstances peculiar to the day in question. Further trusting my own clerk, who had furnished a cash security for dealing in cash will not amount to a gross neglect of my duties. Because of the staff meeting I was prevented from being present personally to supervise the depositing of cash. I went away trusting my clerk who said he had seen to the safe depositing of the cash and the locking of the safe.

7. In this connection I would respectfully point out that the school does not possess a safe room as is contemplated by the rules. The Commissioner's remark that my representation about the lack of the safe room is an afterthought, is not correct; for my predecessor in office had been writing to the management to provide a safe place for the office room, the laboratory and the library. There was no time for me to make any reference about it, since the theft occurred just 2¼ months after my taking charge of the office as headmaster. Further the remark that the iron safe was not broken open by any body has to be accepted with reservations. It is true that there were no marks of violence on the safe. But the lock of the room was broken open and the outer brass lock of the safe was not to be found. It is likely it was also broken open and thrown away somewhere. Expert opinion seems to favour the manufacture of a duplicate key in course of time. If this key had been used, then there would have been no necessity to break open the safe. Hence I submit that a duplicate key ought to have been used and that the loss was due mainly to theft and a well thoughtout plan to commit the theft.

p.t.o.

8. As ~~per~~ for the charge that I allowed an outsider to be on duty generally and particularly on that day, I submit that the person referred to was a games peon till 13th September and that he was being employed on daily wages to do work in the games grounds. He was employed likewise on that day. A request for the increase of menial staff was not complied with. In view of our being under-staffed, Kuppuswami, the person referred to was allowed to assist the peons, as he had acted as ~~our~~ our games peon for 2½ months. Further he was engaged on that particular day to do work in the grounds. Hence I submit that I had not wantonly failed in my duty in allowing this Kuppuswami to assist our peons.

9. I have put in an unblemished service of about 25 years in this municipality. Hence I pray that in view of the representations made by me, the government may be pleased to take a sympathetic view of my case and cancel the order of the Commissioner that an amount of Rs.800-15-0 be recouped from my salary.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

S. Seshagiri

Mayuram,

3--4--50.

Encl. 1. Copy of Memorandum of the Commissioner R.C.No.9354/49 D/22-11-49
2. Copy of the reply submitted to the Commissioner for the charges framed
3. Copy of the proceedings of the Commissioner R.C.No. C.1 9354/49 dated 17-2-50.

R [illegible]. No. 9354/49

Municipal Office, Mayuram
22nd November 1949.

Memorandum.

Sub:- Funds-School fees-Municipal High School, Koranad-Theft-Charges Framed.

Ref: 1. Report dated 22-9-49 of the Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad and this office memo. No. 9354/49 dated 23-9-49, and

2. Detailed report dated 26-9-49 from the Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad.

The Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad, in his report dated 22-9-49 stated that a sum of Rs.1112-3-0 has been stolen, at about 8 p.m. on 22-9-49. Again in his detailed report dated 26-9-49 regarding the theft of school fees amounting to Rs.1112-3-0 he has stated that he was attending the staff meeting on 22-9-49 till 6-45 p.m and that the clerk told him at about 5-45 p.m. that he had the collections of the day carried in two bags to the safe. It is clear from the report that the Headmaster has not verified the statement of the clerk, and that he was not present at the time of placing the money in the iron safe and he did not actually verify to see that the iron safe was properly locked or not.

2. The Headmaster also reported that one of the two peons E.Govindarajalu should have remined in the school till he was relieved by another peon Vaithilingam. He also states that Govindarajalu has left the school leaving one Kuppuswami to be in charge of the school, who although not a municipal servant was allowed by the Headmaster even according to his report to assist the other peons. The fact that the Headmaster recognised the assistance of the peons' duties by Kuppuswami resulted in Govindarajalu's leaving the premises putting Kuppuswami in charge.

3. The above facts clearly show the negligence of the Headmaster in consequence of which, there was loss to the municipal funds.

4. The following charges are therefore framed against Sri. S. Seshgiri Iyer, Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad.

(1) that he has failed to check and verify whether the locks of the Iron safe have been properly secured immediately after keeping the unremitted cash in the Iron safe;

(2) that there was a pecuniary loss of Rs.1112-3-0 caused to the Municipal Council on 22-9-49 by his negligence and breach of rules; and

(3) that he has allowed an outsider to do the duties of a peon in the school generally and specially on 22-9-49.

He is therefore called upon to show cause in writing within 10 days from the date of receipt of this memorandum why the sum of Rs.1112-3-0 being the loss of municipal funds caused by his negligence should not be recovered from him. He is also required to show cause why his name should not be removed from the panel of Headmasters. He is also required to state whether he desires to be heard in person. A copy of the questionnaire is also sent herewith. He will submit the questionnaire duly filled, within 10 days with the explanation. If the explanation is not submitted within the stipulated time, it will be presumed that he has nothing to offer, and the matter will be further proceeded with and final orders passed on the merits of the case.

Sd. R.Jagadisan,
Commissioner.

To Sri. S.Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster, Municipal High School, Korand.

(True copy)

R.C. No.365/49 dated 4-12-49. Municipal High School, Koranad.

