Secrt. II-27—104,006—1-13-49

Education (1950.) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO.	1553 Edn
Dated	19-5-50.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Govt. Press. Disbursement of pay to the employees - Remuneration to the clerical staff. Proposal not approved.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ms	1045 Cross Cancelled	Edn	6.5.52				

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS, MADRAS.

No. 78/mis (V) Dated 27th March 1950.

From

Janab R. Siddique,
Superintendent, Government Press, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Fort St. George,
MADRAS.

5341

Sir,

Sub: Government Press - Pay disbursing staff - Remuneration.
Ref: Petitions from certain Upper Division Clerks dated 1, 2 and 8-3-1950.

--

I am enclosing herewith individual petitions addressed to Government by 21 Upper Divisions Clerks of this Office for the grant of an allowance of Rs 10/- each per month when they are deputed for disbursement of monthly salaries for the employees of the Press. In this Office letter No. 78/Mis., dated 16-8-48, Government were apprised of the new procedure adopted for the disbursement of salaries of the Press Employees to meet with the representation from the Madras Government Press Workers' Union against delay in the disbursement of salaries. But Government have not approved the proposals for the grant of Rs 10/- each for five clerks deputed for this work (vide G.O. Ms.No. 247 Edn., dated 2-2-1949).

p 9 of (C)

p. 41 of (C)

Encl:-

A.M./27-3-1950. 27.3.50

Superintendent.

disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 34(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ms	1045	Edn	6.5.52				

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS, MADRAS.

No. 78/Mis (V) Dated 27th March 1950.

From

Janab R. Siddique,
Superintendent, Government Press, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Fort St. George,
MADRAS.

5341

Sir,

Sub: Government Press - Pay disbursing staff - Remuneration.
Ref: Petitions from certain Upper Division Clerks dated 1, 2 and 8-3-1950.

--

I am enclosing herewith individual petitions addressed to Government by 21 Upper Divisions Clerks of this Office for the grant of an allowance of Rs 10/- each per month when they are deputed for disbursement of monthly salaries for the employees of the Press. In this Office letter No. 78/Mis., dated 16-8-48, Government were apprised of the new procedure adopted for the disbursement of salaries of the Press Employees to meet with the representation from the Madras Government Press Workers' Union against delay in the disbursement of salaries. But Government have not approved the proposals for the grant of Rs 10/- each for five clerks deputed for this work (vide G.O. Ms.No. 247 Edn., dated 2-2-1949).

p 9 of (C)

p. 41 of (C)

Encl:-

A.M./27-3-1950. 27.3.50

Superintendent.

27349

(7)

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Through Proper Channel

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF E.A. Pichai Pillai
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, FDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

PETITIONER.

27350

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3 - MAR 1950 MADRAS

From
A. Amanna,
Clerk, Government Press, Madras, 2-3-1950.
M A D R A S.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Through proper channel Fort St. George, M A D R A S.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF A. AMANNA,
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/Ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

6

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

PETITIONER.

27351

(40)

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

7

Madras, 2-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Through proper channel

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF T. Govindarajulu
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to dispurse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 97 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

T. Govinda Rajan

PETITIONER.

27352

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3 - MAR 1950 MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Through Proper Channel. Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF A. Ramchandam,
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursem~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 97 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

A Samkandam

PETITIONER. 2/3/50.

27353

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3-MAR.1950 MADRAS

Madras, 1-3-50

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Through proper Channel

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF R. Jayaraman
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~xxx~~Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

Rajagopalan 4/8/50

PETITIONER.

27354

(80)

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3-MAR 1950 MADRAS

Madras, 1.3.1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Thro' The prop. channel

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF Md. Nazimuddin Khan AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 34(c) and 9 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

14

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~xx~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

Md. Nazimuddin Khan
1/3/50.
PETITIONER.

27355

(2)

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3-MAR.1950
MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Through Proper channel Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF ~~Sri~~ T. Sleraja
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 97 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

PETITIONER.

27356

(10)

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3-MAR.950 MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF Ramanathan -
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/the Ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

18

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

V Ramanathan

-2- PETITIONER.

19

27357

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, M A D R A S.

Through The Proper channel

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF P N Radhakrishnaiah
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office, ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 9 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~Rs.~~Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

P. N. Radhakrishnaiah.
PETITIONER.

27358

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Through Proper Channel Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF N. Jagannatha Rao
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~xxx~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

PETITIONER.

N. Jagannatha Rao

27359.

(7)

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3-MAR.1950 MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Thro. proper channel

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF P. Sheriffudeen
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/Ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

F. Sheriffudin

PETITIONER.

27360

(IV)

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Through the proper channel.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:- F. Sheriffudin

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

J. Krishnamoorthy
PETITIONER.
1-3-50.

27361
GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Through Proper Channel

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF K. Venkatesh Kamath.
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of - nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

28

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

K Venkatesh Kamath
PETITIONER.

27362

(16)

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3 - MAR 1950 MADRAS

29

Madras 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Thro' Proper Channel Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF M. Kunhi Raman
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions which he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 9 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~ex~~Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

Govt Press.
Madras.

