Scott. II-27—66,004—17-11-48.

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH (1950.) Department.

MS. **SERIES.**

1

G.O. NO. 137, EDUCATION,

137
19-1-50

Dated 19TH JANUARY 1950.

47

[**Despatch Abstract.**]

ESTABLISHMENT - Secondary Education - Tirunelveli District Board - Sri D. Ramanathan L.T. Assistant - Non-selection for appointment as Headmaster of High School - Appeal - Orders passed.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

B7236/48

②

2 APR 19[illegible]

SPECIAL OFFICER, DISTRICT BOARD, TINNEVELLY.
10 SEPT. 1948

From
D.Ramanathan, B.A., L.T.,
Assistant, Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelveli District.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Through
The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

Through
The Special Officer,
District Board, Tirunelveli.

Through
The Headmaster,
Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelveli District.

Sir,

SUBJECT: ESTABLISHMENT - Appointment of Non-selection post - Headmaster = Appeal against the District Board, Tirunelveli. -- Orders - Requested.

** ** **

This year, the District Board, Tirunelveli opened two incomplete secondary schools with IV Form as the Highest class in Valliyoor and Pudur. As the senior most L.T.Assistant in the service of the Board, I applied for the headmastership of any one of the schools. (Copy of the application enclosed -- Enclosure A) as per the Director of Public Instruction's proceedings Dis. No. 2284 dated 12-5-48 (Copy enclosed -- Enclosure B). The Special Officer has not preferred my application (Copy enclosed -- Enclosure C). As such I beg leave to appeal against this order of the Special Officer, District Board, Tirunelveli.

p 3 infra & p 5 infra

p 7 infra

The grounds for my appeal are the following:-

1. I am the Seniormost L.T.Assistant in the Board Service.
2. I have passed the Account Test Part I, the preferential qualification for even the select post ~~of~~ headmaster, in addition to the pass in the Special English Test and Distinction in General English in the L.T.Degree examination.
3. Above all, I have been suggested as "THE MOST SUITABLE TO HOLD THE POST" (of even the selection post headmaster in the Tinnevelly District Board) by the District Educational Officer in 1940 even in the pre-panel days. (Copy enclosed -- Enclosure ~~[illegible]~~/ D). In connexion with the 3rd point, I may be permitted to submit that it is not due to any fault (definitely proved incompetency or proved serious misconduct or any such disqualification even vaguely hinted at) of mine that I was not appointed. Again with regard to panel, the first District Educational Officer who suggested the first panel was in the District only for a few months. He had not the time to inspect all the Board High Schools in his jurisdiction; not did he make any sudden or surprise visit to the schools to find out the relative merits of the various assistants and especially the senior assistants in the board service, but only suggested names for the panel at random.. The succeeding ~~Distric~~ District Educational Officers under the mistaken impression that the former District Educational Officer had superseded the claims of the seniors on just grounds went on suggesting names for the panel from the juniors lower down in the list and not from the seniors higher up in the list.

p 11 infra

Hence, I beg to submit as stated in the Director's proceedings ~~as~~ above mentioned as no recorded or even alleged incompetency or misconduct has been made agaist me the Government may be pleased to direct the Special Officer, District Board, Tirunelveli to appoint me to ~~any~~ any one of the places as headmaster.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
D Ramanathan 7/9/48

Sankaranainarkovil,
Date: 7 - 9 - 1948.

C. 200/48

36.32147

N.Dis. 200/48 dated 8.9/48.

Submitted

S. Periaswamy
8-9-48
Headmaster

---

3 (3) 31

ENCLOSURE A.

To

The Special Officer,
District Board, Tinnevelly.

Through
The Headmaster,
C.E. Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil.

10 SEPT. 194

Sir,

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post of Headmaster.

. . .

Consequent on the opening of the incomplete High schools by the Board, Tinnevelly at Valliyoor and Pudur, I beg to submit that I, being the senior most ~~Ass~~ L.T.Assistant in the Board Service, the proceedings of the D.P.I., Madras Dis. No. 2234/48 dated 12-5-48 are applicable to me. I may be permitted to submit that I may be appointed to one of the posts.

I remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd. D.RAMANATHAN,

Sankaranainarkoil,
Dated 9 - 7 - '48.

True Copy D.Ramanathan 2/9/48

6 (V) **ENCLOSURE B.** 3

Copy of proceedings ~~of-the-~~ Dis. No. 2284/48 dated 12-5-48 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

(9)

** ** **

Sub: DISTRICT BOARD, KURNOOL -- Secondary schools -- Narasayya Sri M.A., -- Headmaster -- Appeal agaist trasfer -- orders communicated

Ref: G.O.No. 974, Educatio[illegible] August, 1948.

[illegible]AL OFFICER, DISTRICT BOARD, TINNEVELLY
10 SEPT. 1948

O R D E R.

. Post of headmasterships [illegible] high schools with form IV and below are the L.T. Assistant grade and the seniority among head masters should be determined with reference to the date of first appointment to that grade. As the post now carry headmasters' allowance, appointments should be made according to seniority in the L.T. grade unless the senior is definitely proved to be incompetent to hold such post or where on account of proved serious misconduct it is considered undesirable to promote him the reasons for everlooking the claims of such seniors being recorded in writing. These headmasterships should be considered as nonselection post and nonpromotion to nonselection posts should be deemed to be withholding of promotion and hence appealable.

/ By Order of His Excellency the Governor/

M.V.SUBRAMANIAM,
Secretary to the Government.

Communicated for information (Para two only)

True Copy
9/9/48

M.ABDUL HAQ,
For Director of Public
Instruction, MADRAS.

ENCLOSURE C.

No. L.Dis.24988/48.

Tinnevelly District Board Office,
Dated the 19th. July, 1948.

To
Sri D.Ramanathan, B.A.,L.T., Asst. Board High school, Sankarankoil,
through the Headmaster.

M E M O.

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - D.Ramanatha Iyer, B.A.,L.T. - Application for appointment as headmaster, Board High School, Valliyoor or Pudur.

Ref; His report dated 9-7-48.

The Assistant is informed that he is not suitable for the post.

OFFICER, DISTRICT BOARD, TINNEVELLY.
10 SEPT. 1948

Sd. S.SUBRAMANIAM,
Special Officer.

Copy to the Headmaster, board High [illegible] karankoil.

(TRUE COPY)

D.Ramanathan 7/9/48.

(6) 9 **ENCLOSURE D.** 9

(11)

~~D.Dis. No. 20726/48.~~
D.Dis. No. 20726/39.
From

The District Educational Officer,
Tinnevelly and Anjengo.

To
The President,
District Board,
Tinnevelly.

SPECIAL OFFICER, DISTRICT BOARD, TINNEVELLY.
10 SEPT. 1948

Sir,

Sub: Post of headmaster in secondary school under local bodies - Selction.of.

Ref: Your letter B-3-20726/39 dated 27-1-40.

-- -- --

Sri D.Ramanathan, B.A.,L.T., Assistant, Board High School, Sankarankoil is suggested for the post of headmaster in secondary schools under Tinnevelly District Board as he is in my opinion the most suitable to hold the post and is senior to all the other assistants. He may be asked to pass the account test during the period of probation.

Sd. K.I.VERGHESE,16-2-40.
D.E.O., Tinnevelly & Anjengo.

Passed in the 1939 Dec. Examination.
Fort St. George Supt. Pt.I B Gazette
page 4 dated 13th. Feb., 1940.
Number 1627.

(TRUE COPY).

Advance Copies have been directly submitted to the Director of Public Instruction, and Secretary, Education & Public Health, Madras

D Ramanathan
7/9/48

11/G3

7

No. L.Dis.32147/48.
Enc: One appeal petition.

Sub: Establishment - Board High School, Sankarankoil - Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer, B.A.L.T., - Appeal regarding appointment of Headmasters to Board High Schools, Pudur and Valliyoor.

