Edun Deptt

G.O. No. 1729 Ms.

dated 8-6-50.

㉓

(Order on p339 inside)

3352 Ed.
31.1.257

E & P.H. Department.

D.O. No. 41734A-1/48A Edn. d. 22.6.48.

Dear Mr. Reddi,

C/5

ADMISSION TO COLLEGES.

I am desired to invite your attention to paragraph 5 in appendix B to G.O.No.9,Education dated 3/1/48. ~~It is considered~~ the view has been expressed that this instruction in this paragraph is vague and likely to lead to friction, and further, the general principles framed by committees are likely to vary from college to college.

I am to ask you to examine the matter in the light of the ~~expensive~~ experience gained, in consultation with the Principals, who should be able to say how this rule has worked.

Yours sincerely,

21/6/48

22/6/48

To The D.P.I.(By name)

22/6/48

3/ E.P.H.(A)

Memo. No. 41734A-2/48A Edn dated 28-9-48.

Sub: Admissions to colleges - Advisory committees.

Ref: D.O. No. 41734 A-1/48A Edn. d. 22-6-48.

The D.P.I. is requested to state ~~in what~~ when his reply may be expected.

27.9.48

28/9/48

29/9/48

99
28/9

To the D.P.I.

5/10

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 JUL 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sir,

R.C.No.6388-K2/46 dated 6-7-1949.

Sub: Colleges - Government Colleges - Advisory committees.
Ref: Your D.O.No.41734-A1/48-A Edn dated 22-6-1948 and
Government Memmorandum No.7337-1/48 Edn-A, dated 21-6-1948
and Government Memorandum No.39037-5/48-A Edn dated 1-6-1949.

53916

C/5

I have the honour to state that no difficulty was experienced in the implementation of rule 5 under appendix B to G.O.No.9 Edn dated 3-1-1948 in the matter of selection of candidates for admission to Government Arts Colleges during 1948-49. In enclosing herewith a copy of the rules framed by the Advisory committees of the Government Victoria College, Palghat and Government Brennen College, Tellicherry, I may state that rules 5--7 in respect of Government Victoria College, Palghat and rule 3 (d) in respect of Government Brennen College, Tellicherry are not in accordance with the spirit of the general instructions issued by Government and the Principals have been instructed to ask the Advisory Committees concerned to delete the rules in question for purposes of selection of candidates for admission.

[illegible] separate file.

2) I submit the following proposals for the constitution of a n Advisory committee for each of the Engineering Colleges at Kakinada and Anantapur.

extract taken & dealt with in another file.

College of Engineering, Kakinada.

An advisory committee may be constituted for the college with the following gentlemen.

1) Chief Engineer, P.W.D.(General) as Chairman,
2) Chief Engineer, Electricity
3) Chief Engineer, M & S.M Rly.
4) Sri R.S. Nanjundaiah, Administrative Officer,
   Harbour Engineer, Vizagapatam.
5) Mr. J.C. Campbell, Technical Representative of the Sindia
   Steam Navigation Company, Vizagapatam.
6) Mr. K.V. ~~Thadanes~~ Thadaney, District Engineer, Concrete Association
   (India), Madras as the representative of the
   South India Centre of the Institution of Engineers.
7) Principal of the College, Ex-Officie Secretary.

Thadaney

Usually one meeting will be held per year. The expenditure on T.A. to the non-official members for their journey to attend the meeting will be about Rs.1000/-.

extract taken and dealt with in another file

College of Engineering, Anantapur.

An Advisory Committee may be constituted for the college with the following gentlemen.

1) The Collector, Anantapur.
2) The Superintending Engineer, P.W.D., Anantapur.
3) The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
4) Sri Kalluri Subbarao, Member, Constituent Assembly.
5) Sri Chetty, Retired Chief Engineer, South Indian Railway,
   Anantapur.
6) Prof. Ramaswamy, Principal, Engineering College, Bangalore.
7) Principal of the College, Ex-Officio Secretary.

