Su 17. II-27 104,000 4-11-49.

Education (1950.) Department.

N.C.S. SERIES.

closed

1

G.O. NO. 2054 Edn.

Dated 15. 7. 1950.

30

[Despatch Abstract.]

M.E.R. – Rule 14 – Members of the Society of Jesus – Exemption from the Collegiate and Secondary Training – Qualifications – Papers recorded –

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Cy

(2)

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

R.C.No.1678-E3/47 dated 13th December, 1948.

—

Madras Educational Rules--Rule 14--Members of the Society of Jesus, who will complete the training in 1949, to be ranked as collegiate trained teachers and amendment to the rule.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
17 DEC 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC H...

Reference:-From the Principal, Loyola College, Madras dated 15th October 1948.

—

Submitted.

94748

2. In the circumstances stated, it is requested that the Government may be pleased to exempt the members of the Society of Jesus, who will complete the Jesuit Training in 1949, from the operation of rule 14 of the M.E.R. as amended by G.O.Ms.No.1115-Education dated 4..5..1948.

(H)

H/11

3. In this connection, I may state in reply to Government Memorandum No.87504-C1/47-1 Education dated 6.5.48 that in my opinion rule 14 of the M.E.R. may be deleted altogether and the last para relating to the evaluation of certificates may be added to rule 13 with the following modification, if necessary.

> "All other teachers diplomas or certificates not mentioned above, or issued by any authority, Indian or Foreign outside this Presidency, will be evaluated by the Director of Public Instruction".

For Director of Public Instruction.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St.George, Madras.

3/15/X11

ks.14.12.

Edn H Deptt.
C1.

G.O. No. 1115 Edn of 4-5-1948 required for the disposal of this file is put up in another file which was submitted to officers on 24-12-48. Its return may be awaited

Rev. Thomas' case.

pu 4/1/49

OP 4/1/49

OSM 4/1/49

---

15 OCT 1948

3

From

Rev. J. D'Souza, S.J.,
Principal,
Loyola College,
Madras.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

Subject:- The Status of members of the Society of Jesus teaching in the High Schools of the Madras Presidency.

W

B/

P

The decision of the Government to stop the recognition of the training of the members of the Society of Jesus as equivalent to the B.A., B.T. Degrees has caused the management of Jesuit High Schools in the Presidency very great difficulty. The long studies in the Society have been organized on the understanding that the degrees would not be insisted on. For this reason our Teachers undergo a course of 5 or 6 years - Juniorate of 2 or 3 years according to the examination passed by the Teacher before joining the Society-and Philosophy of 3 years. During this time a fairly detailed course in Languages, Mathematics, Science, and Pedagogies is given to them. In view of the new Government Order, it is the intention of the Authorities of the Society to reorganize these studies and enable their members to take necessary degrees. An application for the affiliation of one of our Colleges for the B.T. Course is being submitted to the University. We hope to have the first batch of Teachers qualifying for the B.T. Degree in April 1950.

1. In the meantime a certain number of our Teachers are completing the full training we have hitherto given to them. They have finished their literary, mathematical and pedagocical studies and will finish the Examinations in Philosophy and the Sciences in the course of 1949. It will be a great hardship

on them to make them repeat a part of their studies in order to secure additional degrees.

3 The University recognizes these studies as equivalent to the Honours Degree in Philosophy, and in Latin, and in Modern European Languages for certain categories, and permits them to teach these subjects in the University Classes without ~~a~~ further degrees. In view of this I request that Government may be pleased to recognize the training of those passing out in the course of 1949 as equivalent to the B.A., B.T., Degree and to allow them to teach in High Schools as trained Teachers. Their number will be between 15 and 18. Their ~~an~~ names will be submitted when they come out of the Training College in 1949 and are attached to different Schools.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
J. D'Souza s.j.

Draft I

(5)

EHDH Dept
C1

MER - ~~Rule~~ 14 - Members of the Society of Jesus who will complete the training in 1949 to be ranked as Collegiate trained teachers - Amendment to the rule.

p.l.f.

TWO drafts

From The DPI No. 1678 E3/47 d/- 13.12.48

Memo No 94748 C1/48-1 Edn Dt. 4-5-49

Govt. accept the recommendation of the DPI that the 15 to 18 members of the Society of Jesus who will complete the Jesuit Training in 1949 may be exempted from the operation of rule 114 of the MER as amended by G.O No. 1115 Edn dated 4-5-1948

para 2 p.l.f.t
B an p2 NF

(A)

2. As for para 3 of the DPI's letter Govt. ~~do~~ desire to review the position. In view of the ~~dis~~ greatly disturbed attitude of the missionaries it is considered that the concessions already enjoyed by ~~them~~ the Catholic clergy should not be revoked unless they are shown to have been abused or to have worked badly in the past. Any proposal for revoking the concessions should be examined consistently with the fact that the ~~graduate~~ priests, graduates or non graduates, undergo a long course of scholastic training

8/

in view of which ~~this training the like ordinary teachers~~ it may not be necessary ~~for them~~ to undergo training like ordinary teachers. The DPI is requested to further justify his proposal to revoke the concession enjoyed by the non-graduate priests contained in para 3. of his letter cited above. The Director is also requested to state his objections if any in restoring the concessions ~~to~~ enjoyed by the graduate priests ~~engaged by them~~ prior to the issue of G.O. No. 1115 Edn dated 4-5-49 (H)

30/4/49
op 2/5/49

3/5/49

3/5

To
The DPI

9/5

~~Draft~~

9

Letter Ref. No. 94748 E/49-2 (6) E+pH Dept. C1 Edn Dt. 4-5-49

Encl. pp3-5cf

9/5

To
The Registrar,
University of Madras.
Madras (with encl.).

Sir,

Sub: M.E.R. Rule 14 — Members of the Society of Jesus and Catholic priests — ranked as Collegiate trained teachers.

I am directed to enclose an extract of ~~a~~ ~~extract of~~ a letter from Rev. Fr. J. D'Souza and to request ~~[illegible], particularly on~~ you ~~to say~~ ~~whether the Madras~~ to say whether the rating university recognises the studies undergone by the members of the Society of Jesus as equivalent to the Hons. degree in philosophy and in Latin and in modern European languages for certain categories and permit them to teach in university classes without further degrees.

Yours faithfully

3/5

pu 30/4/49

o/p 2/5/49

OM 2/5/49

Urgent. J.S., E & P.H.

