Sec-41. II-27-104,006-4-11-49.

17

Education (1950) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2686

Dated 26th Septmr. 1950

Closed

Dy

[Despatch Abstract.]

Education - Oriental insti-
tutions - V.V. Sanskrit Scho
relative - Complaints regardi
irregular working - Crimina
proceedings against the Manage
Orders passed.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

F B

(2)

Edn
KM
25/5
13158

E 30/5

From M. K. Achuthan nair

The President, Village Congress Committee and
President, Panchayat Court, Mathur, Palghat.

To

The Honourable Minister for Education,
Madras. ✓

SECRETARY
26 MAY 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Sub: V.V. Sanskrit School, Mathur, Palghat
Taluk - Malabar District.
Past bogus existance and Maintainance of
fraudulent records - cheat, forgery and mis-
appropriation of Public Funds.

Sir,

The above Sanskrit School was opened in Mathur 40 years before under the management of the previous manager Sri. M.P. Krishna Mannadiar and it was doing genuine and useful work in the cause of Sanskrit education. The above gentleman died in 1940 and since then the management fell into the hands of the present manager Sri.M.P. Raghava Mannadiar who is also the Adhikari of the Amsom. Under the latter's management the institution began to steadily deteriorate and ever since 1947 the School was lying closed. The manager has been willfully fabricating records to concoct the existence of the School. Monthly returs, annual returns, registers of daily attendance of staff and pupils, acquittance rolls etc., were all fabricated and forged and nothing more henious was left behind in this fraudulent transaction.

2. As a congressman of the Locality I felt that this kind of rank dishonesty and cheat should not be allowed to go on and I brought this to the notice of the Educational, Magistarial and Police authorities so early as February 1949. I could produce ~~several~~ valuable evidences. One of them was a certificate from the manager of a big commercial firm in Coimbatore to the effect that one Kallivalappil Govindan Kutty Nair was employed in that firm for a period for about 1 year and 5 months from 1947 and during all these days he had been shown as a teacher working in this School.

ASSISTA
27 MAY 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

3. Perhaps as a result of all these, the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore Division, under the instruction of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, held an open enquiry in Mathur on 13-3-1950. The concerned persons were asked to be present by notice. I was also present for the enquiry. But unfortunately, the above Govindan Kutty Nair could not be present as he had been out of the Locality and the notice did not reach him in time. The Divisional Inspector of Schools became quite convinced of the bogus existence of the School since 1947. The Manager himself plainly confessed it, as he found that the evidences against the School was unshakably strong and finally concluded to the Divisional Inspector that with such an unbecoming conduct behind it, he had no objection for the withdrawal, of grant for the school from 1947-48 onwards. And so for all the fraud committed by the Manager it looks as though the whole thing would be closed up by withdrawing the aid and recognition of the School. If so, the public of the Locality would have strong resentment against the decision

(M) (M)

of the Department and if fraud, cheat, forgery and fabrication of Public records go unpunished it would only encourage worse bogus institutions in future.

4. The manager of the School who is also the Adhikari has attempted to protect himself, shelving all his fraudulant measures by throwing the entire blame on Kallivalappil Govindan Kutty Nair, mentioned in para 2 above. The Manager deposed before ~~th~~ the Divisional Inspector that he knew nothing about the School nor used to enquire anything about it. Please note that the School was working just in front of his house and his village Munsiff's Office, is in one of the rooms of the school: still then, he most unhesitatingly deposed that he did not know who the teachers working in the School were or which teacher used to attend the School, that he did not know how many pupils attended the School, that he could not indetify the Signatures of his teachers in the School records, that he did not concern himself in the appointment of releif of teachers, that he only styled himself as Manager and would sign any papers given to him by the above Govindan Kutty Nair whom he had stated to have ~~been~~ entrusted with allthe above responsibilities. I do not know what opinion the Divisional Inspector has formed about such a type of Manager. I should think that the Adhikari's ignorance, is nothing but pretention. Every year he collects land tax of about 7,000 rupees and it is impossible to believe that such a responsible man would blindly sign leaving his responsibilities entirely to the tender mercies of another.

5. Moreover, in one of his depositions he says that the School was closed in the month of July or August 1948 for reasonthat he went to Coimbatore for his eye operation. That July or August the Junior Deputy Inspector visited the School and found it unusually closed and the Manager's explanation was only to cover a fraud which was accidentally discovered. A manager who as per his own admission was only a nominal figure-head, in the conduct of the School, need not have closed the School on account of his absence. These two statements, therefore are contradictory and I strongly reiterate that all the fraud and humbug in the School was solely the result of the manager's willful dishonest actions.