With the exception of Prof. Ramaswamy, it is reported all are residents of Anantapur. The Principal has reported that the six gentlemen in question are willing to serve on the committee. The question of T.A. and D.A. will arise in respect of Prof. Ramaswamy only and the consequent expenditure per meeting will be about Rs.100/-.

(38) suitable persons consistent with

I request that the proposals for the constitution of Advisory Committees for the Engineering Colleges at Kakinada and Anantapur may be accepted.

As regards the Advisory Committee for the Presidency College, Madras, several important factors have to be taken into account before appointing a committee. Consequent on the complete abolition of the Intermediate Course in the College, new courses of studies of both pass and Honours standards have been sanctioned. Besides, the proposals for the reorganisation of the College, as a centre for the higher teaching and research, based on the Raman Committee Report are under consideration. It would, therefore, be desirable to constitute an Advisory Committee for the College with the further development of the institution. I am aksing the Principal to take the above factors into account and submit a panel of suitable names for selection for membership of the committee, soon after the admissions are made in July 1949.

earlier taken and dealt with in separate file

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

BR,8-7.

9/7

11/7

ENCLOSURE.

Copy of the Rules framed by the Advisory Committee of the Government Victoria College, Palghat for selection of candidates for admission to the College (July 1948)

---

(1) Malabar students should be given preference, provided a non-Malabar student with great merit may be admitted.
(2) (Provision to be found for) Lady Students (up to) 120 to 150 (as permitted by the University)
(3) Brahmins to be given a higher percentage than the percentage on population basis.
(4) With regard to the B.Sc. Class, first class students of this College should be preferred as against the first class students of the other colleges in Malabar; and the first class students of the other colleges should be preferred as against the Second class students of this College.

X (5) The sons, daughters, nephews and nieces of the old students of this College to be encouraged.

X (6) The sons, daughters, nephews and nieces of the benefactors of this College to be encouraged.

X (7) The sons, daughters, nephews and nieces of the staff of this College to be encouraged.

(8) As the Hostel accommodation for girls is limited, girl students from the Municipal limits and those from outside who can get accommodation in the houses of their relations may be preferred.

(9) President authorised to address the Vice Chancellor for increasing the overall strength of the girls students.

(10) Merit includes sportsmanship.

(11) *Last years practice should be followed with regard to the educationally backward communities and detained students.*

(12) The Principal will prepare the list of candidates for admission on the basis of the above principles.

Sd.
President of the Advisory Committee.

MINUTES OF THE COLLEGE, Advisory Council Meeting.

---

(1) The College Advisory Council met on 1st July 1948 to consider admissions to the Junior Intermediate and Junior B.A. Classes. The following attended.

Rao Bahadur K. Kunhiraman Nayar, Advocate, Tellicherry.
Sri P. Kunhiraman, Advocate, Member, Constitutuent Assembly.
Janab K.M. Seethi Sahib, B.A., B.L., M.L.A.
Janab M.P.K. Mammoo Sahib, B.A.,
Sri R.S. Viswanatha Shenoy, B.A., B.L., Advocate.
Mrs. E.K. Devayani Anandan.
Capt. A.C.M. Nambiar, M.A., Lecturer in Charge.

(2) The Committee approved the provisional list of admissions to the Junior B.A. Class Drawn up by the Lecturer in charge. Nearly all applicants for admission had secured admission.

(3) The applications for admission to the Junior Intermediate were considered next. There were nearly 404 applicants for 208 seats. Hence the committee laid down the following principles for guidance in the making the admissions.

(a) Outstanding merit to receive first consideration. All candiates receiving a total of 400 or more in the S.S.L.C. Examination to be admitted.
(b) Students who had taken History as optionals and secured an aggregate of 250 or more marks to be admitted into group III. They would receive preference for History over Science Students securing more marks.

