

Govt of Madras.

Education Department.

G.O. No. 2324.

Dated 21/8/50

Passed.
By *[Signature]*
25/8

Despatch Abstract

M.R.O - Sri Prabalana than, Attender -
Request for extension of services after
retirement - Negatived.

Back papers

Geo. 88 Fin D/4/6/1943

" 16 " 20/11/1939

1340 Pub (Ser) 16-6-46



Madras Record Office,
Egmore Dated 26 June 1950.

To

The Secretary to Government
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through

The Assistant Curator,
Madras Record Office, Chittoor.

Respected Sir,

I the undersigned the most unfortunate poor Hairjan very humbly and most respectfully beg to approach you with the following humble request to be considered favourably through your goodness.

2. I beg to state that I was entertained in the Madras Record Office as an attender in the year 1920 in Rs. 23/- to 35/- Grade and I have already reached the maximum pay of Rs. 35/- in 1936. And when the new scale was introduced by our Government in 1947 I was fortunate enough to get a sum of Rs. 3/- extra and now getting Rs. 38/-

3. Further I beg to point out that owing to retrenchment in the Madras Government in 1923 some of the clerks posts were abolished and out of 30 attenders I was selected and posted to do the Telephone and Despatching work, on the same attenders pay, and I was discharging these duties to the entire satisfaction of my superiors from 1923 to 1928. Continuously and from 1928 I was attending to the Strong Almirah and confidential Records till the removal of this office to Chittoor, during evacuation time in 1942. In addition to this office work as attender by obtaining special permission from Government I was permitted to work as a parttime Registering clerk and Typist for about two years 1924 to 1925. and thus I have served in this Government for about 30 years and now I have to be retired in 26th July 1950.

4. Unfortunately for the last one year I am passing through very distressing circumstances in my family and besides this I have 3 children aged 13, 11 and 10 who have to be supported and given education only by my poor pay another great misfortune is the 1st and 2nd are girls and the third is only a boy, aged 10 years and who is supposed to be the head of my family after my life if God blesses him with long life and sound health.

5. Under these circumstances I approach the Asst. Curator explaining my present difficulties very briefly in my petitions dated 24-5-50 and 8-6-50 and requested him to have pity and mercy to grant me an extension of Service atleast for one year considering my faithful and faultless service. But unfortunately my humble requests were not considered but my both petitions were rejected.

(a) My humble petitions and the replies from the Asst. Curator ~~and~~ are enclosed herewith for your kind perusal

6. Further I beg to point out that our Government are now taking serious steps for the uplift of the Harijan in several ways. Such as Rural Agricultural, Education and appointments, I most humbly pray that your honour will have mercy on this capable and well experienced poor Hairjan (Hindu) and his faithful and faultless services of 30 years and the present family's circumstances, granting me an extension of Service for one year exempting me from the Rules and Regulations of our Government and thus save me and my poor family specially during these hard days

Copies of my certificates obtained from my officers are enclosed herewith for your kind perusal.

For which act of kindness and Charity I shall ever be faithful and loyal to our mother India.

WITH 3 ENCLOSURES

Copies of Certificates

and petition to Asst Curator

and appeal to Asst Curator

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant

P. Prabalanathan

2
Enclosure I.

3
(3)
Madras Record Office,
Egmore, 20th June, 1921.

This is to certify that P. Prabalanathan has been an acting attender in this office for the past one year. He is smart, intelligent and painstaking. He writes a neat hand and bears a good character. He also worked satisfactorily as a typist for a few days.

(Sd.) M. Srinivasa Aiyangar M.A.
Superintendent,
Madras Record Office.

(TRUE COPY)

Government Ophthalmic Hospital
Madras 23-12-1925.

Mr.P.Prabalanathan, worked in the Out Patient Department
from 7th July 1924 to 1st July 1925.

He gave thorough satisfaction, but was relieved on
account of the reduction of establishment.

He was a reliable clerk and writer a good hand, he also
used to type a little.

(sd.) CLEMENT TAYLOR
RESIDENT MEDICAL OFFICER,
Govt. Ophthalmic Hospital.

(TRUE COPY)

Madras Record Office,
Madras, 20th June, 1926.

This is to certify that P.Prabalanathan has been an
acting assistant in this office for the past one year. He is smart,
intelligent and painstaking. He writes a neat hand and bears a good
character. He also worked satisfactorily as a typist for a few
days in this office.

(sd.) M. Srinivasan Aiyangar
Assistant Secretary,
Madras Record Office.

(TRUE COPY)

(TRUE COPY)

(THE COPY)

date

date of superannuation and re-employed, if necessary, unless there are special reasons for granting an extension of service. In G.O.No. 1340, Public (Services) dated 10th June 1946, however, the Government directed that in future, no person who has been retired from service should be re-employed unless there are exceptional circumstances justifying such a step. There are no such exceptional circumstances in the petitioner's case justifying either an extension of service or re-employment after retirement. It is true that he is an efficient hand, but I do not know whether that will be regarded as a sufficient reason for complying with his request. In regard to his plea that he is a Harijan and that therefore his case may be considered favourably by the Government, I may point out that there is nothing in the rules, so far as I am aware, to indicate that an exception may be made in the case of Harijan Government servants, that they may be granted extension of service, or re-employed, even if there are no exceptional circumstances justifying such a step.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

BR.

