

17 1/2

(1)

Seett. II-37-101,000-4-11-42

Education (1950) Department.

No. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2748 Edw

Dated 3 - 10 - 50.

→ 103 CF

pp 1, 3 & 5 to 8 and notes at pp 1-16 of

H

(13)

[Despatch Abstract.]

M. E. S - Basic Education Officers -

Tami - scale of Rs. 250 - 700 - Sanctioned.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	253						
	25.5						


EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

C.No.463 K4/50 dated 4/5/50/

Sir,



Sub:- Madras Educational service - Posts of Basic Educational officers Andhra Desa and Tamil Nad - conversion into a time scale post - sanction,- requested.

Ref:- (1) G.O.Ms.No.1331 Education. Dated 26th May '48.
(11) Do. --2360 Education dated 20th July 1949.

LO544

Concession

In the G.O's cited above Government sanctioned the conversion of posts of Basic Educational Officers, Tamil Nad and Andhra Desa into Gazetted posts on fixed pay of Rs.2300/- I solicit attention to my letter Re. No.1500 K4/47 dated 30/6/49. furnishing reasons for the provision of the post of Basic Educational Officer, Andhra Desa in the time scale of pay. I consider that both the posts of Basic Educational Officers in the Madras Educational service should be on the same scale of pay as for the posts of District Educational officers in the Madras Educational service as the continuance of these posts on fixed pay creates administrative difficulties in regard to appointment and transfers. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to place the posts of the Basic Educational officers Tamil Nad and Andhra Desa on time scale of pay.

Yours faithfully,

P. M. S. K. S. K.

For Director of Public Instruction.

Ten. 4/5/

6/5
6/5

2

Proposed

449.3	449.3
70.0	70.0
<u>519.3</u>	<u>519.3</u>
589.3	589.3
<u>1088.6</u>	

1.2000

2. 11.15.50 / 11.15.50 / 11.15.50

230.0
46.0
<u>276</u>
276
<u>552</u>
602

Ordn. Co.

688.6

602.0

686.6 x 2

839.2 or 5, 800 / 1000

I. 3 Edn. Dept. (C). 3

M.E.S. - Basic Education Officers - Time-scale of pay of Rs.230-700 - Sanctd.

Read:- From the D.P.I., No.463-K4/50, d/- 4-5-50.

G.O.(MS.) No.2748 Edn., d/- 3-10-50.

The Govt. sanction, with immediate effect, the time-scale of pay of Rs.230-30/2-260-40/2-500-50/2-700 for the two gazetted posts of Basic Education Officers in the M.E.S., now remaining on the fixed pay of Rs.230 per mensem.

To the D.P.I.
" A.G.(thru Fin.)
" A Section.

3/10/50

3/10

II.

D.O.No.10544-50 d/- 4.10.50.

My dear Govindaralulu,

Basic Edn. Officer - Headquarters - Raising of status and pay.

Orders are being issued separately sanctioning the time-scale of Rs.230-700 to the two Basic Education Officers in the M.E.S.

2. Basic education has been definitely adopted as the basis of the Govt.'s educational policy. ~~The introduction of that method of education is proceeding on a planned basis, the number of schools imparting basic education and the no. of Basic Education Training Schools being steadily on the increase.~~ The Govt. are of the view that an officer with the necessary qualifns. and equipment should, therefore, function as the Basic Education Officer for the entire State, vested with powers of control and supervision ~~of~~ over all the basic educational institutions. ~~On the point about the need for the existence of such an officer in the State as a whole, there can be no two opinions.~~ But the question is, what will be the status and position of the officer in the deptl. structure.

Not to be used

Do not I above

NE.4

At least type two copies (one reserve as per)

3/10

In this connection

importance of the work
 commensurate with the duties he has to perform on a State-wide basis. ~~It may not be proper or desirable to relegate him to a position subordinate to a Dy. D.P.I. for purposes of supervision and control.~~ ~~It has accordingly been suggested that he should work under the direct control of the Head of the Dept., the Director.~~ I am to ask for your remarks on the suggestion. ~~The Govt. also desire that the functions of the officer as well as his status should be well defined.~~ ~~I am therefore further to ask you to state in detail the exact duties that are to be assigned to the Basic Education Officer.~~

The reference may be treated as very urgent and your reply sent at an early date.