2/3/50.
PETITIONER.

27363

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

Madras 2-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF M. SURYANARAYANA
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the ~~representation~~ was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 9 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be gratoful.

PETITIONER.

27364

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3 - MAR 1950 MADRAS

Madras, 2-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF T. VENKATESWARLU
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

J. Venkateswarlu

PETITIONER.

27365

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

Madras 2-3-1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF N.VENKATARAMAN.
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, FDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to dispurse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/Ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~dd~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 97 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~six~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

K. Venkataramanan.

PETITIONER.

27366

GOVERNMENT PRESS 3 - MAR 1950 MADRAS

Madras, 18th March 1950

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF K. T. Sadasivan AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office ~~Ministerial~~ servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

38

-2-

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~as~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

K. T. Sadasivaram
1-3.50
PETITIONER.

27367

(7)

GOVERNMENT PRESS
3 - MAR 1950
MADRAS

39

Madras 2-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, M A D R A S.

THE H UMBLE PETITION OF P. S. Sundararaja Iyengar.
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~disbursement~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 97 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

40

SBL

-2-

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

P. Subramanya Raja Iyengar

PETITIONER.

(2)

41

GOVERNMENT PRESS 8-MAR 1950 MADRAS

Madras, 8th March 1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

through proper channel.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF N. Kannan Desigar
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Showeth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/the Ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the ~~xxxxxxxx~~ disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

42

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

PETITIONER.

GOVERNMENT PRESS 8 - MAR 1950 MADRAS

(23)

43

Madras, 8-3-1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF P. MADHAVAN NAIR
AN UPPER DIVISION CLERK IN THE MATTER OF HIS BEING
ORDERED TO DISBURSE SALARIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF THE
PRESS - G. O. MS. NO. 247, EDN., DATED 2-2-1949.

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-

That prior to September 1948, disbursement of salary to the employees of the Government Press was done by the Cashkeeper and his assistants only and in view of the huge sums of money they handle they have furnished adequate cash security and they used to disburse the pay which was one of their legitimate duties, in the course of the first 4 or 5 working days of the month without causing any inconvenience to the employees and to the other members of office/the Ministerial servants.

That when the Government Press Employees' Union represented that the disbursement of salary spreading over to 4 or 5 days was a standing grievance and also that the privilege of receiving pay on the 1st working day of the month should be conceded to all the employees, the petitioner and other Upper Division Clerks have been ordered by a system of roster by the Superintendent to share the work and responsibility of the Cash-keeper in which we had neither the experience nor the convenience, so as to ensure simultaneous payments to all the employees of the Press numbering about 2,000 on the first working day.

That in obedience to the orders, he is forced to accept the duty, notwithstanding the heavy responsibility and risk involved. Even in spite of representations to the Superintendent to relieve him from the disbursement of salary involving risk and responsibility in handling cash, the representation was turned down.

That this duty involves the handling of a very huge sum of nearly Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- by him to be disbursed on the 1st working day to about 350 employees and handover the cash balance to the Cash-keeper by remaining late till 8 or 9 p.m. or sometime even till 10 p.m. as the cash for disbursement is entrusted to him only late in the afternoon of the payment day.

And that after handing over the cash balance, he has to prepare a list of undisbursed amounts, refunds etc., and reconcile with the cash balance. It is an open secret, the petitioner has to say this with a painful heart, that at times when there is great crowd of employees who are at times restive and impatient to get payment there are occasions when he had to lose small sums of money in the rush of payment to avoid clamour from the rank and file of the labour employees and this amount he has to make good, out of his personal amount which in these hard days, is a great hardship. Besides, he incurs an expenditure of a minimum of Rs.2/- for himself and his assistants towards conveyance to return home during late hours and other incidental expenses.

That by this additional duty, his allotted work is also dislocated for at least two or three days in addition to the risk, anxiety, care and worry involved, till the cash disbursement is properly made and the balance undisbursed handed over to the Cash-keeper.

That above all, he points out that the Cash-keeper's post (i.e. the disbursing of salaries) is a security post as per Rule 54(c) and 91 of the Office Manual. Hence he submits that the creation of a

P.T.O.

46

-2-

Cash-keeper's post has been made in order not to throw the responsibility on the Clerks who have not furnished security and who discharge their duties in the posts held by them with adequate care and responsibility.

That further he understands that such a large sum of about ~~of~~ Rs.25,000/- is not entrusted to Clerks of similar status in other Provincial City Offices for disbursements of salaries to such a large number of employees.

That in view of the facts and hardships undergone, he humbly requests the Government to reconsider the Orders passed in the G.O. cited above and grant him an allowance of Rs.10/- or in the alternative he may be relieved of this additional duty of responsibility.

For which act of kindness the petitioner shall ever be grateful.

Mashewaup

PETITIONER.

Telephonic Message

From The Asst. Secretary to Govt. Edn Dept

To The Supdt. Govt. Press.

Yr. 30/1/4.

Subl: Govt. Press. Pay disbursing staff - Remuneration.

Ref: Your letter no. 78/Mis & 27.3.50.