From
Sri.S.Seshagiri B.A.,L.T.
Headmaster, Municipal High School,
Koranad, Mayuram.

To
The Commissioner
Mayuram Municipality,
Mayuram.

Sir,

Sub: Funds-School Fees-M.H.School,Koranad-Theft-reply submitted for charges framed.
Ref:- Your memorandum R.C.No.9354/49 dated 22-11-49. 215 ante

---

In reply to your memorandum R.C. No.9354/49 dated 22-11-49, I beg to submit the following reply to the charges framed by you against me.

1. I admit that I did not check and verify whether the locks of the iron safe had been properly secured after the cash was deposited in it. But this was under circumstances peculiar for the day in question. There was a meeting of the staff of the school which began at 5 p.m. and continued up to 6-45 p.m. on the subject of the Quarterly Examination. I had necessarily to be there, being the President, till it was over. After the meeting was over I called the clerk and asked him as to what he had done with the fee collections of the day and he replied that he had already put the money in the safe. I made sure of the position by asking him if he had seen whether the locks had been properly fastened to which he replied in the affirmative.

I may state in this connection that as a matter of fact it was visible that the room in which the safe was placed, was closed and properly locked. It could be seen therefore that actually by the time I came out of the meeting the money was placed safely inside the iron safe and the room was locked. Having ascertained from the clerk more than once that the money was safely put away in the iron safe and having had the visibility that the outer room was locked, I had to come to the natural and irresistible conclusion that everything was safe and so I left the premises.

2. I admit that the school has suffered a loss of Rs.1112-3-0 by the outer lock of the safe room being broken and iron safe burgled.

I have to state in answer to this charge that the loss arose not on account of my negligence but due to causes which were beyond my my control. Above all it was not a case of ordinary theft but a case of house breaking and burglary which has baffled even the police. The investigating officer whose evidence I am letting in separately will reveal that the loss is not due to my negligence as averred to in the charge memo.

M 3. It is ture that on the day in question Kuppuswamy was not on the regular staff of the school. He acted for Vadivelu as the Games Peon from 1-7-49 to 13-9-49. From 14-9-49 he continued to come to the school and do odd jobs in the Games ground for wages in the expectation that Vaithilingam would once again take leave and he would be appointed as the Games peon. After all Govindarajalu, the peon left the school apparently for a short time leaving Kuppuswamy to look after his work for the time. I had no suspicion that this would result in any serious loss to the school.

For all the above reasons I submit that morally I have not done anything which will affect myintegrity or character and as to the ~~xxxg~~ charge of negligence, I submit that in the special circumstances set ~~xxxxxh~~ forth above I have not been negligent. I therefore submit that there are no sufficient grounds for punishment.

Sd. S.Seshagiri
Headmaster.

True copy.

Proceedings of the Commissioner, Mayuram Municipality.
Present: Sri. M.C. Daniel.
R.C.No. C.1. 9354/49 dated 17th February 1950.

p 15 ante

Read:- (1) This office Memorandum No.9354/49 dated 22-11-49 framing charges against Sri. S. Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad, in connection with the theft of School fees.

(2) His explanation dated 4-12-49 thereon. – p 17 ante

(3) His statement recorded at the judicial enquiry on 12-1-50.

(4) Other connected records.

O r d e r.

Sri. S. Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad in his letter dated 22-9-49 reported that a sum of Rs.1112-3-0 has been stolen from the school on 22-9-49. ~~reported that a sum of R~~ Thereupon he was asked to submit a detailed report in the matter. As the detailed report received from him on 27-9-49 disclosed certain serious irregularities on the part of the Headmaster in consequence of which the loss in question has occurred the following charges were framed against him in this office Memo. No.9354/49 dated 22-11-49. p 15 ante

1. That he has failed to check and verify whether the locks of the iron safe have been properly secured immediately after keeping the unremitted cash in the iron safe.

2. That there was a pecuniary loss of Rs.1112-3-0 caused to the municipal council on 22-9-49 by his negligence and breach of rules, and

3. That he has allowed an outsider to do the duties of a peon in the school generally and specially on 22-9-49. He was also called upon to show cause in writing why the sum of Rs.1112-3-0 being the loss of municipal funds caused by his negligence should not be recovered from him and why his name should not be removed from the panel of headmasters.

The explanation to the charges was received from him on 4-12-49 and a judicial enquiry was conducted by me on 12-1-50 and on 23-1-50.

With regard to charge No.1 the Headmaster himself admitted that he did not check and verify whether the locks of the iron safe had been peoperly secured after the cash was deposited in it. His statement that this was under circumstances peculiar for the day in question and that he had necessarily to be at the staff meeting which was held from 5 p.m. to 6.45 p.m. on that day, cannot be accepted. In fact he could have and he should have done the verification at least after the staff meeting was over. This he did not do. I therefore hold that the charge has been proved.

