Pt — of
Cum

①

Sectt. II-27—104,000—4-11-49.

Education (1950-) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2724 Edn

Dated 30/9/50.

~~[illegible]~~

(22)

[Despatch Abstract.]

M.E.S. — Tempy. appts. of certain persons as DE — Regularized.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.
760	3304		1776	
8.3.50	28.10.49		18.7.48	
3521	3104		3773	
15.11.49	8.10.49		3-12-49	
3357	613		1571 Ed	
3.11.49	7.3.49		[illegible]5.50	

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.
[illegible]	2171	Ed.	[illegible] 9-52	

C 18. 71/48 A
20.1.49.

71/49

(2)

71/49
JAN 49

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Through the proper channel.

Pl 2
21.1.49.

Most Honoured Sir,

I request you to kindly permit me to put the following points before youx for favourable consideration.

I was first employed in the Department of Public Instruction in October 1924 as a school assistant and Ihave ever since been discharging my duties to the best of my ability and to the utmost satisfaction of all my superiors. I was confirmed on 1-8-1926 and was promoted to the selection grade in the combined cadre of school assistants and Deputy Inspectors of Schools on 13-12-1944 in virtue of my long and meritorious service. But when promotions to the Madras Educational service were made in 1948, my claims were overlooked and some of my juniors were promoted while I am still left in the subordinate educational service.

My work and conduct has all along been quite satisfactory and at no time in my long service did I ever get any adverse remark from any of my superior officers. But I won the approbation of my superior officers all along in my service and more so during the last eight or nine years during which period I was Deputy Inspector of Schools, Srungaravapukota range, and Head Master, Government Secondary Training School, Parvatipuram. During this period all my ~~xxxxx~~ superior officers were well pleased

P.T.O.

2

with my work, and were pleased to congratulate me on my good work, powers of administration and tact in management in their inspection reports. Hence I feel confident that my personal file must have been uniformly good. But to my utter disappointment, my claims for promotion were overlooked and some of juniors were promoted to the Madras Educational Service.

G.O.No. 1258 Edn. dated 16-6-47 issuing ammendments to the special rules for Madras Educational Service prescribes that an officer of the subordinate educational service should have put in a service of one year as Deputy Inspector of Schools and two years as a school assistant in order to be eligible for promotion to the Madras Educational Service. Even before the date of G.O. I possessed the requisite qualifications; I was Deputy Inspector of schools, Srungavarapukota range from 14-8-1939 to 8-10-1940 (which is more than one year) and from 11-7-1948 to-date and school assistant during the rest of my service including my service as head master of the Government Secondary Training School, Parvatipuram from 8-10-1940 to 2-7-1948 (which is more than two years.)

In this connection it may not be out of place if I beg to submit that I passed all the departmental tests viz., D.S. test with accounts and language test in Oriya. Taking these points into consideration, viz., (1) good record of work

(not put up)

(2) qualifications as prescribed in G.O.No.1258 Edn. dated 16-6-47

(3) seniority in service, and

(4) passing all the departmental tests

C Us. [illegible] 20-1-49. 3

③

I am confident that I am eligible for promotion to the Madras Educational Service and that I can discharge my duties in a more responsible post to the entire satisfaction of all my superiors.

I further beg to submit that I will be fifty years of age by 6-12-1949, when it may be argued that I am over aged for promotion under Article 5(a)(B) of the rules relating to the Madras Educational Service.

I beg to request your, therefore, to reconsider my claims for promotion and favour me with immediate promotion to the Madras Educational Service and thus redress the grievous injustice done to me.

I beg to remain,

Most Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. Kamesam

Deputy Inspector of Schools,
Guntur South Range.

Station: Guntur
Date: 20-1-1949

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

4

-4-

[illegible] FEB 1949

N.Dis. 71-A/49. 3.2.49

Submitted to the Divisional Inspector of Schools Godavari Division

[illegible]
3.2.49
Personal Assistant
to the [illegible]
Dt. Educational
Officer Guntur West
Guntur

D
23/1/49

Submitted to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras

D. Ramaraju
7.2.49
Divisional Inspr of Schools,
Godavari Dn.

---

(4) 4 5

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
R.C.NO.442-C.1/49 Dated 1-3-1949.
£@£

Madras Educational Service - Sri G. Kamesam, Deputy Inspector of Schools, Guntur South Range - Promotion.

Pp. 1 to 3 ante

Ref: Petition dated 20-1-1949 from Sri G. Kamesam with the Endt. N.Dis.112-A/49 dated 7-2-1949 of the Divisional Inspector, Godavari Dn.

--- P. 4 ante

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH
D- 16646

Submitted.

2. The petitioner is a senior officer in the selection grade of Deputy Inspectors and School Assistants. He was not recommended for inclusion in the approved list for 1947-48 as he had been working mostly in schools and was not considered fit for executive work. The Public Service Commission agreed with the Director and classed him as "not yet fit" vide para 4 of the Commission's letter No.1723-B.1/47-2-dated 27-5-47 extracts from which were received with G.O.Ms.1793-Edn dated 9-8-1947.