6. There is another serious point which I fear has been lost sight of. The School had already drawn grant for 1945-46. During that period, the above Kallivalappil Govindan Kutty Nair has been shown ~~that this individual was employed in~~ as a member of the staff. But I have produced evidence to show that this individual was employed in Bombay in Government concern during 1944-46. This point was not raised at the time of Divisional Inspector's enquiry and therefore needs fresh examination.

7. The action of the Inspector of Oriental Schools is even more surprising and questionable. Sri. M.R.Sankara Iyer of Mathur, retired District Judge, at the manager's request gave him a certificate of good conduct regarding the School. He was not then aware of the irregularaties of the School during recent years. He came to know of that only a few days afterwards. Immediately, he wrote to the Inspector of Orintal Schools canelling the certificate that he had already given. But the inspector on the otherhand wanted the retired District Judge to conduct an enquiry and report results saying that the Junior Deputy Inspector's enquiry and remarks were not sufficient

8

enlightenning. If the Junior Deputy Inspector's remarks were not helpful in the fitness of things he could have asked the D.E.O. to do it or took up the question himself. Copies of the correspondence of the Inspector of Schools with the Retired District Judge are herewith enclosed for your reference.

Kr 7-11 infra

8. The School in fact, was practically closed for the last two years. Even the Manager has sent up his formal closure-report immediately after my complaint. Subsequently the Divisional Inspector came and enquired and the Manager himself confessed that the School does not deserve any grants for 1947-48. Now the question is what would be the nature of disposal given to this deliberate ~~confessed that the~~ attempt of falsification and cheating. Is it merely the withdrawal of grant and recognition for which the manager himself is prepared If, so it would be only the line of least resistence, but would not be exercise of proper justice. In the interest of Public descipline, I would request that due criminal action may be taken ~~on~~ against the Adhikari for falsification of public records and he be ~~taken~~ declared unfit for holding the management of any registered educational institution or the Custody of any public records, otherwise it would only be construed by the public as laxity of Departmental Administration.

Yours faithfully,

Cw: K. Achuthan nair

Mathur,
Dated.

Copies to:-
1. The Director of Public Instruction,
   Madras.
2. The Divisional Inspector of Schools,
   Coimbatore.
3. The District Magistrate,
   Kozhikkode.
4. The President K.P.C.C.,
   Kozhikkode.

True copy

(5)

D.O. R.C.No. 64/49.

Office of the Inspector
of Ore Schools,
Madras.6.a. 18-4-'49.

Dear Sir,

Sub:- Alleged irregular working of the Sanskrit Ele-School, Mathur, and investigation of petitions.

Ref:- Your letter dated 11-4-'49.

I am in receipt of your above quoted letter forwarded by the Correspondant to this office, duly certifying to the efficient and regular working of the above Sanskrit School during the past 41 years.

The present difficulty is that a petition under date 20-2-'49 was received in this office signed by M.P.Narayana Mannadiar and others and another under date 25-2-'49 signed by M.H.Achuthan Nair, President, Village Congress Committee, Mathur and President, Panchayat Court, Mathur. The allegation contained in para two of the second petition is enclosed herewith for your information. Another petition signed by one K.Govindan Kutty, Kallivalappil House, Mathur P.O., Via Palghat has also been received in this office under date 17-3-'49. He states that though the records show that he was an assistant in the school since June 1945, he did not work in the institution and was out of Mathur from November 1944 to February 1946 and returned to Mathur only in June 1948 from Coimbatore.

The inspection report and other records go to show that the above teacher had been an assistant in the school all these years and the Headmaster's certificate also certifies to this effect. Hence, it is doubtful whether the above petition of K.Govindan Kutty, is a genuine one or a forged document to bring the management to disrepute. Under these circumstances, I would request you to be good enough to offer your remarks on the following difinite points as for as practicable, without inconveniencing your dignity and status as a respectable citizen of the locality.

1. When Whe School is working?
2. Whether the whcool is working during 1947-48.
3. Whether Sri K.Govindan Kutty, thex assistant of the above shcool was in the village from 1944, and had been an assistant since January 1945, and also whether the allegation made by him is genuine.