With regard to charge No.2. the Headmaster admitted that the double lock system was not followed till the date of the said incident and that it was usual for him to leave the keys of the iron safe in the drawer of his table after the money was deposited in the iron safe and in that on the date of the incident in question the keys of the iron safe were carelessly left in the drawer of his table and that he did not actually verify the locking arrangement of the iron safe. To say the least, his statement that he was able to see that the room in which the iron safe was placed was closed and properly locked and that he satisfied himself by enquiring of the clerk about the money kept in the iron safe, only betrays his culpable negligence in the discharge of his duties as Headmaster and his utter lack of a sense of responsibility. It is not known how he could have seen that the money was deposited safely in the iron safe without opening the room and actually seeing the money in the iron safe. His statement that the office room in which the money was placed did not possess the safety required under the rules, I should consider an after thought and it cannot help him particularly when as admitted by himself he did not make any reference about it at any time during the period when he was in charge of the school. In fact an iron safe was already provided for the school and the theft in question

p.t.o.

question took place not because of the want of safety for the room but because of his culpable neglect, and this will be self evident from the fact that the iron safe itself was not broken open by any body. I therefore hold that the charge No. II has also been proved.

With regard to charge No. III the Headmaster admitted that on the day in question, Kuppuswami was not on the regular staff of the school. In his statement given at the judicial enquiry, Kuppuswami stated that the Headmaster handed over the keys of the office room and the outer iron gate to him when he left the school at 7 p.m. and allowed Kuppuswami who was unconnected with the school, to be on the night watch. Here also the Headmaster neglected his duties. I therefore hold that the charge No.III also has been proved.

From the above it will be seen that all the charges have been proved to the hilt. Whatever might be the extenuating circumstances the Headmaster might plead, the facts remain as indicated above and I am satisfied that even the elementary precautions against a possible theft or burglary were not taken on the date of the incident in question and that the Headmaster was culpably negligent in the discharge of his duties and that the loss in question is traceable to this neglect and nothing else. The fact that the money was stolen without breaking open the iron safe also proves the above conclusion beyond any doubt.

As the loss has been occasioned by the negligence of the Headmaster clerk and the peon against whom charges have been framed, the amount has to be recovered from them. In fixing the liability, however, as regard has to be had to the disparity on the salary of the three persons responsible as per circular D.Dis.1981/42 dated 18-5-42 of the Deputy Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Southern Range, Tiruchirapalli, it is hereby ordered that a sum of Rs. 800-15-0- being the proportionate amount of loss worked out with reference to his salary will be recovered from Sri. S. Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad in 20 instalments at the rate of Rs.40/- per mensem. In the special circumstances of the case, I consider that no further punishment is necessary. It is very unfortunate that this should have happened in the school when a probationery Headmaster was in charge of it and I hope he will be very careful in the discharge of his duties at least in future.

Sd. M.C. Daniel.
Commissioner.

To Sri. S. Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad.

True copy.

R.c. No. C.1. 3821/50 9

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
24294
18 AUG 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Municipal Office, Mayuram,
16th August 1950.

From
Sri M.C. Daniel,
Commissioner,
Mayuram Municipality.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through
The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mayuram.

Sir,

Sub: Establishment - Municipal High School, Koranad - Theft of School fees - Sri S. Seshagiri Iyer, Ex-Headmaster, appeal against the orders of the Commissioner.

Ref: This office reference No. 3821/50 dated 3--5--50.

I request the favour of early orders on this office reference quoted above.

s.r./17.8. 17/8/50.

17/8/50
Commissioner.

Forwarded

17.8.50
Chairman,
Municipal Council, Mayuram.

~~24~~

(14)

~~25~~

Edn Deplt (F)

From the Commissioner, Mayuram ~~Chirala~~ Mlty. ROC No. C1. 3821/50 ~~3076-50~~ d/16/8/50

~~Examination~~ Establishment - Ml. High School, Koranad - Theft of School fees - Sri S. Seshagiri Iyer, ex headmaster - appeal

M.C.

BW 29/8

2/8 27/8

Memo No 24294/F./50-1 Edn d 29/8/50

The D.P.I. is requested to state whether the letter of the Commr Mayuram Mlty cited above has been received by him and if so, when it will be forwarded

P.T.O.

26

to Secr. with his remarks.

Dy
26/8

28-8-50

To
The D.P.I.

30/8

15

27

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION MADRAS.
R.C.No. 1435 G1/50 dated 18--9--50.

Sub: MUNICIPAL COUNCIL--Mayuram--Sri. S. Seshagiri, Headmaster, Municipal High school Koranad--Appeal against the Commissioner's order of recovery of moneylost by theft in the school.

Ref: 1. From Sri.S. Seshagiri dated 3--4--50. – pp 9-13 ante
2. From the Commissioner, Mayuram Municipality No. C.1 3821/50 dated 3--5--50. – pp 1-3 ante

29258
25/9/50

****

Submitted with the following remarks.

The Headmaster had completely relied on the clerk and peon in the discharge of his responsible duty as headmaster which he should not have done.

The Headmaster also admits his leaving the keys of the safe in the Drawer of the table.

The punishment of being required to make good the proportion of the loss by the Commissioner is considered really lenient under the circumstances and therefore the appeal deserves rejection.

If however, Government are inclined to view the case with sympathy, further recovery may be ordered to be stopped.

I

2. The Municipal Office file D. Dis.No. 9354/49 of the Mayuram Municipality is submitted herewith.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Madras.
C.R.21/9

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
R.C.No. 1435 G1/50 dated 18-9-50.