(not fit up)

for Director of Public Instruction.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

4.3.49

RVR 3/3/-

6

E.P.H. (A)

The question whether this officer should be put in the approved list (1948) for appt. as A.D.I.s in the Telugu region is under correspondence with the M.P.S.C. & the D.P.I. The final report of the Govt in that matter may be awaited. (Separate file)

31/10/49

31/8/49

E.P.H

A (5) 5 7

Sri N. Ramakrishna Rao,
President,
Berhampore Co-operative
Central Bank Ltd.,
BERHAMPORE.
(Ganjam)

~~Dated~~ 9th June 1949.

HON'BLE THE PREMIER
13 JUN 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 ... 1
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT

45417

My Dear Kumaraswamy Raja Garu,

You will be surprised to receive this letter from me. But I make bold to write this to you in the interest of Fair play and Justice.

A friend of mine, Mr. Goda Kamesam, is a Senior Deputy Inspector of Schools, serving till recently in Guntur. He is under orders of transfer and is at present on leave. His claims for promotion as District Educational Officer have been overlooked and juniors promoted on previous occasions and when the injustice was brought to the notice of the Director of Public Instruction, the Director promised to look into the matter and nothing transpired as yet. He has now filed an appeal to the Government in January 1949 through the Director of Public Instruction, sending a copy of the appeal direct to the concerned Secretariat to avoid delay. It has informally come to my notice that the appeal submitted to the Government through the Director of Public Instruction has not yet been forwarded to the Government though more than four months have elapsed.

pp.1-3 ante

I now request you to see that the appeal is got back from the Director of Public Instruction and have it -- examined by the Government and orders passed. The matter is urgent as my friend will be completing his 50th year by this November or December, after which his age will stand as a bar for promotion.

A gentleman who has served the Government faithfully for a good length of time naturally looks for promotion -- towards the closing years of his service. The younger juniors might well be made to wait till the seniors are provided for. I further understand there is no bad -- record against my friend acting as a bar for promotion.

p.t.o.,

8

Hence I would once more request you to see that the appeal is brought from the Office of the Director of Public Instruction and favourable orders passed thereon, if you are satisfied that claims are overlooked on flimsy grounds.

Thanking you for a reply.

Yours sincerely,
N. Ramakrishna Rao

To

The Hon'ble Sri Kumaraswamy Raja,
Premier,
Government of Madras,
MADRAS.

A 6(6) 9

MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
R
2[illegible] JUN 1949
GOVT. OF MAD[illegible]

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education,
Government of Madras, Fort. St.George,
MADRAS.

22 JUN 1949

ASST. SECRETARY TO [illegible]
2[illegible] 1
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HE[illegible]

Through

The Proper channel.

Sub:- The humble appeal of G.Kamesam, B.A., L.T., for redress of grievances.

...

47597

May it please your honour,

I have been working in the Department of Public Instruction as a School Assistant, as Headmaster of Government Training Schools and as Deputy Inspector of Schools for the last twenty-five years to he utmost satisfaction of all my superior~~s~~ officers most of whom were pleased to congratulate me on my good work my poweres of administration and tact in management in their inspection reports. I was first employed in October 1924, confirmed in August 1926 and promoted to the Selection Grade in 1944. But when promotions to the gazetted rank as District Educational Officers were taken up, my claims have been overlooked and some who were far junior to me in all respects have been promoted even though I possessed all the required qualifications. All the candidates in the Subordinate Educational Service that were promoted on and after 1-4-1948 to the gazetted rank are all junior to me in all respects.

p.11 infra

I submitted an appeal to the Director of Public Instruction on 21-4-1948 to redress my grievances(copy enclosed). No action was taken on it, as I neither received a reply nor was I given promotion. Hence, I appealed to the Secretary to the Government, on 20-1-1949 (copy enclosed). Upto now no action seems to have been taken yet. Hence, I am submitting a further appeal to your honour to give a kind ear to my grievances and redress the grievous injustice done to me.

pp.1-3 ante

I beg to submit that I will be fifty years of age by 6-12-1949, when it may be argued that I am overaged for promotion

P.T.O

under Article 5 (a) (B) of the rules relating to the Madras Educational Service. Hence, I humbly beg to request your honour to take early action on my appeal and favour me ~~with~~ with immediate promotion to the Madras Educational Service.

I beg to remain,
May it please your honour,
Your most obedient servant,

Station: Guntur
Dated 15-6-1949.

16.6.49
Deputy Inspector of Schools,
Guntur South Range.

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

Copy submitted to the chairman, public services commission, Madras.

To
The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

Through.
The Proper Channel.

Most Respected Sir,

I beg to put the following grievance before you for your kind perusal and favourable redress.