As the above points are of a serious nature on which I have to take a decision, I would consider it a favour if you can kindly go into the question as thoroughly as possible and favour me with a report at an early date. A visit made in this connection on 22-3-'49 by the Junior Deputy Inspector of Schools, Palghat, South Range, does not through much light on the above allegations.

Yours faithfully,

(Sd) T.S.Balasubramaniam.
19-4-'49.

P.T.O.

6

Sri

M.R. Sankara Iyer, B.A., B.L.,
Retd. District Judge,
Mathur, Palghat,
Malabar.

Extract of petitions dated 25-2-'49 of Sri M.H.Achuthan Nair, President, Village Congress Committee, Mathur and President, Panchayat Court, Mathur.

2. There was a Sanskrit School in your village which it was functioning till 1947 now remains closed. We understand that the Manager of the above School keeps false registers and at the time of inspection some pupils are brought from other Elementary School etc., and show to the Inspector as their students hence the Manager is known to be cheating the department for the last several ~~xx~~ months.

Yours faithfully,

True copy

9

M. .Sankara Aiyer, B.A., B.L., Mathur, Palghat (Malabar).
Retd. District Judge.
22 - 4 - 1949.

To

(6)

The Inspector of Oriental Schools,
Madras.

Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter dated 64/49 dated 18th inst. I believe you would before now have received my letter of 19th inst. sent by registered post, cancelling my certificate dated 11th inst. given to Raghava Mannadiar. The Sanscrit school is located about a mile off my aggraharam. I have no personal knowledge of its working for some years past. As it was working satisfactorily for several years and as I had not heard anything to the contrary, I only beleived the representation of Raghava Mannadiar, who is also the Adhikari of the Amsom about its working when he asked me for a certificate on the 11th inst. I thought that nowadays, the Government do not take a paternal interest in Sanskrit studies and might abolish this school and if so the public might suffer and granted the certificate. It is only on the 18th inst. that I heard of the complaint about the working, for the first time and I at once wrote to Raghava Mannadiar withdrawing the certificate. It is not proper for me to make enquiries about the facts mentioned in your letter and report to you, under the circumstances. So I request you to excuse me from making the enquiry.

Yours faithfully,
(Sd) M.R. Sankara Aiyer.

10

⑦

COPY.

M.R.Sankara Aiyer, B.A., B.L., Mathur, Palghat (Malabar),
Retd. District Judge.
29 - 4 - 1949.

To

The District Educational Officer,
South Malabar, Calicut.

Sir,

I have given below the copy of the letter sent by me to the Inspector of Schools for Oriental languages, Madras, for your information.

To

Mathur, Palghat (Malabar),
19 - 4 - 1949.

The Inspector of Schools for
Oriental Languages, Madras.

Sir,

With regard to the Sanskrit School, conducted by Mr. M.P. Raghava Mannadiar, I gave him a certificate a few days ago. With reference to its conduct at the present time I have no personal knowledge and I merely believed his word and I had known the School having been conducted from about 1908. Now I understand that there are some complaints about the conduct of the School and I had not heard of any till I gave him the certificate. In the circumstances, I think the certificate as to be cancelled and I have written to Raghava Mannadiar accordingly. So you also may treat the certificate as cancelled by me, if it has already been sent to you.

Yours faithfully,
(Sd) M.R. Sankara Aiyer.

Extract of petitions dated 25-2-'49 of
Sri M.H.Achuthan Nair, President

~~1/2~~

Edn. (F)

13/

(8)

Edn.- Oriental ~~school~~, Institutions — V. V. Sanskrit School, Mathur, Palghat Tq. Malabar ~~[illegible]~~, Maintenance ~~and~~ of fraudulent accounts etc.: complaint of.

From the President, Panchayat Court, Mathur dated nil.

Dpp I - 12-4
Palghat.

Memo No. 13158/F/50-1 Edn. Dated. 5/6/50

~~Referred to~~ The D.P.I. is ~~for remarks~~. requested to offer his remarks on the ~~petition~~ letter cited above, a copy of which has been sent to him direct.

3.6.50.

Dpp 6/6.

U/ 5/6

To
The D.P.I.
(~~in duplicate~~)

8/1/6

LM 2/6/50

Rd on. 4/7/50.
" " 7/8/50.
7/7

~~Note~~
Note The petition ~~on~~

P.T.

1/2

13/

Edu. (F)

(8)

Edu.- Oriental ~~school~~, Institutions — V. V. Sanskrit School, Mathur, Palghat Tq. ~~(M~~ Malabar ~~[illegible]~~. Maintenance ~~and~~ of fraudulent accounts etc: complaint of.

