MISCELLANEOUS

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

1

725

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1433, 5th ~~June~~ May 1950

Grant—Local Bodies—Secondary schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid—Maximum limits—Revised.

---

pp 1-8, pp 1(a) - 1(b), 17 of and

pp 1-13 & 17-19 n.f. — A

17-19 X

MISCELL

Back papers.

G.O. 2669 Edn 23. 8. 49.

G.O. 2670 Edn. 23. 8. 49.

MISCELLANEOUS

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1433, 5th ~~June~~ May 1950

Grant—Local Bodies—Secondary schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid—Maximum limits—Revised.

READ—the following papers :—

G.O. No. 2669, Education, dated 23rd August 1949.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 21st September 1949, and 16th January 1950, Rc. No. 541-G-2/49.

Order—No. 1433, Education, dated 5th June 1950.

With reference to the recommendation of the Retrenchment and Reorganization Committee that there is no need to give any special aid to local bodies in respect of secondary schools for boys and girls newly opened in taluks and centres not served by such schools, the Government decided in G.O. No. 2669, Education, dated 23rd August 1949 that the concessions allowed to schools which were newly opened under that system might be continued and that the question of allowing the same concessions to schools to be newly opened in future should be considered separately.

1(b)

No. 1433, EDUCATION, 5TH JUNE 1950.

2. The Government have reviewed the question of continuing this system in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction and they have decided that—

(1) teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid do continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance of the schools subject to the following revised limits and that the grants should be paid at the special rates for a period of five years :—

	Existing limit. RS.	Revised limit. RS.
Middle schools	3,300	2,500 (Two thousand and five hundred)
High schools	4,400	3,000 (Three thousand) ;

vide pp 17-18
4,000 infra

(2) that grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools do continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the articles purchased subject to a maximum of Rs. 2,300 (Two thousand and three hundred) instead of the existing maximum of Rs. 3,000, the grant being paid in two instalments in successive years as at present.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

RAJAIAH D. PAUL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
„ Accountant-General (through the Finance Department).
„ Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
„ Finance Department.
„ Local Administration Department.
„ Commissioners of Municipalities (through the Chairmen, Municipal Councils).
„ Presidents of all District Boards.
„ Special Officer, District Board, Visakhapatnam.

B1 3

A S IV

R.C.No.541-G2-49

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction
Madras, dated, 21st Sep. 1949.

From
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

3

To
The Secretary to Government
Education and Public Health Department
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sir,

25 SEP 1949
74045

Subject:- Grants -- Teaching -- Local bodies Secondary Schools -- Recommendation of the Retrenchment and Reorganisation ~~of the~~ Committee -- Orders passed.

(H) pp 1-3

Reference:- G.O.Ms.No.2669 Edn., dated 23-8-1949.

----

p 3 of (H)

With reference to para 3 of the Government Order referred to above I wish to state that so far as the opening of Boys' Secondary Schools in the Taluks not served by any Middle School or High School is concerned, all the Taluks in the Presidency are provided with High Schools and there is only one Taluk (i.e.) Gudalur Taluk in the Nilgiris District which has got only a Middle School. In G.O.No.(MS) 1023 Edn., dated 7-4-49 Government actually selected the~~ir~~ school to be permitted to be developed into a High School by opening Form IV during 1949-50 under the special aid system but since the probable strength in Form IV was not economic, Government have, in their order No.1827 Edn., dated 3-6-1949 informed the Special Officer that IV Form need not be opened in the school during the current year and that if the number of pupils in Form III in 1949-50 justifies the opening of a higher form he may submit proposals for the opening of the IV Form in 1950-51. It is seen that Form III is now having only a strength of 13 and hence it appears that there may not be enough strength for the opening of Form IV in the school next year. The question of opening of Form IV in the school next year is therefore doubtful and hence no provision is being suggested in part II of the Budget Estimate for 1950-51 towards the same. If the District Board were to apply for the opening of Form IV in the school next year on special subsidy basis the question may be considered then on its own merits.

(F)/p16 of

(G)/pp 14-15 of

p2 of (H)

2 Secondary Schools Sub-para 3 of para 2 of the G.O. under question states that Government have come to the conclusion that it would not be possible to implement expeditiously the policy of Government in regard to the opening of at least one Middle School for girls in Towns with a population of over 10,000 which is not served even by a Middle School would be retarded. But I wish to point out that the scheme originally suggested to Government in Director's reply C.No.9931-G2-46 dated 29-10-1946 (Vide, in this connection Government Memo No.67974-B1/46-47 Edn., dated 21-7-47 envisages the following:-

pp 1-5 of of (D)
p 17 of (D)

G.O. No 1212 Edn
14.5.48

para 8(b) on p5 of
of (D)

p3 of (D)

> "that Government should have as its objective to be reached within the next 8 years the opening of at least one Girls' Middle School at the Headquarters of each Taluk and in every locality which is not Headquarters of a Taluk but has a total population of at least 5000 and that if the response of the people is satisfactory each of these should be converted in due course into a High School and that in any case in the course of the next two years 1947-48 and 1948-49 Middle Schools should be started in all the 130 towns with a population of over 10,000 which do not have any Girls' Secondary Schools."

I, therefore, presume that it is the intention of Government that the Girls' Schools to be opened in future under the special subsidy scheme - if the scheme

4

were to be continued in future -- should be opened only in
Towns with a population over 10,000 that the Scheme should not be offered and 5 to localities (including
Taluk Headquarters) with a population of between 5,000 and
10,000. I request to be informed if my presumption is correct.

p3 of Ⓚ

para 3 on p3 of
of Ⓙ
G02670 Edn
23-8-49

3. As regards the query as to whether the system of ~~spec~~
special aid in respect of opening of Girls' Schools in future
in centres not served by them may be withdrawn, attention is
invited to the Director's U.O. reply No.541-G2-48 dated 11-4-48
(to Govt. U.O. Note No.13645 B1/48-1 Edn., dated 29-2-1948)
wherein the Director agreed with the views of the Government
that it is doubtful whether Local Bodies will open new schools
in backward areas without some special encouragement. The posi-
tion continues to be the same but I wish to suggest that the
Scheme~~s~~ may be limited only to the centres with a population
of over 10,000 (which is stated above to be 130 in number),
ignoring the centres with a population of less than 10,000,
exception being made, however, in respect of the Taluk Headquart-
ers - so that the burden on the Provincial Funds may not be much.

para 3 on page 3 of Ⓗ

M

4. Regarding the other query as to whether the special
aid may be restricted, in case the system were to be continued,
to 3/4 of the net cost instead of full met cost and also redu-
cing the period of this special aid from 5 to 3 years, I wish
to state that it may not be attractive to the managements if
both the reductions are effected and that too at the same time.
Hence I suggest that the full met cost basis may be ~~retarded~~ Retained and
the period may be reduced from 5 to 3 years.