75261

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government of Madras, Education and Public Health Department, Madras. 26/4/48

2. The G.O.No. 974 Edn. dated 26-8-47 referred to by the appellant has not been received in this office.

3. The name of Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer, B.A.L.T., Assistant, Board High School, Sankarankoil was once in the panel of Headmasters prepared by the educational authorities. It was subsequently deleted by them. Hence he was considered unsuitable for the post of the Headmaster of a High School.

4. Two new High Schools with forms I to IV were opened this year, one at Pudur, Koilpatti Taluk and the other at Valliyoor, Nanguneri Taluk. As usual, persons from the panel of Headmasters prepared by the educational authorities were selected for the posts of Headmasters of these schools. Sri S. Kumaraswamy Pillai, B.A.L.T., Assistant, Board High School, Kadayanallur and Sri N. Durairajan, B.A.,L.T., Assistant, Board High School, Koilpatti, whose names were in the panel, were appointed to act as Headmasters of Board High Schools, Valliyoor and Pudur respectively.

26/4/48

(A) 5. As per G.O.No.974 Edn. dated 26-8-47 referred to by the appellant, reasons have to be recorded in writing for overlooking the claims of seniors. As it was not received in this office, that procedure was not followed. The fact that his name was omitted from the panel is a sufficient reason for not selecting him for the post.

(A) 6. A copy of G.O.No. 974 Education dated 26-8-47 may kindly be sent to this office.

26/4/48

For Special Officer.

Tinnevelly District Board Office,
Dated 4th October 1948.

K.M.S. 5.10.

(8)

Government of Madras.
Education and Public Health Department.
Endorsement No.75261/G2/48-1 Edn dated 18th October '48.

--

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Board High School - Sankarankoil - Sri P. Ramanatha Iyer, B.A.L.T. - A peal regarding appointment of Headmasters to Board High School, Pudut and Valliyoor.

Ref: From the Special Officer, Tinnevelly District Board No.L.Dis.32147/48, dated 4-10-1948.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

M.V. Subramanian,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
(with enclosures to be returned with reply).

Forwarded : By order

Superintendent.

C.No. 2367-G1/48.

22 OCT 1948

SS.Sm.

15214

E&P. H
93

(9) 15

C. 75261/48

10/2
18/10

Estt - Board High School - Sankarankoil - Sri P. Ramanatha Iyer B.A., L.T. - Appeal regarding appointment of Headmasters to Bd H. Schools, Pudur and Valliyoor.

—

p 1 cf — From the Spl. Officer, Tinnevelly Dt. Bd.
No. L. Dis. 32147/48 d. 4. 10. 48.

—

pp. 1-12
21/10

Endt. No. 75-261/93/48-1 Edn. d. 18/10/48

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for ~~early~~ remarks.

To. The D.P.I.
(with enclosures to be returned with reply)
— p1-12 cf in original.

15/10/48

18/10/48

15/10/48

(10) 17 B E.S.P./93

75261/48/93
67236/93/48
18/6/12

Estt. Board High School - Sankaran Koil - Sri. D. Ramanathan Iyer. B.A.L.T. - appeal regarding appointment of Headmaster to Bd. High Schools, Pudur & Vallioor.

p1 cf - Endt. No. 75261/93/48-1 Edu. d. 18.10.48.

4/12 - Memo. No. 75261/93/48-2 Edu. d. 4/12/48

The attention of the D.P.I. is invited to the endt. cited above and he is requested to expedite his ~~report in the matter~~ reply —

p1 cf. - (The papers have been sent in copy)

To
The D.P.I.

7/12

30/11/48.

30/11/48

3/12/48

G3 19.5F

(11)

FROM

The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Dept.,
MADRAS.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. EDUCATION AND PUBLIC 26 DEC 19

Sir,

Rc.2367G1/48 dated 23/12/48

Sub:--Dt. Board, Tinnevelly--Secondary schools--Sri D. Ramanathan, L.T. Assistant--appeal against non-promotion as Head master of Secondary Schools having form IV as highest.

96983

67276

Ref:--Govt. Memo No.75261G3/48-2 Edn. dated 4/12/48.-- p13 ante

----

Papers have been referred to the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Madura whose remarks are awaited. I shall submit my reply soon after receipt of his remarks. He is being reminded in the matter.

~~Please see D.P.I's letter above. This may be seen to~~

Yours faithfully,

For Director of Public Instruction.

Copy to the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Madura.
His attention is invited to Director's Proceedings Rc.2367G1/48 dated 2/11/48 and he is requested to expedite his reply.

lb.24/12/48

P.T.O.

16.20

G3 21 17

(12)

01352

From

D.Ramanathan B.A.,L.T.,
Senior Assistant,
Gomathi Edward Board High School,
Sankarankoil.

To

The Secretary for Education and Public Health,
M A D R A S.

Through

The Special Officer,
District Board,
Tinnevelly.

17 JAN 1949

Through

The Headmaster,
Gomathi Edward Board High School,
Sankarankoil.

Sir,

With reference to my appeal dated 8-9-'48 (originally applied to the Minister for Education, Madras dated 6-8-'48, the advance copy of which would have been directed to your office, and the application through the proper channel has been returned to me by the Special - Officer as not addressed ~~through the~~ to the proper authority) I beg - to submit that early orders may be issued directing the Special Officer, District Board, Tinnevelly, to appoint me as Headmaster to any of the incomplete High School, at Valliyur or Putnur.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sankarankoil,
5-1--1949.

D. Ramanathan.
Senior Assistant.

For ready reference I beg to enclose herewith the copy of my appeal.

E&P.H
93

23

⑫

67236/48

Dt Board. Tinnevelly - Secondary Schools -
Sri. P. Ramanathan L.T. Asst. appeal against
non-promotion as Headmaster of
Secondary Schools.

p 11 cf - Endt. No. 75261/93/48-1. Edn. d. 18.10.48
p 15 cf - From the D.P.I. Rc 2867 q. /48. d. 23.12.48.

Memo. No. 67236/93/48-3 Edn. d. 2/3/49

The attention of the D.P.I
is invited to the Endt. Cited and he
~~he~~ is requested ~~to state when his~~
fr to expedite his final report in
the matter.

To
The D.P.I.

28/2/49

28 2 49

1/3/49

(14) G1 2 Education Department.

25

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

23533

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

25 MAR 1949

Rc.2367 G1/48 dated 18-3-1949.

Sir,

Sub: District Board, Tinnevelly - Sri D.Ramanathan, L.T.Assistant - appeal - Non-promotion as Headmaster of Secondary Schools having Form IV. p 23 ante

----

Ref: Government Memo No.67236 G3/48-3 Edn., dated 2-3-1949.

-----

p 15 ante

In continuation of my letter Rc.No.2367 G1/48 dated 23-12-1948 I am to state the papers have not been received back from the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Madura. He has been reminded in the matter and papers will be submitted to Government with my remarks shortly.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

KHR.23-3.

Kn
23/3

E&P.H
82

(16) 29

67236/92/Leg.

32/5

31/5

p.11 cf

Establishment - Education - Secondary - District Board, Tirunelveli - Sri D. Ramanathan L.T. Assistant - Appeal against non-promotion as Headmaster of a Secondary School.

-

From the Spl. Officer, Tirunelveli Dt. Board, No. L. Dis. 32147/48. dated 4.10.48.

-

Memo. No. 67236/92/48-4 Edu. d. 30/5/49

The Spl. Officer, Tirunelveli District Board, is requested to forward to Govt. the personal files of ~~all~~ the three teachers concerned, ~~for perusal~~. He is also requested to state specifically the grounds on which the appellant

P.T.O

30

The appellant Sri D. Ramanathan B.A.L.T. Asst. Board High School, Sankaranainarkoil, was Considered unfit.