From the President, Panchayat Court, Mathur dated nil.

PP 1-12 Palghat.

Memo No. 13158 F/50-1 Edu. Dated. 5/6/50

~~Referred to~~ The D. P. I. is ~~for remarks~~. requested to offer his remarks on the ~~petition~~ letter cited above, a copy of which has been sent to him direct.

3.6.50.

8/ 1/6

26/

Desp. 6/6. 5/6

2/ The D. P. I. ~~(in original)~~

Rd on. 4/7/50.
" " 7/8/50.

7/7

~~Note~~

Note The petition on

P. T. O.

pp 1-5 c.f refers to certain irregularities in the management of V.V. Sanskrit School, Mathur, Palghat taluk, Malabar Dt. The Divisional Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore Division seems to have enquired into the allegations. The petitioner now requests that criminal action may be taken against the manager for falsification of records etc. - For orders whether the ~~[illegible]~~ details may be obtained from the DPI. ~~may be called for~~. Draft Memo overleaf is for approval.

para 3 on p 1 c.f

2/6/50

3/6.50.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
10 AUG 1950
23297
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

14/8/50

15 D2

Education Department.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education Department, Fort St.George, Madras.

R.O.P.No.45-D2/12/48-49 dated 8th August,1950.

Sir,

Education--Oriental Institutions--V.V.Sanskrit School, Mathur--complaint regarding irregular working.
Reference:-Government Memorandum No.13158-F/50-1 Edn.,dated 5..6..1950.

p13 ante

The V.V.Sanskrit School, Mathur was a long-standing institution which was under the management of Sri M.P.RaghavaMannadiar, Amsom Adhikari, for the last 8 or 9 years. The school was closed from April 1949. Early in 1949 petitions were received from the public of Mathur that the school was not working regularly since 1947 and that the registers of pupils and teachers were not correct. On receipt of the petitions the grant sanctioned to the school for 1947-48 was withheld pending investigation of the allegations.

2. The Deputy Inspector of Schools,Palghat South Range investigated the matter first. On the basis of his report the District Educational Officer, South Malabar expressed the view that there was a case for a thorough enquiry. Accordingly the Divisional Inspector of Schools,Coimbatore was asked to conduct an enquiry on the spot. He did so in March 1950, and examined the petitioner Sri N.K.Achuthan Nair and others. I have examined the Divisional Inspector's report and on a consideration of all circumstances of the case I have decided to withdraw the recognition and aid of the school with effect from 1.4.47 and to recover from the Manager a sum of Rs. 373/- being the grant paid for the years 1945-47 in respect of the teacher Sri K.Govindankutty Nair whose continuous service in the school as shown in the registers is open to doubt.

3. The petitioner has suggested that criminal proceedings should be taken against the Manager of the school. I donot support the suggestion for the following reasons:--

(a) Though it is alleged that the school ceased to work satisfactorily since 1947, neither the petitioner nor the local public did ~~not~~ think of complaining to the authorities until January 1949. This department was not taken in by the false position of the school during the years 1947-49 as no grants were paid for these years.

(b) Sri Govindankutty Nair was not available when the Divisional Inspector conducted the enquiry. This teacher was present in the school at the time of its annual inspection in 1945-46 and 1946-47. The enquiry has revealed that he was at times irregular in attending the school. For these two years a grant of Rs. 373/- had been paid on behalf of the teacher. Though he might have actually worked in the school, absenting himself off and on, during these years, I have decided to recover the entire grant paid on his behalf as it is not possible to determine at present the actual period of his service during those years.

(c) The withdrawal of aid from 1.4.1947 and the recovery of Rs. 373/- are adequate punishment to the Manager for the irregularities committed by him. The Manager has stated that Sri Govindankutty Nair to whom the working of the school was entrusted was responsible for the irregularities and that the petitions were the outcome of hostility between himself and Sri M.K.Achuthan Nair.

Page 2.

Before taking criminal proceedings against the Manager, it is desirable to obtain legal advice in the matter. I am, however, of opinion that the public time and expenditure likely to be taken up by the suggested criminal proceedings would be out of proportion to the importance of the case and that it would not be expedient to institute criminal proceedings against the Manager.

4. In the circumstances explained above, I consider that the petition of Sri M.K.Achuthan Nair does not call for any further action.

5. I request the favour of early orders.

Yours faithfully,

For Director of Public Instruction.