I have been working in the Education Department for the last 24 years most faithfully, loyally and to the utmost satisfaction of all my superior officers. My service was well appreciated by the Department all throughm my meirtorious work was recognised and I was favoured with pormotion to the Selection grade in the combined cadre of School Assistants and Deputy Inspectors of Schools, in December 1944. After the promotion my service in the Department was even more meritorious. All my superiors Officers were well pleased with my work and declared it to be efficient. They were even pleased to congratulate me for my efficient and thorough going work. A perusal of the Inspection reports of the Schools of which I am the headmaster proves this fact beyond doubt.

But in 1948 promotion to the Madras Educational Service was given to two of my juniors-Vide Fort St.George Gazette Notification Nos. 86 & 87 dated 1-4-1948.

The following information taken from the anmueal ~~list~~ of non-gazetted officers of the Education Department corrected upto 1-1-1946 shows how I am senior to both of them.

Name		Date of promotion to the Selection Grade.
1. Sri G.Kamesam	..	13-12-1944.
2. Mr. Md.Ahmed Ali	..	1-1-1945.
3. Mr.K.Veerabhadra Swamy	..	27-5-1945.

Thus it is clear that for no fault of mine and inspite of my honest, faithful, loyal and meritorious work, I was punished by my claims having been overlooked and two of my juniors were given promotion to the Madras Educational Service.

I therefore, appeal to you to examine my clims and favour me with promotion to the Madras Educational Service.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Parvathipur
21-4-1948.

Sd. G.Kamesam
Headmaster,
Government Training School,
Parvathipur.

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

(True Copy)

⑨

9 12

To
The Secretary o the Government,
Education/ and Public Health,
Fort St.George/ Madras.

Through
The Proper Channel.

Most Honoured Sir,

I request you to/ kinkdly permit me to put the following points before/ you for favourable consideration.

I was first employed in the Department of Public Instruction in October 1924 as a School Assitant and I have ever since been discharging my duties to the best of my ability and to the utmost satisfaction of all/ my superiors. I was confirmed on 1-8-1926 and was promoted to the Selection Grade in the combined cadre of School Assistants and Deputy Inspectors of Schools on 13-12-1944 in virtue of my long and meritorious service. But when promotions to the Madras Educational Service were made in 1948 my claims were overlooked and some of my juniors wee promoted while I am still left in the Subordinate Educational Service.

My work and conduct has all alonf been quite satisfactory and at no time in my long service did I ever get any adverse remark from any of my superior officers. But I won the approbation of my superior officers all alonf in my service and more so during the last eitht or none years during which period I was Deputy Inspector of Schools, Srungavarapukota Range, and Headmaster, Governeent Trainging School, Parvathipuram. During this period all my superior officers were pleased with my work, and were pleased to congratulate me on my good work, poweres of administration/ and tact in management, in their inspection reports. Hence I feel confident that my perosnal file must have neen uniformly good. But to my utter disappointment, my claims for pormotion were overlooked and some of my juniors were promoted to the Madras Educational Service.

G.O. No.1258 Edn. dated 16-6-1947 issuing amendments to the special Rules for Madras Educational Service prescribes that an Officer of the subordinate service should have put in a service of one year as Deputy Inspector of Schools and two years as Schools/ Assistant in order to be eligible for promotion to the Madras Educational Service. Even before the date of G.O. , I possessed the requisite qualifications; I was Deputy Inspector of Schools, Srungavarapukota range, from 14-8-1939 to 5-10-1940 which is more than one year and from 11-7-1948 to date and School Assistant during the rest of my service as Headmaster of the Government Training School Parvathipuram from 6-10-1940 to 2-7-'48 which is more than two years.

In this connectio , it may not be out of place if I beg to submit that I passed all the Departmental Tests, Viz., Deputy Inspector's Test with Accounts and language test in Oriya. Taking these points into consideration , viz., (1) good record of wrok, (2) qualifications as prescribed in G.O.No. 1258 Edn. dated 16-6-1947 (3) Seniority in service, and (4) Passing all the departmental tests, I am confident that I am eligible for promotion to the Madras Educational Service and that I can discharge my dities in a more responsible post to the entire satisfaction of all my superiors.

I beg to submit that I ill be fifty-years of age by 6-12-1949 when it may be argued that I am overaged for promotion under article 5 (a)(B) of the rules relating to the Madras Educational Service.

P.T.O.

I beg to request you , therefore, to reconsider my claims for pormotion and favour me with immediate promotion to the Madras Educational Service and thus redress the grievous injustice done to me.

I beg to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Station; Guntur
Date 20-1-1949

Sd. G.Kamesam
Deputy Inspector of Schools,
~~xxxxxxxxxxx~~, Guntur South Range.

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

(True Copy)

10

Confdl.

Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A).

MEMO.No.16646-A-2-Edn., d/- 6.9.49 (10) 13

Sri G.Kamesam, Dy.Inspr. of Schools, Guntur South
Attempt to bring influence for furtherance of interests.