30/5/49

Chet 28/5/49

28549

The S.O.
Dt Board, Tirunelveli.

37

17

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
21 JUN 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Ed P.H (8)

From:

D.Ramanathan B.A., L.T.,
Senior Assistant,
Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil

To:

The Secretary,
Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George, M A D R A S.

Thro'

The Special Officer,
District Board,
Tinnevelly,

Thro'

The Headmaster,
G.E. B High School,
Sankaranainarkovil.

The receipt of the personal files called for may be awaited till 5.7.49

22/6/49

28/6/49

47126

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post headmaster in the Tinnevelly District Board- Renewal (II) of the Appeal.

****

Sir,

Same as p1 ante

In continuation of my appeal dated 6.8.48 to the Hon'ble Minister for Education and to your honour dated 8.9.'48, renewal of the same dated 6.1.'49, I beg to once again bring to your kind notice and for favourable orders the following few lines:

2. Again this year (i.e) in June 1949 the District Board, Tinnevelly has opened three incomplete Secondary Schools or Schools with IV Form as the highest Class at Kalugumalai, Puliangudi and Mudithanandal. Again they have appointed my juniors to the headmaster's places. I beg to appeal against the same for the reasons for which I appealed last year (Copy of the previous appeal enclosed).

3. In this connection I may be permitted to submit the following: It seems both the management and the Education Department are stressing much on the panel men even though they have not put in any probation. If panel men (one in five or three) are given such preference, I submit that my claims as that of one solely and singly suggested for the headmaster's place (vide Enclosure D) are stronger, and more sustainable. Hence if the Government is so disposed, they can give their verdict on this point too (i.e) whether I am eligible to hold even the selection post headmaster.

4. In conclusion, I most respectfully and humbly beg to submit that very early orders may be passed unlike that of the last years'.

I remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
D Ramanathan
20-6-49

Sankaranainarkovil
D/ 20th June '49

Advance copy direct submitted

32

(TRUE COPY) 263

(18)

From:
D. Ramanathan B.A., L.T.,
Assistant, Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelvali District.

To:
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Thro'
The Special Officer,
District Board, Tirunelveli.

Thro'
The Headmaster,
Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelveli District.

Sir,

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post- Headmaster- Appeal against the District Board, Tirunelveli.

****

This year, the District Board, Tirunelveli opened two incomplete secondary schools with IV Form as the Highest class in Valliyoor and Pudur. As the senior most L.T. Assistant in the service of the Board, I applied for the headmastership of any one of the schools. (Copy of the application enclosed- Enclosure A) As per the Director of Public Instruction's proceedings Dis No. 2284 dated 12.5.48 (Copy enclosed - Enclosure B) The special officer has not preferred my application (Copy enclosed - Enclosure C). As such I beg leave to appeal against this order of the Special Officer, District Board, Tirunelveli.

The grounds for my appeal are the following:-

1. I am the seniormost L.T. Assistant in the Board service having put in more than 26½ years service in this Board alone.
2. I have passed the Account Test Part I, the preferential qualification for even the selection post headmaster, in addition to the pass in the Special English Test and Distinction in General English in the L.T. Degree Examination.
3. Above all, I have been suggested as 'THE MOST SUITABLE TO HOLD THE POST' (of even the selection post headmaster in the Tinnevelly District Board) by the District Educational Officer in 1940 even in the pre-panel days. (Copy enclosed - Enclosure D). In connection with the 3rd point, I may be permitted to submit that it is not due to any fault (definitely proved incompetency or proved serious misconduct or any such disqualification even vaguely hinted at) of mine that I was not appointed. Again with regard to panel, the first District Educational officer who suggested the first panel was in the District only for a few months. He had not the time to inspect all the Board High Schools in his jurisdiction, nor ~~he~~ did he make any sudden or surprise visit to the schools to find out the relative merits of the various assistants and especially the senior assistants in the Board service, but only suggested names for the panel at random. The succeeding District Educational Officers under the mistaken impression that the former District Educational officer had superseded the claims of the seniors on just grounds went on suggesting names for the panel from the juniors lower down in the list and not from the seniors higher up in the list.

==2==

35

(19)

==2==

Hence, I beg to submit as stated in the Director's proceedings above mentioned as no recorded or even alleged incompetency or misconduct has been made against me the Govt. may be pleased to direct the Special Officer, District Board, Tirunelveli to appoint me to any one of the places as headmaster.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
D.Ramanathan.

Sankaranainarkovil
Dated 7.9.1948.

(TRUE COPY) **Enclosure A**

Thro'
The Headmaster,
G.E. Board High School
Sankaranainarkovil.

To

The Special Officer,
District Board,
Tinnevelly

Sir,

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post-Headmaster.

****

Consequent on the opening of the incomplete High Schools by the Board, Tinnevelly at Valliyoor and Pudur, I beg to submit that I, being the Senior most L.T. Assistant in the Board Service, the proceedings of the D.P.I. Madras Dis. No. 2284/48 dated 12.5.48 are applicable to me. I may be permitted to submit that I may be appointed to one of the posts.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sankaranainarkoil
D/ 9th July 1948

Sd/- (D.Ramanathan)

ENCLOSURE B (2) 39

Copy of proceedings Dis No. 2284/48 dated 12.5.48 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sub: DISTRICT BOARD, KURNOOL - Secondary Schools - Narasayya Sri. M.A., - Headmaster -- Appeal against transfer - Orders communicated.

Ref: G.O. No. 974, Education dated 26th August 1948.

ORDER

Posts of Headmastership of High Schools with form IV and below are in the L.T. Assistant grade and the seniority among headmasters should be determined with reference to the date of first appointment to that grade. As the posts now carry headmasters' allowance, appointments should be made according to seniority in the L.T. grade unless the senior, is definitly proved to be incompetent to hold such post or where on account of proved serious misconduct it is considered undesirable to promote him the reasons for overlooking the claims of such seniors being recorded in writing. These headmasterships should be considered as nonselection posts and nonpromotion to nonselection posts should be deemed to be withholding of promotion and hence appealable.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

Sd/- M.V. SUBRAMANIAM,
Secretary to the Government.

Communicated for information (Para 2 only)

Sd/- M Abdul Haq.,
for Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

(T R E U C O P Y)

Enclosure ~~B~~ C

No. L Dis. 24988/48 Dated the 19th July 1948

To

Sri. D.Ramanathan B.A., L.T., Asst. Board High School,
Sankarankoil, Through the Headmaster.

MEMO

Sub: Establishment - D.Ramanatha Iyer, B.A., L.T.,- Application for appointment as Headmaster, Board High School, Valliyoor or Pudur.

Ref.: His report dated 9.7.48

****

The Assistant is informed that he is not suitable for the post.

Sd/- (S.SUBRAMANIAM)
for Special Officer.

Copy to the Headmaster, Board High School, Sankarankoil.

(TRUE COPY)

(TRUE COPY) **ENCLOSURE D**

D.Dis No. 20726/39

From
The District Educational Officer,
Tinnevelly and Anjengo

To
The President,
District Board,
Tinnevelly.

Sir,

Sub: Post of headmaster in secondary schools under local bodies-Selection of
Ref: Your letter B-3-20726/39 dated 27.1.40

....

Sri D.Ramanathan, B.A., L.T., Assistant, Board High School, Sankarankoil is suggested for the post of headmaster in Secondary Schools under Tinnevelly District Board as he is in my opinion the most suitable to hold the post and is senior to all the other assistants. He may be asked to pass the account test during the period of probation.

Sd/- (K.I.VERGHESE) 16.2.40
D.E.O. TINNEVELLY & ANJENGO.

Passed in the 1939 Dec Examination. Fort St. George Gazette
Part I B supplement Page 4 dated
13th Feb 1940. Number 1627

45

24

L.Dis.18628/49.
Enc: nil.

Tirunelveli District Board Office
Dated the 30th June 1949.