Grd.8-8-50.

8/8 8/8

Education Department.

From
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Madras.

Rop.45 D2-12/48-49 dated 6-11-1950.

Sir,

Sub:- Schools-Special-V.V.Sanskrit school, Mathur-Complaints regarding irregular working-Recovery of Rs.373 from the Manager.

35537
12 NOV 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

- G.O.Ms.No.2686 Education dated 26-9-1950.

With reference to the G.O.quoted above, Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar, manager of the defunct V.V.Sanskrit School, Mathur was called upon to refund a sum of Rs.373/-being the teaching grant and the special grant paid on behalf of Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair whose service in the school from 1-4-1945 to 31-3-1947 is open to doubt. A copy of my proceedings Rop.No.45 D2-12/48-49 dated 4-10-1950 is enclosed for the information of Government. Sri Raghava Mannadiar is not inclined to refund this sum of Rs.373/-as may be seen from his letter dated 25-10-1950 (copy enclosed, in which he has proposed to place the matter in the hands of his advocate. The contention of the manager in regard to the actual service of Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair, in the school is not correct vide my reply Rop.45 D2-12/48-49 dated 18-10-1950 to the manager (Copy enclosed)

H 1-2 infra
3 infra
2 infra

2. Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar is the amsom Adhikari of Mathur. But for this position of authority, the irregular working of the Sanskrit school under his management would have been brought to the notice of the department much earlier. One of the reasons for not recommending the institution of criminal proceedings against him was that the grant of Rs.373/-drawn by the manager can be recovered through the Collector of Malabar even if the manager declines to pay it. I therefore request that Government may kindly instruct the Collector of Malabar to take suitable steps to recover the sum of Rs.373/-due from Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar, amsom Adhikari of Mathur.

Yours faithfully,

For Director of Public Instruction.

G.M. 10.11.

****

Copy of Director's Proceedings Rop.No.45 D2/12/48-49 dated 4-10-1950 to Sri M.P.Raghavan Mannadiar etc.

Sub:- Schools-Special-V.V.Sanskrit school, Mathur-Complaints regarding irregular working-Orders passed.

Read: i) From the Divisional Inspector, Coimbatore Division letter Rc. No.146 D/50 dated 16-3-1950 and
ii) G.O.Ms.No.2686 Education dated 26-9-1950.

As some petitions were received from the public of Mathur, South Malabar, alleging that the Sanskrit Elementary school in the village under the management of Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar was not working regularly since 1947 and that the registers of teachers and pupils were not reliable, the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore was requested to conduct a detailed enquiry on the spot and submit his report. After a careful consideration of the Divisional Inspector's report, the Director passes the following orders:

1) Withdrawal of recognition and aid. The enquiry made by the Divisional Inspector has disclosed that the school was not working regularly from about April 1947. The manager himself has accepted this fact. The recognition and aid enjoyed by the school shall be deemed to have been withdrawn with effect from 1-4-1947. The school is not eligible for any teaching grant from 1-4-1947.

2) Recovery of grant paid on behalf of Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair. Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair was on the staff of the school from 1-8-1944. The grants paid on his behalf upto 31-3-1947 are as follows:-

Period. Grant-Specialgrant-Total.
a) 1-8-1944 to 31-3-1945 (not admitted for grant)
b) 1-4-1945 to 31-3-1947: 1945-46 Rs120 Rs. 66 Rs. 186

(p.t.o)

18

1946-47	137	50	187
Total	257	116	373

It has been brought to the notice of the Director that this teacher was employed elsewhere during certain periods when he was shown to be a member of the staff of this school. That this teacher was continuously on the staff of the school from 1-4-1945 to 31-3-1947 as shown in the school records is unreliable nor is it possible to determine the actual period of his service in the school during the two years. The Director therefore orders that the entire amount of teaching grant paid on his behalf, viz Rs.373/-as detailed above, for the period from 1-4-1945 to 31-3-1947 be recovered from the manager.

2. The sum of Rs.373 ordered to be recovered from the manager should be remitted into the treasury immediately to the credit of "XXVI Education C-recoveries of over payments", and the chalan submitted to the Director before 20-10-1950. The manager is informed that failure to pay the sum Rs.373/-within the prescribed date will entail action for its recovery being taken through the Collector of Malabar.

N.Subramania Iyyer.
For Director of Public Instruction.