~~The Hon. Premier has recd. a letter from~~ Sri N.Ramakrishna Rao, President, Berhampore Co-operative Central Bank, Berhampore, (has sent a letter to the Hon. Premier advocating) ~~in the matter of~~ claims of Sri G.Kamesam for 'promotion' to the M.E.S. ~~of Sri G.Kamesam.~~ CF 7-8

Sri Ramakrishna Rao has ~~sent~~ done this ~~letter,~~ evidently on being approached for help by the Dy. Inspr. of Schools. ~~at the instance of Sri Kamesam.~~ The D.P.I. is requested to invite the attention of ~~the Dy.Inspr. of Schools~~ Sri Kamesam to rule 26 of the G.S.C.Rules, and Govt.Memo.No.123-Pub.(Ser.), d/- 2-6-49, which prohibit Govt. servants from bringing or attempting to bring outside influence to bear upon any superior authority for the furtherance of their interests, and ~~to~~ warn him.

Ⓚ

To the DPI. 7/9

P.T. 3.9.49 3/9/49.

6/9/49

Sd. G.Kamesam

20/9/

A Confidential

11 ⑪ 14

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
R.Cl. No. 10/48 dated 17 September 1949.

Sub: Madras Educational Service - Promotion to - Petition of Sri G. Kamesam, Deputy Inspector of Schools.

REF: Petition dated 15-6-1949 of Sri G. Kamesam with the Endt. N.Dis.627-A/49 dated 21-8-1949 of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Godavari Dvn.

Submitted. p.2 pp.15-16 p.5

21 SEP 1949

2.The petition dated 20-1-1949 of Sri G. Kamesam regarding his promotion to the Madras Educational Service was submitted to Government with the Director's endorsement RC.442-Cl/49 dated 1-3-1949.

3.The age limit for appointment by transfer to the administrative section of the Madras Educational Service has been raised to 52 in G.O. Ms.2369 Public (Services) dated 21-7-1949. The petitioner's completing 50 years of age on 6-12-1949 would not, therefore, affect his promotion to the Madras Educational Service in his turn.

72928

(not put up)

4.In connection with the impending selection of candidates for appointment to the category of District Educational Officers by transfer the petitioner has also been recommended for selection and the Public Service Commission is now seized of the matter.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Madras.

19.9

Ram.19/9/1949.

2/5

Same as pp. 9-10 supra

12

15

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education,

Government of Madras, Fort St. George,

Madras.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
Recd 10/148
20 AUG 1949
MADRAS

137238

Through the proper channel.

Subject :- The humble appeal of G.Kamesam, B.A., L.T., for redress of grievances

G1

12

May it please your honour,

I have been working in the Department of Public Instruction as a School Assistant, as Headmaster of Government Training Schools and as Deputy Inspector of Schools for the last nearly twenty-five years to the utmost satisfaction of all my superior officers, most of whom were pleased to congratulate me on my good work, my powers of administration and tact in management in their inspection reports. I was first employed in October 1924, confirmed in August 1926 and promoted to the Selection Grade in 1944. But when promotions to the gazetted rank as District Educational Officers were taken up, my claims have been overlooked and some who were far junior to me in all respects have been promoted even though I possessed all the required qualifications. All the candidates in the Subordinate Educational Service that were promoted on and after 1-4-1948 to the gazetted rank are all junior to me in all respects.

I submitted an appeal to the Director of Public Instruction on 21-4-48 to redress my grievances (copy enclosed). No action was taken on it, as I neither received a reply nor was I given promotion. Hence, I appealed to the Secretary to the Government, Education and Public Health Department, on 20-1-49 (copy enclosed). Upto now no action seems to have been taken yet. Hence, I am submitting

p2114

p2114

p.t.o

a further appeal to your honour to give a kind ear to my grievances and redress the grievous injustice done to me.

I beg to submit that I will be fifty years of age by 6-12-1949, when it may be argued that I am overaged for promotion under Article 5 (a) (B) of the rules relating to the Madras Educational Service. Hence, I humbly beg to request your honour to take early action on my appeal and favour me with immediate promotion to the Madras Educational Service.

I beg to remain,
May it please your honour,
Your most obedient servant,

Station: GUNTUR,
Dated 15-6-1949

G. Kamesam
16.6.49
Deputy Inspector of Schools,
Guntur South Range ~~(on leave)~~

(Copy submitted direct to save delay)
copy submitted to the chairman, public Services Commission, Madras

To
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Through the Proper Channel.

Most Respected Sir,

I beg to put the following grievance before you for your kind perusal and favourable redress.

I have been working in the Education Department for the last 24 years most faithfully, loyally and to the utmost satisfaction of all my superior officers. My service was well appreciated by the Department all-through, my meritorious work was recognised and I was favoured with promotion to the Selection grade in the combined cadre of School Assistants and Deputy Inspectors of Schools in December 1944. After the promotion my service in the Department was even more meritorious. All my superior officers were well pleased with my work and declared it to be efficient. They were even pleased to congratulate me for my efficient and thorough going work. A perusal of the inspection reports of the schools of which I am the headmaster proves this fact beyond doubt.