Yours sincerely,

Sri S. Govindarajulu
 Naidu, B.A., B.L.,
 LL.B. (Cantab),
 Bar-at-Law,
 D.P.I.-in-charge.

Edn. Dept. (C).
 D.O. No. 10544/- 7.6.50

My dear Govindarajulu,

Basic Edn. Officer (Hdgrs.) - Raising of status and pay.

Orders have been issued separately sanctioning the time-scale of pay of Rs. 230-700 to the two Basic Edn. Officers in the M.F.S.

2. Basic education has been definitely adopted as the basis of the Govt.'s educational policy. The introduction of this method of education in the State is ~~proceeding~~ ^{progressing} on a planned ^{scale} basis and the no. of schools imparting basic education and the no. of basic edn. training schools ^{is also} ~~are~~ steadily ^{being} ~~on the~~ increased. An officer with the necy. qualifns. and equipment should therefore function as the Basic Edn. Officer for the entire State, vested with powers of control and supervision over all the basic educational institutions ~~in the State.~~

The Govt. do not consider that the mere grant of a higher scale of pay for the Basic Edn. Officer (Hdgrs.) will improve matters. ^{What} ~~What~~ is necy., in their view, is to clearly define his functions and to assign to him a status in the deptl. structure, commensurate with the importance of the work he has to perform on a State-wide basis. Certainly, the officer cannot be assigned the rank of a D.E.O. if his control over the basic educational institutions in the districts should be effective. Nor does it seem proper or desirable to relegate him to a position subordinate to a Dy.D.P.I. If basic education is to spread unhampered, the officer who administers it should not be subject to the control of an intermediary authority and should have some independent status. It has accordingly been suggested that he should work under the direct control

of the Head of the Dept., the D.P.I. I am to ask for your remarks on the suggestion. I am also to request you to send your suggestions ^{on} as to the ~~exact~~ powers and duties to be assigned to this officer. ~~The Govt. is~~ intention, I may once again say, is ~~not~~ before the question of revising the pay of the Basic Edn. Officer (Hdgrs.) is taken up, his functions and status should be well defined.

OBM
5/10/50

Yrs. ~~very~~ sincerely

5/10

X I have been suggested to forth that the pay of the Basic Officer should be continued as before but (continue as type)

Depto. Secy
7/10
Sri S. Govindarajulu
Naidu, B.A., B.L.,
LL.B. (Cantab),
Bar-at-Law,
D.P.I.-in-charge.

Edn. O.O. 105-44 C/50 -1

18.5.50



370511

CF

Y/P/1304
D/P/1304

Sub: - M.E.S. - Posts of Basic Education Officers
Andhra Desa and Tamil nad - Pay on
the time scale.

Read: - From the D.P.S no. 463-K4/50
dated 4.5.50.

In G.O. 1331 Edn. d. 26.5.48 and
G.O. NO. 2360 Edn. dated 20.7.49, the Govt.
sanctioned the conversion of the posts of
Basic Education Officers, Tamil nad and
Andhra Desa ^{from non-paid posts} into a ^{paid} fixed pay of Rs. 230 - ^{with phase see in this context}
The Director now reports that for the
reasons adduced in his letter in p.c.f.,
the two posts may be placed on a time
scale of pay, instead of on a fixed pay.
For orders, whether the recommendation ^{will} be accepted.

Finance may see for remarks
before circulation.

3/15.5
18/5/50

18/5/50

18/5/50

Fin.

Finance(E-III).

The reference from the D.P.I. in the CF. and
the notes of Education above may be perused.