/True copy/

****

Copy of Director's Proceedings 45 D2 12/48-49 dated 18-10-1950 to Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar, Village Adhikari, Mathur Amsom via Palghat etc.

Sub:- Schools-Special-V.V.Sanskrit school, Mathur-Complaints regarding irregular working-Orders.

Read:- Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar letter dated 10-10-1950.

The Director has come to the conclusion that Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair was not continuously serving in the V.V.Sanskrit Elementary school, Mathur, during the years 1945-47 on the basis of the following facts:
i) In his statement to the Deputy Inspector of schools, Palghat South Range, Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair had averred that he was employed elsewhere during certain periods, in the years 1945,1946 and 1947.
ii) Sri C.P.Raghavan Nair, Ex-Headmaster of the school has stated that Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair,"remained absent for one or two months"
iii)Sri M.P.Kochukrishna Mannadiar, an ex-teacher of the school has stated that Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair "used to absent himself for 10 or 15 days off and on".

It is clear from the above that Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair was not continuously attending the school during the period 1-4-1945 to 31-3-1947 and that the records of the school showing the regular attendance of the said teacher cannot be relied upon. Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar is therefore informed that the orders passed in the Director's proceedings Rop.45 D2 12/48-49 dated 4-10-1950 will not be reconsidered and that failure to refund the sum of Rs.373 immediately will entail action for its recovery being taken through the Collector of Malabar, Calicut. The receipt of this proceedings should be acknowledged.

H1-2 ante

N.subramania Iyyer.
For Director of Public Instruction.

/true copy/

---

(11) 19

Copy of letter from M.P.Raghava Mannadiar, Pattathi House, Mathur, Via Palghat to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sub:- Schools-Special-VVSanskrit School-Mathur-Complaints regarding irregular working-Orders passed.

Ref:- Your letter No.Rop.45 D2 I2/48-49 of I8.10.1950.

I thank you for your letter No.quoted above.

I am sorry to note that the decision contained in your letter No.45 D2 12/48-49 of 4.10.1950 still stands and cannot be altered.

I repeat that I have concrete and clear evidences that this teacher was serving in this school for the period in question.

Your Superintendent of Sanskrit Schools has made Five inspections during the period and I have evidences to show that he has seen this particular individual during all this times and this teacher had ample opportunities to tell him on one of these occassions atleast that he was not continuously serving more specially when the same teacher was dealing with all the correspondence of the school.

My second standing evidence is that "RECEIPTS" obtained from this teacher for having received his pay in complete for the full period in question, which was duly audited by the Chartered Accountants and accepted by your office.

If the amount of Rs.373/-is still to be repaid it should be done by Sri K.Govindan Kutty Nair who received this money and not by me.

As this decision of yours is shaking the very basis of my prestige, I am compelled to consult my Advocate with the records under whose directions I am writing this letter.

In spite of the above clear evidences, if it is not possible to reconsider your decision contained in your letter No.Rop.45 D2 12/48-49 of 4.10.1950, I request that I may kindly be informed so, so that I can hand over my papers to my Advocate to proceed with the legal action to save the prestige of my family that is now at stake and that is so near and dear to us.

H1-2 ante

Mathur.
25-10-1950

Yours faithfully,
(sd) M.P.Raghava Mannadiar.

/true copy/

For Director of Public Instruction

It has brought to the notice of the Director that this teacher was
employed elsewhere during certain periods when he was shown to be a

20

To be regd.

13/11/50

21

Ⓝ

Edn. Deptt.

Dp
25/9

30/26/9.

Education - Oriental institutions (F.
V.V. Sanskrit School, Matthur -
complaints ~~regt~~ regarding irregular
working - criminal proceeding against the
Manager - ~~Consolidated~~ Orders passed.

Pp 1-5 C/

From ~~the~~ Sri M.K. Achuthan Nair,
President, Village Congress Committee,
Matthur, Palghat dated nil.

Pp 15-16 C/

Endt. of the D.P.I. R.O.P. No. 45 -
D2/12/48-49 d. 8/8.1950.

Order MS No. 2686. Edn. d. 26.9.50.

The Govt. agree with
the D.P.I. that the withdrawal
of aid and recognition to the

p 15 C/

V.V. Sanskrit School, Matthur

P.T.O.

22

Palghat from 1-4. 1947 and the recovery of Rs 373/ from the manager of the school are adequate punishment to the Manager for the irregularities committed by him and that there is no need to institute criminal proceedings against him.

Dy 16/9/50

18.9.50.