Madras, 9th May, 1949.

The remarks of the D.P.I. may be called for and this matter may be given very early consideration

~~AB~~ 9/5/49 ⑦

Hm (Edn.)

9 MAY 1949

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

From

Rev.Fr.J.D'Souza, S.J.,
Principal & Rector,
Loyola College, Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

10 MAY 19

The Hon'ble Sri A.B.Shetty, M.L.A.,
Minister for Education,
Government of Madras.

Sir,

Ref: The recognition of the training of Members of the Jesuit Order as equivalent to the B.A.,B.T.Degrees.

3857

For nearly 60 years Members of the Society of Jesus who undergo, after Matriculation, 2 years Novitiate, 2 years Juniorate and 3 years of Philosophy & Sciences, were permitted to teach the various subjects taught in High Schools upto the VI Form without securing the B.A., B.T. Degrees. This recognition of the equivalence of the Jesuit training with the B.A., B.T. Degrees was given in view of the long and thorough study of languages, sciences, philosophy and pedagogics included in the seven years' course. The success with which the Jesuit teachers discharged their work in High Schools during these 60 years, a success recognised by the Education Department showed that their educational qualification was fully upto the required standard.

G.O. 1115 Edn 4-5-48

⑭

2/ Government however by an order in the course of May 1948 declared that while the recognition given to those already engaged in teaching till 1948 would continue, those coming after 1948 would have to qualify for the required Degrees. We have already exposed to Government our exceptional difficulties, in regard to those completing their Jesuit Training in 1948 & 1949. Having already undergone the full course covering the matter of the two degree examinations, it would be an almost insurmountable obstacle to their spending three years and in some cases five years more for the B.A., B.T. Degrees. We have received oral asurance that the Government order would not therefore apply to those completing their courses in 1948 and 1949.

A

3/ However I beg to state that while this modification of the order would be welcome to us, the cancellation of the recognition formerly given puts a very great strain on the managements of Jesuit High Schools. While we can make some modification in our studies in order to enable the Members of the Order to study for the B.A., B.T. Degrees it will be necessary for us to give them atleast five years of additional studies in Philosophy and the Sciences. This would involve such a long ~~pre~~ period of training before they can begin their teaching that many precious years of active work will be lost to the cause of education. Ours is a Teaching Order and the entire training is directed to the preparation of efficient teachers. Even now in almost all countries of Europe and America the Jesuit Training is accepted as sufficient qualification for teaching in all schools preparing for the Matriculation. Our own University of Madras still recognises the Jesuit Degree as equivalent to the M. A. Degree in Philosophy, in Latin and in some cases Modern European Languages and permits Jesuits to teach these subjects in the University classes and to become Principals and Administrative Heads without further qualification.

B

C

4/ I therefore respectfully request Government to reconsider this matter and to restore to the Society of Jesus the recognition of their training as ~~equilant~~ equivalent to the B.A. B.T.Degrees. At a time when Secondary Education is receiving a great impulse and efficient and devoted

(8)

teachers in large numbers are more and more in demand I feel sure that the favourable decision which we are requesting will further the interests of education. It will ~~be~~ also be a mark of appreciation to a body of men who have worked loyally for the youth of the Presidency and who only desire further opportunities for continuing this service.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. D'Souza s.j.

RECTOR AND PRINCIPAL,
LOYOLA COLLEGE, MADRAS.

(a)

E&PH Deptt
C1

M.E.R. Rule 14 – Members of the Society of Jesus.

pp 11-13 cf

from Rev. Fr. D'Souza dated 9-5-49

Memo No 94748/48-3C1E~~Edn~~ D. 12-5-49

A copy of the letter dated 9-5-49 from Rev. Fr. D'Souza cited above is forwarded to the DPI in continuation of Govt. memo No. 94748C1/48-1 Edn dated 4-5-49 for remarks at a very early date

To
The DPI
(with a copy of the letter at pp 11-13 cf)

pp 7-8 cf
11/5

11/5/49

11/5/49

11/5/49

12/5

16

17

(10)

All communications should be addressed to the Registrar, University of Madras, Triplicane P.O., Madras, and not to any person by name.

From

THE REGISTRAR,
UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS.

To

The Jt. Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

No. A2995
Enclosures

UNIVERSITY BUILDINGS,
CHEPAUK, TRIPLICANE P.O.,
MADRAS.

JT. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT ... DEPT.
36438

9-10 a.m.

Dated, 11th May 1949.

Sir,

With reference to your letter Ref.No.94748 C/48-2 Edn. dated the 4th May 1949, asking whether the Madras University recognises the studies undergone by members of the Society of Jesus, I have the honour to state that this question was considered by the University authorities as far back as 1931, and, on the recommendation of the Board of Studies in Philosophy, RESOLVED that the members of the Society of Jesus who have received instruction in Philosophy and have obtained the Ph.D. Degree of the Gregorian University of Rome be considered qualified to lecture to the B.A. Pass and Honours students; and that so far as teaching of Modern European Languages by members of the religious order is concerned, the subject be decided taking into consideration the qualification and nationality of the member teaching the particular language. At present, therefore, several members of the order have been accepted as teachers in Colleges either for Philosophy or for one or the other of the modern European Languages.

R. Ravisdarwell

Ag. REGISTRAR.

18.

E&PH

---

19 E&PH ~~Dept~~
C1

(11)

Urgt

17/6

8/6

pp 7-8 cf.
and
p 15 cf

~~97~~ M.E.R. – Rule 14 – Members of the Society of Jesus who will complete the training in 1949 to be ranked as collegiate trained teachers – Amendment to the rule –

Ref. Govt. Memo No. 94748 C1/48-1 Edn dated 4-5-49
Govt. Memo No. 94748 C1/48-3 dt 12-5-49
Memo No 94748/48-4 C1 Edn dt 7-6-49

The D.P.I. is requested to expedite his reply to the Govt memos cited above.

To
The DPI

dr
6/6/49

7/6/49

8/6/49

Edn Dept / C1

21/12

M.E.R. - Rule 14 - Members of the Society of Jesus who will complete the training in 1949 to be ranked as collegiate teachers - Amendment to the rule.

10/13/7

pp 7-8 cf
+ p 19 cf

Ref. Govt memo No. 94748 C1/48-1 Edn dt 4-5-49 and subsequent reminder

Memo No. 94748/48-5 C1 Edn dt 12-7-49.