From

K. Ghulam Mahmood Sahib Bahadur B.A.,
Collector & Special Officer,
District Board, Tirunelveli.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
5 - JUL 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

To

The Secretary to Government of Madras,
Education & Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

50952

Sir,

Sub:- ESTABLISHMENT - Education - Tirunelveli District Board - Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer B.A.L.T.- Assistant - Appeal against non-promotion as Headmaster of a Secondary school.

Ref:- Government Memorandum No.67236/G2/48-4 Edn., dated 30-5-49.

p.29 c.f.

No personal files are available for the three teachers concerned. The other two teachers are in the panel of Headmasters selected by the Educational Authorities.

2. Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer, B.A., L.T, Assistant Board High School, Sankarankoil was recommended for the post of the Headmaster in Secondary school under the Tirunelveli District Board in letter R.C. No. 15(51) 39 dated 16-2-40 of the District Educational Officer, Tirunelveli & Anjengo. But his name did not find a place in the panel of Head-masters prepared by the Educational Authorities subsequently. The very fact that the teacher was once recommended by the District Educational Officer for the post of Headmaster in Secondary schools and subsequently omitted to be included in the panel of Headmasters, is prove positive that the District Educational Officer must have sufficient reasons for omitting his name. This is the reason for not posting him as Headmaster at the time of opening of new school with IV form.

30/6/49
For Special Officer.

Sgr/30.6.

(TRUE COPY)

From:
D.Ramanathan B.A., L.T.,
Assistant, Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelvali District.

To:
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Thro'
The Special Officer,
District Board, Tirunelveli.

Thro'
The Headmaster,
Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelveli District.

Sir,

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post- Headmaster- Appeal against the District Board, Tirunelveli.

****

This year, the District Board, Tirunelveli opened two incomplete secondary schools with IV Form as the Highest class in Valliyoor and Pudur. As the senior most L.T. Assistant in the service of the Board, I applied for the headmastership of any one of the schools. (Copy of the application enclosed- Enclosure A) As per the Director of Public Instruction's proceedings Dis No. 2284 dated 12.5.48 (Copy enclosed - Enclosure B) The special officer has not preferred my application (Copy enclosed - Enclosure C). As such I beg leave to appeal against this order of the Special Officer, District Board, Tirunelveli.

The grounds for my appeal are the following:-

1. I am the seniormost L.T. Assistant in the Board service having put in more than 26½ years service in this Board alone.
2. I have passed the Account Test Part I, the preferential qualification for even the selection post headmaster, in addition to the pass in the Special English Test and Distinction in General English in the L.T. Degree Examination.
3. Above all, I have been suggested as 'THE MOST SUITABLE TO HOLD THE POST' (of even the selection post headmaster in the Tinnevelly District Board) by the District Educational Officer in 1940 even in the pre-panel days. (Copy enclosed - Enclosure D). In connection with the 3rd point, I may be permitted to submit that it is not due to any fault (definitely proved incompetency or proved serious misconduct or any such disqualificatio even vaguely hinted at) of mine that I was not appointed. Again with regard to panel, the first District Education officer who suggested the first panel was in the District only for a few months. He had not the time to inspect all the Board High Schools in his jurisdiction, nor ~~he~~ did he make any sudden or surprise visit to the schools to find out the relative merits of the various assistants and especially the senior assistants in the Board service, but only suggested names for the panel at random. The succeeding District Educational Officers under the mistaken impression that the former District Educationa officer had superseded the claims of the seniors on just grounds went on suggesting names for the panel fr the juniors lower down in the list and not from the seniors higher up in the list.

==2==

(TRUE COPY)

From:

D.Ramanathan B.A., L.T.,
Assistant, Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelvali District.

To:

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Thro'

The Special Officer,
District Board, Tirunelveli.

Thro'

The Headmaster,
Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil, Tirunelveli District.

Sir,

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post- Headmaster- Appeal against the District Board, Tirunelveli.

****

This year, the District Board, Tirunelveli opened two incomplete secondary schools with IV Form as the Highest class in Valliyoor and Pudur. As the senior most L.T. Assistant in the service of the Board, I applied for the headmastership of any one of the schools. (Copy of the application enclosed- Enclosure A) As per the Director of Public Instruction's proceedings Dis No. 2284 dated 12.5.48 (Copy enclosed - Enclosure B) The special officer has not preferred my application (Copy enclosed - Enclosure C). As such I beg leave to appeal against this order of the Special Officer, District Board, Tirunelveli.

The grounds for my appeal are the following:-

1. I am the seniormost L.T. Assistant in the Board service having put in more than 26½ years service in this Board alone.
2. I have passed the Account Test Part I, the preferential qualification for even the selection post headmaster, in addition to the pass in the Special English Test and Distinction in General English in the L.T. Degree Examination.
3. Above all, I have been suggested as 'THE MOST SUITABLE TO HOLD THE POST' (of even the selection post headmaster in the Tinnevelly District Board) by the District Educational Officer in 1940 even in the pre-panel days. (Copy enclosed - Enclosure D). In connection with the 3rd point, I may be permitted to submit that it is not due to any fault (definitely proved incompetency or proved serious misconduct or any such disqualificatio even vaguely hinted at) of mine that I was not appointe Again with regard to panel, the first District Education officer who suggested the first panel was in the District only for a few months. He had not the time to inspect all the Board High Schools in his jurisdiction, nor he did he make any sudden or surprise visit to the schools to find out the relative merits of the various assistants and especially the senior assistants in the Board service, but only suggested names for the panel at random. The succeeding District Educational Officers under the mistaken impression that the former District Educationa officer had superseded the claims of the seniors on just grounds went on suggesting names for the panel fr the juniors lower down in the list and not from the seniors higher up in the list.

==2==

Hence, I beg to submit as stated in the Director's proceedings above mentioned as no recorded or even alleged incompetency or misconduct has been made against me the Govt. may be pleased to direct the Special Officer, District Board, Tirunelveli to appoint me to any one of the places as headmaster.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
D.Ramanath.

Sankaranainarkovil
Dated 7.9.1948.

27

3

(TRUE COPY) **Enclosure A**

To

The Special Officer,
District Board,
Tinnevelly

Thro'
The Headmaster,
G.E. Board High School
Sankaranainarkovil.

Sir,

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post-Headmaster.

****

Consequent on the opening of the incomplete High Schools by the Board, Tinnevelly at Valliyoor and Pudur, I beg to submit that I, being the Senior-most L.T. Assistant in the Board Service, the proceedings of the D.P.I. Madras Dis. No. 2284/48 dated 12.5.48 are applicable to me. I may be permitted to submit that I may be appointed to one of the posts.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sankaranainarkoil
D/ 9th July 1948

Sd/- (D.Ramanathan)

ENCLOSURE B

Copy of proceedings Dis No. 2284/48 dated 12.5.48 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sub: DISTRICT BOARD, KURNOOL - Secondary Schools - Narasayya Sri. M.A., - Headmaster -- Appeal against transfer - Orders communicated.

Ref: G.O. No. 974, Education dated 26th August 1948.

ORDER

Posts of Headmastership of High Schools with form IV and below are in the L.T. Assistant grade and the seniority among headmasters should be determined with reference to the date of first appointment to that grade. As the posts now carry headmasters' allowance, appointments should be made according to seniority in the L.T. grade unless the senior, is definitly proved to be incompetent to hold such post or where on account of proved serious misconduct it is considered undesirable to promote him the reasons for overlooking the claims of such seniors being recorded in writing. These headmasterships should be considered as nonselection posts and nonpromotion to nonselection posts should be deemed to be withholding of promotion and hence appealable.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

Sd/- M.V. SUBRAMANIAM,
Secretary to the Government.

Communicated for information (Para 2 only)

Sd/- M Abdul Haq.,
for Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

(TREU COPY)

Enclosure ~~B~~ C.

