EDUCATION (1950) DEPARTMENT

M. SERIES

p. 39 G

1

470

G.O. No 1106 Edn

Pl 39-40 CF

DATED 6. 4. 50.

closed

Section Officer.

B

(DESPATCH ABSTRACT).

Allowances - Unhealthy locality and Hill allowances — Classification of localities in the Kodaikanal and Palni H
Madurai Dt. — Orders passed.

(A)

Expensive Locality Allowance restored to Pannaikadu etc in
G.O.Ms 1438 Finance dt 28-11-61

Procdgs 138126/E5/62-4 EDLA dt 16-11-62
of the Addl Devpt Commr

From

K.P. Kamatchi M.L.A
Pannaikadu
Kodaikanal Taluk.

1

(2)

To

The Hon'ble minister for Education
Govt of Madras

Sir, **Subject**. Restoration of hill allowance to teachers of Kodaikanal, and Palni Hills

p 55 / (a)

I like to State that with reference to my letters to you I got replies that orders have been issued to restore hill allowance to teachers who are natives of the Kodaikanal and also Palni hills. But it was not given effect to for a long time. Hence I addressed to the Special officer, Madura District Board from whom I got a reply that the matter was under Correspondance and orders would be issued shortly.

But now I understand from the Dt Bd that the orders could not be given effect to, as hill allowance is to be given only to those who are ~~not~~ serving in localities specified as 'Expensive localities' under rules 11 and 12 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and allowances.

16

Under the above Category, only 2 or 3 villages in the hills come in. The other villages are Aladipatty, Uralpatty of Pannaikadu, Pulathur, Pachalur, Mangalancombu, Poombarai etc, Adalur and Pannaimalai coming under the 'unhealthy locality' which are not entitled to get hill allowance. I

I do not at all see any difference between unhealthy localities and expensive localities so far as Kodaikanal and Palni hills are concerned. One village is as bad as the other

2

The conditions in the hills are very bad and serious. Teachers who are coming to the hills don't have either any tendency or attraction to stick up to the villages ev for a short time in view of the abnormal prices of food commodities milk etc, as a result of which many teachers' places lie vacant a[illegible] the schools do not thrive at all.

To get over this, I have been frequently insisting that the lot of the teachers particularly in the hills should be made better in all respects.

Hence the best thing is that they should be given the same dearness and hill allowance ~~and~~ as the of the Kodaikanal Municipality. Secondly the hill allowance shall be paid to all teachers whether they are natives or not by classifying the unhealthy localities as 'expensive localities' referred to in rules No 11 & 12 of the Manual of Pay and allowances.

I also request that the hill allowance be restored and paid from the date of stopping of the allowances (i.e) from 1946 before which they were getting this allowance.

25.2.48.

3/

L. & P. H.

(3)

MEMO NO. 2171083/48-1 EDUCATION, DATED 18.5.48

2/1 19/5

SUB:- Payment of Hill allowance to teachers residing on the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills.

***

The Collector of Madura is requested to report the names of the several villages on the Kodaikanal and the Palni Hills, indicating those which may be declared as unhealthy localities. He is requested to state also whether Govt. employees residing in these villages are granted hill allowance and if so, at what rates.

To
The Collector of
Madura.

15/5/48

24-5-48

14

(4)

From

K. P. Kamachi Chettiar, M.L.A.,
Pannaikadu P.O.,
Madura District.

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education
Government of Madras,
Madras.

MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
21 1948
GOVT. OF MADRAS

Sir,

I beg to bring the following few lines for your kind consideration and favourable orders.

Under Rule 10 (12) of Clause III to part I of Madras Manual of Special pay and allowances, persons who are serving in Tandigudi village, Madura District have been granted special pay for service in unhealthy localities.

put up in another file

ⓐ In G. O. No. Ms.647-Edn. dated 25-4-45 Government have approved as a special case the grant to the Teachers employed in the Madura District Board Schools at the undermentioned villages of allowances as specified against them.

an unhealthy locality

Name of villages	
1. Aladipatty 2. Uralpatty 3. Poolathur ✓ 4. Pachalur ✓ 5. Mangalam Combu	In accordance with rules 11 and 12 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and allowances.
1. Mannavanur 2. Poombarai 3. Sirumalai Palayur 4. do. Pudur 5. Adalur ✓	Hill All^ce In accordance with rule 16 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances.