But in 1948 promotion to the Madras Educational Service was given to two of my juniors -- vide Fort St. George Gazette Notifications Nos. 86 & 87 dated 1-4-1948.

The following information taken from the annual list of non-gazetted officers of the Education Department corrected upto 1-1-46 shows how I am senior to both of them.

Name	Date of promotion to the selection grade
1. Sri G.Kamesam	13-12-1944
2. Mr. Md.Ahmed Ali	1--1-1945
3. Mr. K.Virabhadraswamy	27--5-1945

Thus it is clear that for no fault of mine and inspite of my honest, faithful, loyal and meritorious work, I was punished by my claims having been overlooked and two of my juniors were given promotion to the M.E.S.

I therefore appeal to you to examine my claims and favour me with promotion to the Madras Educational Service.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Parvatipur,
21--4--1948.

(Sd.) G.Kamesam,
Headmaster,
Govt. Training School, Parvatipur.

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

(True copy)

~~221~~ 15 Same as 18 p/p.1-3 ante

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George; MADRAS.

Throgh the proper channel.

15—

Most Honoured Sir,

I request you to kindly permit me to put the following points before you for favourable consideration.

I was first employed in the Department of Public Instruction in October 1924 as a School Assistant and I have ever since been discharging my duties to the best of my ability and to the utmost satisfaction of all my superiors. I was confirmed on 1-8-1926 and was promoted to the selection grade in the combined cadre of school assistants and Deputy Inspectors of Schools on 13-12-1944 in virtue of my long and meritorious service. But, when promotions to the Madras Educational Service were made in 1948, my claims were overlooked and some of my juniors were promoted while I am still left in the Subordinate Educational Service.

My work and conduct has all along been quite satisfactory and at no time in my long service did I ever get any adverse remark from any of my superior officers. But I won the approbation of my superior officers all along in my service and more so during the last eight or nine years during which period I was Deputy Inspector of Schools, Srungaravapukota Range, and Headmaster, Government Secondary Training School, Parvatipuram. During this period all my superior officers were well pleased with my work, and were pleased to congratulate me on my good work, powers of administration and tact in management, in their inspection reports. Hence, I feel confident that my personal file must have been uniformly good. But to my utter disappointment, my claims for promotion were overlooked and some of my juniors were promoted to the Madras Educational Service.

G.O. No.1258, Education, dated 16-6-47 issuing amendments to the Special Rules for Madras Educational Service prescribes that an officer of the subordinate educational service should have put in a service of one year as Deputy Inspector of Schools and two years as a School Assistant in order to be eligible for promotion to the Madras Educational Service. Even before the date of G.O., I possessed the requisite qualifications; I was Deputy Inspector of Schools, Srungavarapukota Range, from 14-8-1939 to 5-10-1940 (which is more than one year) and from 11-7-1948 to date and School Assistant during the rest of my service including my service as Headmaster of the Government Secondary Training School, Parvatipuram, from 6-10-1940 to 2-7-1948 (which is more than two years).

In this connection, it may not be out of place if I beg to submit that I passed all the Departmental Tests, viz., Deputy Inspector's Test with Accounts and language test in Oriya. Taking these points into consideration, viz., (1) good record of work; (2) qualifications as prescribed in G.O. No.1258, Education, dated 16-6-47, (3) Seniority in service, and (4) passing all the departmental tests, I am confident that I am eligible for promotion to the Madras Educational Service and that I can discharge my duties in a more responsible post to the entire satisfaction of all my superiors.

p.t.o

19

I beg to submit that I will be fifty-years of age by 6-12-1949, when it may be argued that I am over-aged for promotion under Article 5 (a) (B) of the rules relating to the Madras Educational Service.

I beg to request you, therefore, to reconsider my claims for promotion and favour me with immediate promotion to the Madras Educational Service and thus redress the grievous injustice done to me.

I beg to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) G. Kamesam,

Deputy Inspector of Schools,
Guntur South Range.

Station: Guntur
Date: 20-1-1949

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

(True copy)

A

16 A ~~223~~ (16) 29

NDis 131 A / 49 dt 17.6.49.

17/6

Submitted to the Dt. Educational Officer, Guntur North, Guntur.

G. Kamesam
17.6.49

Deputy Inspector of Schools,
Guntur South Range.

Subject: — Appeal petition of Sri G. Kamesam Deputy Inspector of Schools Guntur South Range for promotion —

District Educational Officer, Guntur North, Guntur
444A/49
1 JUN 1949

17/6.

NDis 444 A/49 .8.49

Submitted to the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Godavari Division

S. Murugan
5/8/49

District Educational Officer,
Guntur North, Guntur.

3.8.49

Divisional Inspector of Schools
11 AUG 1949
C. No. 627-A/49

21

-224-

Rc. Dis. No. 627-A/49

21-8-49

Submitted to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

21/8

Divisional Inspector of Schools,
Godavary Dvn. Kakinada

19/8 20/8

To
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

17

22

Edn. and P.H. Dept.(A).