No. L Dis. 24988/48 Dated the 19th July 1948.

To

Sri. D.Ramanathan B.A., L.T., Asst. Board High School,
Sankarankoil, Through the Headmaster.

MEMO

Sub: Establishment - D.Ramanatha Iyer, B.A., L.T.,- Application for appointment as Headmaster, Board High School, Valliyoor or Pudur.

Ref.: His report dated 9.7.48

****

The Assistant is informed that he is not suitable for the post.

Sd/- (S.SUBRAMANIAM)
for Special Officer.

Copy to the Headmaster, Board High School, Sankarankoil.

(TRUE COPY)

29 511

(TRUE COPY) **ENCLOSURE D**

D.Dis No. 20726/39

From
The District Educational Officer,
Tinnevelly and Anjengo

To
The President,
District Board,
Tinnevelly.

Sir,

Sub: Post of headmaster in secondary school under local bodies-Selection of
Ref: Your letter B-3-20726/39 dated 27.1.40

....

Sri D.Ramanathan, B.A., L.T., Assistant, Board High School, Sankarankoil is suggested for the post of headmaster in Secondary School under Tinnevelly District Board as he is in my opinion the most suitable to hold the post and is senior to all the other assistants. He may be asked to pass the account test during the period of probation.

Sd/- (K.I.VERGHESE) 16.2.40
D.E.O. TINNEVELLY & ANJENGO.

Passed in the 1939 sec Examination. Fort St. George Gazette Part I B supplement Page 4 dated 13th Feb 1940. Number 1627

From:

D. Ramanathan B.A., L.T.,
Senior Assistant,
Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankaranainarkovil

DISTRICT BOARD
22 JUN 1949
TIRUNELVELI

To:

The Secretary,
Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Thro'

The Special Officer,
District Board,
Tinnevelly,

Thro'

The Headmaster,
G.E. B High School,
Sankaranainarkovil.

Sub: Appointment of Non-selection post headmaster in the Tinnevelly District Board- Renewal (II) of the Appeal.

****

Sir,

In continuation of my appeal dated 6.8.48 to the Hon'ble Minister for Education and to your honour dated 8.9.'48, renewal of the same dated 6.1.'49, I beg to once again bring to your kind notice and for favourable orders the following few lines:

2. Again this year (i.e) in June 1949 the District Board, Tinnevelly has opened three incomplete Secondary Schools or Schools with IV Form as the highest Class at Kalugumalai, Puliangudi and Mudithanandal. Again they have appointed my juniors to the headmaster's places. I beg to appeal against the same for the reasons for which I appealed last year (Copy of the previous appeal enclosed).

3. In this connection I may be permitted to submit the following: It seems both the management and the Education Department are stressing much on the panel men even though they have not put in any probation. If panel men (one in five or three) are given such preference, I submit that my claims as that of one solely and singly suggested for the headmaster's place (vide Enclosure D) are stronger, and more sustainable. Hence if the Government is so disposed, they can give their verdict on this point too (i.e) whether I am eligible to hold even the selection post headmaster.

4. In conclusion, I most respectfully and humbly beg to submit that very early orders may be passed unlike that of the last years'.

I remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D Ramanath

Sankaranainarkovil
D/ 10th June '49

Advance copy has been already submitted direct to the Secretary Education & Public Health. Madras.

nominee.

S. Periasamy
20/6/49
Headmaster

N Dis 20272/49.

Forwarded.

30/6/49
For Special Officer.
District Board, Tinnevelly

6
30.6.49

POST COPY OF TELEPHONIC MESSAGE dated 6-7-49.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
12 JUL 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

53081

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

Sub: District Board, Tinnevelly - Sri D. Ramanathan, L.T. Assistant - Appeal against non-promotion as Headmaster of a Secondary School having form IV and below as highest.

Ref: Director's endorsement Rc. No. 2367 G1/48 dated 20-4-49.

Kindly let me know when the orders of the Government can be expected in the matter.

for Director of Public Instruction.

9/7.

N.P. 9-7-

(32)

Est. A (G2)

S.O Tirunelveli ~~D~~ B.

From the ~~D.E.O.~~ No. L Dis-
18268-49- dated 30. 6. 49.

p 45 y

Despatch
26/7

Memo No. 67236-G2/48-5 Edn. d/- 26-7-1949.

Establishment - Second-
ary Education — Tirunelveli D.E..
— Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer, Asst.
appeal against supersession.

With reference to para
2 of his letter cited above the S.O.
is informed that the issue is
exactly why the D.E.O's did
not

p 45 y

64

2

not consider the Assistant suitable for promotion as Head Master of a High School. The S.O is requested to furnish the reasons why the D E O's held this view. A copy or copies of their remarks should be sent.

25.7.49.

26-7-49

To
2617
The S.O
Tirunelveli Dt Bd.
Copy to the D.P.I

15/7/49

65

B. T. Cable INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT. No.

Recd. at H. M.	Sent at H. M.	Office Stamp 3 AUG 49
From	To 1018	
By	By	

59127

0 P SANKARANAYINARKOVYIL 3 27 SECRETARY EDUCATION PUBLIC HEALTH GOVT MADR S =

PRAY VERY EARLY DISPOSAL APPEAL HEADMASTERSHIPP TINNEVELLY DISTRICT OARD

PENDING OVER YEAR SECOND RENEWAL MADE JUNE TWENTY THIS YEAR = RAMANATHAN =

MFP—42 Telegraph—5166—(P-130)—9-7-48—30,000 Books.

64
66

(34)

No. L.Dis.24320/49.
Enc:-
From

Tirunelveli District Board Office,
Dated the 3rd August. 1949.

K. Ghulam Mahmood Sahib Bahadur, B.A.,
Collector and Special Officer,
District Board, Tirunelveli.

To

The Secretary to Government of Madras,
Education & Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
5 AUG 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Sir,

Sub:- Establishment - Secondary - Education - Tirunelveli District Board - Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer B.A., L.T. Assistant - Appeal.

59664 pages 63-64

Ref:- Government Memorandum No. 67236-G2/48-5 Education dated 26-7-49.

The reasons why the District Educational Officer did not consider the Assistant suitable for promotion as Headmaster of a High School, is not known. He has not recorded his views and communicated it to this office.

3.8.49
For Special Officer.

Sgr/3.8.

(35)

Estt. A (G

17.8.49

p-27y From the D.P.I. No. R.C-2367-G1-48- dated 20.4.1949-

p67y From the S.O. ~~Tinnevelly~~ Tirunelveli No. L Dis-24320-49 ~~D~~ 3.8.49

Dy Secy 16/8

Memo No. 67236-G2/48-6 Edn. dt-16-8-49.

Establishment -- Secondary Education - ~~Tinnevelly~~ Tirunelveli Dt. Bd. - - Non-Selection for the post of Head Master -

p-27y

p67y

The Director's attention is invited to his endt. ~~cited above~~ and the Special Officer's letter cited above (~~a~~ copy of the letter is furnished) and he he is Requested to forward for the information of the Govt. ~~the latest~~ Copies of the latest Reports of the DEO on the L.T. Assistant's work -

The D.E.O should be asked to give Reasons, if he has not ~~so far~~ given them, for the view that the appellant is not fit for inclusion in the panel and a Report submitted to Govt.

p67y

13.8.49.

16-8-49

To

The D.P.I.

(with a copy of the J.O letter 3.8.

p.67 y

---

(36)

E.4P.H(G2)

Memo. No. 67236-G2/48-7 Edn. dated 26-9-49.

JV 27.9

25/27/9 ½

Sub:- Estt. - Secondary Education - Tirunelveli Dt. Bd. Non-selection for the post of Headmaster - Petition from Sri S. Ramanathan -

p. 69 e.f.

