

Edm

(1950) Department.

Miss

SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3100 Ldm.

Dated

20/11/50

pp 1-52707 and notes at pp 1-52707 - A

09

[Despatch Abstract.]

Adult Edm - Adult literary Scho
 Payment of Contingent Allowance -
 proposals - approved

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, M A D M A S.

Sir,

RC.631 SE2/50 dated 17-8-1950.

Sub:-Adult Education-Adult literacy schools-Payment
of contingent allowance of Rs.4/-

Ref:-1) G.O.No.2204, Edn, dated 4-9-48 and
2) G.O.Ms.No.1709 Education dated 7-6-50.

With reference to rules 9 and 10 of the rules for Adult Literacy schools approved in G.O.No.2204, Education dated 4-9-48, teaching grants at a uniform rate of Rs.3/- per month and an additional flat rate of Rs.4/- per month for lighting and other incidental charges in the case of trained teachers or Rs.5/- and Rs.3/- per month for teachers not trained (in Adult Literacy methods) are payable to Adult literacy Schools which maintain the average attendance of 10 pupils. Adult Literacy Schools which fail to maintain the minimum average attendance are not, therefore, eligible for any grant. A proposal has now been received that the schools which do not maintain the minimum average attendance of adults may be paid at least the charges towards contingencies (lighting etc) on the ground that the management actually incurs expenditure on contingencies irrespective of the fact whether the minimum attendance is secured or not. I agree with the proposal and request that Government may be pleased to approve the same subject to the condition that the District Educational Officer should satisfy himself that the school worked even though the average attendance fell short of the prescribed minimum during a month, before the usual monthly contingent allowance is sanctioned.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

For Director of Public Instruction.

Pjn 17/8.

11/14/5

2/16/5
To The D.P.S.

Edm. Dept.
Memo: 24580⁹/₅₀ - 2 Edm. dated 14-9-50.
Letter from the D.P.S. RC. no. 631 SF/50 dated 17-8-50
Sub: Grants to Adult Schools.

The D.P.S. is requested to furnish an estimate of the extra cost that will be involved on account of his proposal to sanction grants towards the expenditure on contingencies in the case of Adult Schools which have met the prescribed minimum attendance.

2/11/5

1/12/50

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Madras.

R.C.No. 631 SE2/50 dated 25-9-1950

SUB: Adult Education--Grants to adult schools
payment of Contingent allowances when ~~allowance~~
tendance falls below 10.

REF: Government Memo No. 24580 C/50 dated 14-9-1950

I submit that as provision exists for payment of grant and contingent allowances to recognised Adult Literacy Schools no extra cost will be involved by the proposal since only contingent allowance will be paid to schools which fail to maintain the average attendance of 10 and the teaching grant withheld.

Yours faithfully.

for Director of Public Instruction

ptv 27/9

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

FROM

The Director of Public Instruction,
M a d r a s.

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department.

R.C.No. 631 SE.2/50 d a t e d : 11--11--1950.

Sir,

Sub: Adult Education--Adult Literacy schools--payment of contingent allowance.

Ref: My letters R.C.No. 631 SE.2/50 d a t e d 17--8--50 and 25--9--50.
.....

I invite your kind attention to my letters referred above and request the favour of orders on the subject.

Yours faithfully,

A. S. Manoharan
for Director of Public Instruction.

G.N.13/11



ADULT EDUCATION - Adult literacy schools - Payment of contingent allowance - Proposals - Approved.

Letters from the D.P.I., No. 631 SE.2/50 dt.17-8-50 and 25-9-50.

G.O. MS. NO. 3100 EDN., DATED 20/11/50

In the circumstances explained by the D.P.I. in his letters ^{read down} ~~dated~~, the Govt. approve of his proposal that schools with less than 10 pupils may be paid up to Rs. 4/- a month towards contingent charges. This concession is granted subject to the condition, that the D.E.O. ^{concerned} should satisfy himself that the school worked even though the average attendance ^{fell short of the prescribed} ~~was below the~~ minimum during a month.

(These schools which are ^{only} paid contingent allowance should be taken into account in determining the total no. of schools to be opened under the scheme.

To
The D.P.I.
" A.G. thro' Fin.
S.C. 24

MKS
16/11/50

16/11/50

16/11/50

17/11

GA

7 Edn. Depr.

1.4

From the D.P.S. NO. G31 SE 2/50 dated 17-8-50
Sub: Grants to Adult Schools.

Under existing orders Adult Schools are paid grants at the following rates:-

	Monthly grant
If the School has a trained teacher	Rs. 8 for the teacher and Rs. 4 for Contingencies
If the teacher is untrained	Rs 5 for the teacher and Rs 3 for Contingencies

The payment of grants at the above rates for Adult Literacy Schools is subject to the condition that the School secures a minimum attendance of 10 adults.

2. The D.P.S. brings to notice that there are Adult Schools having less than 10 adults receiving instructions. These schools are not eligible for any grant from Provincial Funds even though they are contributing their share to the liquidation of Adult illiteracy. To encourage such voluntary effort, the Director considers that a grant of Rs 4 a month should be paid towards Contingent charges. The proposal seems acceptable. The extra cost involved may not be much and can be met from the existing allotment from the Budget & Stimulus for adult ed.