The D.P.I. has requested sanction of Govt.
to allow the M.E.S. time scale of pay to the two
posts of Basic Education officers, Andhra Desa and
Tamil Nad sanctioned in G.Os.1331 Edn. dated 26-5-48
and 2360 Edn. dated 20-7-49.

The D.P.I. has stated that both these posts should be on the same scale of pay as in the case of District Educational Officers in the Madras Educational Service as the continuance of these posts on fixed pay creates administrative difficulties in regard to appointment and transfers.

Education have invited the remarks of this department on the present proposal. The addl. expenditure to Govt. will come to Rs 5,800 roundly per annum.

2. The proposal originally made by the then H.M(Edn.) as well as the D.P.I. in October 1947 was only to raise the status of the Basic Education Officer at headquarters ~~xxx~~ into a gazetted post on a scale of Rs 230--700. The then H.M(Edn.) considered that the officer had been given the powers of supervision over all the basic schools, comparable with those of D.E.Os and the Divisional Inspectors. The then H.M(Edn.) considered that the Basic Education Officer at the centre should be of the gazetted rank. H.M(Fin) then minuted as follows:-

"In January his pay was fixed at Rs 160.
In October, a time scale of Rs 165--245 was given.
In November a proposal to give him Rs 230--700 is being brought forward.
In ~~January~~ 1948, the position can be reviewed and something of a permanent nature ~~xxx~~ can be done then.
Some time may be allowed for things to settle down."

The matter was therefore deferred for consideration to 1948. Later, with reference to the representation made by the Basic Education Officer at Andhra Desa, Education supported the proposal of the D.P.I. for conversion of both the posts into gazetted ones. This department, however, objected to the upgrading of both the Basic Education Officers.

The orders in circulation were then taken in April 1948 and the then H.M(Edn.) minuted:-

"The Basic Education Officer at the centre and for Andhra Desa may be fixed at Rs 230 for three years."

H.M(Fin) agreed to it.

The new posts of Basic Education Officers have thus become gazetted and carry a fixed pay of Rs 230.

It is clear that ~~three~~ years have not yet elapsed since a ~~fixed pay of Rs 230 was sanctioned for~~ the posts, had been on fixed pay. No doubt the posts of

Basic Education Officers are filled by persons already trained in Basic Education. The question is whether ~~it~~ they can be taken that the ^{responsibilities} ~~of~~ ^{attached to} these posts can be equalised ^{deemed the equal} to those of D.E.Os. Education may kindly be requested to put up the ad hoc rules relating to the posts of Basic Education Officers. They may kindly say also

(1) how recruitment to the posts of Basic Education Officers is made, and

(2) whether it is the intention ~~of~~ that the posts should be inter-changeable with those of D.E.Os.

The ^{question} ~~case~~ whether the two posts of Basic Education Officers should be converted into M.E.S. posts ^{on the scale 230-700} will be examined on receipt of the above information.

The ground on which the ~~scale~~ ^{scale} for the posts is that the continuance of the posts on fixed pay creates administrative difficulties in regard to appointment and transfers. Unless the intention is as stated at (2) above, the plea of administrative difficulty will have no force. The Edn. Dept. may kindly furnish the information & papers referred to at 'x' above.

Fin. in
37051 Edn-1
25/5/50



Recd. in
A from C
on 31.5.50

9.05.1011-Edn., 2/- 6.4.49, & 345-Edn.,
d/- 8.2.50, in which ad hoc rules were issued for use posts, are placed below

at (P) & (D). The methods of recruitment ^{Basic Edn. Officers} ~~recruitment~~ for use posts are: ① direct recruitment, & ② recruitment by transfer

(P) / 13-14
(D) / 6 R

From among Dy. Inspr. of schools, School Assts. & Headmasters in the M.E.S.S. ^(The M.E.S.S. officers mentioned) are eligible for the posts of D.E.Os. also. If a senior Dy. Inspr., whose chance for appt. as D.E.O. has arisen, is found fit also for the basic Edn. officer's post, he cannot be posted in the latter capacity since that posting would entail loss of emoluments to him. Further, the transfer of D.E.Os. has not specifically been laid down in the rules as one of the methods of recruitment for the basic Edn. Officers' posts, it will become necessary to resort to that method; & the rules have to be amended as soon as the ^{B.E.O.} pay is brought on a par with the D.E.Os. Fin's attention may be invited in this connection to (Y) CF. I where the S.O. I. urged that the B.E.O. should be given the same status & emoluments as D.E.Os. in view of the nature of his work.