5. I wish also to add that if Government agree with the
above suggestions, the Girls' Secondary Schools may be permitted
to be opened at the rate of 6 Secondary Schools in each year -
all under the management of Local Bodies, ignoring the aided agen-
cies for this purpose. As regards the schools to be opened next
year I request that Government after reaching a decision in the
matter may include provision in respect of the same in Part II
of the Budget Estimate for 1950-51 and inform the fact to the
Director so that proposals may be called for from the Inspectres-
ses regarding the centres in which those schools are to be opened.

Yours faithfully

For Director of Public Instruction.

SJ/23/9.

Tpd 23/9

Education and Public Health Dept
B1 section.

Schools-Secondary-Local bodies - Opening of new girls' schools under the system of special aid in 1950-51- Restrictions to be imposed in the system of special aid with reference to the Recommendations of the Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee

From the D.P.I, R.C.No 541 G2/49 dated 21-9-49

J.V.
22.12.

Memorandum No 74045B1/49-4 Education dated 21-12-49.

The D.P.I. is informed that in view of the present financial stringency, the proposal to provide for the opening of six new secondary schools for girls by local bodies under the system of special aid in 1950-51 will be deferred. The proposal that the centres in which new secondary schools for girls should be opened in future may be confined to ~~taul~~ taluk headquarters, preference being given to towns with a population of 10,000 and over which are not served even by a middle school for girls, will also be deferred for the present.

p4 nf

2. The Director's recommendation that the conversion of the middle school for boys newly opened at Gudalur in the Nilgiris District into a high school may be deferred for the present, is accepted.

3. The Director is informed that it is considered that teaching grants to secondary schools ~~for boys and girls~~ to be newly opened in future under the system of special aid, ~~may~~ be limited to three-fourths of the net cost of maintenance of the schools for a period of ~~three~~ five years from the opening of such schools. The Director is requested to report whether it is necessary to impose a ~~amximum~~ maximum limit for payment of teaching grant on the revised basis and if so suggest the limit to be fixed for middle schools and high schools separately. The Director is also requested to report ~~that~~ whether the grant to be paid towards the cost of purchase of initial equipment to schools newly opened under the system of special aid may also be limited to three-fourths of the cost subject to a maximum limit and if so to suggest the limit to be fixed. ~~for equipment grant also.~~

p4 nf

✓ x on p3 N.F.

To
The D.P.I.

10.12.49

12/12/49

13/12

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
19 JAN 1950
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

4515
S.V.M/

6

Sir,

Sub:- Schools - Secondary - Local Bodies - Opening of new Girls Schools under the system of special aid with reference to the recommendations of the Retrenchment and Re-organisation Committee.

p3ante

Ref:- Government Memo. No.74045-B1/49-4 Education dated 21-12-49 -

p3ante

With reference to para 3 of the above Memorandum I submit the following suggestions:-

Under teaching grants, the existing limits of Rs. 3,300 and Rs. 4,000 to Middle Schools and High Schools may be revised as Rs. 2,500 and Rs. 3,000 respectively.

P

Under equipment grant the limit may be reduced from Rs.3,000 to Rs.2,500 (to be paid in two equal instalments in successive years). I consider that there is no need to change the 'basis' from the whole to three-fourth as the necessary limits will be made effective by the revised maxima now proposed.

P.T.O.

Yours faithfully,

18.1.50

For Director of Public Instruction.

MSR 17/1
142
17/1 6/18/1

18/ 6/

Education Department.

Note for the Cabinet.

7

Grants-in-aid to local bodies for secondary schools to be newly opened in future -Restrictions to be imposed in the system of special aid.

***

In order to provide atleast one high school for boys in each taluk not served by such a school, it was considered necessary to encourage local bodies and private agencies to open new middle schools in taluks not served by even a middle school and in taluks where there were middle schools but no high school, to convert one of the middle schools into a high school by giving special aid, i.e. by payment of subsidy towards the full cost of the initial equipment to be purchased for the school subject to a maximum of Rs.3,000 and also teaching grant based on the entire net cost of maintenance of the school subject to a maximum of Rs. 3300 for a middle school and Rs.4,000 for a high school for a period of five years from the opening of the schools. As secondary education for girls is also in a backward state and local bodies and private managements do not generally come forward to open new secondary schools for girls to the extent /the system of special aid to new secondary schools for girls opened in centres with a population of 10,000 and more not served by even a middle school for girls.

/they do for boys, it was considered necessary to extend/

2. The Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee considered that there was no need to meet the full cost of the schools opened recently and that the system obtaining with reference to schools established earlier might be followed. The question of continuing the system of special aid was therefore placed before the cabinet in August 1949. It decided that the special aid already given should be continued and that as regards grants to such institutions that may be newly opened in future, the matter should be considered separately.

3.The Director of Public Instruction was consulted whether

(i) the concession of making teaching grants on the basis of the full net cost to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened in backward areas may be withdrawn and if not whether it may be reduced to threefourths of the net cost for a period of three years,(ii) whether grants towards the purchase of initial equipment for these new schools may be reduced from full to threefourths of the cost and (iii) whether the maximum limits may also be revised suitably. The Director is of opinion that it might not be attractive to the managements if the proposed reductions are effected at the same time. He has therefore suggested that in view of the revised maximum limits proposed to be fixed, the basis need not be changed from full to three-fourths of the net cost of maintenance or three-fourths of the cost of the purchase of equipment. He has no objection to the period of availability for the teaching grant being reduced from five to three years. The existing and the proposed maximum limits for teaching and equipment grants are shown below:-

Teaching grant	Existing limit	Proposed limit	
	Rs.	Rs.	
Middle schools	3,300	2,500	X
High Schools	4,000	3,000	
Equipment grant			
Middle Schools	3,000	2,300	
High Schools	3,000	2,300	

7

The Finance Department did not agree to the basis of grant being retained as suggested by the Director of Public Instruction, as giving full grant subject to reduced maxima might be more costly than giving threefourths grants subject to the maxima proposed. The file was therefore circulated for orders to Hon'ble Minister for Education and Law) and Hon'ble Minister for Finance. The following minutes were recorded.

' We need not reduce both the maximum and full grant for these new schools. We will reduce only one.i.e. the maximum from Rs.3,300 to Rs. 2,500 etc etc. as as stated at X.

K.Madhava Menon)
4-3-50.
B.G(Reddi.)
9-3-50.

The points for orders are

(1) Whether teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid may continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance subject to the reduced maximum limits proposed to be fixed and whether the period for which the grants should be paid at the special rates may be reduced from five to three years and the question of further continuance of the special aid to those schools may be reviewed at the end of the period;

and (2) Whether grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools may also continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the purchase of equipment subject to a maximum of Rs.2,300 proposed to be fixed, the grants being paid in two instalments in successive years as at present.

K.Madhava Menon,
18-3-50.

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

8/

Government of Madras.

Meeting of the Council of Ministers held at Fort St.George, on Friday the 21st April 1950, at 11 A.M.

The Hon'ble the Chief Minister presided.