24294/F/50

⑯ 29

Edn Deptt (F)

pp 9.13 c/	From Sri S. Seshagiri, 1st Assistant, Ml. High School, Koranad d 3.4.50.
pp 1-3 c/	From the Commr. Mayuram Mlty, Rc No C1. 3821/50 d 3/5/50
p 27 c/	From the DPI, Rc No 1435 G1/50 d 18/9/50

Establishment - Ml. High School, Mayuram - Sri S. Seshagiri, Headmaster - Recovery of money lost by theft in the school - Appeal against the Commissioner's orders

Memo No 24294/F/50-2 Edn d 24/10/50

To
The Commr.
Mayuram Mlty
(thro' the chairman)
(1 paper only)

The Commissioner, Mayuram Mlty is requested to state very urgently what the salary of Sri S. Seshagiri former Headmaster of the Ml. High School is and what amount has so far been recovered from him

P.T.O

~~20~~ 30

out of the sum of Rs 800-15-0 ordered to be recovered in the Commissioner's proceedings, Rc. No. C.1. 9354/49 d 17/2/50.

2. The appeal of the Headmaster with the remarks of the Commissioner, Mayuram Mlty d 3/5/50 has been forwarded by the D.P.I. only in September 1950. The Director is requested to look into the reasons ~~state why there has been such~~ the long delay on the part of his office in forwarding the appeal from the Asst in question ~~to submit a report.~~

Dy
16.10.50

17.10.50

[illegible] 19-21cy

this 'Council' may be made what defers the case

To

The Commr
Mayuram Mlty
(thro' the chairman)
(~~[illegible]~~)

1. DPI

F. (17) 31

SECRETARY
1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
25 OCT 1950
33058
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

To

K.M.Unnithan Esquire I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Fort St. George,
Madras.

Sir,

There was an alleged theft of school fees in the Municipal High School, Koranad on 22-9-49 caused by the culpable negligence of the Headmaster of the school. The headmaster and two others were - ordered to make good the loss. It appears that appeals have been preferred by these persons, against the orders of the Commissioner, Mayavaram Municipality.

Now, all the charges framed against the headmaster have been proved beyond any reasonable doubt, but orders passed thereon are against all fair-play, equity and justice. The following facts will substantiate it.

1. The headmaster being solely responsible for the sole custody of school fees, has been proved to have been culpably negligent of his duties, but nevertheless he has been asked to pay only a portion of the loss sustained by the Municipal Council, caused entirely by his culpable negligence.

2. The commissioner has failed to see the sole responsibility of the headmaster in the safe-keep of the school fees, and he has passed orders against common-sense, against rules of procedure and against justice and fair-play. He seems to think that all the three persons are jointly responsible for the amount, whereas the truth is otherwise because but for the headmaster's negligence of duty and habitual irregular procedure against established rules, the loss would have never occured.

3. Although the loss has been ordered to be made good, no punishment has been awarded for the gross negligence of duty on the part of the headmaster, whereas the peon alone has been punished for his negligence of duty by being reduced to the post of a gardener in addition to bearing a portion of the loss. If all the three had been found to be negligent in their duties, equity demands that all of them must be equally punished, the more so the headmaster who is solely responsible for the safe-custody of school-fees. But he has been let off scot free.

4. It is an unpleasant feature of post-independent India that the moment an officer or someone in authority is found guilty of some dereliction of duty, a number of so-cassed public men and politicians crop up to use their influence by back-door methods to shield the culprit, with the result that underlings and innocent people without any such irregular backing suffer, vicariously. The Commissioner seems to have fallen a pray to such backdoor influences which are notoriously rampant in this locality. He seems to have been misled or coerced to shield the real culprit, but to punish the others severely.

5. It is freely rumoured that the Appointment Committee also has remarked on the headmaster's appeal that the punishment given to him for his negligence of duty is very light, and that the Commissioner has been very lenient towards him.

Here, I beg to bring to your notice a similar incident of a loss caused to the Mayuram Municipal Council, in the year 1925 owing

32

to the negligence of duty and carelessness of the then headmaster of the same Koranad High School, when the concerned headmaster was ordered to make good the loss with in a couple of days. As a punishment for the neglect he was immediately reverted to an assistant's post in the establishment.

Having such an indentical precedent, it is suspiciously surprising that the Commissioner should have let off the real culprit without any punishment at all in a case which is almost on all fours with the 1925 incident.

6. Seeing that the police, in spite of immediate and careful investigations, were unable to trace the thief, and seeing also that the iron safe was not broken open but found unlocked the whole case boils down to one of misappropriation of public money by the person in charge of the keys i.e, the headmaster, in this case. Although the Commissioner has found the headmaster guilty and although the headmaster himself has admitted in so many words in his written statement that he had been negligent in the discharge of his duties the Commissioner in his final order let off the self-confessed culprit without any punishment at all. One wonders why!

7. In the original charge-sheet framed against the head-master he was asked to show cause why his name should not be removed from the panel of headmasters. The charges were proved but his name was not removed from the panel, and he was let off without any punishment at all. One wonders why!

