

Govt. of Madras
Education Dept

closed

File No : 2482
Date : 5/9/50

Subt

P74

10

Examinations - S.S.L.C. - Public Examination -
Text-Books for non-detailed Study in Languages
- Levy of Scrutiny fees and payment of
remuneration to members scrutinising
the books - Proposal - Approved

PP 1-70 f and 111-80 f - A

URGENT

Subject.

S.S.L.C. Public Examination - Text Books for Non-Detailed Study in Languages - Levy of scrutiny fees and payment of remuneration to members scrutinising the books - Sanction requested.

Station: Madras. 8 AUG 1950. No. 598-B/50.

Dated 1-8-1950.

From (Name) Sri D.S. Reddy, M.A., (Oxon).,
(Designation) Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras.6.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Sir,

In connection with the S.S.L.C. Public Examination, apart from compiling selections from various authors (Prose and Poetry) for detailed study which are published by the Madras and Andhra Universities, books already published are also prescribed for non-detailed study in the several languages.

For this purpose books approved by the Madras Text-Book Committee as suitable for higher forms are called for from the Registered Publishers and distributed to the members of the language advisory committees concerned. The members are required to scrutinise these books and to send their opinion to the respective chairman, who, in his turn, consolidates the opinions and places the same before the meeting of the Committee and sends the final recommendations to me. The number of books received every year in Tamil, Telugu and English is not less than 75 while the same in respect of Malayalam and Kannada is less than twenty.

Some members of the committee fail to send their opinion to the chairman in advance for some reason or other. As a result of this, the members discuss the comparative merits of the books circulated at the meeting which happens to be unduly prolonged. With a view to make them alive to their responsibility and for their efficient service, I suggest that a scrutiny fee may be collected from the publishers who submit books for consideration as non-detailed text books and pay a remuneration to the members who scrutinise the books and also to the chairman of the sub-committee.

A fee of Rs.25/- may be collected from the publisher for each book submitted by him.

A remuneration of Rs.3/- for scrutinising each book may be paid to the members and chairman of each language advisory committee.

This procedure, I hope will to some extent, act as a check on the publishers from submitting bad and in-different publications for consideration.

The payment of remuneration to the members will be covered by the scrutiny fee collected from the publishers and will perhaps leave some surplus. There will not be expenditure from the general revenues by accepting the above proposal. I request that orders of Government on my proposals may be kindly obtained and communicated to me very urgently so that the procedure outlined above may be followed with effect from 1950-51 itself.

Yours faithfully,

M. S. Reddy
2.8.50
for COMMISSIONER.

RS/1.8.50.

In the paper

80.1072 Edu d 23/8/57

g...no. 1999 Edu dated 25.7.57

12
DP is section of
take relevant extract
for further action
18/8/50

3
Edu Deptt (F)
From the C.G.E. CNo. 598-8/50 d 1/8/50
S.S.L.C. public Examination - Text-Books
for Non-detached study in Languages -
Levy of scrutiny fees and payment of
remuneration to members
Memo No 22189/F/50-1 Edu d 18/8/50.

With reference to his
proposals for the collection of scrutiny fee
from the registered publishers and payment
of remuneration to the chairman and
members of language advisory committees
in connection with the selection of non-detached
text-books for the S.S.L.C. Examination, the
attention of the C.G.E. is invited to
rule 43(u) of the rules relating to the Madras
P.T.O

159

24
Text-Book Committee. As the special scrutiny for which a special fee has now been suggested may not be as detailed as the one which takes place before the regular approval of the books by the Text-Book Committee, the Commissioner is requested to state whether the scrutiny fee should be as high as Rs 25/-, whether Rs 10/- or Rs 15/- will not be sufficient for this purpose and whether Rs 1.80 and Rs 2/- will not suffice as the remuneration for each member or chairman of the language advisory committee.

27/12/50

17.8.50

To The C.G.E.

S.S.L.C. Public Examination - Text Books for Non-detailed Study in Languages - Levy of scrutiny fees and payment of remuneration to members.

