

Education

(1950) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2662

Dated 25.9.1950

PP 1-508 and PP 1-2 n.f. - A

[Despatch Abstract.]

Schools - panchayats - New Schools and
additional teachers - orders grants
orders passed.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From

Sri T. Prabhakara Rao Naidu, B.A.,
Inspector of M.L.Cls., & Local Boards, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department.

Sir,

Rec. 30097-50. E4 dated 29-8-50.

Sub: Education - Panchayat Board Schools - Opening of new schools and appointment of additional teachers with retrospective effect - Sanction of provision - Authorization - Requested.

In this office Circular No. 80 dated 6-6-54, panchayat Boards were informed that they should not open new schools or appoint additional teachers without obtaining the previous sanction of the Inspector. In spite of the above instructions, there are several instances where panchayat boards open new schools and appoint additional teachers without obtaining my prior sanction, on the recommendation of the Educational Authorities and then apply to this office for ratification of their action. Provision for opening of new schools or appointment of additional teachers is being sanctioned by me from the date of order and in cases where the Panchayat Boards cannot afford to meet the expenditure on payment of salary to teachers from the date of their appointment till the date of sanction of provision, orders of Government are obtained for authorising me to sanction provision with retrospective effect.

2. In his letter RC. 41/04/50 dated 5-5-50 (copy enclosed), the District Educational Officer, North Arcot, states that when once the need for an additional teacher is clearly established and appointment is made in consultation with the Inspecting Officers, provision for the additional teacher may be sanctioned from the date of order, whichever is earlier. The Director of Public Instruction has also recommended that the teachers may be paid grant from the date of

P.T.O.

2.

appointment if the previous approval of the ~~Director~~ District Educational Officer has been obtained in each case and states that if the teachers are paid ~~grant~~ only from the date of my order, much difficulty will be caused as many of the Panchayat Boards are not financially sound to pay the teachers from their funds for the intervening period. This difficulty can be overcome by sanctioning provision with effect from the date of opening of the school or appointment of additional teacher, as the case may be. But it involves considerable delay if individual cases are reported to Government and their sanction is obtained each time.

In the above circumstances I request that Government may be pleased to authorise me to sanction provision for the opening of new schools and for appointment of additional teachers with retrospective effect in deserving cases. A copy of the letter Dis.No.5155-50 dated 13-9-50 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras is enclosed herewith.

K.H.29-8

1/3 (Signature)
For Inspector. 29.8.50
29/8

Copy of letter Re. 41/C4/50 dated 5-5-50 of the District Educational Officer, Vellore to the Inspector of Local Boards.

Sub: Elementary Education - Panchayat Board schools - appointment of additional teachers - difference in date of a appointment and date of provision.

According to the present policy of the Government all single-teacher schools will have to be developed into schools with 2 or more teachers in due course. To implement the above policy successfully the Deputy Inspectors have been asked to make earnest efforts to ~~realise~~ raise the status of all single teacher schools and as a result of the enrolment drive undertaken by the Inspecting officers additional teachers and the sanction of provision to the schools which have thus grown in size the following difficulties are experienced.

In schools where the appointment of additional teachers is suggested, the President, Panchayat Board appoints additional teachers in anticipation of sanction of provision to prevent the children newly enrolled by the efforts of the teachers from dropping away from schooling for want of supervision by teachers, thus making the school relapse into a single teacher one. But in order to ensure regular payment of salary to the newly appointed teachers while recommending the application for additional teacher, the President, Panchayat Board is called on to send a resolution to the effect that the Panchayat Board will pay the additional teacher from its funds till provision is sanctioned by the Inspector of Local Boards.

Provision for additional teachers is being sanctioned by the Inspector of Local Boards only from the date of his proceedings and the wearisome lag between the application for an additional teacher and the actual sanction of provision cools off enthusiasm for enrolment drive in Panchayat Schools. The time lag also creates some technical difficulty in the paying of salary to the new teachers if they happen to be unqualified teachers. It is this way. The appointment of untrained teachers have to be approved by the District Educational Officer under officer under rule 12(10) of the rules for Recognition. But the District Educational Officer cannot approve the appointment because of the fact that there is no provision for that teacher in the school and the application will have to wait till the date of sanction of provision by the Inspector of Local Boards. In such cases, the President, Panchayat Board ~~cannot~~ cannot pay the teacher without his appointment being approved by the District Educational Officer. In case the President, Panchayat Board makes payment with reference to the resolution passed at the time of applying for the additional teacher's post namely that the Panchayat Board will pay the teachers from the Panchayat Funds till provision is sanctioned by the Inspector of Local Boards, the District Panchayat Officer takes strong objection to it. So to overcome all the above difficulties, I recommend that when once the need for an additional teacher is clearly established and appointments are made in consultation with the Inspecting Officers, provision for the additional teachers may be sanctioned from the date of appointment or from the date of order whichever is earlier.

/true copy/

1/3 (Signature)
29/8 For Inspector. 29.8

Will have to be appointed as the schools grow in size. But in the appointment of additional teachers provision to the schools which have thus

Copy of Dis.No.5155/50 ~~of~~ from the Director of Public Instruction to the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.