Under Rule 11 (a) of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances no special pay is granted to those who are natives of or are domiciled in the concerned locality. Evidently the object of this ruling must be that those who are natives of or are domiciled in the concerned locality must have been accustomed to suit the climatic conditions of the locality. There is no guarantee that the natives

8

of the unhealthy locality will not be affected by the climatic conditions of the locality. Persons who are natives of one unhealthy locality if posted to another locality of the similar nature will not be deprived of the benefit under the above rulings. In short unhealthy localities should also be taken as more expensive localities than those that are considered as expensive localities by Government. When the above rulings do not apply to persons who are natives of expensive localities there is no justification in the application of the above rulings only to persons who are natives of unhealthy localities.

Under the circumstances, I request the Government that Rule 11 (a) of Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances may be deleted to facilitate the local teachers also to get the Hill allowance.

Yours faithfully,

9

L.Dis.8952.G.48.

Mathurai Collector's Office,
[illegible]48.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
27 DEC 194
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH

(4)

From
Sri K. Rama Varma Raja B.A.,
Collector, Mathurai.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

96697

Sir,

Allowances - Hill Allowance - Kodaikanal Hills - payment to Teachers.
Govt Memo.No.21710/B2/48.I. Edn. dated 18-5-48.

p 3 ante

The names of the several villages on the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills, which may be declared as unhealthy localities are given below.

Kodaikanal Hills.	1. Poolathur, (2) Pannaikadu, (3) Thandigudi, (4) Kamanur, (5) Kilakkuchettipatti, (6) Periyur (7) Pachalur.
Palni Hills.	(1) Adalur, (2) Panrimalai, (3) Manalur and (4) Vadagadu.

The Revenue Inspector is the only Government employee in these parts ~~xx~~ who is being given Hill Allowance at Rs.13/8/0 p.m.

unhealthy locality

S. Ramaswamy
24.12.48
For Collector.

23/12.

v.s.23-12.

K. D. Kamatchi Chettiar,
PLANTER.
MEMBER, LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PANNAIKADU P. O.
KODAIKANAL TALUK.
27.12.48

11

⑥

MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
GOVT. OF MADRAS

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education,
Government of Madras.

X pages 1-2 ante
pages 37-38
+ 43 (a)

pages 7-8 ante

With regards to my letter presented to you in person in the last week of October, 1948 and the previous letters and personal representations made several times about the Hill Allowance to Local teachers under Madura Dt. Board in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills, I regret to state that no action has yet been taken to restore the Hill allowance.

For the last 2½ years I am frequently insisting upon the matter in person and through the Madura Dt. Board but, the unfortunate consequences of not having restored the hill allowance to natives of hills is that some schools opened recently at K.C. patty and Poolathur in Kodaikanal ~~and Palni~~ Hills Madura District are closed for months together due to the unwillingness of the teachers to serve in these costly, hilly and unhealthy localities with their very poor pay. Some schools, such as Mangalamcombu and Pachalur are irregularly run, the cause being the same as mentioned above. And some schools such as Uralpatty, Aladipatty and Thandikudi are working always with under staff and that too, by the Local Teachers.

The Local Teachers now working in one or two schools also wish to be transfered to schools in plains, disheartened at the discontinuance of their hill allowance from August 1945.

At this rate I am afraid that the schools will be permanently closed down for no fault of the poor rate payers living in the backward villages. While I am fighting for these backward people in other fields it is really very ~~much in~~ miserable to see that the legitimate rights of poor children ~~are~~ [illegible] in getting elementary education are denied by [illegible]. I am at a loss to know what prevents the Government from restoring the hill allowance. Under these circumstances, I request the Government that Rule 11(a) of Madras Mannual of Special pay and allowances may be deleted to facilitate the local teachers also to get the Hill Allowance. Wishing this to be treated as an urgent and important matter.