(c) Backward communities to be given admission. Muslims to receive some consideration. Whose marks were equal, a muslim to be given

GIVEN prior consideration over those other than from backward communities.

(d) Children of Government servants stationed in Tellicherry and dependants of members of the College and High School staff to receive special consideration.

(e) Students passing out of any of the Local High Schools (the Mission High School, College High School, St. Joseph's High School) and from the High School of Kadirur and Kuthuparamba to receive favourable consideration. All local applicants with 280 marks or more to be admitted.

(f) applicants with extraordinary proficiency in sports and games to feceive special consideration. The College Physical Director to be the judge of such proficiency.

(g) The Lecturer in Charge was authorised to draw up the tentative lists of selected candidates on these principles, the Advisory committee agreeing to meet the following evening to scrutinise and endorse the lists.

(h) The Advisory Committee devoted special consideration to the case of Women applicants. The University had sanctioned a total strength of only 90 women students. The Committee felt that this was far below the requirements of the College and addressed the Registrar to increase the strength to 120 or at least 110. Public opinion was very strong in the matter and the committee was of opinion that as most of the women students were day scholars residing with their parents the deficiency of women members on the staff was not a valid argument for the University refusing to increase the number of women students.

Sd. A.C.M. Nambiar,
Lecturer in Economics.

6

for Director of Public Instruction.

1/7

12/7

10

11

LE 62/10/8

J.V. 10.8

Edn and P.H.Dept

Telephone Message by Post No. 41734A-3/48 AEdn

dated 9.8.49

From

The Secy to Govt, Edn & P.H. Dept

To The D.P.I.

Queen Mary's college, Madras – Advisory Committee –

Please say very urgently whether an Advisory Committee has been constituted for the Q.M.C. and if so who are the members of the Committee. The number & date of the G.O. in which the Committee was constituted may also be mentioned: Please also say whether the question of constituting the Committee was considered by you or by the Govt. (BO)

9/8/49

9/8/49

12

L3

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FROM
THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Dept.,
MADRAS

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6/382A
12 AUG 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Rc.No.905 K2/49 dated 4-8-49

Sir,

Sub:--Government Colleges--Advisory Committees--Rules relating to selection of applicants for admission.

In para (1) of my letter Rc.No.6388 K2/46 dated 6-7-49 I have explained how far rule 5 of Appendix B to G.O. No.9 Education dated 3-1-48 could be implemented in the matter of selecting candidates for admission to Government Colleges. I have also pointed out certain rules framed by the Advisory Committees in respect of Government Victoria College, Palghat and Government Brennen College, Tellicherry which were found not desirable and the deletion of which, therefore was directed by me. In regard to the admissions in the current year the Principal, Government Arts College, Rajahmundry has reported that the Advisory Committee has not interpreted the provision in rule 5 of Appendix (B) in question correctly and has sought my clarification. I enclose herewith a copy of his letter Rc.58 A/48 dated 12-7-49.

2) In the light of experience in regard to the Government Colleges at Palghat, Tellicherry and Rajahmundry, I consider that rule 5 under Appendix B should be suitably amended to avoid complications and unpleasant situation between the Principals and the Advisory Committees. Rule 3 under Appendix B is quite clear that the admissions into the various groups and classes of the College should be made according to the Instructions issued by the Government Or the Director of Public Instruction from time to time. In the light of this rule the first sentence of rule 5 is unnecessary and it is apt to present difficulties in actual practice for purposes of admissions in future years. I recommend the deletion of the first sentence of rule 5 under Appendix B.

Yours faithfully,

Abdul Hamid

For Director of Public Instruction

9/8/49.

Clb.6-8-49

9.8.49

Copy of letter Rc.No.58 A/48 dated 12-7-49 from the Principal, Govt. Arts College, Rajahmundry to the Director of Public Instruction Madras.

Sub:--Advisory Committees-- Functions in relation to admissions--amendment--suggested.