M.E.S. - Sri G.Kamesam, Dy.Inspr. of Schools-- Request for appt. as D.EO.

---

cf 1-3

A

x last occasion

Q/49 (para 4) + 50 (Annexure III)

Sri G.Kamesam, a fairly senior officer in the combined cadre of Senior Dy.Insprs. of Schools and School Assts., has sent an "appeal" to Govt. in the matter of his appt. as D.E.O. in the M.E.S. When the list of approved candidates for appt. by transfer from the Subordinate Service was drawn up in 1947, the case of Sri G. Kamesam was considered. Then, the M.P.S.C., in consultation with the D.P.I., classed him as "not yet fit", and the Govt. agreed. From the D.P.I.'s endorsement forwarding the officer's appeal, it is seen that the Dir. did not recommend the inclusion of his name in the list for he considered him not fit for executive work as he had been working mostly in schools.

LF

f 2-LF

2. Recently, the post of D.E.O., Guntur North, fell vacant. The Dir. recommended the tempy. appt. of Sri Kamesam in that vacancy. But very soon after the vacancy ceased and the Dir. wanted the Govt. to hold his proposal in abeyance. In that connection also, the Dir. mentioned the reasons as to why Sri Kamesam's name was not recommended last year and added that with a view to giving him administrative experience he was posted as Dy.Inspr. in July 1948 and that his work has been satisfactory.

para 3, cf 1-LF

AA

3. The matter of selecting eight names for approval by Govt. for appt. by transfer as D.E.Os. is now pending with the Commission and the D.P.I. In view of A above, it is likely that they willrecommend Sri Kamesam. Further, the age-limit of 50 which has been in force so far has recently been altered by Public to 52 and this will be an additional point in favour of Sri Kamesam who is nearing 50. No orders on his petition for appointment as D.E.O. need therefore be passed now. The file may lie over till the Commission's recommendations are in.

4. But the following matter which has arisen incidantally deserves consideration. Sri Kamesam

23

does not seem to have been satisfied with himself writing to Govt. Sri N.Ramakrishna Rao, ~~Pex~~ President of the Berhampore Co-operative Central Bank, seems to have been approached by him. That gentlemen has ~~sixse~~ sent a letter to the Hon. Premier - pp.7-8 C.F. By his having sought to bring outside influence to bear on Govt. in the matter of his promotion, Sri Kamesam has violated G.S.C.Rules 26 - vide instructions at "K". For ~~this~~ orders whether this matter may be pursued, the officer being asked to explain. | A.

C.F. 7-8

5. Public (Ser.) may see before circn. to H.M.(E.&L.) and H.Pr.

16/8/49

17/8/49

The matter as at A may not be pursued

17/8

Pub (Ser)

M A

E.&P.H. 1664-A-1 17.8.49

(Sealed cover)

Assistant Secretary to Government, Chief Secretariat, 19 AUG 1949, 3240

PUBLIC (SERVICES) DEPARTMENT

Please see the E & P.H. Department note from p.1 ante. According to the instructions issued in the O.M. at (K) disciplinary proceedings should invariably be taken against the officer ~~concerned.~~ who contravenes the rule. In view of this in other cases ~~the circumstances~~, it does not seem that ~~the view at~~ 'M' above can be agreed to in this department. The matter should be pursued. H.P.'s orders may be taken

The file may be returned to E & P.H.

23.8.49

23/8/49

25.8.49

26/8 E.P.H

26/8/49

Thro' (Ser. C) 22/8/49

23.8.49

24.8.49

399

Pub (Ser. A) U.O. No. 3240/49-1 26/8/49

Edn. & P.H. Dept. (A)

The file may be sent to H.M.(E.&L.) & H.Pr. for orders.

30/8/49

31/8/49

31/8

H.M. (E & L)

H.Pr.

29 AUG 1949

---

5 18 (18) 24

This trying to bring influence has become more the rule than exception. And the person who has written on his behalf has stated wrong facts at X on page 7. The petition has been received here in March & the 4 months were in the Secretariat.

The officer will certainly say that he did not know that other persons are taking interest in him.

He may be warned. That will do

KM

1/9

2-9-49

Secretary, Edn. and P.H. Dept., Government of Madras, 2 SEP 1949

A draft Memo. is subd.

3.9.49

3/9/49

6/9/49

C.F.13 — (Issued as Memo. No. 1664-A-2-Edn., dt. 5.9.49)

C.F.14 From the P.S.C., No. 10/48, dt. 17.9.49.

Para 3, p.1 ante: The Commission has not sent in its recommendations. They may be awaited.

19.9.49

21.9.49

21/9/49

23/9/49

Edn. Dept. (A)

On the Commission's recommendation, Sri G. Kamesam's name has since been put on the approved list. It is also proposed separately to appoint him regularly as

#

95

(with draft order)

.E.O. The connected file / has been circd.