The D.P.I. is requested to ~~state~~ expedite his reply to the Govt. memo cited above.

To
The D.P.I.
16/7

10/7/49

11/7/49

12/7/49

~~4~~ Education Department. (13) 23

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

Rc.1678 E3/47 dated 2-8-1949.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6 - AUG 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

59965

Sir,

Sub: Madras Educational Rules - Rule 14 - Members of the Society of Jesus who will complete the Training in 1949 to be ranked as Collegiate trained teachers.

Ref: Government Memo No.94748-C1/48-1 & 3 Edn., dated 4-5-1949 and 12-5-1949.

94748/48

With reference to para 2 of the Government Memo dated 4-5-1949 and also to memo dated 12-5-1949 referred to above I may state as follows:-

A

2. A perusal of the course of study and training which members of the society of Jesus undergo, shows that they are not fit to be teachers in any Indian school. The only languages they study are English and Latin. No study of any South Indian Language is included in the course and the other subjects they study are philosophy which means religious philosophy and Science and Mathematics of a very elementary kind. It is learnt that they are not encouraged to study modern psychology or any of the modern sciences. The object of the Society of Jesus is the spiritual formation of its members and the upholding of Catholic principles and ideals and promotion of sacred sciences, philosophy, theology and Canon laws. They are not expected to study secular subjects ~~Ex~~ in the way they are studied in the ordinary Universities and if they make themselves proficient in these subjects they do it after they leave the College and take up work as teachers. In the past when fewer Indian teachers of high qualifications were available and the prescribed qualifications were not rigidly insisted upon they had the opportunities to ~~learn~~ learn in this way.

B

3. As regards Catholic Clergy, I may observe that the course undergone by the students in the seminaries either in India or in London or in the 3 Societies at London, ~~America~~ Annecy and Paris is in no way equivalent to teachers' training course which we have now prescribed. The course prescribed by our Department is a professional course to produce teachers. The seminary course is avowedly a professional course to produce priests and teachers of religion. The two cannot be put on the same footing. The two essential requirements which the theological courses undergone by the Catholic Clergy thus fail to fulfil are (1) familiarity with modern educational movements and practice and (2) teaching ability in the regional languages as the media of instruction. In the present day circumstances mere 'working knowledge' of the languages will not be sufficient.

4. On a reference, the Registrar, University of Madras stated that in respect of future appointments in Arts Colleges, the Syndicate has adopted the principle that members of the teaching staff should apart from their religions and general training possess graduate qualifications and in the case of Professional Colleges graduate or equivalent qualification in respect of the subject they teach. The Syndicate also resolved that the members of the Society of Jesus who have received instructions in Philosophy and have obtained the diploma of the Ph.D. Degree of the Gregorian University of Rome be considered qualified to lecture to the B.A. Pass and Honours Course in Philosophy and that regarding the teaching of Modern European languages by members of the religious order appointments are considered individually taking into consideration the qualifications and nationality of the member teaching the particular language.

p.t.o.

24

14

25

5. It is similarly essential that the members of the Society of Jesus and the Catholic Clergy, graduates as well as under-graduates, should undergo the prescribed course in the Training Colleges and Schools as the case may be and take B.T. or B.Ed. degree if they are graduates and Secondary grade Teachers certificate if they are under-graduates. There is no justification to restore the concession.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

KHR.4-8.

4/8

27

(15)

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
Education & Public Health Department.

D.O.No. 94748/48-6C1 Edn.

Fort St. George,
Madras, 25th October 1949.

My Dear Reddi,

Sub:- Madras Educational Rules - Members of the Society of Jesus - Exemption from the Collegiate and Secondary Training qualifications.

-----

p23 ante

Kindly refer to your letter Rc. No.1678 E3/47 dated 2-8-1949. Father D'Souza is very concerned about retaining the privilege so far enjoyed by Jesuits in respect of exemption from the Collegiate and Secondary Training qualifications. He has approached both present and the previous Minister in this matter. His argument appears to be:

(1) That the previous rule has not been shown to have resulted adversely to education; on the other hand, these Jesuits can claim to a level of proficiency not below of other Institutions;

(2) That Jesuits are subjected to a long period of scholastic training, nearly 14 years, before they are ordained which enables them to be familiar with any educational methods. If they are to be subjected to a further course of training, it would make them much older before they assume their duties as teachers for which the order ~~thus~~ principally exists;

(3) That in view of their religious duties it is not possible for Jesuits to easily attend the ordinary Training Schools.

Rule No. ~~13~~ 14 now allows you to evaluate the Diplomas and Certificates issued by other authorities. Would it not be possible under this Rule for you to examine the Jesuits in respect of their knowledge of South Indian languages and modern educational practices in which you consider they may be deficient? It is possible that the knowledge of the regional language and of other subjects may be possessed by the Jesuits as a result of their ordinary education before joining the scholastic course.

The position regarding the Clergy is to some extent the same. In both cases, what deserves to be considered is that

P.T.O.

28

an existing concession will be revoked, in respect of which there is bound to be misunderstanding. It is expedient to avoid such misunderstanding unless the change proposed can be justified as absolutely necessary.

Yours sincerely,

To
Sri D.S. Reddi, M.A.(Oxon.),
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Desp.
25.X.49

29

16

D.O. No. 94748/48-7C1 Edn dated 1-12-49

E&P.H(C1)

~~My dear Reddi~~, Dear Reddi,

Sub:- M.E.R. – Members of the Society of Jesus – Exemption from ~~the~~ Collegiate and Secondary Training Qualifications

Ref:- My D.O. No. 94748/48-6C1 Edn., dated 25-10-49.

Please see ~~I am to invite attention to~~ my D.O. on the above subject and ~~to request for an early~~ say when a reply may be expected

Yours sincerely,

1/12

30/11/49

30/11/49

101
1/12

To
Sri D.S. Reddi, M.A.(Oxon)
D.P.I.,
Madras

9 (17) 31

D.O. No.1678 E/47.

Director of Public Instruction,
M a d r a s, 5th ~~Nxxx~~ Decr. 1949.

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
6 DEC 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ASST. SECY.
6 DEC 1949

My dear Prabhu,

Sub: Madras Educational Rules - Members of the Society of Jesus - Exemption from the Collegiate and Secondary Training qualifications.

Ref: Your D.O.No.94748/48-6.Cl.Edn.,dated 25th October 1949.