Ref:--Procs. G.No.905 K2/49 dated 1-7-49 and Roc.No. 63-88 K2/46 dated 2-7-48 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

The Advisory Committee of the College serves a very useful purpose, and has shown great interest in all developmental schemes of the College. But so far as admissions to College classes are concerned, I am afraid that the history of the old select Committee may repeat itself unless proper precautions are taken in time. The members of the Committee are interested more in persons than in principles. They are also not well-qualified to decide technical questions such as what constitutes merit or to select candidates on certain special considerations other than merit (Vide Resolutions of the committee submitted to you in this Office Roc.No.58 A/48 dated 4-7-49) They have claimed this right to select particular candidates on the basis of the following sentence in para 5 of Appendix B to the G.O. No.Ms.9 Edn. dated 3-1-48 constituting the Advisory Committees:--

(C)/5

9

"In regard to admission, the Committee shall first frame general principles for admission and then proceed to make the selection". I told the Committee that they can frame only general principles and that the selection made should be in accordance with those principles and that selection of particular candidates on special considerations cannot be brought under general principles. But they interepreted the rule otherwise and as our College reopened later than the other private Colleges, I was afraid that reference of this doubt to the Director of Public Instruction would mean further delay and hardship to students and may also result in making my relations with the Committee strained. I request you therefore to clarify this point for future guidance.

If it is the intention of the Government that the Committee should frame only general principles and leave the actual selection in accordance with those principles to the Principal, I request you to suggest to the Government the deletion of the underlined words in the sentence quoted above and make it more definite.

(Sd)x x x x x x
Principal.

/True copy/

For Director of Public Instruction

Clb.6--8--49

9/8

1
9/8/49

E.P.H. (A)

Memo. No. 41734 A-4/48 A Edn dated 16-11-49.

Sub: Colleges - Q.M.C., Madras - Advisory Committee - Formation of.

From the D.P.I., P.C. of T.M. d. 23.8.49.

The D.P.I. is requested to send up to the Govt. urgently proposals for the Constitution of an advisory Committee for the Q.M.C., Madras, as for other colleges.

14-11-49

14/11/49

15/11/49

16/11

21/11-11

To the D.P.I.

22/11

E.P.H.(A)

Memo. No. 41734A-5/48A Edn. dated 24-1-50.

Sub: Colleges – Q.M.C., Madras – Advisory Committee – Formation of.

Ref: Memo. No. 41734A-4/48A Edn. d. 16-11-49.

The D.P.I. is requested to expedite his reply to the memo cited.

20-1-50.

22/1/50

23/1/50

24

25

To the D.P.I.

Despd

22

E.P.H.(A)

~~T.M. by Post No. dated -1-50.~~

~~To the D.P.I.~~

~~Sub: Govt. colleges - Employment of addl. staff.~~

~~Ref: Your No. 387 K2/47 d (13.12.49) / 24-12-49.~~

p1-387 c/f

~~Please state urgently what is the ultimate cost to the Govt. on account of the proposals contained in your letters cited. ~~and~~ Please also state what is the addl. cost to the Govt. in the current year on account of the proposals in question.~~

18-1-50.

POST COPY OF TELEPHONIC MESSAGE (NO.1648 K2/49)

6 JAN 1950

A

23

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction, Madras.
Dated 4--2--1950.

E.P.H.(A)

N.R. on 22.3.50.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

B

6
7.2.50.

8/2/50

8746

To
The Secretary to the Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

p 21 ante

Ref: Govt. Memo. No.41734 A.5 Education dated 24-1-50 regarding the constitution of an Advisory Committee for the Queen Mary's College, Madras.

A reply will be submitted to Government an receipt of the proposals called for from the Principal, urgently.

For Director of Public Instruction.

4/2

4/2

vs.4.2.