8. When an officer on probation is proved to be guilty of neglect of duty, the usual practice in all departments and institutions is to revert him summarily and immediately. This headmaster was on probation, and the charges against him have all been proved to the hilt. Yet he was not reverted. In fact, no punishment was awarded to him. One wonders why!

9. In his final order after the enquiry, the Commissioner roars like an angry lion against the headmaster, but in the end he goes out of his way to find extenuating circumstances which are only imaginary in order to shield the culprit. One wonders why!

10. It is said that the headmaster in question, Sri S.Seshagiri Ayyar is employing all possible means, fair and foul, to square up all the concerned authorities regarding his appeal, for the quashing of the Commissioner's order, although it is so defective and unfair in the sense that it has failed to award him due punishments which he so richly deserves, as stated above. *

11. It seems that the District Educational Officer of East Tanjore who is a relation of the said headmaster has recommended in his favour on his appeal, without going into the question properly, but trying to protect him on false grounds and untrue statements.

12. We all know the headmaster for what he is worth i.e. his concupiscence, and unnatural sexual gratification with the male students of the schools where he had till now been an assistant, his false propaganda and placard pasting activities against his superiors, co-teachers and inferior servants, not to speak of his negligence of duty and lack of a sense of responsibility for which he had always been well known.

* IT IS SAID THAT SRI.S.SESHAGIRI AYYAR HAS REQUESTED THE DIVISIONAL INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS, MATHURAI DIVISION WHEN HE WAS CAMPING AT MAYURAM FROM 4-9-1950 IN CONNECTION WITH THE CITIZENSHIP TRAINING GIVEN FOR HEADMASTERS, NOT TO SEND HIS APPEAL PAPERS TO THE HIGHER AUTHORITIES, TILL YOU ARE TRANSFERRED FROM EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

---

(18)

33

We are ~~afraid~~ afraid that the interests of the school and its students will never be safe with him, he being a most ir-responsible rake, quite unfit to be entrusted with a post of responsibility as has been a really proved by the above mentioned incident.

THEREFORE I most earnestly request you, Sir, to be pleased to go into all the papers connected with this case, and do justice to the cause of Education and Local-Self Government.

Thanking you,

I remain,
Sir,
Yours faithfully,

Mayuram,
22-10-50.

A TRUTH LOVER.

Education (F) Dept.

The petition on pages 1 to 3 above, which is unsigned, is ~~regarding~~ containing certain allegations against Sri. S. Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster of Koranad High School, Mayuram, whose appeal is pending with Govt. (file linked below). ~~For orders whether~~ This may ~~be lodged or may~~ be ~~filed~~ added to ~~with~~ the papers in L.F. below.

(C.24294/F/50)

28/10 50

Reply has come to the memo in the L.F. – [illegible]

26.10.50.

26/10

(19)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
28 OCT 1950
33521
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

R.c. No. C.1. 38[illegible]

Municipal Office, Mayuram,
25th October 1950.

From
Sri M.C. Danie[illegible]
Commissioner,
Mayuram Municipality.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through
The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mayuram.

Sir,

Sub: Establishment - M.H.S. Koranad , Mayuram - Sri S. Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster - Recovery of money lost by theft in the School appeal against Commissioner's order - further particulars - submitted.

p 29-30

Ref: Government Memorandum No. 24294/F./50 -2 Education, dated 24--10--50

A

I submit that the pay of Sri S. Seshagiri, Headmaster, M.H.S. Koranad, is Rs.150/- plus 25 Headmaster's duty allowance in the scale of Rs.150--10--200 plus Rs.39/- Dearness Allowance per mensem and a sum of Rs.320/- has been recovered from his pay till this date.

25/10/50
Commissioner.

s.r.25.x. 25/10

Forwarded

25.10.50
Chairman,
Municipal Council, Mayuram.

Edu (F)

O. C. ✓
[illegible]

T. M. Edu dated 4/11/50.

From The Asst. Secretary to the Govt. Education Dept

To. The P. A
The I. L. B

12/4/11 4/11/50

Subject: Establishment - Ml. High School, Koranad, Mayuram - Sri S. Seshagiri former head master - Recovery of money lost by theft in the School - appeal of

Ref. letter of the Munl. Commr. No. Mayavaram No. RC-C1-3821-50 Dt. 3.5.50 a copy of which has been sent direct to the Inspr.

In the proceedings of the Commissioner Mayuram Municipality, No. 935 A/49 d. 17.2.50, Sri. K. Arunajatesam clerk, Municipal High School Koranad was asked to pay a sum of Rs 233.7.0/- towards the loss ~~cost~~ caused to ~~the~~ Municipal funds, on account of the theft that occurred in the School in Sept. '49. Please say whether the clerk

P.T.O.

B 8

[illegible] preferred any appeal to the Inspector and if so at what stage the matter now stands. Pl. also [illegible] whether recovery is being effected from the clerk's pay if so, how much has so far been recovered

[illegible] kindly also intimate the present stage of the appeal petition submitted by ~~Sri~~ Sri. E. Govindarajan peon Municipal High School, Koranad against the orders of the Ml. Commissioner directing the recovery of the sum of Rs 77-13-0 from him and also against the orders reverting him as gardener and whether recovery is being made from the peon's pay if so how much has so far been recovered from him.