Station: Madras. R.C. No. 598 B/50 Dated: 22-8-1950

From (Name) Sri D.S. Reddi, M.A., (Oxon).,
(Designation) Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George,
Madras.



Sir,

C/ With reference to Government Memorandum No. 22189/F/50-1 Education dated 18-8-1950, I have the honour to state that the number of members in each language committee except English is six and the number in English is ten. The rate of fees followed in connection with the Madras Text Book Committee will not be sufficient for this purpose in the circumstances that all the members of the committee have to be paid remuneration for scrutinising the same book instead of to two members and a Chairman as in the Text Book Committee and the amount collected will be hardly sufficient to pay remuneration to all the members. Books not already approved by the Text Book Committee will also be considered in connection with the prescription of books for Non-Detailed study.

However, with a view to see that economy is effected, I propose to send the books to three members by turn in the first instance and if the opinion of all the three are not favourable, the same will not be circulated to other members.

B/ Only books that are found suitable by three members will be circulated to the other members and Chairman. This procedure will leave a small balance on each book except in the case of English Committee which has ten members. On the whole, the scrutiny fees collected, will I presume, be sufficient to meet the charges including that for transmission of books from this office to the members etc.

A/ The scrutiny of the books will have to be more thorough than in the case of approval of Text Books as these books are prescribed by the department, unlike the mere approval given to text-books. Moreover, the books scrutinised need not be approved text-books. The fee suggested cannot be considered high as the department will be helping the publishers to sell thousands of copies of the books selected.

Under these circumstances, I request that Government will be pleased to approve my proposals.

As this proposal has to be given effect to from 1950-51, I request that orders of Government may be obtained urgently and communicated to me.

for COMMISSIONER.

SBR/22-8.ka

27 Section
59/50

Edu Dept

Examinations - S.S.L.C. (F)
Public examination. Text Books 20
Nondetailed study in languages -
Levy of scrutiny fees and payment of
remuneration to members scrutinising
the books - Proposal. Approved.
From the Comm^r 20 Gout. Examinations
CN. 598 - B/50 dt 8.50 and 22/8/50
Order No 2482 Edd d 5-9-1950.
MS

M 1 200

h 108

In the circumstances
stated in his letter cited above, the
Gout approve the ~~proposal~~^{proposal} of the
Comm^r for Gout Examinations that
a scrutiny fee of Rs 25/- ~~be~~^{be}
collected from the registered publishers
for every book submitted by them
for consideration as nondetailed
Text-Books for the S.S.L.C. Examination
and that a remuneration of Rs 3/
~~be~~^{be} paid to the Chairman
and members of the language
advisory committee for ~~scrutinising~~
each book. ^{The suggestions contained in the}
Comm^r's letter No 598 - B-50 - dt 1.8.50 are
approved - 3/- The C. G. E. is
requested to review the working
of the scheme at the end of the
year and send a report to the Gout
as to whether the scheme is self-sufficient
or not.

Re Scrutiny of

M 1 200

To
The ✓ C. G. E.
✓ D. P. I.
✓ A. G. (Through
Finance)
(S. C. S.)

29/10

2.9.50. 5.9.50.

22189 / F/50

Sub: S.S.L.C. Public Exam.-Text Books for Non-detailed Study in Languages - Levy of scrutiny fees and payment of remuneration to members proposal of.

Ref: From the G.G.E. C.No. 598 -B/50 d-1-8-50.

Please see the letter from the G.G.E. on p.1 cf. He has proposed that a scrutiny fee of Rs.25/- may be collected from the registered publishers for every book submitted by them for consideration as non-detailed Text-books for the S.S.L.C. Examination and that a remuneration of Rs.3/- may be paid to the Chairman and members of the language advisory Committees for scrutinizing each book. The Commissioner is of the view that if the proposal is given effect to, the members will be alive to their responsibility and their work will be efficient.