G K/ 25

Education Department.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education Department, Fort St.George, Madras

R.C.No. 1648-K2/49 dated 21..3..1950

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
24 MAR 1950
4281
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Colleges--Government Colleges--Advisory Committees--
Constitution of.
Reference:-My letters Rc.No.1648-K2/49 dated 24.1.50,
23.2.50, ~~23.2.50,~~ 3.3.50 and 8.3.50.) separate files

---

1. In continuation of my letters referred to above submitting proposals for the constitution of Advisory Committees for certain Government Colleges, I have the honour to propose the constitution of an Advisory Committee for the Queen Mary's College, Madras, with the following persons.

14

1. Mrs. Cherian
2. Begum Amiruddin
3. Mrs. Sivaraj
4. Mrs. Madhava Menon
5. Mrs. Ammannaraja..

2. I consider that there should be 3 men members also in the committee and request that Government may kindly choose 3 gentlemen from the following list.

1. Sri K. Venkataswami Naidu, M.L.C.
2. Sri R. SuryanarayanaRao, M.L.C.
3. Dr. B.B.Dey, Retired Director of Public Instruction
4. Sri V.M.Ramaswami Mudaliar, M.L.A., North Arcot
5. Sri N. Ranga Reddi, M.L.C., Cuddapah
6. Sri M. Bapineedu, M.L.A. (West Godavari)

3. I may point out that if persons from outside Madras City are to be selected Travelling Allowance and Daily Allowance should be paid to them for their journeys for attending the meetings. As usual the Principal will be the ex-officio Secretary. On receipt of orders approving the proposed nominations, a report will be submitted to Government regarding the willingness of the nominees to serve on the Committee.

Yours faithfully,

For Director of Public Instruction.

ks.22.3.

GB 22/3

K 22/3

26

27

Education Department (G)

Memorandum No. 41734A-6/48 g Edn., dated 26-4-50.

Sub:- Queen Mary's College, Madras - Advisory Committee.
Ref:- Letter from the D.P.I., No.1648-K2/49, dated 21-3-1949.

G.O. 2138 Edl. 28-1-50 renewed for C.No. 9319A/50

The Govt. propose to appoint the following persons as members of the Advisory Committee of the Queen Mary's College, Madras, the Principal being the ex-officio Secretary:---

1. Begum Amiruddin
2. Mrs. Ammannaraja
3. Mrs. P.B. Kailasam (15. Pachaiyappa's Hostel Road Chetpet)
4. Mrs. Chinnappa
5. Mrs. Parameswaran (Wife of H.M. (~~Prohbn~~ R.D.)
6. Mrs. Indira Ramadurai
7. Sri K.Venkataswami Naidu, M.L.C.
8. Sri K.Suryanarayana Rao, M.L.C.
9. Dr.B.B.Dey, (Retd. D.P.I.)

The D.P.I. is requested to ascertain ~~the~~ and report/~~whether the~~ the willingness of the persons mentioned above to serve on the Committee.

25/4/50

25/4/50

To
The D.P.I.

26/4

28

[illegible]

Memorandum [illegible]

Sub:- [illegible] College, Madras - Advisory Committee.

Ref:- [illegible]

[illegible] the ex-officio Secretary:--

[illegible]

29

Edn. (G)

Memo. No. 41734 A-7/48 G dated 30-5-50.

Sub: Colleges - Q.M.C., Madras - Advisory Committee.

Ref: Memo. No. 41734 A-6/48 G d. 26.4.50.

4/3/5 p 27 c.f.

The D.P.I. is requested to submit his reply to the memo cited above at an early date, as it is necessary that the Advisory Committee ~~for the college~~ should be constituted well in advance of the reopening of the College next year.

27.5.50.

29/5/50

To The D.P.I.

31/5

27.5.

N.B.:- For orders whether exchange reminder should be submitted as usual. The same reminder in this case seems to be justified.

27.5.50

separate file
(Submit on [illegible]

14284 A

Extract from letter R.C.No. 1648 K2/49 dated 1-6-1950 from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.relating to Colleges - Govern Colleges - Constitution of Advisory Committees.