3. Finance may see for remarks before circulation.

He is agreed to
26/8/50



U.O. 24580/50-1 Edn
28-8-50

25/8/50 25/8/50 26/8/50 26.8.50 Finance.

24580

The letter from the DPS in the C.F. and the notes of 2. In. overleaf may be perused. In submitting the proposal to allow contingent charges to the managements of schools without the minimum attendance, the Director has not indicated the extra cost to Govt. Edu Dept may be requested to obtain this information in the first instance.



Fin no 7538541 5950

DNS 5989/11 Edu 20 Sept

The draft below is for aff.

11/9/50

16/10/50

Issued as Memo No. 24580C/50-2 En 2.14.9.50] p30f Edu. Dept (C).

From the DPS. No. 631 SF2/50 dated 25.9.50. The DPS reports that no extra cost will be involved on account of the payment of grant for contingent expenditure to adult schools which fail to maintain the minimum the attendance of 10.

2. Finance may again see.

5/10. 5/10

6/10/50 Finance

2.0 Edu. 24580/50-3 6.10.50

(Fin (Exp A))




(Previous fin note above).

With ref to this Dept's note at 'L' above, please see the letter from the DPS at p5 CF.

In the circumstances, it is suggested that the DPS, in its proposal for allowing a grant up to Rs 1000 to be

15, p1 above

8 3



The DPS is evidently of the opinion that no extra cost is involved as the current year's budget provision will not be increased by adopting this proposal. Although no extra funds will be needed, it is necessary to know the extra cost involved by payment of grant towards contingent expenditure alone. It may also be that the DPS is of the opinion that...

11.10.50

10.10.50

11.10.50 En

Fin no 45513 Exp A-1 11.10.50

Edu. Dept (C).

The Finance Dept does not seem to accept the DPS's view that the proposal to allow a grant towards the contingent expenditure in respect of adult schools which fail to secure the minimum attendance will not involve the Govt. any extra liability. The total number of adult school schools for which grants are allowed by DPS is 1150. Further adult schools numbering about 1000 will be newly established under the scheme in the near future. Thus about 2000 schools will be eligible for grants from Provincial funds. This number only about 1500 schools have so far been opened. The proposal to sanction a grant of Rs 1000 to each school in excess of the stipulated limit of Rs 500 will be a considerable increase in the expenditure on adult schools. It is suggested that the Government should consider the proposal with a view to the Government's financial position.

ref on next page

contingency will not arise in the near future. To avoid extra commitment the Govt may be asked to take the schools for which grants are sanctioned towards contingent expenditure alone of into account for purposes of determining the total number of schools to be admitted to aid from State funds. In this case no reference to D.P. is necessary. Finance may again see.



U.O. 24580/50-4 Edu
16-10-50


14/10
14/10
14/10

Fin (Exp A)

(Previous Fin. notes on pp 2-3 above)
Please see the subsequent notes of Edu. on pp 3-4 above. Edu. contend that there will be additional liability to Govt only if the no. of adult schools exceeds the maximum stipulated by Govt (2,000). But it cannot be denied that when schools which hitherto were not eligible for grant, are to be admitted by relaxing the rule regarding minimum attendance, there is bound to be extra cost. The fact that the maximum liability that the Govt have undertaken will not be increased is beside the point. Also it seems necessary to know the extra cost with reference to A above before the proposal is considered further. Finance may therefore press for this information being obtained.

Edn may kindly call for the info. from the D.P.D.
20.10.50
Edu

Fin UO 87816



20.10.50

20.10.50
C

MES
21/10

Edn Dept
It does not appear that the Finance dept has fully understood the proposal. The proposal is this. ~~Under the rules~~ If an adult school satisfies all the rules it will get certain grants specified in the rules. Now the Director's point is that there are certain

24580



schools which do not satisfy all the rules and that they may be given reduced grants. In fact full grants would have been paid to them if they had satisfied all the rules. So there is no question of addl cost at all in this proposal. It is more an administrative matter than a financial one. The question of ~~Finance~~ ^{Finance} would come in only if grants in excess of ~~that~~ ^{those} provided by the rules have to be paid. Finance may see again and they may be requested to agree to the proposal.

Edn UO 24580/50-5
16/11/50

16/11/50

16/11/50 Finance

Fin (Exp A)

(last seen in Fin at p4 above).

Please see the subsequent notes of Edu on pp 4-5

above.

In the circumstances ~~now~~ explained by Edu, it is for orders whether the proposal to sanction a grant upto Rs 4 a month towards contingent charges to the managements of schools without the minimum attendance may be agreed to in Fin.

para 2, p1 above
May be agreed to subject to the condition ~~that~~ ^{that} at A on page 4 above.
10.11.50

Fin UO 91921
10.11.50



10.11.50

A draft order is sent for approval. S. M. (E & L) may see

16/11/50

16/11/50

17/11

17/11

laborer... all the rules
and that they may be given...
points. In fact for 8000...
been found to them...
all the rules. So there is no question of
add cost at all in this proposal. It
is more an administrative...
a financial one. The question of...
would have in only if...
even of that...
have to be paid. This may be...
again and they may be...
to agree to the proposal.

1/11/20

2/11/20

10-11-20

10-11-20

10-11-20