95372

pp 27-28 ante

I do not consider that there is any need to reopen the question as the orders were passed by Government after the most careful consideration. Professional training has been prescribed as a qualification for the teachers working in Secondary Schools and the scholastic training undergone by the Jesuits and other priests however long and arduous it may be cannot be considered as equivalent to it.

As regards the possibility of examining the Jesuits in respect of their knowledge of South Indian Languages and of modern educational practices, I should like to invite your attention to Rule 14 of the Madras Educational Rules wherein I am empowered to evaluate only "Teachers' Diplomas or Certificates issued by an authority, Indian or Foreign, outside this Presidency." I cannot under this rule evaluate also certificates which are not teachers' certificates and even if I could it is clearly beyond the competence of any District Educational Officer to decide after a cursory examination whether a particular individual is up to Graduate requirements in educational theory and practice as well as in his knowledge of the regional language. I would have to appoint a Special Board for this purpose. In addition, the same concession would

p7,
Book
No. 151

x/

have

32

have to be given to Protestant Missionaries and others who have similarly received theological but not professional training.

Yours sincerely,

To

J.M.Lobo Prabhu, Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Education & P.H.Department,
Fort St.George, Madras.

---

33 Edn Deptt
(D)

TM. N. 94748 D/48 (18)

26/10/50

From
The Assistant secretary to Govt
Edn Deptt
To
The D.P.I.

Please ~~state~~ ascertain & report when Rev. Father J. D' Souza ~~will is likely to~~ will be returning to Madras.

Issued
10/4

6/4/50

8/4/50

11/4

6/4/50.

A 35

C.F. 304.

OFFICE OF THE [illegible]

7176A

Date 194 .

Hours ~~Minutes~~

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
12 APR 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From The D.P.I.

To The A.S. to Govt. Edu Deptt.

Ref. Your T.M. @ 10-4-5.
reg Rev. Father J. D'Souza.
Rev. Father J. D'Souza
is expected to return
to Madras by about
the 15th of June.

11/4-50.

[Please write on both sides.

8

POST COPY OF THE TELEPHONIC MESSAGE DATED 11th April 1950

FROM
THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.

To
The Assistant Secretary to Government,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY & EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
20 APL 1950

4920

Ref:--Your Telephonic Message dated 10th April Regarding
Rev. Fr. J.D'Souza.

******

Rev. Fr.J.D'Souza is expected to return to Madras by about the 15th of June.

For Director of Public Instruction.

Clb.17-4-50

17/4

Edn Dept (D)

Filed.

24/4/50

38

39

M.K.Claude, S.J.
SACRED HEART COLLEGE.
Shembaganur P O
MADURA DT (INDIA)

5-6-'50.

Edn.

I want to see the old papers in relation to this - that Catholic Fathers who are teachers should have [illegible] B.T. qualification

KM
17/6

SECRETARIAT
22/3
19 JUN 1950
16334
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

To
The Hon'ble Mr. Madhava Menon,
Minister for Education,
Madras.

Dear Sir,

Only a few days ago I returned to Shembaganur after a busy week in Ooty.

It is with joy and satisfaction that I look upon my visit to you in the Government House, Ooty. I think you will have seen already my Memorandum and the syllabus of the course of studies imparted here. I feel confident, Sir, that no sooner you will have gone through our syllabus, than you will be able to see your way to grant us back the recognition of 1/30-4-1896.

Let me take this opportunity to thank you for the kindness and patience you showed in listening to my representation on behalf of R.F.H.Pint who wants me to convey his gratitude to you in a special way.

With my kindest regards and best wishes,

I remain,
Sir,
Yours faithfully,
M. K. Claude, S.J.

Please see above the minute of H.M.(E.& L.).

p.19 c.F. of (H)

The papers referred to by H.M. are in G.O.No.1115 Education ~~Thexpx~~ dated 4-5-1948 put up in the top file. May be ~~submitted~~ circulated to H.M.(E.& L.) ~~for perusal~~.

8/7/50

12/7/50

H.S.(E&L)
14.7.50.

HM(E&L)

KM
14/7

40/

41

(20)

AS II

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
20840
23 JUL 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

24/7

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Madras.

R.C.No. 1678 E3/47 dated 19-7-1950

Sir,

SUB: Madras Educational Rules--Rule 14-- Members of the Society of Jesus who have completed Training in 1950.

(x)/17-8d.7.

In their Memorandum No. 94748 C1/48-1 Edn. dated 4-5-1949 Government were pleased to exempt the members of the Society of Jesus who completed their training in 1949 from the operation of Rule 14 of the Madras Educational Rules as amended in G.O. No. 115 Edn., dated 4-5-1948. Rev. Father Adisayam represents in his letter dated 14-6-1950 copy of which is submitted herewith, that those who have come out of the Sacred Heart College Shembaganur this year might be recognised as Collegiate trained teachers. I have discussed the matter with the Honorable Minister for Education. In the circumstances represented by Rev. Father Adisayam and as it was possible for the Mission to open a Training College only this year I recommend an extension of the concession to the year 1950

(E)/19d.7.
Pl. see below

Yours faithfully,

For Director of Public Instruction

ptv 20/7

20/7

Copy of the letter dated 14-6-1950 from Rev. J. Adisayam, Loyala College, Madras, To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

With reference to your RC.No. 1678 E3/47 dated 5-4-50 in reply to my letter dated 29-3-1950 requesting extension for one year of the privilege granted to the Members of the Society of Jesus, I beg leave to bring the following points to your kind consideration. A training College has just been started at Palamcottah but it is not possible to get our men trained immediately. Those who come out of the Sacred Heart College, Shembaganur this year cannot do their teaching work if they are not recognised as Collegiate trained. It will indeed be a great handicap in these days of acute scarcity of competent teachers. I request you therefore to recognise the following persons as Collegiate trained and permit them to teach in the High schools according to M.E.R. 14 as it stood before the recent modification.

Fr. G. Bergeron. Fr. J. Pays. Fr. J. Fernande. Fr. Chacko
Fr. V. Gnanapragasam. Fr.George Joseph. Fr.A.Devasahayam.
Fr. J.Chettupuzha. Fr. L. Colaco. Fr. A.D'Mello. Fr.P.D'Mello.

42

20140

Fr. N.D'Souza. Fr. E. Goveas. Fr. J. Kurchiaparambil. Fr. Z. Palakunnel. Fr. L. Rasquinha.