In continuation of my letter referred to above, I have the hono to state that all the nominees for the Advisory Committee proposed i respect of the Queen Mary's College, Madras are willing to serve on the Committees.

/True extract/

32

33

Edn Dept
G.

Pl. issue today.
8.6.50.

Subject:- Colleges - Queen Mary's College, Madras - Advisory Committee - Constituted -

Ref. From the D.P.I. No. 1648-K2/49. dated 21.3.50 and 1.6.50.

p 25 f.

a p 31 f.

18

G.O. No. Ms. 1729 Edn dated 8-6-50.

The Govt. ~~direct~~ have decided That an Advisory Committee should be constituted for the Queen Mary's College, Madras, with the following members:—

1. Begum Aminuddin
2. Mrs. Ammanna raja
3. Mrs. P. ~~S~~. Kailasam
4. Mrs. Chinnappa.
5. Mrs. Parameswaran (~~[illegible]~~)
6. Mrs. Indra Ramadurai.
7. Sri K. Venkataswami Naidu, M.L.C.
8. Sri R. Suryanarayana Rao, M.L.C.
9. Dr. B. B. Dey, (Retired Director of Public Instn.)

p 27 f.

M p 27 f.

2. The Committee will be governed by the instructions laid down by Govt. for the guidance of Advisory Committees for other Arts Colleges.

3. The members of the Committees will hold office for three academic years, viz. 1950-51, 1951-52 and 1952-53.

This note circulated to H.M. (EL) & H.M. (PH) today separately - (Same as items in the Appendix 'B' of pp 4-5 of ©

To the D.P.I.

7.6.50.

7/6/50

7.6.50.

38/C.

Special

Secretary, E & P.H.

I should like to see the G.O. issued in connection with the constitution of Advisory Committees for Government Arts Colleges and their position with regard to admissions. The G.O. and the file may be put up on the 10th.

R.G.A.
H.M.Finance
8/6/1948.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
8 JUN 1948
41734A
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

(9)

A copy of G.O. No. 9 Edn. d. 3.1.48 is put up at (E). The original is put up in another file circulated to H.M. (Edn.). ~~This may be circulated to H.M. (Fin.).~~

As regards admissions attention is invited to instruction 5 3f of the instructions contained in the appendix to the G.O.

9.6.48

~~Advisory~~ Advisory Committees have so far been constituted only for the colleges mentioned in the G.O.

May be circulated to H.M. (Fin.)

9/6/48

9/6/48 S

9/6/48 H.M. (~~Edn.~~ Finance)

Clause 5 is so vague and is likely to lead to friction.

'The Committee shall first frame general principles' and 'the principal shall generally accept'. The general principles may vary from College to College and principal is made helpless.

I personally feel these Committees should not have anything to do with admissions. H.M. (Edn) may see.

R.G.A.
17.6

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
17 JUN 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

P/o

May be circulated to H.M. (Edn.)

17/6/48 H.M. (Edn.)

2

41734A/48A

As a view of our experience in the matter, the matter may be asked to be considered by the D.P.I. & put up. The Principals must be able to say as to how the rule has worked.

TSA
20-6-48

SECRETARY, EDU. AND P.H. DEPT. 21 JUN 1948 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft D.O. is subd.

RM
21/6/48 S [illegible]

[Issued as D.O. No. 41734A-1/48A Edn. d. 22.6.48.] p1 cf

From the D.P.I. No. 6388 K2/49 dated 6-7-49. p5 cf

Para 1 of the D.P.I.'s letter dated 6-7-49 may be perused. He states that no difficulty was experienced in the implementation of rule 5 under appendix (B) to G.O. No. 9 Edn. dated 3-1-48. The file may be circulated to H.M. (Fin.), w.r.t. his minute on plaint, through H.M. (E & L).

p 5 cf
ibid

(C)/5

RM
1/8/49 S

L
29.7.49
30/7/49

May kindly see my notes in the linked file — (B)/124-125. It is difficult to accept that Rule 5 is entirely satisfactory, particularly in respect of the representation of communals backward students

H.M. (E & L)

I do not think we need worry about this much as we have not heard of any complaints. KM 2/8

2/8

H.M. (Fin)

~~16/8~~

41734A/48A

3

The Committees are a fifth wheel as far as admissions go.