H.I.(E.H.) ~~A.H.C.II.~~ on 22.9.50.

no action in this file is nec(y).

ay be put away(*).

Cha
9r. 26.9.50

OM
27/9/50

(*) [The papers will be kept stitched with the order ~~proposed~~ to be issued separately regularising the officer's tempy. appt. already made.]

17911

(19) 26

19

SECRETARIAT
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
30 JUN 1950

"Confidential"

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
30 JUN 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Madras.

R.CL. No. 10/48, Dated the 30th June 1950.

Sir,

Subject:- Madras Educational Service - Recruitment (By Transfer) - District Educational Officers - Names approved - Regularisation of temporary appointments.

Reference:- G.O. Ms. No. 1571 Edn., dated 22-5-1950.

(S) | cf.95

***

With reference to paragraph 3 of the G.O. quoted above I indicate below the dates from which the following Officers whose names have been included in the "Approved List", should be regularly appointed as District Educational Officers.

Serial Number.	Name and present designation.	Date from which serving as D.E.O. continuously.	Date from which temporary appointment as D.E.O. may be regularized.
Born	52 years		
6.3.02 1.	Sri K.Venkatanarayana Rao: 6.3.54 District Educational Officer, Anantapur.	24--7--48 A.N. 9.o. 1776 / 18.7.48 (Y)/7	24--7--1948 A.N. ✓
11.8.98 2.	Sri A. Viraraju: 11.8.50 " Krishna East.	7-11--49. 9.o. 3357 / 3-11-49 (T)/7	7-11--1949. ✓
20.6.00 3.	Sri H. Rama Rao: 20.6.52 " South Kanara.	10--3--49. 9.o. 613 / 7.3.49 (X)/12	8-11--1949. ✓
15.1.98 4.	Sri M.K. Ramunni: 15.1.50 " South Malabar.	24-10--49. 9.o. 3104 / 8.10.49 (W)/5	9-11--1949. ✓
10.6.00 5.	Janab Muhammad Mahboob Ali: 10.6.52 " Guntur North.	24-11--49. 9.o. 3521 / 15.11.49 (R)/6	24-11--1949. ✓
30.5.98 6.	Sri T.V. Venkataraman: 30.5.50 " Tanjore East.	9-12--49 A.N. 9.o. 3733 / 3.12.49 (R)/6	9-12--1949 A.N. ✓
6.12.99 7.	Sri G. Kamesam: 6.12.51 " Visakhapatnam South.	16-11--49. 9.o. 3357 / 3.11.49 (T)/7	10-12--1949. ✓
25.5.98 8.	Sri K. Kunju Kaimal: 25.5.50 " Central Malbar.	18--3--50. 9.o. 760 / 8.3.50 (N)/3	18--3--1950. ✓ Removed

2. The following ~~three~~ four Officers are past 52 years of age now and are therefore over-aged for regular appointment as District Educational Officers by transfer. I recommend that the provisions of Rule 5(a) of the Special Rules relating to the Madras Educational Service, may be relaxed in their favour and their appointment as District Educational Officers regularized with effect from the dates indicated in the previous paragraph.

Name.	Date of completion of 52 years of age.
1. Sri M.K. Ramunni.	15--1--1950.
2. Sri T.V. Venkataraman.	30--5--1950.
3. Sri K. Kunju Kaimal.	25--5--1950.
4. " A. Viraraju	11-8-50

3. In the case of Sri K. Kunju Kaimal the provisions of Rule 2(a) of the Special Rules for the Madras Educational Service in regard to possession of one year's inspecting experience, have also to be relaxed. I recommend that the Government ~~ms~~ may be pleased to relax the above rule also in favour of Sri K.Kunju Kaimal and order his regular appointment as District Educational Officer in the Madras Educational Service, with effect from 18th March 1950.

Yours faithfully,

For DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

NB/29-6.

Can closed as (S)

Education Dept. (A)

The main file containing the previous papers relating to this matter is now in Public (Ser.) Dept. - linked to Sri Kannappa Naicker's case. Its return may be awaited till 18.7.50

P.I. 3.7.50

---

c.17977-A/50.

Edn.Dept.(A).

--

M.E.S. - Tempy. appts. of certain ~~officers~~ persons as D.E.Os. - Regularized.

(20)

28

(S)/cf.95

Read:- G.O.No.1571-Edn., d/- 22-5-50.
From the D.P.I., No.10/48, d/- 30-6-50.

cf.1

--

20

G.O.(MS.) No.2724 Edn., d/- 30.9.50.

18/30/9.

Under the rule published with the Public (Services) Department Notification No.16, dated the 26th January 1950, at page 272 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated the 7th Feby. 1950, H.E. the Governor of Madras hereby relaxes the relevant rules in favour of the following officers of the M.E.S.S. with a view to their appointment by transfer as D.E.Os. in the M.E.S.:-

cf.2

(1) Sri A.Viraraju.
(2) " M.K.Ramunni.
(3) " T.V.Venkataraman.
(4) " K.Kunju Kaimal.