8

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
24 APL 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

PRESENT:- The Hon. Dr. T.S.S. Rajan
" Sri. M. Bhaktavatsalam
" " B. Gopala Reddi
" " K. Madhava Menon
" " H. Sitarama Reddi
" " A.B. Shetty
" " K. Chandramouli
" " B. Parameswaran
" " N. Sanjiva Reddi
" " C. Perumalswamy Reddi
" " J.L.P. Roche Victoria.

8631

AGENDA.- 9. Grants-in-aid to local bodies for secondary schools to be newly opened in future - Restrictions to be imposed in the system of special aid.

ORDER:--

It was decided that :-

1. Teaching grants to Secondary schools for Boys and Girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid may continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance subject to the reduced maximum limits proposed to be fixed and that the grants should be paid at the special rates for a period of five years as at present; and

2. Grants towards the purchase of initial equipments to these schools may continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the purchase of equipment, subject to a maximum of Rs.2,300/-, the grant being paid in two instalments in successive years as at present.

S.V.
Addl. Secy.
for C.S.
21.4.50.

P.S. Kumaraswamy Raja
Chief Minister.

Edu Dept.
24/4/50

9

Edn.Deptt.(B)

Grants - Local bodies - Secondary Schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid - Maximum limits Revised.

--

9

Read:

G.O.No.2669 Edn. dated 23-8-1949
From the D.P.I. Rc.No.541-G2/49 d/21-9-49,16-1-5

--

(H)

37/5/5

ORDER/Ms.No. 1433 ~~1432~~ Education 5-5-50

5/5/50.

With reference to the recommendations of the Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee that there is no need to give any special aid to local bodies in respect of secondary schools for boys and girls newly opened in taluks and centres not served by such schools, the Govt.decided in G.O.No.2669 Edn.d/ 23-8-1949 that the concessions allowed to schools which were newly opened under that system might be continued and that the question of allowing the same concessions to schools to be newly opened in future should be considered separately.

2. The Government have reviewed the question of continuing this system, in ~~considered the question in~~ consultation with the D.P.I. and they have decided that

(1) teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid do ~~may~~ continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance of the schools subjects to the following revised limits and that the grants should be paid at the special rates for a period of five years:- (Two thousand five hundred)

	Existing limit	Revised Lim
	Rs.	Rs.
Middle Schools	3,300	2500
High Schools	4,000	3000

(Three thousand)

(2) that grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools ~~may~~ do continue to be paid on to basis of the full cost of ~~purchase of equipment~~ the articles purchased subj of a maximum of Rs. 2300 (Two thousand three hundred) instead of the existing max ive year at Rs.3000, the grant ~~having~~ being paid in two instalment in one present.

pp 6 & 8 cf

8/5

To
The D.P.I.
The A.G.thro' Fin.
Exr.of L.F.Accts.
Fin.Deptt.
L.A.Deptt.

2 S/cs

The commissioners of
Municipalities thro' CMc
D.E.Os of all Districts
khapatnam

26-4-50

28.4.50

L.A. Deptt.
8.

Lodged

13/5

10 JUN 1950
15139

10

Local Administration Department
19373
9 - MAY 1950
Secretariat, Madras

P.8
1/5

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Education Department
G.O.Ms.No.1433 Edn. dated 5th May 1950.

Grants- Local bodies- Secondary Schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid- Maximum limits Revised.

-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V--V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-V-

:Read:

G.O.No.2669 Edn. dated 23.8.1949.
From the Director of Public Instruction Rc.No.541 G3/49 dated
21-9-49 & 16-1-50.

...

ORDER:

With reference to the recommendation of the Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee that there is no need to give any special aid to local bodies in respect of secondary schools for boys and girls newly opened in taluks and centres not served by such schools, the Government decided in G.O.No.2669 Edn. dated 23-8-49 that the concessions allowed to schools which were newly opened under that system might be continued and that the question of allowing the same concessions to schools to be newly opened in future should be considered separately.

2. The Government have reviewed the question of continuing this system, in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction and they have decided that

(1) teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid do continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance of the schools subject to the following revised limits and that the grants should be paid at the special rates for a period of five years:-

	Existing limit Rs.	Revised limit. Rs.
Middle schools	3,300	2500 (Two thousand five hundred)
High Schools	4,000	3000 (Three thousand)

(2) that grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools do continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the articles purchased subject to ~~xx~~ a maximum of Rs.2300 (Two thousand three hundred) instead of the existing maximum of Rs.3000 the grant being paid in two instalments in successive years as at present.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)
Rajaiah D. Paul,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
" " Accountant-General through Finance.
" " Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
" " Finance Department
✓ " " Local Administration Department.

--

True copy:Forwarded:By Order

K S Krishnaswami
SUPERINTENDENT.

RP/5.5.

2

Y B

L. A. Deptt.

D.

Please see copy of G.O. No. 1433 Edn of 5.6.50 on page 1 above. The G.O. has not been communicated to Dt. Bds and M. Cls! ~~Edn~~ Edn deptt may be requested to communicate the order to ~~local bodies if considered necessary.~~ all mpl cls & dt bds.

P.S.
8/6/50.

S.S.S.
8/6/50

8/6/50

Edn

Edn (B)

Please see the suggestion of L.A. Deptt. above.

A copy of the G.O. may perhaps be communicated to all mpl. councils and Dt. Bds.

A press slip is submitted below for approval.

Sd
15/6/50

16/6/50

22/6/50.

L.A. U.O. 19373-17
9.6.50

M. add address enfmn dept

22/6/50

Added please.
Sd

12

Scott. II-22—9,006—19-10-28.

161/21

PRESS SLIP.

ORDINARY.
29 JUN 1950

URGENT (a). SPECIAL (a).

82007

Education DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 15139 B/50, dated ....194 .

G.O. No. 1433, dated 5-5-50 ~~194~~ .

Number of MS. pages sent { (b) Correspondence.......... (c) Notes..........

Brief subject Grants - Local bodies - Secondary Schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid - Maximum limits raised

(d) Number of copies required when struck off (*not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press*). (1)	"Press" copies to supply on requisitions for purchase by the public. (2)	Complete correspondence and orders. (3)	Notes. (4)
FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records	4		
Ooty Records			
For filing with original			
Total ...			

FOR ISSUE.†	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	(b) Special issue copies of G.O.		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies..........					
India Office..........					
Govt. of India..........Dept.					
Revenue Department..........					
Public Works Department..........					
Local Administration Department...		1 ✓			
Education and Public Health Dept.					
Public Dept..........					
Home Dept..........					
Dev. Dept..........					
Finance Dept..........		1 ✓			
Legal Dept..........					
Sectt. of the Madras Legislature..........					
Total ...					
Spare copies. The D.P.I.		50 ✓			
The P.D.Bs.		28 ✓			
The S.O. Dt. Bd. Visakhapatnam		1 ✓			
The Commissioners of					
The Municipalities thro					
The C.M.Cs		98 ✓			
The Examr. of L.F. Accts.		10 ✓			
The Spare copies		63			
The..........					
The A.G. Finance		1 ✓			
The..........					
The..........					
The..........					
The..........					
The..........					
Total ...		250			

Copies distributed on ....‡(Press clerk).