The principal must be made solely responsible, subject to instructions from Govt.

The Committees were originally meant to bring in the parents with the general admn. of the College. But they do nothing except to interfere with regard to admissions. I think the Committees can easily be scrapped or at least they should not have anything to do with admns.

SECRETARY, EDU. AND P.H. DEPT. 4 AUG 1949 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

S.G.I.
4.8

20

The file may be recirculated to H.M. (E & L)

RM
4/8/49 S

~~H.M. (E & L)~~ 5/8

H.M. (E & L)

I had no occasion or complaints about the Committees to form the view which H.M. (Fin) has taken. The details of the working of one Com. which I saw left me the impression that it was good.

And my experience of the College without a Committee (Q.M.C) has been just the otherwise.

K

~~8/5/6~~

4

H.M.(Fin) may see that file & know how the College without the Committee has worked. K

KM

6.8

6 AUG 1949
p13 c.f

From the D.P.I. No. 905 K/49 d. 4.8.49.
~~and~~ H.M. by post d. 23.8.49.

p17 c.f.

The D.P.I.'s letter dated 4.8.49 may be perused. The Director recommends that the first sentence of rule 5 ~~of~~ the rules in Appendix B to G.O. No. 9 Edn. d. 3.1.48 may be deleted. In the circumstances reported by him, his recommendation may be accepted.

p13 c.f.
ibid
(E)/5
p13-15 c.f.

2. w.r.t. 'K' on p 3-4 above, it may be noted that there used to be an Advisory Council for the Q.M.C., Madras. It was last reconstituted in G.O. 565 Edn. d. 14-4-44 and the members then nominated were to hold office for a period of three years. The life of the Council has thus expired and the Director reports that no proposal has so far been received from the Principal for reconstituting the Council. For orders whether the D.P.I. may be asked to submit proposals for constituting an advisory Council for the College. The file referred to by H.M.(E&L) at 'K' above is ~~[illegible] at the top~~ at the top. This may now be circulated to H.M.(E&L), H.M.(P.W.), H.M.(Fin.) and Hon'ble Premier.

vide p17 c.f.
(G)/12-13
ibid
p17 c.f.
8/12

[illegible] No. 213 Edn 28-1-50

14.10.49
14/10/49

14/10/49

41234A/48A

There are three questions for consideration:-

(1) whether there should be Advisory Committees for Government Colleges;

(2) if so, what should be their share in the admission of students; and

(3) whether the Committee for Q.M.C. should be reconstituted.

21

In respect of the first question, it appears desirable that public interest and opinion should be obtained for the proper administration of the Colleges. In a way this will be helpful to the Principal in understanding and meeting public criticism.

In respect of the second question, the present position as stated in rules 3 and 5 of the Rules of the Committee on page 7 + 5 (C) c.f. is not satisfactory. If Government is to issue instructions as provided in rule 3, it is not consistent for the Committee to frame general principles under ~~xx~~ rule 5. It is also possible, as H.M.(Fin.) thinks, the Committees interfere unnecessarily with the discretion of the Principal. A possible way out is to vest the power of selection in the Principal, subject to the approval of the Committee which may be taken at a Special Meeting to be held at the time of admissions. In the event that the Committee does not agree with any ~~xxx~~ selections made by the Principal, a reference can be made for the orders of the D.P.I.

c/5
c/5
Not at all KM
I cannot agree KM

In respect of the Q.M.C., the Advisory Committee appointed in 1944 has lapsed. It appears necessary to reconstitute this Committee for which the D.P.I. may be asked to make nominations.