Thanking you in anticipation of your favourable reply.

For Director of Public Instruction

To
20/7.

L. No. 20640 D/50.

43. Education Depr

(21)

Fr. issue to-day.

30/8/50.

Madras Educational Rules – Rule 14. Members of the Society of Jesus who have completed training in 1950. ranked as Collegiate trained teachers.

(E) Read again G.O. No. 1115 Edn D. 4.5.48.

From the D.P.I. No. 1678. E.3/47. D. 19.7.50.

Memo. No. 20640-1 D.Edn., D 30-8-'50.

The Govt approve the proposal of the D.P.I that the exemption granted to the members of the Society of Jesus who completed their Jesuit training in 1949 from the operation of Rule 14 of the M.E.R. as amended by G.O No. 1115 Edn D. 4.5.1948 may be extended also to the members of the Society of Jesus who completed their Jesuit training in 1950.

To
The D.P.I.
S/C

25/8/50. 26/8/50 26.8.50.

Note

Attention is invited to the notes on pp. 11-16 N.F. of G.O. 2054 Edn D. 15.7.50. and to the minutes of HM (E&L) on p. 16 of (X).

SECRETARY
30 AUG 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

25/8/50. 26/8/50 HM(E&L) 26.8.50

HM(E&L)
30/8

44

Notes.

E. & P. H. Dept. (Cl)

22

Sub:- M.E.R. - Rule 14 - Members of the Society of
Jesus who will complete the training in 1949
to be ranked as Collegiate trained teachers -
Amendment to the rule.

p 14

Ref:- From the D.P.I., No.1678-E3/47, dated 13-12-1948
---

p 7, Book No. 151

According to rule 14 of the M.E.R. all members of the Society of Jesus, European or Indian, who are graduates can become collegiate trained teachers by passing the B.T. or B.Ed. degree examination of the Madras or Andhra University after undergoing the necessary training in a training college. This provision was introduced in G.O.No.1115-Edn., dated 4-5-1948. It was also directed that this revised provision ~~will~~ would apply only to persons who were to be ranked as collegiate trained teachers after 4-5-1948. For the reasons stated in paras 2 and 3 of his letter at pages 3-5 c.f. Rev. J.D' Souza requests for exemption from the rule in the case of about 15 to 18 teachers who ~~will be~~ completing their Jesuit training in 1949. Rev. D' Souza requests that the training undergone by these teachers may be recognised as equivalent to B.A., B.T. degrees and to allow them to teach in high schools as trained teachers. The D.P.I. recommends that exemption may be granted to these teachers from the operation of para 1 of Rule 14 of the M.E.R. Govt. may accept the recommendation of the D.P.I.

(H)

para 3, p 5 cf
+ p 14
GO 1115 Edn / 4-5-48
(H)

2. According to rule 14 of the M.E.R. graduate members of the Society of Jesus and graduate members from among the Catholic priests can become collegiate trained teachers by passing the B.T. or B.Ed. examination only after undergoing the prescribed training in a teachers' college. But with regard to non-graduate members of the Society of Jesus and non-graduate Catholic priests, they will be ranked as Secondary grade teachers if they sit for the Secondary grade training School leaving certificate examination, and pass it; they need not undergo the prescribed training

Book 151 / page 7
(as amended GO 1115 Edn / 4-5-48)
(H)

As Govt. considered that training was necessary for these teachers also (non-graduates) before they were ranked as secondary grade teachers the D.P.I. was asked to submit necessary proposals in the matter. The D.P.I. now states that rule 14 of the M.E.R. may be deleted altogether and that the following provision may be added to rule 13. "All other teachers diplomas or certificates not mentioned above, or issued by any authority, Indian or foreign outside this presidency, will be evaluated by the D.P.I." The deletion of rule 14 will take away the concession now enjoyed by the non-graduate members of the Society of Jesus and non-graduate Catholic priests in the matter of training as Secondary Grade teachers. The proposal of the D.P.I. may be approved.

H/11

p.c.f.

3. Attention is invited, to 'P' in the letter of Rev. D' Souza at page 3 c.f. The D.P.I. has not made any comment on this. But on a previous occasion the D.P.I. had remarked that it would be a very undesirable and retrograde step to allow the Catholics to start a training college of their own. Govt. agreed with this view and informed the D.P.I. accordingly. Please see the D.P.I.'s u.o. reply at page 8 N.F. of G.O. No.1115-Edn., dated 4-5-48 and Govt.'s D.O. at page 17 c.f. of the G.O. D.P.I.'s attention may be drawn to that correspondence.

M/on pp 8-9 NF of (H)

(H)

The file may be circulated to H.M.(Edn.)

12/4/49

12/4/49

12/4/49 3

We may agree to para 2 of the D.P.I.'s letter below.

|B

In respect of para 3, as Father D'Souza has claimed that the ~~status~~ studies on the basis of which he claims exemption, have been recognised by the University as equivalent to an Honours Degree and as the B.T. examination is also a University Examination, it is ~~accepted~~ suggested that the opinion of the University should be taken before a concession 60 years old is abrogated. We may consult the University.

|A

A

14/4/49

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
9 APR 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

H.M.(Edn) yes. 20/4

3

(23)

E+PH Dept
C1

It is presumed that in para 2 of the note on p. 2 ante J.S. refers to para 3 of Rev. Fr. D' Souza's letter at p. 5 c.f. Here the request is that the priests who pass out of the course in 1949 may be treated as equivalent to B.A., B.T. and allowed to teach in High Schools without undergoing further training in a teachers College and passing B.T. degree examination. The DPI has recommended this and it is proposed to accept the recommendation of the DPI. As for the future, Rev. D' Souza proposes to organise one of their colleges for the B.T. Course and seek affiliation from the university. Further, orders have already been issued taking away the concession previously allowed to Jesuits to be ranked as collegiate teachers if they are graduates and have undergone their noviciate and juniorate without undergoing the B.T. Course. It is not clear whether the university is to be addressed with a view to restoring the old concession ~~a~~ by cancelling the orders already issued. If so the DPI should first be consulted before the university is addressed ~~at the ... may be asked to address~~. Perhaps the

para 1, p3 c.f.

(H)

27/4/49

DPI may see the paper i.e.

25/4/49

We may refer para 3 of D.P.I's letter, and the whole of Fr D'Souza's letter to the University.