29/9

To
The D.P.I
copy to the President, Village Congress Committee Mathur, Palghat Malabar Dt.

(E.S.C)

29/9

P.C.

23

Edn'F'

From the D.P.I., Rop 45 D2/48-49 D/ 6/11/50

Sub: Schools - Special - V.V.Sanskrit School, Mathur - Complaints regarding irregular working - Recovery of Rs.373/- from the Manager.

Dn 27/11/50

39
28.11

Memo No 35537 F/50-1 Education Dated. 28/11/50

A copy of the letter from the D.P.I with its ~~and~~ enclosures is forwarded to the Collr of Malabar. ~~whose~~ The Collr. is requeted to ~~x~~ take necessary steps to recover the sum of Rs 373/- from ~~form~~ the Manager of the defunct V.V. Sanskrit School, Mathur .

Dms 1/12
1/12
6

To
The Collr., Malabar, (with encl)
copy to the D.P.I.

22/11
Dy 22/11/50

23/11/50.

27/11

24

10009
15 MAR 1951
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

(14) 1

D.Dis.29697/50.

Malabar Collector's Office,
Kozhikode, dated 10--3--1951.

From
Sri R.Prasad, I.C.S.,
Collector of Malabar,
Kozhikode.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Madras.

Sir,

SCHOOLS - Special - V.V.Sanskrit School, Mathur - complaint regarding irregular working - recovery of Rs.373/- from the Manager - Report - Submitted.

Govt.Memo.No.35537/F/50-1 Education dated 28.11.50.

1223 C of G.O.No. 2686 Edn D/26.9.50 at (D)

The sum of Rs.373/- (Three hundred and seventy three) has been collected from the party and remitted into the Sub Treasury at Palghat as per Chalan No.1595 dated 19--2--51.

1/2

for COLLECTOR.

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

cmr.T2.13.3.

Edn Deptt (F)

May be filed with G.O.Ms 2686 Edn d 26.9.50

(G.O. became available only 25/5/51 Vide - hold put up below)

(D) Dy 26.5/51

28.5

pp 1-5 cf From Sri M.K. Achuthan Nair, President, Village Congress Committee, Mathur, Palghat dated nil.

pp 15-16 cf Endt. of the D.P.I. Rep No.45-D2/12/48-49 d/18-8-1950.

...

Education - Oriental institutions-V.V. Sanskrit school, Mathur - Complaint, regarding ~~xxxxxx~~ irregular working.

...

The letter on page, ~~5 ...~~ 1-5 cf, from Sri M.K. Achuthan Nair, President, Village Congress Committee, Mathur, Palghat refers to certain irregularities in the management of V.V. Sanskrit School, Mathur, Palghat taluk, Malabar District. The petitioner states that the action taken by the Departmental authorities viz., withdrawal of grant and recognition of the school is not commensurate with the fraud committed by the management and suggests that due criminal action may be taken against the Manager for falsification of public records. ~~report has called for from~~ The report of the D.P.I. in the matter is on pp 15-16 cf.

X at p 1 cf

p 15 cf

It is seen from the Director's report, that the Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore conducted an enquiry in March 1950 and examined also the petitioner and others. On a consideration of the Divisional Inspector's report, the Director issued orders for the withdrawal of the recognition and aid to the school with effect from 1-4-1947 and for the recovery from the Manager, of a sum of Rs.373/ being the grant paid for the year 1945-47 in respect of a teacher whose continuous service in the school was doubtful. For the reasons stated in para 3 of the letter, the Director does not consider it necessary to take criminal proceedings against the manager. It would appear from the ~~reason furnished by the~~ Director's letter that the action taken against the Manager seems sufficient. The Director also considers that the public time and expenditure likely to be taken up by the criminal proceedings would be out of proportion to the importance of the case and that it would not be expedient to institute criminal

para 2 at p 15 cf

pp 15-16 cf

The DPI's view may be accepted
28.8.50

pp 1-5 cf

From Sri M.K. Achuthan Nair, President, Village Congress Committee, Mathur, Palghat dated nil.

pp 15-16 cf

Endt. of the D.P.I. Rep No.45-D2/12/48-49 d/18-8-1950.

...

Education - Oriental institutions-V.V. Sanskrit school, Mathur - Complaint, regarding ~~xxxxxx~~ irregular working.

...