2/ It is seen that, for the selection of non-detailed books, books approved by the Madras Text Book Committee as suitable for higher forms are called for from the publishers and the best among them are chosen. For approval by the Text-Book Committee the registered publisher will have to pay scrutiny fees specified in rule 43(a) of the rules relating to the Madras Text-Book Committee. As scrutiny fee is already being collected from the registered publishers for approval by the Text-Book Committee of the books submitted by them, it may not be fair to collect a further scrutiny fee of Rs.25/- as proposed by the Commissioner. The G.G.E. may perhaps be consulted in this regard before proceeding further.

Subject to orders a draft T.M. is submitted for approval.

The Scrutiny now suggested is a special one

29/8/50

See below

Page 5 of the rules at (S)

X on p.18

B in p.18

p.1 cf.

one for the purposes of prescription
of text books for the S.L.C.
Examination - The need for a
special fee may be admitted.
This scrutiny may not be
as detailed as the one which
takes place before ~~wards~~
~~approves the final~~ approval of
the regular approval of the
books by the Text Book Com-
mittee under the Text Book

Committee Rules - The question is
whether the scrutiny fee
should be as high as Rs 25/-,
whether Rs 10/- or Rs 15/- will
not be sufficient for this purpose
and whether Rs 1-8-0 or Rs 2/-
will not suffice as remuneration
for each member or chairman of
the Committee for ^{reading} each book
with a view to ~~selecting~~ the selection.
We may perhaps consult
the Comm. on these lines -

Rs 100 X.

But what is the need for special
scrutiny? Does not the Text Book
Committee scrutinize books with a view
to their suitability for specific
examinations?

10.8.50

From the Rules at (5) it is seen

B This may be
taken up
separately

12.8.50

A mp 2 ant
C

10.8.50

that when the Text Book Committee
scrutinizes books with a view to
their approval it does not
scrutinize any of them with
special reference to their suita-
bility for prescription for the
S.L.C. Examination - It appears
therefore that a special selec-
tion is made for this purpose.
I proceeded ~~that~~ on the ~~assumption~~
assumption that this practice
which is evidently considered
necessary by the C.G.E.
~~that~~ might continue & sug-
gested a lower rate for
of remuneration & fees -

An alternative will
be for the Text Book Com-
mittee to select books ^{also} for
this purpose ~~also~~ when
it first scrutinizes books
suitable for forms IV to
VI. This can be laid
down only for the future
years if the C.G.E. agrees
to such a course - For this
year the old practice
may be allowed to be
followed as suggested in
the note on the previous
page, if necessary after consulting
him -

11.8.50

R. B.

C above -
the C.G.E. is submitted for
approval

A draft Memo to
with reference to RS, relevant

P. 70

extracts from the file will be taken for separate action.

24/8/50

17.8.50

[Issued as Memo No. 221894/50-1 Cch
d 18/8/50]

From the C.G.E. R.C.M. 5988/50 d 22/8/50

It is seen from the Commissioner's letter that books not already approved by the Text-Book Committee are also considered in connection with the prescription of books for ^{S.S.L.C.} non-detailed study and that the scrutiny of the books has to be done more thoroughly than in the case of approval of Text-Books. In the circumstances stated by the Commissioner, it is for consideration whether his proposal ~~is~~ in his letter on p.14 viz that a scrutiny fee of Rs 25/- may be collected from the registered publishers for every book submitted by them for consideration as non-detailed text-books for the S.S.L.C. examination and that a remuneration of Rs 3/- may be paid to the Chairman and members of the language advisory committee for scrutinising each book may be approved.

Finance may see for remarks

24/8/50

24.8.50

Finance -



Drafts from
Rden are
urgent & you
should not
have taken
5 days to
put up a
draft.
Issued once

17.8.50

Noted
18/8/50

h5cf

h1cf

In the light of the explanation offered by the CSE in his letter on p.5cf, his proposals may be supported

24.8.50

Edn Deptt

no m

Cmp 5CF

X mp 1 CF

Z or p 1 CF

B mp 5 CF

p4 above



For U.O. 74573 Acct-1

30.8.50.