† Copies distributed on ....50 ‡(Press Despatcher).

‡ *To be initialled and dated.*

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(*a*) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently or as special the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(*b*) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item had been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(*c*) For rules regarding printing of notes, see the chapter on printing and proof correction in the Secretariat Office Manual.

(*d*) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or clerk concerned.

(*e*) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for *one* week, and larger papers for *two* weeks only. *The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.*

(*f*) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(*a*) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked special of urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Assistant Secretary with a remark to that effect.

(*b*) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Assistant Secretary any instance of incomplete or carelesse diting.

(*c*) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(*d*) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished: it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(*e*) The names of the Secretary and Ministers or Advisers should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, *the initials alone being printed later.*

[P.T.O.

3

TO PRESS, MADRAS, OOTY.

A	B	C
Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.	Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated. Type may be released after usual interval. ~~at once.~~	As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated. Type may be released after usual interval.
Soparayanan ..... Clerk. B. 15-6-50.	Soparayanan ..... Clerk. B. 15-7-50	..... Clerk.
..... Supt.	..... Supt. 15-7-50	..... Supt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Certified that the number of copies indented for is the minimum required

Assistant Secretary to Govt.

Sd 15/6/50

USR 16/6/50

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on	28.6.50
First proof furnished on	14/7/50
Call for revised proof received on	
Revised proof furnished on	
Corrected proof received for striking on	
Final copies supplied on	21/7

14

161/21 Pub

91112

GOVERNMENT PRESS
18 JUL 1950
MADRAS

12

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Education Department
G.O.MS.No.1433 Education dated 5th June 1950.

..

Abstract.

Grant- Local Bodies- Secondary schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid- Maximum limits- Revised

-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-

:Read:

G.O.No.2669 Edn. dated 23-8-49
From the Director of Public Instruction Rc No.541-G.2/49 dated 21-9-49, 16-1-50.

UPt 353

ORDER:

With reference to the recommendation of the Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee that there is no need to give any special aid to local bodies in respect of secondary schools for boys and girls newly opened in taluks and centres not served by such schools, the Government decided in G.O.No.2669 Edn. dated 23-8-49 that the concessions allowed to schools which were newly opened under that system might be continued and that the question of allowing the same concessions to schools to be newly opened in future should be considered separately.

2. The Government have reviewed the question of continuing this system in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction and they have decided that

(1) teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid do continue to be ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance of the schools subject to the following revised limits and that the grants should be paid at the special rates for a period of five years:-

	Existing Limit Rs.	Revised limit Rs.
Middle schools	3,300	2,500 (Two thousand and five hundred ~~xxxx~~)
High Schools	4,400	3000 (Three thousand)

(2) that grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools do continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the articles purchased subject to a maximum of Rs.2300 (Two thousand three hundred) instead of the existing maximum of Rs.3000, the grant being paid in two instalments in successive years as at present.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)
Rajaiah D. Paul,
Secretary to Government

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras
" " Accountant-General through Finance
" " Examiner of Local Fund Accounts
" " Finance Department
" " Local Administration Department
" " Commissioners of Municipalities through the Chairmen Municipal Council.
" " Presidents of all District Boards ~~of all Districts~~
" " ~~President~~ Special Officer, District Board, Visakhapatnam.

...

True copy:Forwarded:By Order

SUPERINTENDENT.

15

MISCELLANEOUS

13

161/21

8

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

May

G.O. No. 1433, 5th June 1950

Grant—Local Bodies—Secondary schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid—Maximum limits—Revised.

Read—the following papers :—

G.O. No. 2669, Education, dated 23rd August 1949.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 21st September 1949, and 16th January 1950, Rc. No. 541-G-2/49.

Order—No. 1433, Education, dated 5th June 1950.

With reference to the recommendation of the Retrenchment and Reorganization Committee that there is no need to give any special aid to local bodies in respect of secondary schools for boys and girls newly opened in taluks and centres not served by such schools, the Government decided in G.O. No. 2669, Education, dated 23rd August 1949 that the concessions allowed to schools which were newly opened under that system might be continued and that the question of allowing the same concessions to schools to be newly opened in future should be considered separately.

2. The Government have reviewed the question of continuing this system in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction and they have decided that—

8 (1) teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid do continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance of the schools subject to the following revised limits and that the grants should be paid at the special rates for a period of five years :—

	Existing limit.	Revised limit.
	RS.	RS.
Middle schools	3,300	2,500 (Two thousand and five hundred)
High Schools	4,400 4,000	3,000 (Three thousand);

(2) that grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools do continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the articles purchased subject to a maximum of Rs. 2,300 (Two thousand and three hundred) instead of the existing maximum of Rs. 3,000, the grant being paid in two instalments in successive years as at present.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

RAJAIAH D. PAUL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
" Accountant-General (through the Finance Department).
" Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
" Finance Department.
" Local Administration Department.
" Commissioners of Municipalities (through the Chairmen, Municipal Councils).
" Presidents of all District Boards.
" Special Officer, District Board, Visakhapatnam.

27052.

Edn (B)

Grant - Local bodies - Secondary schools to be newly opened under the system of special aid - Maximum limits - ~~Issue~~ Erratum - Issued.

—

pp 1-2 of (B)

Read :- G.O. No. 1433 Edn. d/ 5-6-50

—

13/9

Memo No 27052 B/50-1 Edn d/ 8-9-50.

8/9/50

—

5 In the printed copy of G.O. No. 1433 Edn d/ 5-6-50, for the figure Rs 4,400 specified as the existing limit for teaching grants for high schools opened under the system of special aid, the figure ~~the following shall be substituted namely~~ Rs 4,000 (Rupees four thousand) shall be substituted.

p 2 of (B)

Dp to all thro 13/9

compare p 9 c of (B)

Sd 6/9/50.

KSN 6.9.50

7.9.50

To
✓The D.P.I.
✓A.G. thro' Finance.
✓Examr of L.F. Accounts
✓Finance Dept.
✓L.A. Dept.
✓Commissioners of all Mlpties thro the C.M.C.
✓P.D.Bs of all Dts
✓S.O. Dt. Bd. North Visakhapatnam.

Note

be newly opened in future, the matter should be considered separately. The D.P.I. was asked to report whether the concession of making teaching grants on the basis of the entire net cost to secondary schools for boys and girls newly opened in backward areas instead of ~~the~~ on the basis of half the net cost may be withdrawn and if not whether it may be restricted to three fourths of the net cost for a period of three years instead of five years for schools that may be newly opened in future. The D.P.I. considers that if both the reductions are made at the same time it may not be attractive. He therefore recommends that teaching grants on the basis of ~~the~~ full cost may be retained, but the period for which grant is to be made on that basis may be reduced to three years. The Director's recommendation may be accepted.

p.25 of G.O. No 2670 Edn 23-8-49 (J)

p39 (H)

para 4 on p. 2 cf

n/5-10-49

(ii) Centres where new secondary schools for girls should be opened in future under the system of special aid.