2. The Govt. direct that the follg. officers be deemed to have been regularly apptd. to the category of D.E.Os. in the M.E.S. with effect from the dates noted against them:-

cf.1

Name of officer.	Date from which regularization is ordered.
1. Sri K.Venkatanarayana Rao	24-7-48 A.N.
2. " A.Viraraju	7-11-49 ✓
3. " H.Rama Rao ..	8-11-49
4. " M.K.Ramunni ..	9-11-49
5. Janab Md. Mahboob Ali ..	24-11-49
6. Sri T.V.Venkataraman ..	9-12-49 A.N.
7. " G.Kamesam. ..	10-12-49
8. " K.Kunju Kaimal ..	18-3-50.

To the D.P.I. ✓
" A.G. ✓
" S., M.P.S.C. ✓
" Pub.(Ser.) ✓

P.I. 14.8.50

18.8.50

21/8/50

S.

16/9/50

5/10

Edn. Dept.(A)

--

cf. From the D.P.I., No.10/48, d/- 30-6-50.

--

M.E.S. - Regularization of tempy. appts. of certain ~~persons as~~ D.E.Os.

--

The Govt. recently approved the names of the follg. officers for appt. as D.E.Os. in the M.E.S. by transfer from the M.E.S.S.:-

(S) | cf.95

1. Sri K.Venkatanarayana Rao.
2. " A.Viraraju.
3. " H.Rama Rao.
4. " M.K.Ramunni.
5. Janab Md.Mahboob Ali.
6. Sri T.V.Venkataraman.
7. " G.Kamesam.

These officers had been recommended both by the D.P.I. and the M.P.S.Commission. (S) | cf.70-71

(S) | cf.90

The D.P.I. recommended another officer, Sri K. Kunju Kaimal, but the Commission did not consider him fit. The Govt. did not agree with the Commission. They accepted the Dir.'s view and included Sri Kaimal's name in the approved list.

(S) | cf.91

(S) | cf.95-96
N.F.14, 19-22

(Y), (T), (X) (W), (X), (P),
(T) & (N)

p.146,
BK.112

All these officers, including Sri Kaimal, were already offg. as D.E.Os. temporarily, under General Rule 9(a)(i). As they have been put on the approved list, their tempy. appts. can now be regularized, and the Dir. has subd. proposals in that regard. The date from which ~~he has indicated~~ the regularization in the case of each officer shd. be given effect indicated by the D.P.I is correct.

cf.1

cf.1

at. (Z)

One year's expce. as Dy.Inspr. of Schools is laid down in the rules for transferees to posts of D.E.Os. Sri Kaimal does not possess this expce. But, for the reason that he was not given any opportunity to gain the expce., the Govt., while approving his name, decided that the rules shd. be relaxed in his favour to enable his appt.

(S) | NF 14-15 &
cf.84-21

(J)

The age-limit for recruitment by transfer to the posts is 52. When proposals for the approval of the names reached Govt., all the above officers were within that limit. Since then, Sri A.Viraraju 11.8.50, Sri M.K.Ramunni 15.1.50, Sri T.V.Venkataraman 30.5.50 and Sri K.Kunju Kaimal have exceeded the age. The age-rule may be relaxed in their favour and also the rule re: expce. in Sri Kunju

(S) | cf. [illegible] 17-19

f. (S) | NF 15 & 19 24.5.50

31

c.17977
-A/50

Kaimal's case and the tempy. appts. of all the officers may be regularized as proposed by the D.P.I.

A draft order is subd. Pub.(Ser.) may see before circn. to H.M.(E.&.L.) and H.C.M.

14.8.50

18.8.50

22/8/50

S/ Public [illegible]

22.8.50

(Box)

Pub(ser)

Public (Ser) Department.

The note of Edn. from p.1 above and the draft order below may be perused. The file may be returned to Edn.

9.9.50

9.9.50

CS/ Edn.

9/9

Edn. U.O.
17977-A-1
22.8.50.

G.No. 3900
31.8.50.

Pub(Ser-A)
U.O. No. 3901/50-1
9.9.50.

27687

Edn.Dept.(A).

The proposal in the file is to appoint regularly to the category of D.E.Os. in the Madras Educational Service 8 Dy.Insprs. of Schools and School Assts. (Madras Edl. Subordinate Service), who are already officiating in that category temporarily and whose names have recently been approved by Govt. for regular appointment. The age-rule requires to be relaxed in favour of four of the officers and the rule re: inspecting experience in favour of one. The case is explained at p.1 ante. The draft order below may be circd. to H.M.(E.&.L.) and H.C.M. for approval.

Sri Kunjan Kaimal

(S)/C.F.95

Sri
X.I.K. Ramunni
T.V. Venkataraman
Kunjan Kaimal
A. Varadaraja

13.9.50

14.9.50

SECRETARIAT
29 SEP 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

16/9/50

Box

HM (E&L)
HCM
To await return