The file relates to the scheme suggested by the ~~Disc~~ Commissioner for Govt. examinations for the scrutiny of Text-books that may be prescribed for non-detailed study in languages for the S.S.L.C. Public Examination. It is stated that the number of members in each language Committee except English will be six and the number ~~is~~ ^{for} English is ten. It is proposed to ~~pay a remuneration~~ ^{fee} collect a scrutiny ~~fee~~ of Rs 25 from publishers, who submit ~~books~~ for consideration as non-detailed text-books, and to pay a remuneration of Rs 3 for each member and Chairman of each Advisory Committee for scrutinising each book. The Commr for Govt Examination considers that the fees collected from publishers will be sufficient to meet the charges including that for the transmission of books from his office to members etc. The need for the scheme, and the ^{details regarding the} working of the scheme & are finished by the C.G.E. in his letters on p.8 and 5CF. Edn. support the proposals of the C.G.E.

2. As the scheme is said to be self-supporting, and does not involve in any net additional expenditure to Govt, Finance may also agree to the proposal of the ~~Govt~~ Commr of Govt Examinations. Edn. may however be requested to ~~obtain from the ask~~ ^{to review} the working of the scheme ~~at the end of the year~~ ^{at the end of the year} and ~~send a report to the Govt as to whether the~~ ^{send a report to the Govt as to whether the} scheme was self-supporting or not.

29.8.50

29/8/50

Edn Dept (F)

Please see Finance
Dept's note on p 5 ante. They have
agreed to the proposals of the
C.G.E. A draft order is submitted
for approval.

By
2/9/50

2.8.50

J.

5.9.50

(Based on 40/2482 Edn L 5/9/50)

Extract of notes from the file C.No.22189 F/50 re-
garding S.S.L.C. Public Examination-Text books for
Non-detailed Study in Languages -Levy of scrutiny
fees and payment of remuneration to members proposal
of.

x x x

From the rules at (S) it is seen that when the
Text Book Committee scrutinises books with a view to
their approval it does not scrutinise any of them
with special reference to their suitability for pres-
cription for the S.S.L.C.Examination. It appears
therefore that a special selection is made for this
purpose. I proceeded on the assumption that this
practice which is evidently considered necessary by
the Commr.for Govt.Examinations might continue and
suggested a lower rate of remuneration and fees.

An alternative will be for the Text Book Com-
mittee to select books also for this purpose when it
first scrutinises books suitable for forms IV to VI.
This can be laid down only for the future years if
the C.G.E. agrees to such a course. For this year
the old practice may be allowed to be followed as
suggested in the note on the previous page, if neces-
sary after consulting him.

N.V.S.
11-8-50
S.A.I.
12-8-50.

C above. A draft Memo to the C.G.E. is submitted for
approval. With reference to B, relevant extracts
from the file will be taken for separate action.

N.V.S.
17-8-1950.

Edn Dept (F)

With reference to B above,
attention is invited to the letter
of the C.G.E. on p 5 of the top file
The Commissioner states that the
PT

25013(A)

This may be
taken up
separately
S.A.I.
12/8/50

78 ⑤

Scrutiny in connection with the prescription of books for the non-detailed text-books for the S.S.L.C examination has to be made more thoroughly than in the case of the text-books submitted for approval by the Text-Book Committee and that the books scrutinized for the purpose need not be approved text-books. It therefore appears from the Commissioner's letter that this special scrutiny is necessary. In view of the circumstances stated by the Commissioner, further action with reference to B overleaf need not perhaps be taken. For orders

by
24/7/50

Need not be passed

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{LWS} \\ 29.50 \\ \text{S.} \\ \text{B} \\ 59.50 \end{array}$$

A on p 5 of
in the top file

May await

the return
of the
main file

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{LWS} \\ 24.80 \end{array}$$

Resubmitted
for orders.

by
27/7/50

(To be filed
with the
copy file)