Para 2 of D.P.I's letter on page 1 C.F

Of the two categories of towns with a population of 10,000 & over should be preferred to those with less population. The D.P.I. points out that the objective should be to establish atleast a middle school for girls in taluk head quarters not served by any middle school, and on this basis, exception should be made in ~~favour~~ respect of towns with a population of 5000 to 10,000- There seems to be no objection to ~~the~~ new middle school for girls to be opened under the system of special aid being confined to taluk headquarters ~~to begin with~~ for the present, but in selecting such centres preference may be given to those with a population of 10,000 as schools newly opened in big towns may thrive well. For orders whether the D.P.I. may be informed accordingly.

n/5-10-49

(iii) Conversion of middle schools for boys into high schools under the system of special aid in 1950-51.

para 1 on p.1 cf

There is only one such middle school, viz Gudalur in the Nilgiris. The D.P.I. considers that this need not be considered as a Part II scheme for 1950-51 as the strength may not be economic. The Director's recommendation may be accepted.

n/5-10-49

(iv) Opening of new middle schools for girls by local bodies under the system of special aid.

para 5 on p 2 cf

The Director's recommendation that the proposal to pay grants under the system of special aid for six schools to be newly opened by local bodies may be considered as a Part II scheme for 1950-51 favouring those that may be opened by private agencies may be accepted.

n/5-10-49

---

2. The remarks of the Finance Department may be invited u.o.

[illegible] 30-9-49

n/5-10-49

Finance 16

(Secy. is on C.L.)

6/10/49

E&P.H. U.O. No. 74045B/49-1 Edn. 6/10/49.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
64922/BE II
OCT 1949
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Finance (B.E.II)

PART II SCHEME FOR 1950-51.

Grants to local bodies for new middle schools for girls to be opened under the system of Special aid.

The D.P.I. has submitted a proposal for the opening of 6 new middle schools for girls in centres not served at present by such schools under the management of local bodies by giving them special aid i.e. by payment of teaching grants based on the entire net cost of maintenance of the schools. The proposal involves an ultimate recurring expenditure of Rs 19,800/- per annum and a non-recurring of Rs 18,000/- above.

para 5 on p.2 cf & para iv on p.2 above

2. The proposal to open 6 new ~~model~~ middle schools for girls under the system of special aid was brought forward for consideration as a Part II Scheme for 1949-50, but the S.F.C. negatived the proposal. In view of the present financial stringency, only such schemes which will involve very little recurring expenditure and which are considered to be really/immediately essential for the proper running of any department are to be considered as part II Schemes for 1950-51. The proposal to open new schools next year does not satisfy the above criteria. Finance may, therefore, suggest that the proposal may be deferred till the financial position improves.

vide - Part II Scheme vide on p. 7 N/f of (E)

pp. 7-9 N F of (E1)

Para (i) on pp. 1-2 above.

3. As regards the question of giving special aid to institutions to be newly opened in future, Finance may suggest that in view of the present financial stringency, it will be ~~sufficient if such~~ preferable if such institutions are ~~admitted to the usual~~ given three-fourths grant-inaid, ~~i.e. [illegible]~~ for 5 years, instead of full grant for 3 years, if it is held to be not practicable to reduce the grant to one-half as usual.

[illegible] 1.12.49

1.12.49

9444

1.12.49

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
2 DEC 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

2/12/49

1.12.49

2.12.49.

Fin. U.O. 64922/BE II-1 2-12-49.

4

C no 74045 B1/49

Education and Public Health Department
B 1 section.

Notes on pages 1-3 ante may be perused.

2. The points for decision are

(1) Whether as suggested by the Finance Department the proposal to give special aid to six new secondary schools for girls which may be opened by local bodies in 1950-51 in centres not served by such schools may be accepted or whether provision may be made for the opening of atleast three new secondary schools for girls under the system of special aid in 1950-51 by considering ~~it~~ the proposal as a Part II scheme for that year;

The DPI proposes to select centres only after a decision is reached in regard to the no of schools to be opened (para 5 on p 2 cf). Perhaps three schools may be opened.
2/12/49

(2) whether special aid may inrespect of schools to be newly opened in future be restricted to payment of teaching grants on the basis of three fourths of the approved net cost of maintenance for a period of two years from the opening of the school instead of on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance for a period of five years from the opening of the school as was allowed hitherto. The D.P.I. is not in favour of restricting the teaching grant to three fourths of the net cost as it may not be sufficiently attractive;

The DPI's view may perhaps be accepted.
2/12/49

(3) whether the centres in which new secondary schools for girls are to be opened in future may be confined to taluk headquarters, preference being given to towns with a population of 10000 and over ~~10,000~~ which are not served even by a middle school for girls; ~~and~~

Seems acceptable.
2/12/49
2/12

(4) Whether the conversion of the middle school for boys newly opened at Gudalur in the Nilgiris Dt into a high school may be deferred for the present as suggested by the DPI in para 1 on page 1 cf.
2/12/49

The file may be circulated to H.M. (Edn) and H.M.(Fin) for orders.
3/12

USH
2/12/49

2-12-49

S

HM (E P H)
" (Fin)

I may agree with Finance that opening of six new secondary schools for girls is not so urgent. There is however no reason to reduce the full grant basis for new non government schools, because even with that attraction few schools are being opened.
3/12

opened under the system of special aid

7.12

HM (EPH) 1. Defer
2. Fin. View accepted
HM (Fin) 3. defer. 5/12

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT. 10 DEC 1949 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

5

~~B1~~ EA ~~B E II~~ 17

Please see the orders in circulation on page 4 ante. The DPI may be informed of the decision on points (1) (3) + (4). In regard to point (2) the matter will have to be placed before the Cabinet before final orders are passed - vide para 1 of the order of Cabinet on page 25 cf in G.O. No 2670 Education dated 23.8.49. The DPI may be consulted whether in case the teaching grant is limited to three-fourths of the net cost, a maximum limit should be prescribed and if so what should be the maximum. The Director may also be consulted whether the grant towards the purchase of initial equipment may also be limited to three fourths of the cost and if so what should be the maximum limit for that grant.

A draft memo is submitted below for approval. Finance may see before issue

USH
10.12.49

12/12/49

S
13/12 / Fin

13/12/49

E.P.H. U.O. No.
74045 B1/49-3 Edn.
13/12/49

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCE DEPARTMENT 14 DEC 1949 85140

Fin (Exp-A)

(Previous Finance note on p 3 above)

The orders in circulation on p 4 above, the further note of E.P.H above and the draft ~~or~~ memo below may be perused.

20.12.49

VSR
20.12.49

B EPH
21.12.49

Fin no 85140 EA
20.12.49

Issue
21-12-49

ASST. SECY. TO GOVERNMENT EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT. 99695 21 DEC 1949

p 3 cf [Issued as Memo No 74045 B1/49-4 Edn d/21-12-49]

EA

From the D.P.I. R.C.No 541 G2/49 dated 16-1-50 page 4+ ~~5~~ C.E.