P.J. W3
2. 6.50 + 6.50

376150

Yin 40.

Edn 105 416 4/50 - 2
5-6 50



Finance (E-III).

(Previous Finance note on pp.1-3 above).

Further notes of Education on pp.3-4 above may be perused.

With reference to the information asked for by this department at 'X' on p.3 above, Education point out as follows:-

(1) Appointment to the posts of Basic Education Officers is made by direct recruitment and by transfer from among Dy. Inspectors of Schools, School Assistants and Headmasters in the ~~Moss~~ Madras Educational Subordinate Service.

(2) The ad hoc rules for the posts of Basic Education Officers do not specifically mention "transfer of D.E.Os" as one of the methods of recruitment of Basic Education Officers.

(3) If a senior Dy. Inspector of Schools, whose turn for promotion as D.E.O. has come, is found fit also for Basic Education Officer's post, ^{he} cannot be appointed to the latter post, as it will entail loss of emoluments.

In regard to item (2), Education state that after the pay of Basic Education Officers is equalised with that of D.E.Os, the ad hoc rules will be changed, so as to provide for recruitment of Basic Education Officers by transfer of D.E.Os

2. From the above, it is clear that under the ad hoc rules as they stand at present, the posts of Basic Education Officers and D.E.Os are not interchangeable. As regards item (3) above, it may be possible to arrange the promotion of Dy. Inspectors in such a way that the more senior among them are appointed as D.E.Os, and that promotion to Basic Education Officer's post is made from among others without entailing loss of emoluments. Considerations such as those mentioned on p.1 CF. of (Y) (and referred to at 'G' on p.4 ante) cannot be held to justify the proposed revision of pay. In the circumstances, Finance Department may suggest that, as originally intended, the posts may be on fixed pay for a period of three years.

10/1/3249 A

6.  2. No. 10544/50-C $\frac{10}{266}$

fixed pay of Rs. 230/- ~~has~~ is the minimum of
 Rs. 230-35/2-260-40/2-500-50/2-700/- ~~fixed~~
 the M.E.S. time scale. The orders are that the officers

BS
cdu
19 JUN 1950
16312
ASSISTANT SECRETARY
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
p13 L 1 (X), p3 (G)

A p 13c-7, p 3 F
 It was gathered from
 on file pay that wage
 created in G.O. 2360 of July
 & 1331/ Mar, 18. The pay
 was fixed with reference to the
 previous pay of the previous
 selected. & these were not
 regularly given.
 There was no intention to
 give them the N. E. S. scale
 R. 1331/ Mar, 18.
 some on later

1/ I agree with
Reference
Lab 16.

new to the.
for ^B Lab.
Thru file

No. 10 5441

~~7~~
20/7.

SECRETARY
18 JUL 1950
FOREIGN DEPARTMENT

km
1877

2/ J H. M. (E & L) adv.
Please see the orders in circulation above.
A draft order is submitted below for
approval. Finance may see before issue.
The file may be circulated to H.M. (for
J H. M. as suggested by finance.
18-7.

$\frac{24}{19/7}$
 $\frac{16}{20/7/50}$
 $\frac{2}{20.7.50}$



Hm (Fin) ✓ Li Adaeppasehi may
 Hm be P. D. E. G, without
 any further revision of
 salary. The point was
 fully considered here then
 once and it was decided
 there was no need for
 revision for the present.
 J.L.G.
 21.7

H.C.M.