Ref:- Govt. Memo. No. 67236-G2/48-6 Edn. dt 16-8-49

28/9

The attention of the D.P.I. is invited to the Govt. Memo. cited above and he is requested to ~~state when his reply with the Reports may be expected.~~ expedite his reply - The matter has been pending for a long time -

To

The D.P.I.

Fwd MS 4/10

SS 24/9/49.

24.9.49.

24/9/49

[illegible] expedite his reply - The matter has been pending for a long time -

24.5.49.

G2 37 73

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Rc.2367 G1/48 dated 13.10.49.

Sir,

Sub: District Board, Tinnevelly - Sri D.Ramanathan - Appeal - Non-selection for the post of Headmaster.

80/18

Ref: Government Memo. No.67236 G2/48-6 Education dated 16.8.49.

pp.67-70 c.f.

***

The matter is pending with the Divisional Inspector of schools, Mathurai whose reply is awaited. I will submit the reply to Government shortly after hearing from him.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
16 OCT 1949

Yours faithfully,

FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Copy to the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Mathurai in continuation of Director's proceedings Rc.2367 G1/48 dated 12.9.49 with a request to expedite his reply.

MB 14.10 RAK 14/10 14/10

N3/ G1 Rc 75

C.F. 98 Edu & Pub. Health DEPARTMENT.

REMINDER. G2 *Branch.*

To The Director of Public Instruction
Madras

I ~~have the honour to invite~~ am directed to invite ~~invite~~ attention to Govt. Memo. No. 67236/G2/48-6 Edn. d 16.8.49 and your Rc. No. 2367 G1/48, dated 13/10/49 and to request that the reply to it may be expedited.

~~request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—~~

~~(1) the stage at which the matter now is,~~

~~(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and~~

~~(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.~~

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
8-NOV 1949
MADRAS
Rc 2367 G1/48

Office E&P.H
Station Ft St George
Dated 5/11/49

Signature.
For Asst. Secretary to Govt
Designation.
5/11/49

SUBJECT.

District Bd - Tinnevelly - Sri D. Ramanathan - appeal - non-selection for the post of Head master.

[P.T.O.

76

DDF

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
70203
18 NOV 1949
REPLY.

C.c. 98.

To The Secretary to Govt.,
Edn & P.H. Dept.

Resubmitted.

2/. Final reply is being submitted to Govt in the matter.

For Director of Public Instruction, Madras

16/11 16/11

PN/11

G2 (39) 77 9

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sir,

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
22 NOV 1949

R.C.No.2367-G1/48 dated 17-11-1949

Sub: District Board, Tirunelveli - Sri D. Ramanathan L.T. Assistant - Appeal.

Ref: Government Memorandum No.67236-G2/48-6 Edn dated 16-8-1949. p69-70 ante

---

I submit herewith a copy of the letter ~~of~~ from the District Educational Officer, Tirunelveli West and Angengo. p79 & 81 infra The circumstances in which Sri D. Ramanathan was considered unsuitable for the post of Headmaster are explained therein.

91277

2. In this connection, I invite attention to G.O.Ms.No. 1274 Education dated 20-8-41 wherein Government had declined to interfere on behalf of certain L.T. Assistants including the appellant regarding supersession of their claims for appointment as Headmasters in 1941.

p1734 (R)

p49-93-139 ibid

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

BR, 18-11.

18/11/49 18/11/49

78

18

Copy of Letter R.C.No.947-A/49 dated 21-10-1949 of the District Educational Officer, Tinnevelly West and Angengo to the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Mathurai Division.

Sub: Appeal - petition - Sri Ramanatha Iyer - District Board, Tinnevelly.

Ref: Government Memo.No.67246-G2/48-6 Edn dated 16-8-49 and Director's Procs. R.C.No.2367-G1/48 dated 12-9-1949 and Procgs. Roc.No.901-B/49 dated 18-9-49 of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, ~~Mathurai.~~ p11 ante

---

In para 3 of the Endorsement dated 4-10-1948 of the Special Officer, District Board, Tinnevelly on the appeal petition of Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer he has stated that his name was once included in the panel of Headmasters by the Educational Authorities and subsequently deleted by them. But from the records of this office no such information is available. So the special Officer was requested to send a copy of the original panel in which his name was included. He gave in his letter dated 23-12-1948 that his name was recommended for the post of Headmaster in Rc.No.15(51)39 dated 16-2-40 of the District Educational Officer (Vide copies of the letter of the Special Officer and the recommendation of the District Educatinnal Officer as given by the Special Officer). In the year 1940 the panel system was not in existence. The President District Board made the appointments in consultation with or on the recommendations of the District Educational Officer. The Special Officer has not stated why the appellant was not appointed as Headmaster, though recommended by the District Educational Officer as stated by him.

The panel system came into existence only in the year 1942 as per G.O.No.953 Education dated 30-6-42. In the copy of the list of the 1st panel drawn up in 1942 the name of Ramanatha Iyer is not found. In the office note kept under date 8-9-42 by late Sri D. Sambasivan Pillai on the selection of suitable names for the panels remarks why the seniors like Ramanatha Iyer have been overlooked are fully stated. Herewith given the extract of the remarks relating to Ramanatha Iyer.

* reserved. Powers of control and not keep a disciplined class.

A.

"No. 1 in the list Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer the following remarks are found in (R.3 (5) 42). Tact and Temper - Wanting. Cynical, rather* Wanting in self discipline and punctuality. Hence he is not considere fit for Headmasters post. During all the previous occassions when temporary vacancies arose his claims have been overlooked. Lastly whe ~~xxxxxx~~ Sri Rajangam Iyer was appointed as Headmaster on probation in a 1941 he appealed to the Government and the Government ordered on his appeal that they decline to interfere on this behalf. Further ~~my~~ in my ~~proceedings~~ predecessors letter to the Divisional Inspector of Schools in Rc.1325/41 dated 19--6---41 reference is found to his appea against Rajengam Iyer's appointment as one with a good volume of provocative language. Under these circumstances he is not selected." The District Educational Officer has furnished 4 names in the panel for 42 all Juniors to Ramanatha Iyer.

But copies of the appeal of Sri Ramanatha Iyer and the Governme Order thereon are not available in this office.

For 43 and 44 the same 4 names are found to be repeated.

In the confidential note book maintained in the office on beha of L.T. Assistants, the following remarks are made by the then D.E.O. Sri M.D. Paul under dated 30-11-43.

B

"Actually observed touching a boy and mishandling him. Such a teacher cannot be entrusted with the responsibility of managing hundredsof students in a school. Not included in the panel by my predecessor in office.".

For 44 also the same ~~records~~ remarks are repeated by the same D.E.O.

For 46 the same remarks are repeated by the D.E.O. Sri S. Krishnaswami Iyengar under dated 1-12-1946.

In recommending the names of L.T. Assistants in the inclusion of the panel to the Divisional Inspector of Schools the District Educational Officer has remarked in the seniority list against Sri Ramanatha Iyer" not considered suitable.

p.t.o.

For 46-47 the remarks in the Confidential register against Sri Ramanatha Iyer is 'Teaching ability is fair' under date 12-2-1947.

In recommending names to the panel in 1947 the remarks against Ramanatha Iyer is as follows. No.1 in the list of Seniority (i.e.) Sri Ramanatha Iyer was considered not suitable by my predecessors and further he will attain the age of 50 in July and hence not recommended

His name was not considered for inclusion in the panel in 48-49 as his claims have been over looked in successive years by different District Educational Officers from 1942 to 1947), and the remarks made about him are not satisfactory.

The latest Confidential report available is from the Headmaster of the School under date 13-4-1949 (Vide copy enclosed).

So my predecessor in office should have based his remarks on the Confidential files available in this office under dated 19-3-49 in para 5 that the appellant could have no claim for the post and the appeal may be dismissed.