The D.P.I. suggests that the existing limits for payment of teaching and equipment grants to high schools and middle schools newly started under the system of special aid may be revised as shown below:-

	Existing maximum limit. (1)		Proposed maximum limit. (2)
Teaching grant	Rs		Rs
Middle schools	3,300	A	2,500
High schools	4,000		3,000
Equipment grant			
Middle schools & High schools	3,000 (in two instalments)		2,500 (to be paid in two instalments as at present)

In view of the reduced limits proposed, the D.P.I. considers that it is not necessary to reduce the basis for the payment of grant from the whole to three fourths of the cost. The DPI's recommendation may perhaps be accepted.

The remarks of the Finance Department may be invited u.o.

USK
19.1.50

20-1-50

5/23/Fin
10/1

23/1/50

Finance (Exp.A)

(Previous Finance note on page 5 above)

Under the system of special aid now in force,
teaching grants ~~an~~ are paid on the basis of the full net
cost of maintenace of each school subject to a maxi-
mum of Rs.3,300/- p.a. for a middle school and Rs.4,000/ p.a.
for a high school for a period of 5 years from the date of
opening of the school. In addition, a non-recurring
grant is also paid towards the full cost of the initial
equipment to be purchased, subject to a maximum of
Rs.3,000/- for each school in two instalments of
Rs.1,500/- each in succesive years. The Retrenchment
and Re-organisation Committee considered that there
was no need to meet the full cost of the schools as
stated above, but the Cabinet decided that the special
aid already sanctioned should be continued and that
in regard to ~~the new~~ schools to be opened in future,
the matter should be considered separately. The follow-
ing suggestions were accordingly put to the Director
of Public Instruction for remarks in this regard:-

'A' above

E.&P.H. U.O. No. 4045B,/49-5 Edn
23.1.50

DEPUTY SECRETARY
2 JAN 1950
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
5162 E-A

pl. see note on p.1 above

Vide Para 2 of the GO on pp 1 cf (J)

p. 25 cf. of (J)

(i) whether the concession of making teaching grants on the basis of the full net cost instead of half the net cost may be withdrawn ~~or~~ and, if not,

para 3 mp 3 of (H)

(ii) whether the grant may be restricted to three fourths of the net cost for a period of three years, instead of full net cost for 5 years. The Director of Public Instruction considered that ~~if~~ it might not be attractive to the managements if ~~both the~~ the proposed reductions ~~on~~ are effected and that too at the same time and he recommended that the full net cost basis might be retained and the period ~~may~~ might be reduced from 5 years to 3 years. This department suggested that it might be preferable to give three fourths grant-in-aid for 5 yrs, instead of full grant for three years, if it were held to be not practicable to reduce the grant to one-half, as usual, and this suggestion was accepted in circulation. In view of the ~~further~~ existing maximum limits ~~maf~~ referred to at 'A' on page 6 above, E & P.H. made a further reference ~~was made~~ to the Director of Public Instruction asking him to suggest suitable maximum limits for the three-fourths grant, if considered necessary - see para 3 of the Memo. on page 3 c.f. In his letter on pages 4-5 c.f., the Director of Public Instruction has proposed revised limits and has stated that there is no need to change the basis from the whole to three-fourths, as the necessary limits will be made effective by the revised maximum proposed by him. E.& P.H. are inclined to accept the Director of Public Instruction's recommendation. The existing limits and the revised limits proposed by the Director of Public Instruction ~~are~~:--

para 4 mp 2 cf 'M' on p. 2 CF.

'x' mp 3 ante

page 4 ante

page 6 above

'A' mp 6 above

(1)	Existing maximum limit. (2)	Maximum limit now proposed by the D.P.I. (3)	Period for which grant is payable. (4)
Teaching grant:			
(i) Middle Schools ..	Rs.3,300	Rs.2,500	5 years.
(ii) High Schools ..	Rs.4,000	Rs.3,000 §	5 years.
Equipment grant:			
(i) Middle Schools (ii) High Schools ..	* Rs.3,000	* Rs.2,~~5~~300	For initial purchase only

'x' on p. 3 above

(*Payable in 2 instalments in successive years.)

3,300x3
4)9,900
2,475 or 2,500

§ 4000x3
4 = 3000

4)9,000
2,250

p.t.o.

B

It is true that the object~~ion~~ in view is to reduce the liability of the Government in regard to the payment of these grants, and the reduced maximum limit now proposed by the Director of Public Instruction ~~will~~ may serve the purpose, without changing the 'basis' of grants from full to three-fourths, as suggested by this department. But it is presumed that the existing maximum limit has been fixed with reference to the average cost of running these institutions and therefore it may not be quite appropriate to retain the full net cost basis, while reducing the grant payable by fixing an arbitary maximum limit. Finance may accordingly advise that the existing maximum limit may be retained and the 'basis' changed from full to three-fourths net cost, as already suggested at 'X' on page 3 above.

cf P on p. 4 CF

'X' on p. 3 above

A

The limits proposed by the D.P.I. are almost three-fourths of the existing limits. They may be accepted, the basis of grants being retained as full net cost. B 5-2-50.

Please see below

CKK 6-2-50

5.2.50.

B 5.2.50 ADS

According to the orders in circulation on page 4 above, the basis should be only three-fourths ~~The basis~~ and not full net cost, ~~as such~~. If the limits only are altered, but not the basis, the result will be that some schools will still get full grants while others will get restricted grants. This is not the intention. The basis may therefore be 3/4ths.

B

As for the maximum limits, the figures proposed by the D.P.I. may be approved except that in the case of equipment grant, the limit may be Rs 2,300/- (¾ of 3000 is only 2,250) instead of Rs 2,500/-.

C

B

CKK 6.2.50.

EPH

Fin no 516221-1 6.2.50

E&P.H(B1)

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 9372 7 FEB 1950 EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

---

point (2) on page 4 ante

The Finance Department's note on pp6-7 ante gives brief summary of the proposals in this file. It was de in circulation on page 4 ante that the teaching grant t middle and high schools for boys and girls that may be n opened in future under the system of special aid may be reduced from full to threefourths of the net cost for a perio of five years. With reference to this decidion, the D.P.I. was consulted whether the basis for payment of grant for the purchase of initial equipment may also be reduced from full to threefourths of the cost and whether in view of the redwction in the basis for payment of grants ~~whether~~ the limits already fixed should be revised. The existing limits and the limits proposed by the D.P.I. are shown in one view in the statement at the foot of page 7 ante. Finance have agreed to the revised limits subject to the modification that the revised limit for equipment grant may be fixed at Rs 2300 instead of Rs 2,500. This alteration may be accepted.

p3 cf

10-2-50

pp4-5 cf

2. In view of the lower limits now proposed to be fixed which will approximately be threefourths of the limits fixed previously, the D.P.I suggested that the basis may be full instead of threefourths of the net cost of maintenance, ~~or the cost of purchase of equipment as the case may be~~. The Fin Department are not agreeable to the proposal. They suggest that the basis may be threefourths as already decided in circulation. For orders whether the suggestion of Finance may be accepted.

p8 ante

10-2-50

3. Orders may now be taken in circulation whether the proposals may now be placed before the Cabinet.

9.2.50.