Please furnish a reply very urgently.

223 [illegible]

Ml appeal file

M' on p3 of

(P.C. also to go)

Ch 2/11/50 1/2/11

R 18/11/50

Very urgent

F

(21)

39

POST COPY OF THE TELEPHONIC MESSAGE DATED 7-1150.

...

FROM

The Inspector of Ml.Cls.,& Local Boards, Madras.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
15 NOV 1950
35971
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Madras.

Sub: Arunajatesan (Sri) K. Clerk - Mayuram Municipality - Appeal against recovery.

Ref: Telephonic Message from Assistant Secretary to Government Education Department dated 4-11-50.

...

pp 37-38 and

41 of (W) I invite the attention of Government to my letter Roc.No.32771/50.C.5 dated 25-8-50, requesting the return of the Municipal Office records sent to the Government with my letter Roc.No.9948/50.C5 dated 14-6-50, for reference in connection with the disposal of the appeal of Sri K. Arunajatesan, Clerk, Mayuram Municipal Council. I also invite ~~xxx~~ a reference to my further letter Roc.No.32771/50.C5 dated 28-10-50 in the above matter, in reply to Government Memo No.25818-B-50 dated 3010-50. The appeal of Sri E. Govindarajulu, Peon Mayuram Municipal Council against recovery and his reversions as gardener ~~[illegible] over~~ was dismissed in this office L.Dis.No.9948/50.C5 dated 14-6-50. (not [illegible])

45 of (W)

43 of (W)

No information is available in this office as to the extent of the recovery so far effected from the clerk and the peon.

As the records of the Municipal ~~xxxxx~~ Office Mayuram sent with my letter Roc.No.9948/50.C5 dated 14-6-50 are required for disposing of the appeal of Sri K. Arunajatesan, Clerk, I request that they may be returned to this office, when they are done with.

[illegible] 14/11/50

For Inspector.

K.H.13/11

13/11

24294/F/50

Pp 1-34

Dn
21/11/50

Edn Dept (F)

From the Commr, Mayuram Mpty,
Rc.No.C.1. 3821/50 d 3.5.50
Establishment – Ml. High School,
Koranad, – Sri S. Seshagiri, former Headmaster,
Recovery of money lost by theft in the school

Memo No. 24294/F/50-3 Edn 21/11/50

Pp 217-219 of
221-223 of

(I)

In the proceedings of ~~the proceedings of~~ the Commissioner, Mayuram Mpty, No. 935.4/49 d 17.2.50, Sri K. Arunajatesan, clerk, Ml. High School Koranad & Sri G. Govindarajalu, peon were asked to pay Rs 233.70 & Rs ~~77.317~~ 77-130 respectively towards the loss caused to Ml. funds on account of the theft that occurred

P.T.O.

~~2~~ 42

in the school in September, 1949.

~~please~~ The Commissioner is requested to state ~~wh.~~ ~~how~~ whether recovery is being effected from the salaries of the clerk & peon and if so, how much has so far been recovered from each.

18/11/50

20/11/50

2/11

23.11.50

To
The Commr
Mayuram Mlty
(through the
Chairman)
(R. 28/11/50)

F.

(23)

43

R.c. No. C.1. 3907/50

Municipal Office, Mayuram,
29th November 1950.

From
Sri M.C. Daniel,
Commissioner,
Mayuram Municipality.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mayuram.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
38311
30 NOV 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Sub: Establishment - M.H.S. Koranad - Sri S. Seshagiri former Headmaster - Recovery of money lost by theft in the school.

p. 41-42 ante

Ref: Government Memorandum No. 24294 F/50-3 Education dated 21-11-50.

I submit that recovery is being effected from the salary of Sri K. Arunajatesan clerk of the M.H.S. Koranad and that a sum of Rs.180/- has so far been recovered.

Recovery is not being effected from the salary of the peon. He was reverted as gardener and he did not join duty so far. The question of recovering the amount from him is under correspondence with the District Inspector of Local Fund Accounts, Tanjore.

s.r./29.xi. 29.11.50.

Commissioner.
29/11

Forwarded

29.11.50
Chairman,
Municipal Council, Mayuram.

Edu(F)

(24)

24294/F/50 Appeal - ~~Establishment~~ - Ml. High School, Mayuram - Sri. S. Seshagiri, Headmaster - Recovery of money lost by theft in the School - appeal against the Commissioner's Orders - rejected.

18/12

30/19.12

—

p 9-13 cf From Sri. S. Seshagiri, 1st Asst., Ml. High School, Koranad D. 3/4/50.

p 1-3 cf From the Commr., Mayuram Multy., R.C. No. C1. 3821/50 D dated 3/5/50

p 27 cf From the D.P.I. R.C. No. 1435 E1/50 D d 18/9/50

p 39 cf From The Inspector of Ml. Cls. & Local Boards, T.M. d 7/11/50

p 43 cf From the Commr., Mayuram Multy. Rc. No. C1. 3907/50 d 29/11/50.

—

G.O.(Ms) No. 3337 Edu. d. 19-12-50

The appeal of Sri. S. Seshagiri

P.T.O.