The letter on page ~~one~~ 1-5 cf, from Sri M.K. Achuthan Nair, President, Village Congress Committee, Mathur, Palghat refers to certain irregularities in the management of V.V. Sanskrit School, Mathur, Palghat taluk, Malabar District. The petitioner states that the action taken by the Departmental authorities viz., withdrawal of grant and recognition of the school is not commensurate with the fraud committed by the management and suggests that due criminal action may be taken against the Manager for falsification of public records. ~~report has called for from~~ The report of the D.P.I. in the matter is on pp 15-16 cf.

X on p 1 cf

It is seen from the Director's report that the Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore conducted an enquiry in March 1950 and examined also the petitioner and others. On a consideration of the Divisional Inspector's report, the Director issued orders for the withdrawal of the recognition and aid to the school with effect from 1-4-1947 and for the recovery from the Manager, of a sum of Rs.373/- being the grant paid for the year 1945-47 in respect of a teacher whose continuous service in the school was doubtful. For the reasons stated in para 3 of the letter, the Director does not consider it necessary to take criminal proceedings against the manager. It would appear from the ~~reason furnished by the~~ Director's letter that the action taken against the Manager seems sufficient. The Director also considers that the public time and expenditure likely to be taken up by the criminal proceedings would be out of proportion to the importance of the case and that it would not be expedient to institute criminal proce

p 15 cf

para 2 on p 15 cf

pp 15-16 cf

The DPI's view may be accepted

28.9.50

26 13158F/50

criminal proceedingsx. ~~For orders~~. Legal may perhaps be requested to advise whether this is a fit case for the institution of criminal proceedings.

28/8/50

No need No criminal proceedings are necessary as suggested by the Director

M

Orders may be issued accepting the D.P.I's suggestions - Fin. may see

29.8.50

Finance (EA)

29.8.50

The Education department's note on pp 1-2 above may be perused.

This Department may agree to the proposal of Education at M above.

7950

Edn

Fin

Edn. u.o.
13158 F/50-2
29/8/50

SENIOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT
30 AUG 1950
75836
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Edn Deptt
CF

Fin u.o. 75836 EA1

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
9 SEP 1950
27372
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Please see the note from Fin p1 ante. The suggestion of this Deptt at M above has been agreed to by Finance.

Orders in this case may, for future reference, be issued in the form of a G.O. subject to orders, a draft order is submitted for approval. ~~Mayn be~~ for orders as circulation to H.M (E&L)

16/9

SECRETARY
25 SEP 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

18950

19/9

H.M. (E&L)

H.M. E.R.

To await other may await

5
25/11

C. No. 35537/50.

P 1 4

SECRETARY
27 NOV 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

A 15-9-49
(L)

(16) 27

Edn'F'

From the D.P.I., Rop.No. 45D2 - 12/48-49 D/ 6/11/50

Sub: Schools-Special-V.V.Sanskrit school, Mathur-~~xxxpkxi~~ complaints regarding irregular working-Recovery of Rs.373/-from the manager.

On receipt of complaints relating to certain irregularities in the management of V.V.Sanskrit school, Mathur Malabar Dt., the D.P.I. instituted necessary enquiries in the matter and issued orders for the withdrawal of the recognition and aid to the School with effect from 1/4/47, and for the recovery from the manager of a sum of Rs 373/- being the grant paid for the years 1945-47 in respect of the teacher whose continuous service in the school was doubtful. The Govt. also agreed with the D.P.I. that the action taken by ~~xxx~~ him was adequate and that there was no need to institute criminal proceedings against the Manager of the ~~xx~~ school, .- Vide G.O.Ms.No 2686 Edn. D/ 26/9/50. The D.P.I. now reports that Sri M.P.Raghava Mannadiar who is the manager of the school and the amsom Adhikari of Mathur is not inclined to refund the sum of Rs 373/- and that the Collr. of Malabar may be asked to take steps for the recovery of the sum from the manager. The contention of the manager is that the teacher on whose account the amount of Rs 373/- was ordered to be recovered from him was serving in the school during the period referred to . The Director is, however, convinced of the fact that the teacher was not in service in the school. In the circumstances stated by the Director, the Collr. of Malabar may be asked to recover the money as suggested by the Director. A.draft Memo is submitted for approval.

pp 17-18 . 4 (L)

P 1 4

P 3 4

D.P.I's letter on p 2 4.

23.11.50

H.M. may see for orders -

22/11

22/11/50

23.11.50

24/11

KM
25/11

H.M. (E.R.)

H.M. E.R.

2

28

The draft memo may issue after approval

27.11.50

27/11