Please say whether it is your intention to pay the allowance to only 4 or 5 clerks actually deputed for disbursement of pay for a few days at the beginning of each month. Please indicate the extra cost involved per year on this account.

Issued 14/4

P. Chrgs. 12/4/50.

12/4/50

12/4/50

POST COPY OF TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY 24 APL 1950 EDUCATION DEPT.

8431

From: The Superintendent, Government Press, Madras.
To: The Asst. Secretary to Government, Education Department, Fort St. George, Madras.
Dated: 20-4-1950.

Sub: Government Press - Pay disbursing staff - Remuneration.
Ref: Your telephonic message dated 14-4-1950.

It is the intention to pay the allowances to five Upper Division Clerks or senior members of the clerical staff who are deputed for disbursement on the pay day. The disbursement on the 1st working day of each month is nearly a lakh and a half and in order to ensure simultaneous payment to all the employees on the pay day, it is essential that at least five counters are necessary. Even if five are deputed it takes longer hours to complete the disbursement in full on the pay day as cheques are received late from the Accountant General's office. The annual expenditure on account of this remuneration to the five clerks is Rs.600/-

for SUPERINTENDENT.

20.4.50

48

(26) 49

Edn/E.

Sub: Govt. Press - Disbursement of pay - Remuneration to the clerical staff. Proposal - Not approved.

Ref: From the S.G.P. No. 78/Mis & 27 3/50

-

p.14 ante

26/19/5

Order/Ms. No 1553 Edn & 19-5-50

The Govt. decline to reconsider their orders in para. 2 of Govt. Ms/No. 247 Edn & 2.2.49.

Govt 18500.

p.41 cf (c)

17/5/50

24/5

To

The Supdt. Govt. Press
Madras

27 1

C. No. 5341/E/50. Edn/E.

Sub: Govt. Press. Disbursement of pay. Remuneration to clerical staff.

p. 1 CF Ref: From the S.G.P. No. 78 Mis & 27.3.50.

The representations from 21 Upper Division Clerks and the remarks of the S.G.P. thereon in the C.F. may be perused. The ~~clerks~~ request that they may be sanctioned an allowance of Rs 10/- p.m. each when they are deputed for the disbursement of salaries to the employees of the Govt. Press, every month.

2. In August 1948, while submitting proposals for the effective and timely disbursement of the pay to the employees of the Govt. Press, the S.G.P. recommended that five clerks might be deputed for the work each month and the clerks so deputed might be given an allowance of Rs 10/- each per mensem for the addl. work. In view of the extra risk and addl. responsibility involved in the disbursement of large amount of pay to the huge staff in the Govt. Press, this dept pressed for the payment of the allowance, though Finance objected to it on the grounds mentioned on p. 12 NF of ©. The then HM (Edn) though at first ~~agreed~~ agreeable to ~~pass~~ press for it, eventually agreed with H.M (Fin) who supported the view of Finance. Orders were issued accordingly in G.O. 247 Edn & 2.2.49.

B on p 9 CF ©

para 4 p. 16 NF ©

p. 12 NF ©

p. 16 NF ©

p. 41 CF ©

3. The allowance, if sanctioned, will be payable only to ~~five~~ the five clerks who are deputed for the disbursement of salaries to the Press employees each month and the annual expenditure on account of payment of the remuneration is Rs 600/-.

4. In the circumstances stated in para 2 above, it is for orders whether the Govt. will ~~consider~~ reconsider their ~~previous~~ previous decision ®

Finance may see. ~~for~~ previous

29.4.50 J. Jail to see

p 47 CF. An allowance of Rs 5/- each may perhaps be sanctioned 29/4

® para 2. GO 247 Edn & 2.2.49 p. 41 CF ©

26/4/50. JP 27/4/50

why this is not considered as part of their duties.

1.5.50.

Edn/E.

~~A draft memo to the S.C.P. is subd.~~ ~~for approval.~~ Submits that all cash transactions in the Govt. Press are entrusted to a staff consisting of a Chief Cashkeeper, one Asst. Cashkeeper & a Sharpr. With this staff, if the pay is disbursed during the working hours, it takes about 4 or 5 days as the cashier has to make about 1800 payments. The S. & P. Thana peons is deputed five clerks from other sections of the Press, not concerned with the cash section for the disbursement of the salaries in the Counter to avoid delay. The S&P Considers that this work is the cash section is involving additional responsibilities in handling cash. Hence the additional allowance is recommended.

JA 25/5

The S.O may perhaps be Consulted on the question raised by the Secretary.

No need. I think A.G. can know business to themselves 5.5.50 S.

A | Look they are supposed to do. There is no need to sanction any special allowance.

Fin. may see for remarks please.

5/5/50.

Fin.

32887/A

Vp. 5341 E/50-1

6.5.50.

Finance (Edn)

The notes of Edn. deptt on p/1-2 above may be perused. Finance may concur in the views at A on p 2 above.

9/5/50 15/5/50

Fin. no 3288/Edn-1 15/5/50.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY 11720 16 MAY 1950 EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

12/5/50.

JA 17/5

Edn/E

A draft order is subd. for approval.

18/5/50.