-2-

1st ~~Asst.~~, Ml High School, Koranad is rejected.

Cf
12/12/50.

2) The appeal of the Headmaster with the remarks of the Commissioner Mayuram Mlty d 3.5.1950 has been forwarded by the D.P.I only in September, 1950. The Director is requested to look into the reasons for the long delay in ~~on the~~ ~~part of~~ his office in forwarding the appeal from the Assistant in question ~~and~~ ~~submit a report~~ & take appropriate action against those responsible.

Dy
13/12/50

UB
14/12/50

dr ① b Ⓣ

To.
1) Sri. S. Seshagiri,
Asst. Ml. High School,
Koranad
thro Ml. Commissioner
Mayuram, (para 1 only)
2) The Commissioner
Mayuram Mlty
(thro C.R. cf) (para 1 only)
3) The Inspector of Ml. Cls
and Local Boards
Madras. (with the
Mayuram Ml. Office
file No. 9354/49 ~~current file~~ filed note file). (para 1 only)
(4) ~~Copy to the D.P.I.~~
The D.P.I.

(To be sent by Regis. Post)

26/12/50

Edn'F' ㉕

24194/F/50

pp 9-13 cf From Sri S.Seshagiri, Ist Assistant, Municipal High School, Koranad, D/ 3/4/50.

pp 1-3 cf From the Commr., Mayuram Multy., R.C.No. C1.3821/50D/3/5/50.

p 27 cf From the D.P.I., R.C.No. 1435G1/50 D/ 18/9/50.

Sub: Establishment-Municipal High School, Mayuram-Sri.S. Seshagiri, Headmaster-Recovery of money lost by theft in the School-Appeal against the commissioner's orders.

The papers in the c.f. relate to the appeal of Sri S. Seshagiri, probationery Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad, against the proceedings of the Commr., R.C.No. C.1.9354/49 D/17/2/50 ordering recovery from him of a sum of Rs.800-15-0 in 20 instalments for his ~~neglence~~ negligence which resulted in the theft of school fees. The remarks of the Commr. and ~~X~~ the D.P.I. on the appeal are on pp 1-3 and 27 cf. respectively.

copy at pp 19-21 cf.
original at pp 211-215
cf Ⓘ

The following charges were framed against the appellant:-

(1) That he has failed to check and verify whether the locks of the iron safe have been properly secured immediately after keeping the unremitted cash in the ~~x~~ iron safe.

p 58 cf Ⓣ

(2) That there was a pecuniary loss of Rs-1112-3-0 caused to the Municipal Council on 22/9/49 by his negligence and breach of rules and,

(3) That ~~x~~ he has allowed an outsider to do the duties of a peon in the School generally and specially on 22/9/49.

The grounds of appeal are examined below:-

p 11 cf

Charges(1)&(2) above: Please see paras 6 and 7 of the appeal on p11 cf. The appellant admits that he did not verify and check whether the locks of the iron safe had been properly secured on the particular day and that he trusted his clerk as he was prevented from being present to supervise the depositing of the cash ~~x~~ owing to the staff meeting. He ~~admits~~ states that a duplicate key ought to have been used ~~x~~ and that the ~~boy~~ loss was due mainly to ~~the effect~~ theft and a well-thought out plan to commit the theft. Please see in this connection para 2 of the Commr.'s letter on page 2 cf. It does not appear

P.T.O.

appear that the Headmaster can be absolved of negligence ~~xx~~ on his part. The charges may have to be taken to be proved,

p13cf

Charge (3): Please see para 8 of the appeal on page 13cf. It is seen that the outsider referred to was a games peon who was being employed on daily wages. The appellant admits that the ~~the~~ games peon was not on the regular staff on the day of theft. ~~It appears that~~ The appellant should not have allowed him who was unconnected ~~wiht~~ with the School to be on the night watch. This charge also has to be taken to be proved.

M on p17cf

The appellant requests that in view of his unblemished service ~~on~~ in the past, his case may be considered sympathatically. The Commr. states that he has already taken a lenient view in the matter and ~~xx~~ awarded the punishment - Viz-recovery of a portion of the loss. The D.P.I. agrees ~~wiht~~ with the Commr. and ~~recommends~~ recommends ~~xxx~~ rejection of the appeal. The Director also suggests that if the Govt. are inclined to view the case with sympathy, futher recovery may be ordered to be stopped. For orders.

p13cf

para 4 on p2cf

p27cf

Dy 12/10/50

for orders. Whether the D.P.I.'s alternative ~~xxxx~~ suggestion that further recovery may be ordered to ~~be~~ stopped ~~may~~ will be accepted.

p27y

131050

AS

× above There was neglect — (please see × on p1 cf).

The sum ordered to be recovered is 800-15- only 1112.3.0. What is the amount paid up up to date? What is the appellant's salary? Why this long delay in forwarding the appeal?

M

M. ask v. urgently

14.10

3

(26)

Dy 16/10/50

With reference to M overleaf, a draft Memo to the Commr. & the D.P.I. is submitted for approval.