10-2-50

S

In view of A, in Finance note on page 8, the financial effect being inconsiderable will Finance please reconsider it.

EA

th
ject to the new maxima, the
stitution may continue on
full cost basis. As previously
ted we wish to encourage the
ning of new schools, and
assurance (psychological also)
full cost is important

Fin.)

A

11/2

A
11/2/50

E&P.H.U.O.No.
74045B,/49-6 Edn.
11-2-50.

Fin. (Exp. A.)

(Previous Fin. note on pp.6-8 above).

Please see the further note of E.& P.H. on pp.9-10 above.

2. E.& P.H. have apparently agreed with the proposal of this Deptt. to fix the revised limit for equipment grant at Rs.2,300/- instead of Rs.2,500/- proposed by the D.P.I. However, for the reasons stated at 'A' above, they urge this Department to reconsider the view expressed at 'B' on p.8 above. In the circumstances, it is submitted that Fin. may agree to the retention of the full net cost basis with the reduced maximum limits, subject to orders being taken in circulation including H.M.(Fin.).

21.2.50

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
98814-A
18 FEB 1950
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Cm p. 8 above

Please see below
21.2.50

The reduction of the maximum limits alone, without altering the basis, as proposed by the Director of Public Instruction will not be in accordance with the suggestion made by this department on page 3 above and which has been approved in circulation on page 4 above. In this view, the suggestion made by me at 'B' on page 8 above is correct. Further, giving of full grant subject to reduced maxima will be more costly than giving 3/4ths grants subject to maxima proposed.

It does not seem that the reduction of the grant from full to three-fourths, which in terms of money for each institution, will be negligible, will so seriously affect the opening of new schools. As for the psychological effect, I do not think that Government need take notice of it, ~~or~~ nor can afford to give an increased grant on that score.

If E. & P. H. still do not agree and wish to press for their proposal, they may kindly again obtain orders in circulation including H.M.(Finance) on this point.

21.2.50

EPH

Fin. 98814-EA-1
21.2.50

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
13623
FEB

20

Edn (B)

Notes from page 6 ante may be perused.

The proposal to reduce the maximum limits fixed for payment of teaching and equipment grants under the system of special aid to middle and high schools for boys that may be newly opened in future as shown below has been accepted by Finance:-

	Existing limit Rs	Proposed limit Rs	Period for which grant is payable
Teaching grant			
(1) Middle Schools	3,300	2,500	5 years as at present
(2) High Schools	4,000	3,000	do.
Equipment grant			
(1) Middle Schools (2) High Schools	3,000	2,300	For initial purchase only.

In view of the fact that the proposed limit is threefourth of the existing limit, the DPI suggested that the basis for payment of the grant need not be reduced from full to threefourths of the net cost of maintenance or cost of purchase of initial equipment as the case may be. Finance are not agreeable to the proposal. They consider that the basis should also be reduced to threefo

p.4 cf

The file may now be circulated to HM (Edn & Law) & HM (Fin) for orders. The matter will have to be placed before the Cabinet with reference to para 11 of the decision on p254 ff. No 2670 23/2

22.2.50

23/2/50

S

HM (Edn)
(Fin)

C.No. 74045

The reduced maximum limits will secure sufficient economy, for which reason the existing system of full grant to these new schools may be continued. With this help, new schools are not likely to be opened in the number required.

28 FEB 1950

✓

H.M. (E & L)

H.M. (Fin)

25/2

I do not follow. Please see

KM
28/2

I agree with the Secy. We need not reduce both the maximum & full grant for these new schools. We will reduce only one – i.e. the maximum from 3300 – to 2500 &c &c as stated at X on page 11.

KM
9/3

3/3

H.M. (Fin)

9.3

10 MAR 1950

A draft note for the Cabinet is submitted below for approval. C.S. may see prior to circulation.

(This will not be ready for the ~~[illegible]~~ meeting to be held on 17/3/50)

14.3.50

15/3/50

15.3.50.

C.S.

Edn. U.O. No.
74045 B/49-7 Edn.
15-3-50.

16 MAR 1950
3204

C.No. 74045B/49.

The file may now be circulated to H.M. (Edn + Law) for approval of the note for the Cabinet put up below

16.3.50.

17/3/50

17.3.50

21 ✓

H.M. (E. & L.)

18/3

P.T.

Education Department
B

Depts to note
Hon'ble Ministers
of C.A. & Edn seen
21/3/50

18/3/50

Note for the Cabinet.

Grants-in-aid to local bodies for secondary schools to be newly opened in future - Restrictions to be imposed in the system of special aid

----

p1 of
Cf para 1 of Go No 2669 Edn 23/8/49 (H)

Inorder to provide atleast one high school for boys in each taluk not served by such a school~~s~~, it was considered necessary to encourage local bodies and private agencies to open new middle schools in taluks not served by even ~~by~~ a middle school~~,~~ and in taluks where there were middle schools but no high school, to convert one of the middle schools into a high school by giving special aid, i.e by payment of subsidy towards ~~towards~~ the full cost of the initial equipment to be purchased for the school subject to a maximum of Rs 3,000 and also teaching grant~~s~~ based on the entire net cost of maintenance of the school~~s~~ subject to a maximum of Rs 3300 for ~~3,000 for~~ a middle school and Rs 4,000 for a high school for a period of five years from the opening of ~~xi~~ the schools. As secondary education for girls in also in a backward state and local bodies and private managements do not generally come forward to open new secondary schools for girls ~~even~~ to the extent they do for boys, it was considered necessary to extend the system of special aid to new secondary schools for girls opened in centres with a population of 10,000 and more not served by even ~~by~~ a middle school for girls.

Note on pp 7-8 infra in
G.O. No 379 Edn (E)
[illegible]-4.9.

2. The Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee considered that ~~that~~ i.e. there was no need to meet the full cost of the schools opened recently and that the system obtaining with reference to schools established earlier might be followed. The question of continu~~ance~~ing ~~of~~ the system of special aid was therefore placed before the Cabinet in August 1949. It decided that the special aid already given should be continued and that as regards grants to such institutions that may be newly opened in future, the matter should be considered separately.