Basic Education Scheme is not still in any experimental stage; but it has become a permanent feature of our Educational System. So necessarily, the B.E.Os too, could no longer be placed on any ^{different} basis from the one relating to the members of the regular M.E.S. To meet the needs of this expanding scheme of Basic

No. 10544/50-C
B
48

Education, which is to cover our Educational System ultimately, more B.E.Os. will be necessary in future. The present D.E.Os too, should necessarily be called upon to undertake ^{the work of} supervision and control of the Basic Educational institutions, a function which the present B.E.Os are doing. Perhaps 'P' at p. 4 ante may have to be done in course of time. It is definite that the present B.E.Os are not going to be dispensed with on the expiry of the three years' time limit. Anyhow they are going to be absorbed into the service. They being qualified officers, why should they not fit in into the regular M.E.S., and be governed with the M.E.S. time scale of pay as suggested by D.P.I. at p. 1 c.f.



29/7/50
H. C. M.

The file may be re-circulated to HM (Fin) with refce to the minutes of H.C.M.


S. AM (21)
2/8/50
3.8.50

This is the fourth time a revision of salary is being proposed undertaken. HM (Fin)

1. — Rs 160
2. — 165-2 45
3. — 230
4. — M.E.S. Scale. 114

Is it necessary to review the previous orders? Can we review the position at the end of three years as contemplated when the G.O. fixing Rs 230 was issued?

B.S. 9
13.8



(Y)

Secy. Education:

In view of his superior responsibility, the Provincial Basic Education Officer may be given a scale of pay somewhat higher than that of other gazetted officers in the Department. The time-scale 300-30-600-50-1000 is suggested. This scale involves only a nominal additional cost to Govt., while it gives the officer the status of a Deputy Director and this is essential for discharging the duties he is entrusted with in G.O.No.1331, Edu., dated 26-5-1948.

Take steps to give effect to this and do not take much time for examination

KM
11/8

Edu CCJ

Please see the notes note of H.M above. The Basic Education officer was appointed in 1948 on the minimum of the time scale of pay admissible to officers of the M.E.S. i.e. Rs. 230. A proposal to allow him to draw pay in the time scale instead of the minimum has been received from the D.P.G. The matter was first recorded that there was no need to grant pay in time scale. H.M (Fin) has ordered that the proposal of the D.P.G. should be approved. The connected file has been submitted to H.M (Fin) for approval of the proposal on 3.8.50. In the circumstances, this may lie over till the return of the file from circulation.

12.8.50
12/8


14/8/50

5/11/50

Phase in the minutes of H.M. (Fin)
on p 8 ante. Apparently this he is not, for
allowing the time scale at present. In his
minutes on p 9 ante, H.M. (E & L) has ordered
that the B.E.O. should be granted pay in the
time scale of Rs. 300 - 300 - 600 - 50 - 1000
against the minimum of Rs. 230/- in the
scale of Rs. 230 - 700 now drawn ^{by him}. There is
a difference of opinion ^{in circulation even in the matter of} ~~in even~~ allowing the
time scale, the minimum of which, the officer
is now drawing. It is not known whether
the officer will be granted a scale
higher than the one, which he is now
allowed.

2. The file may be circulated
to H.M. (E & L), H.M. (Fin) and H.C. for
orders.
3/16.8.50 16/8

The scale of pay for Divl inspectors
of Schools and Deputy Directors is Rs 600-100/- - 1000.
H.M. (Fin) does not seem to be inclined to give
the Head quarters (Provincial) Basic Edu. Officer
even the regular time scale of pay of B.E.O.
Rs 230-700/-. Therefore the question of giving any
higher scale than Rs 230-700 may perhaps
be left to be considered in due course.
The ^{proposal} ~~proposal~~ to give to the Head quarters B.E.O.
and the Andhra B.E.O. the time scale of Rs 230-700
may be pressed for ~~immediate~~ immediate sanction.
Finance may see before ~~the~~ circulation. Edn U.O. 105 H.H. 4/10-2



10

(Previous Finance note on pp.5-6 above)

Orders in circulation and the further notes of
Education from page 7 alone may be perused.