28/4

Para 3 of the D.P.I's letter refers to under-graduates who after ~~the~~ passing the Secondary Grade Training Teachers Examination are eligible to be treated as Secondary Grade trained teachers according to rules as the ~~standard~~ at present. Non-graduate priests are required only to appear for the Teacher's Examination and ~~passing~~ pass it before ~~theyxarextr~~ being treated as trained teachers. The D.P.I's proposal in para 3 is designed to take away this concession and to insist on such teachers undergoing the two year period of training before appearing for the examination. In the letter in the c.f. Rev. D'Souza does not make any reference to non-Graduates at all. The University has no jurisdiction in this matter as the secondary Grade Teachers' Certificate Examination is conducted by the Education Dept. It is submitted therefore that Rev. D.Souza's letter need alone be referred to the University for remarks.

Attention is also invited to para 2 of notes on pages 1-2 ante. No specific orders have been passed on the proposal of the D.P.I. to delete Rule 14 of the M.E.R.

OSM 28/4/49 S

It is desirable that the question of graduate and non-graduate priests should be considered together as the issue involved is the same, that of taking away a concession enjoyed by them for many years. In view of the greatly disturbed attitude of Missionaries in respect of which we had recently a reference from the

---

29

Deputy Prime Minister of India, the concessions should not be revoked unless they are shown to have been abused or to have worked badly in the past. We may therefore ask the D.P.I. to further justify his proposal for revoking the concession consistenly with thé fact that priests, graduate or non-graduate, undergo a long course of scholastic training, in view of which their training like ordinary teachers may not be necessary. The examination which they have to take otherwise assures that they are up to the standard.

At the same time, Father D'Souza's letter may be sent to the University for remarks.

29/4

Two drafts are submitted for approval.

per 30.4.49

4/5/49

OSM 2/5/49 S

3/5

p7cf
p9cf

[Issued as Memo No. 94748C1/48-1 Edn D/ 4-5-49
" " Letter No. 94748C1/48-2 Edn D) 4-5-49.]

Please see the letter from Fr. D'Souza at pp11-13cf since received. As for 'A' on p.11. cf Govt. have already ~~pa~~ ordered that the members of the Society of Jesus who will complete the Jesuit training in 1949 may be exempted from the operation of rule 14 of the MER as amended by G.O. No. 1115 Edn dated 4-5-1948.

As for the question of cancelling the orders in G.O. No. 1115 Edn. dated 4-5-48 raised in Fr. D'Souza's letter, it may be pointed out that the matter has already been referred to the D.P.I. in the memo at pp7-8 cf.

~~The~~ However, in view of the minute of HM (Edn) at p. 11 cf a copy of the letter at pp 11-13 cf may also be sent to the DPI for remarks in continuation of the Govt. memo at pp. 7-8 cf. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

11/5/49

11/5/49

NM 11/5/49

11/5

[Issued as Memo No. 94748/48-3 C1 Edn dated 12-5-49]

p 15 cf

Please see the reply at p 17 cf from the Registrar, Madras university ~~at p. 17 cf~~ to Govt. letter at pp 9-10 cf. The reply of the D.P.I to the memos at pages 7-8 and 15 cf may be awaited.

15/5/49

16/5/49

NM 17/5/49

CN° 59965C - From the D.P.I. N°. 1678 E3/47 dated 2-8-49.

pp 23-25 CF

Please see the D.P.I.'s letter on pp 23-25 CF ~~and~~ the letter of the Registrar, University of Madras, on page 17 CF. In the circumstances stated by the D.P.I. it is for orders whether the proposal in paragraph 5 will be approved. If so rule 14 of the MER may be deleted and rule 13 ~~as~~ amended as suggested by the D.P.I. on page 1 CF.

B.O. 151, p 7

NM 18/10/49 S

HM (Edn)

This file has been delayed for more than two months ~~&~~ & not shown in the [illegible] list also.

pp 27-28 cf

pp 31-32 cf

[Issued as D.O.No. 94748/48-6 Cl Edn., d/25-10-49]

From the D.P.I. D.O.No. 1678 E/47, d/5-12-1949.

Please see the reply from the D.P.I. Except saying that the scholastic training undergone by the Jesuits and other priests however long and arduous it might be cannot be considered as equivalent to professional training, the D.P.I. has not stated how the previous rule which afforded the exemption from training for the Jesuits has shown to have resulted adversely to education, nor has he offered ~~his~~ adverse remarks on the claims of the Jesuits to the level of proficiency obtained by them not below that of other institutions.

As regards the possibility of evaluating the diploma possessed by the Jesuits priests, the D.P.I. says that he is empowered to evaluate only teacher's Diploma or Certificate issued by an authority - - Indian or foreign -- outside this presidency. Since the Jesuits priests claim that the course of study undergone by them includes languages, sciences, Philosophies and Pedagogies and that theirs is a Teaching Order, the entire training being directed to the preparation of efficient teachers, the D.P.I. may be specifically empowered to evaluate the Diploma possessed by Jesuits priests by amending Rule 14 of the M.E.R. in this regard in view of the fact that:-

(1) in almost all countries of Europe and America the Jesuit training is accepted as sufficient qualification for teaching in all schools preparing for the Matriculation examination, and

B.O. p 11 cf

(2) Madras University recognises the Jesuit Degree as equivalent to the M.A. degree in Philosophy in Latin and in some cases modern European languages and permits Jesuits to teach these subjects in University classes and to become Principals and Administrative Heads without further qualifications.

Copy p 11 cf

The remarks of the University of Madras in this connection will be found on p. 17 c.f. It would not be difficult for the D.P.I. to constitute a special Board referred to by him at 'X' on p. 31 c.f. if one is considered really necessary by him and evaluate the Diplomas possessed by the Jesuit priests.

As regards the remarks of the D.P.I. that the same concession may have to be given to Protestant Missionaries and others who have similarly received theological but not professional training, it may be stated that the theological training undergone by Protestant Missionaries is neither so prolonged nor so thorough study of ~~all~~ subjects of all absorbing interest nor does it include such secular studies and teacher's training as the one undergone by the Jesuits. The fact that Protestant Missionaries have not so far petitioned Government on this subject is an indication that they do not claim for their theological training the same status as the Jesuit~~s~~ ~~preists~~ priests do. It may be safely presumed that there will be no similar claim by the Protestant Missionaries if the request of the Jesuits~~E~~ is acce~~e~~ded to.

p 32 cf

Jesuit Priests are working all over the world and several of them must be working in other parts of India as well. It is not known whether the ~~Exip~~ Diplomas held by the Jesuits in other provinces have been evaluated as teaching degrees of the respective educational departments. It is for orders whether the educational departments of other provinces may be addressed as regards the procedure obtaining there before a decision is arrived at in this province.