[illegible] 7 (J)
(H)
p1 of m Go 2669 Edn
23-8-49
p25 of G O 2670 Edn
23-8-49 (J)

3. The Director of Public Instruction was consulted whether ~~(i)~~ (i) ~~whether~~ the concession of making teaching grants on the basis of the full net cost to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened in backward areas may be withdrawn and if not whether it may be reduced to threefourths of the net cost for a period of three years, (ii) whether grants towards the purchase of initial equipment for these new schools may be reduced from full to threefourths of the cost and (iii) whether the maximum limits may also be revised suitably. p 3 cf

The Director is of ~~the~~ opinion that it might not be attractive to the managements if the proposed reductions are affected at the same time. He has therefore suggested that in view of the revised maximum limits proposed to be fixed, the basis need not be changed from full to three-fourths of the net cost of maintenance or threefourths of the cost of the purchase ~~but the period might be reduced from five to three years~~ of equipment. He has no objection to the period of ~~tenure~~ admissibility for the teaching grant being reduced from five to three years. ~~Ixxixxxexxxidexxx xxxfxxxblxxxxx~~ . The existing and the proposed maximum limits for teaching and equipment grants are shown below:- p 4-5 cf

Teaching grant	Existing limit Rs	Proposed limit Rs
Middle schools	3,300	2,500
High schools	4,000	3,000
Equipment grant		
Middle schools	3,000	2,300
High schools	3,000	2,300

p 11 nf X

The Finance Department did not agree to the basis of grant being retained as suggested by the D.P.I, as giving full grant subject to reduced maxima might be more costly than giving threefourths grants subject to the maxima proposed. The file was therefore circulated for orders to HM (Edn & Lab) + HM (Fin). p 10-11 nf p 12 nf

The following minutes were recorded

' We need not reduce both the maximum and full grant for these new schools. We will reduce only one, i.e. the maximum from Rs 3,300 to Rs 2,500 etc etc as as stated at X ' p 12 nf.

K.Madhava Menon
4-3-50
B.G.R.
9-3-50

The points for orders are

(1) Whether teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opnened under the system of special aid may continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance subject to the reduced maximum limits proposed to be fixed and whether the period for which the grants should be paid at the special rates may be reduced from five to three years and the question of further continuance of the special aid to those schools may be reviewed at the end of the period; X on p 11 nf

and (2) Whether grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools ~~xxxx~~ may also continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the purchase of equipment subject to a maximum of Rs 2,300 ~~[illegible]~~ proposed to be fixed, the grants being paid in two instalments in sucessive years as at present. ~~subject to the revised maximum limit proposed~~ X on p 11 nf

BGR 14.3.50

VR 15/3/50

15.3.50.

K. Madhava Menon
18.3.50

PTO

17

Education Department.

Note for the Cabinet.

24

Grants-in-aid to local bodies for secondary schools to be newly opened in future -Restrictions to be imposed in the system of special aid.

***

In order to provide atleast one high school for boys in each taluk not served by such a school, it was considered necessary to encourage local bodies and private agencies to open new middle schools in taluks not served by even a middle school and in taluks where there were middle schools but no high school, to convert one of the middle schools into a high school by giving special aid, i.e. by payment of subsidy towards the full cost of the initial equipment to be purchased for the school subject to a maximum of Rs.3,000 and also teaching grant based on the entire net cost of maintenance of the school subject to a maximum of Rs. 3300 for a middle school and Rs.4,000 for a high school for a period of five years from the opening of the schools. As secondary education for girls is also in a backward state and local bodies and private managements do not generally come forward to open new secondary schools for girls to the extent /they do for boys, it was considered necessary to extend/ the system of special aid to new secondary schools for girls opened in centres with a population of 10,000 and more not served by even a middle school for girls.

2. The Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee considered that there was no need to meet the full cost of the schools opened recently and that the system obtaining with reference to schools established earlier might be followed. The question of continuing the system of special aid was therefore placed before the cabinet in August 1949. It decided that the special aid already given should be continued and that as regards grants to such institutions that may be newly opened in future, the matter should be considered separately.

3.The Director of Public Instruction was consulted whether (i) the concession of making teaching grants on the basis of the full net cost to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened in backward areas may be withdrawn and if not whether it may be reduced to threefourths of the net cost for a period of three years,(ii) whether grants towards the purchase of initial equipment for these new schools may be reduced from full to threefourths of the cost and (iii) whether the maximum limits may also be revised suitably. The Director is of opinion that it might not be attractive to the managements if the proposed reductions are effected at the same time. He has therefore suggested that in view of the revised maximum limits proposed to be fixed, the basis need not be changed from full to three-fourths of the net cost of maintenance or three-fourths of the cost of the purchase of equipment. He has no objection to the period of availability for the teaching grant being reduced from five to three years. The existing and the proposed maximum limits for teaching and equipment grants are shown below:-

Teaching grant	Existing limit	Proposed limit	
	Rs.	Rs.	
Middle schools	3,300	2,500	X
High Schools	4,000	3,000	
Equipment grant			
Middle Schools	3,000~~0~~	2,300	
High Schools	3,000	2,300	

The Finance Department did not agree to the basis of grant being retained as suggested by the Director of Public Instruction, as giving full grant subject to reduced maxima might be more costly than giving threefourths grants subject to the maxima proposed. The file was therefore circulated for orders to Hon'ble Minister for Education and Law) and Hon'ble Minister for Finance. The following minutes were recorded.

' We need not reduce both the maximum and full grant for these new schools. We will reduce only one.i.e. the maximum from Rs.3,300 to Rs. 2,500 etc etc. as as stated at X.

K.Madhava Menon)
4-3-50.
B.G.(Reddi.)
9-3-50.

The points for orders are

(1) Whether teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls to be newly opened under the system of special aid may continue to be on the basis of the full net cost of maintenance subject to the reduced maximum limits proposed to be fixed and whether the period for which the grants should be paid at the special rates may be reduced from five to three years and the question of further continuance of the special aid to those schools may be reviewed at the end of the period;

and (2) Whether grants towards the purchase of initial equipment to these schools may also continue to be paid on the basis of the full cost of the purchase of equipment subject to a maximum of Rs.2,300 proposed to be fixed, the grants being paid in two instalments in successive years as at present.

K.Madhava Menon,
18-3-50.

EA

B

Spare copies of the note kept below

Please see the note for the cabinet at pp 17-18 ante. The subject has been included in the agenda for the cabinet meeting scheduled to take place on the 21st instant. The file may be sent to Pub (Spl) for use during the meeting.

Sd
15/4/50.
USR
15.4.50

17-4-50

Public (Spl)

23

17/4/50

Edn U.O. No.
74045 B/49-8 Edn.
17/4/50.

Please see the order of the cabinet on page 8 cf.

A draft order is submitted below for approval. It may be sent to Finance u.o. for acceptance

USR
26.4.50.

28.4.50

28-4-50.

DEPUTY SECRETARY
18 APR 1950
DEPARTMENT

Fin (E.A)

(Previous finance notes on pages 10 11 above)

Please see the decision of the cabinet on page 8 cf. The draft order below may be accepted. The revised limits may be specified in words also.

B
2.5.50

26/4/50

Edn. U.O. No.
74045 B/49-9 Edn
28.4.50.

1.5.50
1.5.50.

Edn (B).

The revised limits may be specified in words also as suggested by Finance. The draft order as ~~amended~~ added to in pencil may now be issued.

4.5.50.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
APR 1950
30814 EA
DEPARTMENT

U.O. 30814 EA 1
2.5.50

Sd
2/5/50.
USR
3/5/50

9659

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
2 MAY 1950
EDUCATION DEPT