The case relates to the grant of a time scale of
Rs. 230-700 to both the Head quarters Basic Education
Officer and the B.E.O. for the Andhra Desa. The above
two Officers have been given the gazetted status and
they are at present allowed only a fixed pay of Rs. 230/-
and it was also specified in the orders that the two
posts will be on a fixed pay of Rs. 230/- for a period
of three years.

A on p 6 above

p 3 3 (T) 4
p 13 4 2 (Y)

p 7 above

p 7-8 above

H.M. (Finance) agreed with the suggestion of H.M.
(E & L) that Sri Rudrapasa^{Wm} will be the Provincial
Basic Educational Officer, but he held the view that
there was no need for any further revision. H.C.M.
minuted in favour of allowing a time scale of Rs. 230-700
to the B.E.Os. on the ground that the present B.E.Os.
are not going to be dispensed with on expiry of three
years limit.

p 10 above

Education now propose to press for ^{the} time scale of
Rs. 230-700 to both the B.E.Os and they have also noted
that the question of allowing a still higher scale
to the Head quarters Basic Education Officer may be
left to be considered in due course. This Department
had already suggested that the question of allowing
a time scale of pay may be considered after expiry of
three years as specified in original Orders. This
view was accepted by H.M. (Finance).

A on p 5 above

A on p 7 above

It is submitted that there are no new grounds for
changing the view already taken. This Department
may suggest that Education may kindly take orders
in circulation including H.M. (Finance) for allowing
a time scale of Rs. 230-700 even before expiry of the
three years period.

Kdu

24/10/50

When a time scale of Rs 230-700 was
proposed for the Basic Edu. Officer at headquarters in 1948
H.M. Fin was of the view that the revision of pay

3. The file may now be circulated to H.M.(E.&L.), H.M.(Fin.) and H.C.M. for orders on the following points:-

(1) Whether the Basic Education officers at Headquarters and Andhra Desa should ~~both~~ be allowed the benefit of the time scale of Rs. 230-700; and

(2) Whether the scale of pay to be allowed to the Basic Education Officer at Headquarters should be raised from Rs. 230-700 to Rs. 300-1000.

$\frac{2}{6.9.50}$ $\frac{2}{5/9}$ $\frac{1}{7/9/50}$ $\frac{2}{7.9.50}$


Hu(E&L) (1) above has already been accepted in circulation.

Hu(Fin) That the Hd. Qrs B.E. will be called

Provincial B.E. is also agreed to. The only question is whether the Provincial Basic Education Officer should be given a higher status. I find from my experience it is highly necessary. Otherwise Basic Education will not prosper. In Bombay & Bihar, the persons in charge of Basic Education are by directors.

(1) above may be agreed to. (2) says Rs 300 instead of Rs 230. H.M.(E&L) says it will increase cost for 15 years. Let the fourth revision be for some time. B.E. 15.9

(2) will not increase cost for atleast 15 years. So (2) may be agreed to



Fin. no 73698 90-7 20/8/50

Edm (4)

$\frac{70}{3/1/50}$

Edm.

12
method too big a jump (from the previous scale of Rs. 165-245 mentioned in Oct 1947) - see the minute of the then H.M. Edm on p.12 of (Y). ~~A fine~~ It was accordingly decided to sanction a fixed pay of Rs. 230, the minimum of the HES Scale, ^{for three years} for the Basic Edm off at headqrs as well as the off for Andhra Desa. - see orders in circulation on 13 of (Y). The minute of H.M. Fin on 18 ante is in accord with the above decision. Fin may reiterate this view and also advise strongly against giving a higher scale (Rs. 300-1000) for the Basic Edm off at headqrs. When the Govt considered that Rs. 230-700 was too high a jump compared with Rs. 165-245, the scale of Rs. 300-1000 should be held to be quite unjustifiable.