Ask him to do so. KM

15/7

H.M.(E.& L.) ✓ 1 yes.
H.M.(P.W.) ✓ 2. No change in the procedure already in vogue is necessary
H.M.(Fin.) ✓ (3) yes. KM
H.Pr. ✓

A

26.X

I agree

6/ W.r.t. the orders in circulation on the previous page, a draft memo is subd. for approval.

In his letter d. 4.8.49, the D.P.I. recommended that, in the circumstances reported by him therein, the first sentence of rule 5 ~~of the~~ in Appendix B to G.O. No. of Edn. d. 3.1.48 may be deleted. ~~The file may be recirculated to H.M. (E & L), H.M. (Fin) & H.M. for orders on this point~~ p13 c.f.

ibid

– © / 5

It is presumed that no change is necessary in view of H.M's minute at A on the previous page.

6 / 14-11-49.

14/11/49

15/11/49 S

16/11

[Issued as Memo. No. 61734 A-4/48 A Edn. d. 16-11-49.] p19 c.f.

From the D.P.I. No. 1648 K₂/49 d. 21-3-50. p25 c.f.

The D.P.I.'s letter d. 21.3.50 may be perused. The five ~~names~~ specified ~~proposed~~ in para 1 of the letter for membership of the Advisory Committee for the Queen Mary's College, Madras, may be approved. Of the names suggested in para 2 of the Director's letter the first three viz. (1) Sri K. Venkataswamy Naidu, M.L.C., (2) Sri R. Suryanarayana Rao, M.L.C., and (3) Dr. B.B. Dey, retired D.P.I. may be approved. It does not seem necessary to have persons from outside Madras city on the Committee. After the names are approved, the D.P.I. will be asked to ascertain the willingness of the persons to serve on the Committee. The file may be circulated to H.M. (E & L).

ibid

ibid

ibid

6 / 11-4-50.

12/4/50 S

14.4.50

H.M. (E & L.)

Secy. pl. spk

19/4

The Secretary discussed the subject with the H.M.(Edn.). H.M. considered that the ladies whose names are mentioned below may be nominated as members of the Advisory Committee in the place of Mrs. Cherian, Mrs, Sivaraj, Mrs. Madhava Menon:-

1. Mrs. ~~B.~~ P.S. Kailasam, 15, Pachaiyappa's Hostel Road Chetpet.
2. Mrs. Chinnappah
3. Mrs. Parameswaran
4. Mrs. Indira Ramadurai

(1) Mrs. P.S. Kailasam
(2) Mrs. Chinnappah
Mrs. Parameswaran
(3) "Sudder Gate"
97, Mowbrays Road
Alwarpet, Mylapore
(4) Mrs. Indira Ramadurai
"Vani Vilas"
445, Badriah Garden St
G.T. Madras

22

H.M. also considered that Sri K.Venkataswami Naidu, M.L.C., Sri R.Suryanarayana Rao, M.L.C. and Sri B.B.Dey, may be nominated as members of the Committee. A draft memo asking the D.P.I. to ascertain whether the persons concerned are willing to serve on the Advisory Committee is submitted. H.M. may perhaps see before issue.

25/4/50 S

26.4.50

SECRETARY
26 APL 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

H.M. (E & L.)

26/4

p27 c.f. [Issued as Memo. No. 41734 A-6/48-G. Edn. dated 26.4.50.]

p31 c.f. From the D.P.I. No. 1648 K₂/49. dated 1.6.50.

The case deals with the proposal to constitute an Advisory Committee for the Queen Mary's College on the lines of the Committees for other Colleges. In the memo on page 27 c.f. the D.P.I. was asked to obtain the consent of the persons selected by Govt. to serve on the Committee. The D.P.I. now reports that all have expressed their willingness.

p27 c.f.

p31 c.f.