16/12/49

In the circumstances explained by the D.P.I. in his letters on pp 23-25 CF and 31-32 CF it is not necessary to cancel the orders in G.O. No. 1115 Edn d. 4-5-48. The amendment to the Edl. rules proposed in paragraph 3 of the letter on page 1 CF need not be, however, approved, as this will be taking away a privilege now enjoyed by non-graduate priests.

17/12/49

K

Father D'Souza, M.C.A., is very greatly interested in this question. Before 1948 the training undergone by Jesuit teachers which is of a period of 14 years was recognized as equivalent to B.A.,B.T. Degrees in the case of Graduate priests. In the case of non-graduate Jesuits and other priests, they were allowed to sit for the Examination without training. The position now is that graduates, according to orders issued, have to go through the training and have to sit for the examination, while in respect of non-graduates the D.P.I. proposes a particular ~~the~~ change to be made in the rules. In view of the fact that Jesuit & Missionary Colleges have maintained standards equal if not better than those of other institutions, it would appear sufficient to leave the position as it was before. In case it is considered that some definite test is required, it may be that both graduates and non-graduates may sit for their respective Examinations without undergoing training which in the special circumstances of their profession they cannot easily undergo. In any case, it is suggested that H.M. may like to discuss this first with Father D'Souza on his return from America.

21/12

H.M.(E.& L.)

The question need not be re-opened.

I understand that former HM (Edn) Sri Chettiyar has discussed the matter with Fr. D'Souza & then decided the matter.

33/24

9424/49

2

Anyhow I will discuss with Fr. Jerome on his return if he raises the matter then ||

EM
22/12

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
22 DEC 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

~~A draft order is submitted for approval.~~ For orders whether the file may be kept open ~~and~~ or whether the papers may be closed. If so the draft ~~D~~. submitted below is for ~~approv~~ approval.

SR
30/12/49

ap
3/1/50.

OM
3/1/50

Keep open
3/4/1

~~May be circulated to H.M (E&L) for orders whether the papers may now be closed.~~

31.3.50

~~May be circulated to H.M (E&L).~~ The D.P.I. may be asked to ~~state whether~~ ascertain & report when Fr. Jerome D'Souza will be returning to Madras. Draft T.M. below is for approval.

LM
5/4/50

Father D'Souza may return to Madras on 5/6/50. This may [illegible] till then
ap
1/4

OM
8/4/50

LM
6/4/50

Please see the DPI's reply on p 35 cf. This may lie over till 15.6.1950.

LM
19/4/50

OM
20/4/50

ap
19/4/50

33
1/5/50

---

(27)

Page 7 of the pamphlet (pub. [illegible])

Rule 14 of the Madras Educational Rules deals with the qualifications to be possessed by Catholic Priests who desire to work as teachers in recognised schools - the first sub-para of the rule about the members of the Society of Jesus and the second sub-para about the other Catholic Priests with Seminiary Training.

2. Graduate Priests of the latter kind (i.e., non-Jesuits - second sub-para) were allowed until 1944 to appear for the L.T. degree examination, even without studying in a Training College, after they had undergone necessary training along with their ecclesiastical training. In that year, the second sub-para of the rule was amended to the effect that the priests could become collegiate trained teachers only if they underwent training in a training college and thereafter passed the L.T. degree examination. //Non-graduate priests who had received teachers' training during their ecclesiastical course were and continued to be eligible to appear for the T.S.L.C Examination as private candidates.

cf. para 2 of (H)/cf. 1

3. The first sub-para provided that European and graduate Indian members of the Society of Jesus who had completed their Novitiate and Juniorate courses of the Society and under-graduate Indian members who had completed their full course of study prescribed by the Society, i.e., three years' study of Philosophy and Science in addition to their Novitiate and Juniorate, might be treated as though they were collegiate trained teachers.// It also provided ~~and~~ that non-graduate Indian members who had completed only the Novitiate and Juniorate courses might be treated as if they were secondary grade trained teachers. //That sub-para was untouched in 1944. In 1947, however, the D.P.I. considered the question why the Jesuits alone should enjoy the concession. For the reasons that the Novitiate and Juniorate courses of the Society were not equal to the Madras teachers' training course, which, apart from the study of certain subjects, involved intense practical training, and that the Priests could not teach modern methods, he recommended that these Priests also should

cf. para 3 of (H)/cf. 1

—do—

2

be treated in the same way as other Catholic Priests, if they desired to work as teachers in recognised secondary schools - i.e., graduates should undergo the B.T. or the B.Ed. training prescribed by the Madras and Andhra Universities and all non-graduates, including those who had studied Science and Philosophy, should appear for the Secondary grade T.S.L.C. Examination as private candidates and on passing should be classed as teachers only of that grade. This recommendation was accepted by Govt. and the sub-para amended accordingly on 4-5-48. The amendment was however applied only to those persons who joined the teaching profession after the date of its issue and persons who already worked as teachers in the institutions maintained by the Society were unaffected. (H)/c.f. 1-2 (H)/N.F. 2-3 (H)/c.f. 19

4. Father D'Souza, S.J., of the Loyola College, represented to the D.P.I. and the Government against the sudden withdrawal of the concession that had been in force for nearly 60 years and asked for a reconsideration of the matter or at least postponement of the order until July 1950. The D.P.I.'s remarks on the representation were obtained and his advice not to re-open the question was accepted for the following reasons: (H)/c.f. 13-15 (H)/N.F. 8-9

(1) The University withdrew the concession in 1930 and were insisting upon the teachers' possessing, in addition to their religious and general training, graduate qualifications and, in the case of professional colleges, a professional degree; -do-

(2) The Catholics were taking steps to open a separate training college for themselves, which would materialise only in 1949. The proposal for a separate college to train Catholic priests would be a very unhealthy, undesirable and retrograde move when the Government were trying to discourage separate institutions. -do-

The then H.M.(Edn) - Sri T.S. Avinashilingam Chettiar - also raised the question why non-graduate priests, both Jesuits and others, should be ranked as secondary grade teachers if they merely appeared and passed the secondary grade T.S.L.C. Examination and why they should not be required to undergo the prescribed training. The D.P.I. was asked for his comments on that suggestion. (H)/N.F. 3 (H)/c.f. 10

3

58

5. In October 1948, Father D'Souza took up again the case for Jesuits. On the ground that even the University recognised their studies as equivalent to the Honours Degree in Philosophy and in Latin and in modern European languages in certain categories, he asked for exemption from the B.A. and B.T. degrees for about 18 teachers due to complete their Society's training during 1949. That request was granted. pp.3-5 para 1 of p.7 c.f. & p.2 ante

On the point about requiring the non-graduate priests to undergo regular secondary grade training course, the D.P.I. agreed with Sri T.S. Avinashilingam Chettiar and proposed the complete deletion of rule 14. para 3 of p.1 c.f.

