

EDU

2228

7-8-1950

(X)

2

utilised for work connected with
the meeting of the Annamalai
University Act Amend-
ment Committee, at Ootacamund,
on 28/5/50.

2) The expenditure will be
debited to "37-0-Education".

RS
31/5
8/5
3/5

Rs
4.8.50

To Rs 9/8
The Secy of the
Assembly
General
Copy to Secy.
As through
Min.
3/5/50.

Secy. Education:

(A)

(3)

SECRETARY
19 JUN 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

19 JUN 1950
16346

In connection with the meeting of the Committee
relating to the amendment of the Annamalai University Act
held at Ootacamund on 28-5-1950, a reporter from the
Madras Legislative Assembly office who was then available
at Ooty, was asked to attend the meeting and take short-
hand notes of the Proceedings. The services of two peons
of the Assembly Office were also utilised on that day.
The Committee began its sitting at 9-30 a.m. and rose only
at 7 p.m. except for an interval of about 2 hours for
lunch. If rules permit I would suggest that the reporter
and the two peons may be remunerated, if ~~not~~ admissible
under the rules.

RM
17/6

Education (F)

Please see the minutes of H.M.(E&L) above. The
Committee mentioned above is a Government Committee--
vide G.O.No.3232 Edn., dated 17-12-48. The reporter and
the two peons whose services were utilised for the meeting
of the above Committee at Ootacamund on 28.5.50 are whole-
time Govt.servants. Their services are at the disposal of
Govt. ~~and should be~~. They cannot therefore claim remuneration
for the work done by them, as a matter of right. But it may
be mentioned that the work they did was extra work, not
falling within their normal sphere of duties. It is under-
stood that reporters of the ~~the~~ Legislature when deputed to
take down the proceedings of Committees not constituted by
the Govt. e.g. the meeting of the Universities Commission
of the Govt of India in 1948-49 - were paid remuneration
at the rate of Rs.20/- per diem each. ~~The pay of the~~ and allowance
Reporter ~~mentioned in~~ in question come to about Rs.187/-
If it is for consideration whether the reporter may as a

Working in
Legislative
Assembly

Minister
Legislative

spec

2

utilised for work connected with the meeting of the Annamalai University Act Amendment Committee, at Ootacamund, on 28/5/50.

2) The expenditure will be debited to "37-0-Education."

RS
3/7/50
by
3/7/50

lwh
4.8.50.

To Mr. S. G. K.
The Secretary of the
Assembly
General
Copy to Mr. A. S. S. S.
3/8/50.

Secy. Education:

(A) (3)

In connection with the meeting of the Committee relating to the amendment of the Annamalai University Act held at Ootacamund on 28-5-1950, a reporter from the Madras Legislative Assembly office who was then available at Ooty, was asked to attend the meeting and take short-hand notes of the Proceedings. The services of two peons of the Assembly Office were also utilised on that day. The Committee began its sitting at 9-30 a.m. and rose only at 7 p.m. except for an interval of about 2 hours for lunch. If ~~rules permit~~ I would suggest that the reporter and the two peons may be remunerated, if ~~not~~ admissible under the rules.

SECRETARY
19 JUN 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

19 JUN 1950
16346

RM
17/6

Education (F)

Please see the minutes of H.M.(E&L) above. The Committee mentioned above is a Government Committee-- vide G.O.No.3232 Edn., dated 17-12-48. The reporter and the two peons whose services were utilised for the meeting of the above Committee at Ootacamund on 28.5.50 are whole-time Govt.servants. Their services are at the disposal of Govt. ~~and~~. They cannot therefore claim remuneration for the work done by them, as a matter of right. But it may be mentioned that the work they did was extra work, not falling within their normal sphere of duties. It is understood that reporters of the ~~the~~ Legislature when deputed to take down the proceedings of Committees not constituted by the Govt. e.g. the meeting of the Universities Commission of the Govt of India in 1948-49 - were paid remuneration at the rate of Rs.20/- per diem each. ~~The pay of the Reporter mentioned in question come to about Rs.187/-~~ If it is for consideration whether the reporter may as a spec

Working in
Legislative
Department

Remuneration
paid
Legislative
Dept.

special case be paid ^{an honorarium} a remuneration of Rs. 10/- for that day ~~rightly~~ for the extra work turned out by him, and whether the peons ~~will be paid~~ may be paid an honorarium of Rs. 2/- each for that day. Secretariat of the Legislature and Finance may see for remarks.