CURATOR.

12-7-1950.

Edn. 'F'

G.O. 417 Fin. dated 20/9/46 and
G.O 16, Fin. of 1939 have been called for
from M.R.O. Chittoor for taking action on his
current. This may lie over till 22/7/50.

21.7.50.

Madras Record Office - Sri Prabalathan, Attender -
Request for ^{extension of service} ~~re-employment~~ after retirement -
Negatived.

Ref: From Sri Prabalathan No. Nil Dated 26/6/50.

Ednt. of the Curator, M.R.O., Chittoor,
No. 1752A/50 Dated. 11/7/50.

Order. Ms. No. 2324 Edn. Dated. 21.8.50

The govt. see no justification to sanction either
an extension of service or reemployment in the
case of Sri P. Prabalathan, retired attender M.R.O.,
Chittoor.

The petitioner's re-
quest cannot be com-
plied with
18.8.50.

The Curator, M.R.O.
Sri P. Prabalathan
Retired attender,
M.R.O. (through his
Curator / with copy of
application retained
(Sc)

To The Curator,
M.R.O.,
Sri P. Prabalathan

From Sri P. Prabalanathan, Attender, Madras Record Office,
dated 26-6-50.

Endt. of the Curator, M.R.O., No. 1752 A/50 dated 11-7-50.

Sri P. Prabalanathan, attender, Madras Record Office,

requests the grant of extension of service for one year from the date of his retirement (26-7-50) on the ground that he is a poor Harijan. As the Asst. Curator is competent to make permanent appointments to the posts of attenders in the office of the M.R.O., the attender previously applied for extension to that authority and his request was rejected.

It is submitted that Govt. servants are ^{not} usually granted extension of service unless there are special reasons for granting such a concession. According to the order in G.O. No. 1340, Public (Ser), dated 10-6-46, no person who has been retired from service should be reemployed unless there are exceptional circumstances justifying such a step. While forwarding the representation of the attender, the Curator, M.R.O., observed that though the petitioner is an efficient hand, there are no exceptional circumstances in his case justifying either an extension of service or reemployment. As regards his plea that his case deserves special consideration because he is a Harijan, there seems to be nothing in the rules, as pointed out by the Curator, to indicate that an exception may be made in the case of Harijan Govt. servants and that they may be granted an extension of service. For orders whether the request of the petitioner may be rejected.

Public (Ser) and Finance may see for remarks.

The Curator is being too technical. The attender who has just retired (26.7.50) is stated to be an efficient hand. This seems to be sufficient reason to reemploy him. Pub (Ser) and

Finance may see for remarks. Whether ^{reemployment} may not be ordered in this case.



U.O. 19642 F/50-1 Edn.

29/7/50.

From M.R.O. Curator for reemploying attender.
Comment: This may lie over till 22/7/50.

Finance (Pen)

The case relates to the proposal of the Health Dept for the reemployment of Sri P. Prabalathan, retired Attender, M.R.O. in the same post; the only reason adduced in support of the proposal being that he is very efficient. The curator, however, has not, however, recommended reemployment, as he does not regard efficiency alone as sufficient to justify reemployment after retirement. The Health Dept's present proposal is against the recommendation of the head of the office viz the curator. The Govt are opposed, as a matter of general policy, to the reemployment of retired personnel, and an exception is made only in the case of posts requiring men with technical qualification and experience. The post of attender in the M.R.O. is non-technical in nature, and the main reason for re-employment viz efficiency which is adduced in support of the proposal, can well apply to almost all employees of Govt who retire after ~~full~~ ^{a service of} twenty five years.

In the above circumstances, there appears to be no justification to depart from the general policy to sanction the reemployment of this individual.

With the above remarks, the case may be returned to Health Dept.

Pub (Ser)


OR

1.8.50
2.8.50



R. Venkatesh

P. S. C. P.



Fin 20
6890 Pen-1
2.8.50

Pub (Ser) Sept

This dept may agree with Finance and return the file to Education.

5.8.50

11.8.50

Edn As/Edn

Edn Dept (F)

Pub (Ser) 4.0.

No. 3984/50-1



The file relates to the request of Sri. Prabalathan Attender, Madras Record Office for extension of service for one year with effect from the date of retirement (26-7-50). While forwarding the representation of the attender, the Curator, M.R.O. observes that though the petitioner is an efficient hand, there are no ~~any~~ ^c exceptional circumstances in his case justifying either an extension of service or reemployment. Finance Department observe that 'efficiency' can well apply to almost all employees of govt. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ who retire after a service of over twenty five years and therefore see no justification for the reemployment of the attender.

Public (Ser) agree with the Finance Department.

For orders whether the request of the attender may be rejected.

There is no
may be
accepted

12.8.50

11.8.50

11.8.50

12.8.50

14.8.50

With reference to the orders above a draft order is submitted for approval

16/8
16/8

18.8.50

And return the file to Education.
This dept. may agree with Finance
but (see) both

2.8.20