Sub: Elementary Education - Panchayat Board Schools - appointment of additional teachers - Date of sanction of provision - Remarks called for.

Ref: Your letter No. 30079/50 E4 dated 27-6-50.

The teachers may be ^{paid} ~~paid~~ from the date of appointment, if the previous approval of the District Educational Officer has been obtained in each case. If the teachers are paid from the ~~provisions~~ date of ~~order~~ the order of the Inspector of Local Boards sanctioning the ~~provision~~ Provision, much difficulty will be caused, as many of the Panchayat Boards are not financially sound to pay the teacher ~~for~~ for the intervening period.

/true copy/

Agreement
For Inspector. 29.8
29/8

EDN. DEPT.(C).

SUB:- Schools - Panchayat, ~~Ed. schools~~ - Opening of ~~new~~ schools and appointment of additional teachers with ~~retrospective effect~~ - Provision - Sanctioned. *grant - Orders passed.*

read

Ref: From the Inspector of Ml.Cls. & Local Bds., No. 30097 E4/50, dated 29-8-50.

G.O.(MS.) NO. 2662 EDN., DATED 25-9-50

The Govt. authorize the Inspr. of Ml.Cls. and Local Boards to dispose of without reference to Govt., claims of Panchayats for grants for schools newly opened by them and for additional teachers employed in schools, even with effect from the dates of opening of schools and appointment of additional teachers as the case may be.

2. It should be distinctly understood that ~~on no account~~

The total provision made in the Budget for grant, for panchayat schools should ~~not~~ ^{on no account} be exceeded.

To

The Inspector of Ml.Cls. and L.Bds.

Copy to L.A. & Fin. Deptt.

2 S.Cs.

WL. 19.9.50 - 19/9

20/9/50

20/9

EDUCATION - Panchayat Board Schools - Opening of new schools and appointment of additional teachers with retrospective effect - Sanction of provision - Authorization.

b1-2 cb

Letter from the Inspr. of Ml. Cls. & L. Bds., No. 30097-50.E4 dated 29-3-50.

The Inspector has been authorized to sanction grant to Ele. schools maintained by Panchayat Boards. There is provision for the payment of grants to 2216 teachers employed in Panchayat schools. The Inspr. has been authorized to sanction grants for 1512 schools at the rate of one teacher in each school. Permission has also been given to the Inspector to sanction grants towards the employment of addl. teachers in some of these 1512 schools, the total number of such teachers ^{being allowed} not to exceed 704. Lump sum provision is made in the Budget of each year for payment of grants to Panchayat schools w.r.t. the estimate furnished by the Inspector.

2. The Inspector brings to notice cases of Panchayats opening new schools or employing addl. teachers in their schools where there is some interval between the date of opening of the school or appointment of addl. teachers by Panchayats and the date of order of Inspector sanctioning the proposal. The question arises whether a grant should not be paid for the period intervening the actual date of opening of new school or the appt. of addl. teachers and the date of order of the Inspector. The Inspector will automatically sanction grants for the new school or for addl. teacher w.e.f. the date of his proceedings sanctioning the proposal. He will address Govt. for sanction to the payment of grant for the intervening period referred to at 'X' above. The cases are decided by Govt. w.r.t. the recommendation of the Inspector.

3. The Inspector now requests that he may himself be authorised to dispose of the claim of Panchayats for grants for schools newly opened and ^{for} post of addl. teacher employed in anticipation of the approval of the Inspector w.r.t. the merits of each case. There seems to be no objection to the ~~proposed~~ ^{proposal} of the Inspector being accepted as even Govt. ^{have to} will decide the issue w.r.t. his recommendations. It need not be apprehended that the Inspr. will abuse the powers if he is authorized to dispose of the claim.

① 9.25.50
banned in
O.B. No 1950-51


Pl. see for
precedent Memo
at (m)

X

of Panchayat for arrear grants. No extra expre. will be involved
from State funds ^{as} the expre. on grants can be met from the lump sum
allotment made for the purpose in the Budget every year.

4. L.A. and Finance may see for remarks.

W.L. ²/_{1.9.50} ²/_{6/7} ^{1/3}/_{7/9/50} ²/_{Di}



Fin (Sept)

The notes on pp 1-2 above may be perused.
In the circumstances stated by the Inspectors
of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, on pp 1-2
C.F., his proposal ^{that he may be} ~~to~~ authorised ~~to~~ to
sanction ^{grants for} ~~provision of the opening of~~ newly
^{opened} schools and for ^{posts of} ~~additional~~ ^{(create) in anticipation of big sanction} additional
teachers ~~with other financial effects~~ may
be agreed to in this Dept. on the
distinct understanding that
the lumpsum provision made
in the Budget Estimates is not
exceeded.

See para 3 p 2 C.F.

Edm

~~16.5.50~~
~~16.5.50~~
16.9.50

Edm

Recd 78024 Ed
16.9.50

Edm (C) Affet

A draft order is submitted

below for approval.

W.L. ²/_{19.9.50} ²/_{7/5/50}

20/9/50 \$ 219