RS
21/7/50
25/7/50

25.7.50 Secy of the Madras Legislature
Finance

Secretariat of the Legislature

This Dept. may agree to the proposal of the Edn. Dept.

27/7/50

27/7

Finance (C.S.R.)

The minutes of H.M. (Edn) at p.1 above, and the note of the Edn. Dept. thereon may be perused. In the circumstances stated, this Dept. may agree to the proposal of Edn. to pay an honorarium of Rs 10 to the reporter and Rs 2 each to the peons for one day ~~may be paid agreed to~~.

31.7.50
31/7/50

1.8.50

SW

Edn(F)

No. 16346 F/50-1



4819

U.O. No. 4819/50-1 M. 4

28.7.50

To Finance Dept.



In co

Edn(F)

Please see the notes of the Secretariat of the Legislature and Finance Dept on p. 2 ante. A draft order is subd. for approval.

RS
3/8/50
3/8/50

4.8.50

5.8.50

[Go 2228 Edn, dt- 7/8/50]

No.T9.Edn.II.429

From
The Accountant General,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government of Madras,
Education Department, Fort St.George,
Madras.

Subject:- UNIVERSITY-Annamalai-Act Amendment-Committee-
Services of Reporter and peon of the Madras
Legislative Assembly

P11.2 of (K)
P146 of
K.C.
Budget

I am to invite a reference to G.O.Ms. No.2228 Education dated 7th August 1950 and to state that the honorarium sanctioned to the reporter and the two peons in connection with their services in Annamalai University Drafting Committee is required to be charged under '37-0.Education'. But the sub heads opened under this head which relates to 'Special Grants to Local Bodies for Special Education' are apparently not intended to accommodate expenditure of this type. The question of the correct classification of the charge may therefore please be reconsidered by Government and necessary orders indicating the sub head under which the expenditure is classifiable issued. Pending the orders of the Government the expenditure incurred in the sanction conveyed in the G.O. has been provisionally debited to '37 Education-University-Professional colleges-d. Law College'.

avt

(N. Narayanaswami)
Deputy Accountant General

Office of the
Accountant General, Madras.
Fort St.George dated 7th Sepr. 1950.



Handwritten notes on the left page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten initials and a circled '7'.

Education (F)

University - Annamalai - Act - Amendment - Committee -
 Services of a reporter and 2 peons of the Madras
 Legislative Assembly Office - Payment of ~~Non~~ honorarium
 Debit of expenditure.

C.No.28796/50.

Handwritten: PPI-2 of 3/10

Read:- G.O.No. 2228 Edn., dated 7.8.1950.

From the A.G., No.T.9 Edn.II.429 dated 20.9.50.



Memo.No.28796 F/50² Edn., dated 30/9/50

The A.G. is informed that the expenditure on the
 payment of honorarium sanctioned to the reporter and
 the two peons in the G.O. read above ~~is~~ *may be debited*
 to "37-Education - General-~~p~~ Direction" and he is
 requested to reclassify the expenditure accordingly.

To

The A.G.Madras
 through Fin.

Copy to the Secretariat
 of the Legislature.
 copy to the D.P.I.
 2 spare copies.

Handwritten: VRS 29/9/50

Handwritten: 27.8.50

Note.

Finance may see the draft memo.above before issue.

Handwritten: VRS 29/9/50

Handwritten: 27.8.50

The draft memo may be perused
 and the case returned to Education.

Edn(F)
 28796 F/50-1B
 28/9/50

Handwritten: 29/9/50

Handwritten: Edn. (7)

Handwritten: Thru Bk

Handwritten: 30.9.50



Handwritten: 30.9.50

12/89/13

18-3/1067

22 11
2012

~~5740~~ 2012
RUI

251
0.177
4/13

21/12
4/13

1.300 25 000 - 11
4. P. 00