The Special Officer in his letter dated 3-8-49 states that the District Educational Officer, has not recorded his views and communicated to his office. After the introduction of the Panel system the D.E.O. has furnished only the names of suitable candidates in the panel. The procedute mentioned in the Special Officers' letter to record the views why certain Assistants are not suitable for promotion and communicate the reasons for the selection or Nonselection to the District Board does not seem to have been followed after the introduction of the panel system.

Copy of No.L.Dis.40289/48 dated 23-12-48 of the Special Officer, District Board, Tinnevelly.

Sub: Establishment - Board High school, Sankarankoil - Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer B.A.L.T., Assistant - Appeal regarding appointment of Headmasters, Board High School, Pudur and Valliyoor.
Ref: Your Letter R.c.No.1168/48 dated 29-11-1948.

Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer, B.A.L.T., Assistant, Board High School, Sankaran koil was recommended for the post of the Headmaster in secondary schools under the Tinnevelly District Board in letter Rc.No. 15(51)39 dated 16-2-40 of the D.E.O. Tinnevelly West and Angengo. A copy of the letter is enclosed. His name did not find a place in the panel of headmasters prepared by the Educational Authorities subsequently.

Copy of letter No.15 (51) 39 dated 16-2-1940 from the D.E.O. Tinnevelly West and Anjengo to the President, District Board, Tinnevelly.

Sub: Post of headmasters in secondary schools under local bodies - Selection of.
Ref: Your letter B.3-20726/39 dated 27-1-1940-

Sri S. Ramanatha Iyer B.ALT, Assistant , Board High School, Sankaran koil is suggested for the post of Headmaster in secondary schools under the Tinnevelly Dt. Bd. as he is in my opinion the most suitable to hold the post and is senior to all the other L.Ts. Assistants. He may be asked to pass the account test during the period of his probation.

+Copy of Confidential report dated 13-4-1949 from the Headmaster, Board High School, Sankarankoil.

---

1. Name of teacher and community Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer B.A.L.T., Hindu Brahman
2. Year of birth. 1897 (Eighteen ninety seven).
3. Qualifications - B.A.L.T., Account Test Part I.
4. Date of entertainment into service under Board - 26-7-42.
5. Previous service if any as a teacher or otherwise - Tutor in English in the 1st to 3rd U.C. in the St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly.
6. Extra curricular activities - President of the Literary and debating

(41)

debating society. Joint Editor of the School Magazine.
7. Interest in Sports - Not much.
8. Headmaster's opinion - A teacher of experience whose claims for promotion have so far been not recognised. Hence he is a disappointed one.
9. Whether inclined to take up additional responsibilities Yes.

10. Any Special qualification or activities - Specialist in English (Special Test in English in the L.T. Course).

Sd. S. Periasamy,
Headmaster, Gomathy Edward Board High School,
Sankarankoil.

Copy of Endorsement Rc.No.910-B/49 dated 8-11-1949 of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Madurai Division to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Submitted to the D.P.I., Madras with reference to Procs.Rc. No.2367-G1/43 dated 12-9-49.

The Circumstances in which Sri D. Ramanathan was considered insuitable for the post of Headmaster are explained in the letter.

BR,18-11.

TRUE COPY

FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

18/11/49. BR,18-11.

18/11

83 Estt (92)

Establishment – Secondary Education – Tirunelveli District Board Sri D. Ramanathan LT Asst. – Non selection for appointment as Head master of High School – Appeal – ~~Rejected~~ orders passed

D/ spech [illegible]

From Sri D. Ramanathan, LT Asst. Gomathi Edward Board High School, Sankarankoil – Petns 7.9.48, 20.6.49 etc.

p1 of & p59 of

From the ~~PD~~ S.O Tirunelveli Dt Bd. – No L. Dis – 32147-48 Dt 4.10.48

p11 of

From the D.P.I. No. RC – 2367-G1-48 – Dt 20.4.1949 and 17.11.49.

p22 of & p 77-81 of

From the S.O Tirunelveli Dt Bd. No L Dis 18628-49 – dated 30.6.49.

p [illegible] of

From the S.O Tirunelveli Dt Bd. No L Dis – 24320-49 – Dt 3.8.49

p67 of

Order ~~from the~~

Ms – 137 Edn 19.1.50

2

The petition is rejected.

2. The Director is informed that the petitioner's claims should be considered in connection with the ~~next~~ ensuing panel in view of the Head Master's latest report on the petitioner's work. He is requested to issue instructions to the Div!. Inspr. of Schools accordingly.

17.1.50

18-1-50

19/1

Addition (?)

(X) Ast 19.1.50

To The Petitioner

The R.D. 18 copy

Distt., Tirunelveli

" D.P.I.

19.1.50

C.No.67236/48

E. & P.H. (G2) Deptt.

ESTABLISHMENT - Education - Secondary - District Board, Tirunelvelly - Sri D. Ramanathan, L.T. Assistant - Appeal against non-promotion as Headmaster of a secondary school.

Ref: From Sri D. Ramanatham, letter dated 7-9-48.
From the Special Officer, Tirunelveli Dist. No. L.Dis. 32147/48 dated 4-10-48.
From the Director of Public Instruction, Rc.No. 2367/G1/48 dated 20-4-49.

p1 c.f.
p11 c.f.
p-27 c.f.
p1 c.f.

* * *

In his petition in the c.f. Sri D. Ramanathan, B.A., L.T., Asst., Board High School, Sankaran Koil, has appealed to Govt., against the orders of the Special Officer, Tirunelveli Dt. Bd., appointing two Assts., as Headmasters of the two newly opened secondary schools in Valliyur and Pudur in the Tirunelveli District. As the highest form in the schools, is IV form only, for the present, the Headmasters of the schools are not in the Regular grade of Rs.150-200, fixed for headmasters of high schools under local bodies but are only in the L.T. Assistant's scale of Rs.75-145 with a special allowance of Rs.10/- for the Headmaster's duties. Under the orders in G.O.No.974, Edn., dated the 26th April 1948, appointments to these posts should be made according to seniority unless the senior is definitely proved to be incompetent to hold such posts or where on account of his proved serious misconduct it is considered undesirable to promote him.

(A)

2. It is seen from the D.P.I.'s Endt., on page 27 c.f. that the appellant is considered to be definitely unfit for the responsibilities of the headmaster's post. It is for orders whether, for this reason, his view that the appeal deserves no consideration may be accepted. H.M. (Edn.) may see.

24.5.49

25/5/49

S

H.M. (Edn)

We may ask for the personal files of all concerned here and ask for grounds on which appellant was considered unfit

27/5

P.T.O.

E&P.H
G2

Please see Secretary's orders at the bottom of of page 1 ante. The draft memo below to the ~~DEO~~ S.O. Tirunelveli Dt Board, is for approval.

28/5/49

28549

30/5/49

(Issued as Memo. No. 67236-92/48-4 dated 30-5-49). — pp.29-30 c.f.

[From the Special Officer, Dt. Board, Tirunelveli, L.Dis. 18628/49 dated 30-6-49.] — p.45 c.f.

E.& P.H.(G2).

With reference to the Secretary's orders on page 1, the personal files of the three teachers concerned were called for. The Special Officer has, in reply, ~~to this memo~~ reported that no personal files are ~~been~~ maintained for the three teachers. He has also stated that the other two teachers are in the panel of Headmasters selected by the educational authorities. p.45 c.f.

It is observed from the Special Officer's reply that Sri Ramanatha Ayyar, the appellant, was recommended in 1940 for inclusion in the panel, but that he has been subsequently considered unfit for appointment as Headmaster. p.45 c.f. This is confirmed by the Director's remarks in his endorsement on page 27 c.f. It will be seen from the Director's remarks that the Divisional Inspector of Schools considered ~~in 1940~~ that the appellant was not suitable for appointment as Headmaster. These are the reasons for which the appellant's name was not considered for appointment to the post of Headmaster.

