

FD 753 ①
Government of Madras

Education Department

(7.3908)
G.O. No. NO. 2533

Dated 9/9/1950

8
Establishment - Dt. E
North Arcot - Sri. S. N. S.
Iyer, Headmaster, Board
High School, Polur -
Reemployment after re-
Firemen - Sanctioned

14/6/1998

[illegible]

5. I therefore strongly recommend that he may be granted extension of service till the end of the school year. As he is to be released on 15-3-1950, and if no orders are received in the meanwhile, I am continuing him in anticipation of your approval.

Wm. H. H. H.
PRESIDENT.

221/870

3
From Sri S. Natesa Aiyar, B.
Headmaster, Board H.
POLUR. (K.A.)

To The President,
District Board North Arcot
VELLORE.

Sir,

Sub:--Board High School, Polur--establishment--
Sri S. Natesa Aiyar--extension of service--
Praying for. -----

I beg to state that I have been a Headmaster under the District Board North Arcot for about 30 years from the school year 1920-21. My date of retirement is 15-9-50. I humbly request that my service may be extended till the end of the school year on the following grounds:--

1. The retirement comes in the middle of the school year. Termination of service in September 1950 will dislocate the school and deny me the opportunity of seeking employment elsewhere.

2. Change of personnel of the head of the institution in the middle of the audit year may make me answerable for the account defects that may arise during the course of the year.

3. The Government Order on re-employment of retired masters as L.T. assistants is a degradation which no self Headmaster will choose on mere broad consideration when in the eyes of the teeming student population and the laic sure to suffer.

4. I have not availed of any leave privilege or according to a recent ruling it is open to me to enjoy of leave even after the retirement date. The casual leave each year is a bare minimum of two or three days each year.

5. While an ordinary teacher may be re-employed on the same salary, this privilege is deemed to the head more hardworked and whose duties are more responsible.

6. My retention and continuance will not block or prospects of three of the probationers who from June 50 onwards in charge of High Schools with Fifth Form as the highest form.

Besides the grounds and considerations submitted above, I may be permitted to represent the following as points of merit and efficiency in my favour.

1. I was specially selected to build the Secondary School at Polur when it was opened by the Taluk Board in October 1920 and the then Inspector of Schools specially recommended my name and helped to obtain relief from the management of the Basava Raja High School, Punganur where the Rajah and the correspondent wanted to retain me gave me the maximum in the scale of 80-4-100 and as I led the school team in the district sports and got the Grigg medal and shield for the school.

2. I was appointed Headmaster of this school when it was in VI Form stage in preference to many senior L.T.'s in the other High Schools by the first non-official President of the District Board North Arcot which took up the management from April 1921. I earned

do not possess
waiting candidates

The Hindu dated 21-3-30. Retirement Age of Headmasters.
Sir- It is a sad fact that there is stagnation in Local Board Schools of L.T. assistants who have attained almost their maximum pay without any prospect of promotion in the near future. The age of retirement of headmasters in these high schools is 55. Quite a few of the headmasters retire in the middle of the academic year. But Presidents of some District Boards request the Government that the grant of extension of service to such headmasters until the end of the school year. This affects the prospects of promotion of L.T. assistants who are on the panel. It may not be out of place here to point out that there are actual instances of headmasters who retire after their retirement in the beginning of the school year work as L.T. assistants on the maximum scale pay of L.T.'s scale. It is requested that the Government will do well to strictly adhere to rules regarding age of retirement, in the interest of economy and to attract fresh talent not to mention the relief it would give to the L.T.s eligible for promotion.

A Teacher.

(True Copy) S. Sundaramuthy
Copy to the Hon. the Minister for Education. 27.3.30.

Edn Dept
(A)

Establishment - Dt. Board,
North Arcot - Sri S. Natesa Iyer,
Headmaster, Board High School, Polur.
Reemployment after retirement -
Sanctioned.

From the P.D.B. North Arcot, Rec. B.
19910/50 d 22/8/50.
Endt. of the D.P.I., Re. 2536 g./50
d 28.8.1950.

Order No. 2533 Edn d 9.9.50.
Ms

Sanction is accorded to
as a special case to the
reemployment of Sri S. Natesa Iyer
as Headmaster under the Dt. Board,
North Arcot from the date of his
P.T.O.

27/9/50

11/10/50

11/10/50

Retirement from 15.9.1950 till the
end of the School year 1950-51.
The pay of the Headmaster
should be fixed in accordance with
G.O. No. 1350 dated 4.9.1945.

22
87/50

12
L.H.
89.50

(Noting)
To
The P.D.B.
North Arcot.
✓ " D.P.I.
✓ " Examiner of
L.F. Accts.
(S.O.)

From the P.D.B. North Arcot. Rec. B.
19910/50 d. 22/8/50
Encl. of the D.P.I. Rec. 2536 G.150 d. 28/8/50
Establishment. Dt. Board. North Arcot.
Sri S. Natesa Iyer, Headmaster, Board
High School, Polur - Reemployment after
retirement.

The P.D.B. North Arcot
has proposed the reemployment of Sri
S. Natesa Iyer, Headmaster, Board
High School, Polur from the date of
retirement (15/9/1950) till the end of the
school year. The D.P.I. recommends the
proposal of the President.
The reemployment of
retired headmasters is not usually
allowed if probationers awaiting
reappointment as headmasters or persons
who have been duly selected and
whose names are included in the panel
of headmasters are available - Vide
G.O. No. 2889 dated 14.9.1949. It has
been reported in this case that there
are no probationers but, persons
whose names have been included in
the panel are available. The
President states that the persons in
the panel are science assistants,
and that it is not desirable to disturb
them in the middle of the school year so
the reemployment of Sri Natesa Iyer
therefore found necessary. For orders
whether in the circumstances stated
the President, his proposal for the
P.

Reemployment of the Headmaster will
be accepted.

The proposal for the
Reemployment of the
Headmaster is recommended
by the D.P.I. - The President's
letter gives one or two good
reasons for such reemploy-
ment. It may be sanctioned
as a special case.

B
6.9.

6950 ~~8~~ ~~6~~ ~~9~~ ~~5~~ ~~0~~

HM(ER)

[Signature]
88

A draft order is submitted
for approval.

8/9/50 Please see ^{above} copy of the
representation ^{dated 2.9.50} addressed to the D.P.I.
by one Sris. Sundaramoorthy, L.P. Assistant
under the Dt. Board, North Arcot against the
reemployment of retired Headmasters by
the Dt. Board. No action need, however,

be taken in view of the D.P.I.'s recommendation
on 7.5.50. A draft order sanctioning the
reemployment of the Headmaster is submitted
for approval with reference to the above orders.



8/9/50

8950 ~~8~~ ~~6~~ ~~9~~ ~~5~~ ~~0~~