6. The previous Secretary, Mr. Lobo Prabhu, wanted the entire matter to be reconsidered. He noted as follows: pp.4-5 ante

> "It is desirable that the question of graduate and non-graduate priests should be considered together as the issue involved is the same, that of taking away a concession enjoyed by them for many years. In view of the greatly disturbed attitude of Missionaries in respect of which we had recently a reference from the Deputy Prime Minister of India, the concessions should not be revoked unless they are shown to have been abused or to have worked badly in the past. We may therefore ask the D.P.I. to further justify his proposal for revoking the concession consistently with the fact that priests, graduate or non-graduate, undergo a long course of scholastic training, in view of which their training like ordinary teachers may not be necessary. The examination which they have to take otherwise assures that they are up to the standard".

"At the same time, Father D'Souza's letter may be sent to the University for remarks."

With reference to that note, the D.P.I. and the University were addressed. pp.7-10 c.f.

The University replied that the Jesuits who had received instruction in Philosophy and obtained the Ph.D.(Gregorian University of Rome) were qualified to lecture to B.A. and Honours and that the suitability of the Jesuits to teach modern European languages was decided in every individual case, taking into account the qualifications and the nationality of the members. p.17 c.f.

The D.P.I. to whom the subsequent petition, at pages 11-13 c.f., presented by ~~of~~ Father D'Souza ~~presented~~ to the H.M. was also sent, recommended that there was no justification to c.f. 23-25 restore the concession. His reasons were: The Jesuits did not study any South Indian language. The other subjects they studied were only Philosophy (Religious Philosophy) and Science and Mathematics of a very elementary kind. They were not encouraged to study modern Psychology or any of the Modern Sciences and were not expected to study any secular subjects in the way they were studied in the ordinary Universities. The course of studies undergone by the non-Jesuits was avowedly ~~by~~ a professional course with the object of producing priests and teachers of religion and was not in any way equivalent to the teachers' training in Madras and it failed to secure for the trainees familiarity with modern educational movements and practice and ability to teach through the media of the regional languages. CF 23-25

7. Mr. Lobo Prabhu referred the matter again to the D.P.I. for reconsideration, having regard to the following points:- CF 27

(1) Education was not adversely affected when the concession was in force and the Jesuits claimed proficiency not below that of others;

(2) They underwent about 14 years' scholastic training and if they were subjected to further training, they would become much older before they assumed duties as teachers, for which the Order principally existed;

(3) In view of their religious duties, it was not possible for them to easily attend the ordinary training institutions;

(4) Could not the D.P.I. examine the Jesuits' attainments under the general powers given to him to evaluate diplomas and certificates issued by authorities other than those specifically mentioned in the rules?

(5) The concession should not be revoked unless the change was absolutely essential.

The Director reiterated his opinion. He pleaded that unless a special Board was constituted, it was impossible to decide the equivalence of the attainments of each of the Jesuits to the B.T. qualification and that the restoration of concession would result in similar treatment being given to Protestants and other missionaries who had received theological but not professional training. CF 31-32

---

8. The previous Secretary thereupon made the following recommendation and circulated the case to H.M (E.&.L.):- p.9 ante

~~26/6~~

> "In view of the fact that Jesuit and Missionary Colleges have maintained standards equal if not better than those of other institutions, it would appear sufficient to leave the position as it was before. In case it is considered that some definite test is required, it may be that both graduates and non-graduates may sit for their respective Examinations without undergoing training which in the special circumstances of their profession they cannot easily undergo. In any case, it is suggested that H.M. may like to discuss this first with Father D'Souza on his return from America."

H.M. minuted that the question need not be re-opened and that, however, he would discuss it with Father D'Souza on his return from America if the latter raised the matter on his return. ~~Father D'Souza was expected to be in India by [illegible] June 1950~~ pp.9-10 ante

Father D'Souza has just returned. Since H.M.'s orders are that the question need be re-opened only if Father D'Souza himself raised the question, no further action is necessary. The papers may be recorded.

Ps.

28/6/50 [illegible].6.50

Time was given H.M.(E.&L.)
when [illegible] year. Did not

[illegible]/6

SECRETARY
28 JUN 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

(H) | CF.19

The amendment requiring the graduate members of the Society of Jesus to undergo the regular B.T. or B.Ed. course and the under-graduate members to sit for the T.S.L.C. examination, if they wanted to be treated as collegiate trained or secondary trained teachers resply., was issued on 4-5-48. But it was not made applicable to persons already working as teachers; it governed only those persons who had to join the teaching profession after 4-5-48. Subsequently,

Note at bottom
of (H) | CF.19
9 (H) | NCF 7-8

Father D'Souza represented that 18 members of the Society were due to complete their full training during 1949 and that they should be exempted from the amendment. That request was complied with. In effect, it meant the grant of a year's time, as minuted by H.M.(E.&.L.). previous page [The question of exempting all the persons who had commenced (on the Date of the amendment) the Jesuit training, i.e., also those who were in the Noviate or the Juniorate or the Philosophy and Science courses, was not raised.]

pp.3-5 cf.

p.2 ante

Presumably in respect of those who are to "graduate" after 1949, steps are being taken to comply with the G.O. at (H).

13/7/50

HM(E&L)

13.7.50

3/KE/8

HM(E&L)

This may be closed now. HM (Ford) wanted one more year to be given to them now - We shall consider this when they apply. For the present close this

18/7

SECRETARY
14 JUL 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Abstract.

G.O. MS No. 2054. Edn., d., 15-7-50.

M.E.R. — Rule 14 — Members of the Society of Jesus — Exemption from the collegiate and Secondary Training Qualifications — Reports recorded.

30