Orders are requested as to whether the Special Officer's view which is ~~[illegible]~~ supported by the D.P.I., as regards the suitability of the appellant for holding the post of Headmaster may be accepted or whether any further information should p.45 c.f. p.27 c.f.

P.T.O.

---

be obtained from the D.P.I.

19/7/49.

20-7-49

19.7.49.

Reply that the same is exactly why the D.E.O's did not consider him suitable. The reasons for this may be furnished

21/7

A draft memo is subd. for approval.

26-7-49

25.7.49.

pp 63-64 c.f. (Issued as Memo. No. 67236-92/48-5 Edn. dated 26-7-49.).

p.65 c.f. Telegram from the petitioner, dated 3-8-49.

p.67 c.f. From the Spl. Officer, Dt. Bd., Tirunelveli, No. L.Dis. 24320/49 dated 3rd August '49.

The Reply of the Special Officer to the point raised by the Secretary is that the D.E.O's Reasons are not known.

The Director's endt. on p. 27 c.f. shows that the D.E.O's considered the appellant unfit for the Responsibilities of the Headmaster's post in 1942, 1943 & also in 1946. It is for consideration whether

Whether the D.P.I may be asked to state what are the latest reports on the appellant's work & to send extracts from them. | A

11.8.49

12/8/49

S

Do at A, and also say that the DEO should be asked to give reasons

13/8

A draft memo is submitted for approval.

13.8.49

16-8-49

[Memo. No. 67236-92/48-6 Edn. dated 16-8-49.] — pp 69-70 c.f.

The D.P.I's reply has not yet been received. A draft Memo. reminder to the D.P.I. is submitted below for approval.

24/9/49

26/9/49

24.9.49.

[Memo. No 67236-92/48-7 Edn. dated 26-9-49.] — p.71 c.f.

From the D.P.I., Rc. 2367-91/49 dated 13-10-49. — p.73 c.f.

Please see the interim reply from the D.P.I. at p.73 c.f. His final reply in the matter may be awaited till 28-10-49.

18/10/49

17/10/49.

17/10/49

Ex. R. on 5/11/49

N.R. 25/11/49

P.T.O.

E.S.P.H / 92

~~p76 c.f.~~ (C.90203/49 From the D.P.I. interim reply d 16/11/49)

Please see the interim reply from the D.P.I on p76 c.f. The final report may be awaited till 30/11/49.

21-11-49

19.11.49

19.11.49.

pages 77-81 c.f. (C.9~~127~~/49 From the D.P.I. Rc.2367/91/49 d 17.11.49)

note on p3 ante. Secretary wanted to find out why the appellant had so far been considered unsuitable for promotion as headmaster.

~~From~~ In the DEO's report on pages 79-80 c.f. the reasons why Sri D. Ramanatha Iyer has been found unfit for promotion are given. From the time of the introduction of the Panel System in 1942 successive DEO's have held that he should not be entrusted with the responsibilities of a headmaster. His capacity as a teacher has not been questioned but the opinion seems to be that he is lacking in those qualities which are necessary in the headmaster of a high school. The DEO offers no views himself but from the Head Master's report at Z on pages 80 & 81 c.f., it is seen that the appellant is a teacher of experience

pages 79-80 c.f.

Vide A & B ~~[illegible]~~ on p.79 c.f.

~~[illegible]~~ 67236/92/46

6

It is perhaps for consideration ~~[illegible]~~ whether the case of the Asst. may be asked to be reviewed in the light of his present record, in connection with the next panel –

7.1.50

9-1-50

S

The appeal of this teacher is that without reference to the recommendation in favour of his appointment as Headmaster in 1940 and his seniority, his name has not been included in the panel since 1942. From the information now obtained of his record at p. 79 it would appear that the D.E.O. in 1942 noted that the teacher was wanting in self discipline as well as in the capacity to control the classes. Another D.E.O. in 1943 noted that the teacher could not be entrusted with the responsibility of managing hundreds of students. Thereafter, it has been assumed that the teacher is not suitable. From the report of the present Headmaster it would appear that the teacher has claims on the basis of his experience to promotion. His appeal may be rejected but his claims, in view of the latest report , may be considered in connection with the next panel.

p 79 cf

ibid –

Z on pages 80-81 cf

16/1/50

H.M.(E.&.L.)

Ujco.

I have I think since directed that Divl. Inspector in preparing the panel should consult the P.D.B. & that in case of difference of opinion the D.I.'s decision shall be final. If no instruction has been issued it may be issued.

17/1

M

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
17 JAN 1950
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

(PTO.

7

46

Orders on the previous page – A draft order is subd. for approval –

After issue, M in HM's minute on the previous page will be noted on –

17.1.50

18-1-50

S

19/1

(Issued as G.O. 137 Edn d. 19-1-50)

pages 83-84 cf

The G.O on the petition has issued.

2. With regard to M in HM's minute on the previous page it is submitted that no direction as in his minute appears to have been made by him on any file –

3. The present position under the Rules is as follows:–

Rule 2(1) – Q/2

The President Dt. Bd. sends to the Divisional Inspector of Schools or the Inspectress of Girls' Schools concerned a list of B T or B Ed Assistants in the Dt. Bd. Service who have put in a service of not than ten years and whose names are already in the panel and whom he wa recommend for inclusion in the panel with a detailed statement of the reasons for such recommendation. This is done in the December immediately preceding the year for which the panel is to be drawn up. The Divl. Inspr. and the D.E.O sitting together or the Inspectress of Girls' Schools then draw up the panel and send it to the President not later than the 1st. May – It may be seen that this procedure ensures that the President's

X

–ibid–

recommendation

recommendations are taken into account by the Educational Authorities.

The panel is received by the President not later than 1st May. If the President on receipt of the panel wishes to have any name or names either included in it or excluded from it or to modify the order of preference in it, he may appeal to the Director whose decision is final. The appeals are to be made within 15 days after the receipt of the panel from the Divisional Inspector or the Inspectress.

Rule 5(1) on p. 3 (Q)

For the purpose of the point in HM's minute, it is presumed that the provision described above will do and no instructions are necessary. | P

Q/2

4. It may be seen that Rule 2(1) of the Rules requires the sending of a list of B.T. or B.Ed. Assts. with not less than ten years' service, whom the President would recommend for inclusion in the panel. This provision is likely to be used by Presidents for withholding the names of qualified persons for personal reasons. In order to avoid hardship to deserving men through the exclusion of their names from the preliminary lists, it is proposed to amend this Rule so as to require the President to send ~~complete~~ lists of all qualified persons with his recommendations to the Divl. Inspector or the Inspectress. A draft Rule has been published and it will be taken up for confirmation soon.

(A reference has gone to the D.P.I.)

HM (E&L) may see for orders on "P" above

20.1.50

23.1.50

S 23/1

H.M. (E&L)

31/1

G7236 Edn/49

Let the President also sit together with them at the meeting referred to at X on page 7. And then no question of ~~referring to the~~ ~~giving him~~ appeal to the D.P.I. will arise as any difference of opinion recorded there will be referred to the D.P.I. whose decision shall be final.

HM

2/1

SECRETARY, EDN. A. DEPT.
25 JAN 1950
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

In the file regarding the reservation of posts of headmasters for ~~the~~ direct recruitment, Secy has made a suggestion similar to the one of HM's above. The file has been referred to the D.P.I. now for remarks. This may l.o. till its return, i.e. till 15.2.~~49~~ 50. | P

X 12/1

25.1.50

G.O. No. 3129 Edn 9-12-49

For giving effect to the orders of HM, the panel rules have to be amended by giving preliminary publication, inviting o[…] within a period of six weeks and then modifying the rules a[…] considering objections or suggestions. Same procedure will have to be f[…] in respect of "P" above. Both the questi[…] perhaps be taken up together after […] of the Director's reply to the reference mentioned above.

28-1-50

S 28/1

H.M. (E&L)

HM

28/1