The proposal (of the D.P.I.) in this file is that the two Gazetted posts of Basic Education officers at Headquarters and Andhra Desa may be placed on a time scale of Rs. 230-700, instead of on a fixed pay of Rs. 230 as at present. In their notes on pp. 5-6 ANTE, Finance deptt. held the view that the posts should be on the fixed pay of Rs. 230/- p.m. for a period of three years as already ordered in the G.Os. at (Y) and (T) (i.e., till 26-5-51 and 20-7-52). When the case was circulated for orders the proposal was accepted by H.M.(E.&L.) and H.C.M. while H.M.(Fin.) recorded the view that the posts might be on the minimum pay of Rs. 230/- for the present.

In the meantime H.M.(E.&L.) in his note on p.9 ANTE, has expressed the view that the Basic Education officer at Headquarters should be allowed a time scale of Rs. 300-1000 immediately in view of his superior responsibility. The Finance Dept. consider that the scale should be held to be quite unjustifiable.

14
No.(1) has been agreed to. *Issued immediately KM 20/9*

With regard to No.(2), before deciding on the question of fixation of pay for the Prov.B.E.O., it is desirable to define what his functions are, and what exactly his status in the department is. Without these matters being defined clearly, the mere proposal to fix his pay, may not be a proper approach of the question. One thing is however definite. The Basic Education has been adopted definitely as the basis of our Government's educational policy; and the introduction of Basic Education is also proceeding on a planned basis, the schools imparting Basic Education and the Basic Education Training Schools being steadily on the increase. These require the services of an officer with qualification and equipment in Basic Education, to be in charge of the Basic Education Scheme and for the administrative control and supervision over the Basic Educational institutions functioning in the State. There can be no two opinions on the need for such a Prov.B.E.O.

But the question is, what shall be the functions, and the status and position in the educational departmental structure, that are to be assigned to him, commensurate with the duties he is called upon to perform on the province basis? As a provincial officer, his office would certainly require a status higher than that of the D.E.O.; otherwise, his control over the Basic educational institutions in the districts, may not be weighty and effective.

The further question is whether (though he is to be assigned a status higher than that of the D.E.O.) he should be made a subordinate to the Dy. D.P.I. for the purpose of supervision and control. [It is however to be stated that it is neither proper nor desirable to assign to the Pro. B.E.O. such a subordinate position;] because, the apathy and unhelpful attitude of the departmental people as a whole towards the Basic Education, would render the position of Prov.B.E.O. precarious, if he is made a subordinate under any other officer than the Head of the

This must be embodied in the letter to H.O.G. as the Govt. decided view
KM 20/9

This also should be embodied in the letter
KM

15
Department, the D.P.I.; and the pin-pricks and obstacles given to him by others will not see their end. So, it is proper that the Pro.B.E.O. in charge of Basic Education throughout the State is under the direct control of the D.P.I.

My idea is that the functions and status of the Pro.B.E.O. should be well-defined; and the pay pertaining to the post can be appropriately fixed subsequently. The views of the D.P.I. on the matter may be called for.



V 2/2
H.C.M.

The file may be recirculated to H.M. (E.L.) for perusal of the minutes of H.M. (Gen.) and H.C.M.
KM 20/9/50

Draft the letter to H.O.G. immediately. H.M. (E.L.) try ask him to treat it as urgent. From one word ~~provincial~~ (H.C.M.) "Provincial" will not suit now.

Since in view of the remarks of H.C.M. at X above He will be a Dy. D. in charge of the work in the H.O.G. as a Dy. D. D.
KM 30/9

A draft order and a draft D.O. are subd. The draft order may be issued. After issue, the draft D.O. may be circd. to H.M. (E.&L.) for perusal.

order issued
H.M. (E.L.) KM 3/10/50
20/9/50



A.B. D and the spirit
of C in the D.O. The D.P.I.
may know the ~~for~~ idea
in relation to this for

Box

KM

4/10



A revised draft D.O. is subd.

on 5/10/50 \$ 11

I have allowed
the draftabit 5.10
KM

6/10

Hon (F.R.)