17.10.50

1820

p29.30cf (Issued as Memo. 24294/F/50-2 Edn. d. 24/10/50)

p33cf (C.No. 33521/50 From the Ml. Commr., Mayuram, Rc.C1.3821/50 d 25/10/50)

Edu (F)

p35cf

With reference to the Secretary's query at 'M' on p 2 ante, it is submitted that the pay of Sri. S. Seshagiri Iyer, Headmaster, Municipal High School, Koranad, is stated to be Rs 150/- plus Rs 25/- as headmaster's duty allowance, in the scale of Rs 150-10-200, plus Rs 39/- as dearness allowance; ~~and that a~~ sum of Rs 320/- has so far been recovered from his pay.

Out of Rs 800 ordered to be recovered, a sum of Rs 320/- has been recovered already

Submitted for orders. Whether the appeal may be rejected, or whether the case may be dealt with some leniency and further recovery stopped.

30/10/50

30/10

31.10.50

	Rs. as. ps.
The ~~xxx~~ total sum lost is	1112-3-0.
The sum ordered to be recovered in 20 instalments.	800.15.0.
The ~~sum~~ so far recovered in 8 instalments.	320.0.0

'A'

It will be seen that not even half has been recovered. However ascertain what has happened about the other two involved

1.11

P.T.O.

-4-

~~Edu(F)~~

With ref. to 'A' on p 3 ante a draft T.M. to the I.L.B. is submitted below for approval.

Cf 1/11/50. Dn 2/11

3.11.50

Issue at once

This should have been issued on 1st draft of ... [illegible]

P.A. — remind on the due date

3.11.50.

[Issued as T.M. d 4.11.50]

Remind ... 4/11/50

From the Inspector of Ml. Councils and Local Boards, R.C. of T.M. d 7.11.1950.

pp 37-38 cf

please see the reference to the Inspector of Ml. Councils and Local Boards on pp 37-38 cf and his reply thereto on p 39 cf. It is seen that the appeal of Sri E. Govindarajulu, peon, Mayuram Ml. High School, Mayuram was rejected by the Inspector and that the appeal of the clerk is pending. The Inspector also states that no information is available in his office as to the extent of the recovery so far effected from the clerk and the peon. The information may perhaps be obtained from the Commissioner. Subject to orders, a draft Memo is submitted for approval.

For orders 20.11.50.

Dn 18/11.

20.11.50

21/11

(Issued as Memo. 24294/F/50-3 d 21/11/50. p 41-42 cf

(C. 38311/50. From the Commissioner, Mayuram Mlty. Re. No. C1 3907/50 d 29/11/50) p 43 cf

---

Edu (F)

With ref. to Secretary's query at 'A' on p 3 ante, kind attention is invited to the letter ~~from the Inspector of Ml. Cls and Local Bds on p 39 cf and~~ of the Commissioner, Mayuram Mlty. on p ~~[illegible]~~ 39 cf.

p 43 cf It is seen that so far a sum of Rs 180/- has been recovered from the salary of Sri K. Arunajatesan, clerk of the Ml. High School, Koranad

p 39 cf whose appeal is pending with the Inspector. As regards the peon Sri E. Govindarajulu, whose appeal has been rejected by the Inspector, it is seen that no recovery has been made

p 43 cf from him, as he did not join duty after his reversion as gardener. The Commissioner has stated that the question of recovering the amount due from him is under correspondence with the Dist. Inspector of Local Fund Accts.

~~Submitted for approval~~ orders.

Attention is also invited to the petition against the appellant on pp 31-33 c.f. for orders.

Cf 1/12/50

Dn 2/12/50

So far as the appellant is concerned, out of Rs 800 & odd ordered to be recovered Rs 320 has been recovered from him upto last month. The Director does not recommend the case of the appellant. For orders.

4.12.50

H.M. Edn.

P.S. spoke to me

6/12

Again there is some obscurity. As against the total loss, there appears to have been three recoveries — What is the total sum likely recovered from all the three? All the amount lost? If any reference has to be issued, put up the draft there.

Spoken Dn 7/12

No 24294/50 F

11/12

With reference to Secretary's query on the previous page, it is submitted that the total sum stolen from the school was Rs 1112-3-0 and that this ~~sum~~ amount has been ordered to be recovered from the Headmaster, clerk and peon in proportion to their salaries as detailed below:-

√ A on p 211 of (I)

	Amount ordered to be recovered (1)	Amount recovered so far (2)	Balance (3)
Headmaster (Appellant)	p 244 of (I) 800-15-0	p 35 c.f 320	480-15-0
~~[illegible]~~ clerk	p 248 of (I) 233-7-0	p 43 c.f 180	53-7-0
Peon	p 223 of (I) 77-13-0	p 43 c.f (No recovery is being effected as he has not joined duty so far).	
	1112-3-0		

(under correspondence with the District Dept of L.F. Accts
(p 43 c.f

Dy
8.12.50

More than half of the amount lost by theft remains to be recovered from the persons responsible

Govt. was declined to interfere Please see X on p 5 cf.

8.12.50

9.12

11/12

(F R)

SECRETARY
11 DEC 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Edu (F)

Pl. see the orders in Circulation above. The draft order below is for approval. Para 2

12/12/50.

pl draft Dy

(28)

of the draft is with reference to the orders at X on p 30 c.f.

Dy
13/12/50

14.12.50

18/12