A

Enclosures:-

1. Copy of my letter presented to Hon'ble Minister for education in the last week of October. pages 7-8 ante

2. Letter No. 25869 B 2/47 -1Edn. dated 25th Nov. 1947 from M.V. Subramanian Esq., I. C. S. Secretary to the Government of Madras. p 55 & 4 (a)

not recd

Yours faithfully,

K Kamatchi.

13 / ⑦

B3

Ed.P.II dept (B2)

Memo No. 21710 B2/48-5 Edn. dated 9.3.49

Sub:- Payment of Hill and unhealthy locality allowances to the teachers residing on the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills.

p 9 c.f

Ref:- From the Collector of Mathurai No. 8952-G/48 dated 23.12.48

Copies of certain letters received from Sri. K. P. Kamatchi Chettiar, ~~M.L.A dated nil, 25.2.48, nil and 27.12.48~~ on the above subject are forwarded to the Collector of Mathurai. His attention in this connection is ~~also~~ invited to ~~the his letter No.~~ G.O.No. 647 Edn. dated 25.4.45 and his letter cited above.

p 17 c.f ⓐ

In the G.O., mentioned above, the villages Aladipatti, Vadapatti and Mangalamcombu have been declared as unhealthy localities whereas these villages are not found in the list of unhealthy localities, sent ~~submitted~~ along with the Collector's letter cited above. Also, Adaluv has

H

14

been specified by the collector as an unhealthy locality whereas it has been declared as an expensive locality in the G.O. The Collector ~~He~~ is requested ~~to clarify these points clearly~~ and to state definitely as to which of these villages should be declared as expensive and which of them as unhealthy.

He is also requested to examine the various points raised in the letters of the M.L.A and make ~~submit~~ a comprehensive report to Govt.

RM
9/3/49

8
8.3.49.

To

The Collector of
Mathurai.
(With ~~enclosures~~
copies of letters on
pp37-38 c.f @, pp1-2,
pp7-8 and 11 c.f)

Appd
9/3

---

15 O.R. (8a) 15

L.Dis.5398-G-49.

Mathurai Collector's Office,
Tallakulam P.O. Dated -6-49.

From
Sri.K.Rama VarmaRaja,B.A.,
Collector, Mathurai.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Dept.
Madras.

Sir,

ALLOWANCES - Payment of Hill and Unhealthy locality allowance - to the teachers on the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills - remarks.

Govt.Memo 21710/B.2/48-5 Edn. dated 9-3-49. pp13-14 ante

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
24 JUN 1
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH

In the lower Palnis firka of Kodaikanal taluk there are only 7 villages, the names of which are given below:-

47925 A1

1. Poolathur
2. Aladipatti } hamlets of Pannaikadi.
   Uralipatti }
3. Thandigudi
4. Mangalankombu h/o Kamanur
5. Kilakkuchettipatti
6. Periyur
7. Pachalur

pp17 c.f @

All these are highly malarial. Of these villages, Thandigudi is included in the list of localities declared as unhealthy under Rule 10 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances. in G.O.647 Education dated 25-4-45, the Government have approved as a special case the grant of the unhealthy locality allowance to the teachers employed in Madura Dt.Board schools in the villages of Aladipatti and Uralpatti hamlets of Pannaikadu, Poolathur, Pachalur and Mangalankombu hamlet of Kamanur. Thus, it will be seen that the only two villages which are left out, though unhealthy, are Kilakkuchettipatti and Periyur. As all the villages are unhealthy being highly malarial, I consider it necessary to declare these villages also as unhealthy and recommend accordingly.

T

pp19 c.f @

2. The villages of Adalur, Panrimalai, Thonimalai and Manalur are in Palni Hills attached to Dindigul taluk. They are also highly malarial being situated contiguous to the villages of Lower Palnis firka in the malarial zone. Of these, Adalur alone was declared as expensive in G.O.647 Education dated 25-4-45. But I consider it better to declare this and the other 3 villagesm mentioned above as unhealthy rather than expensive and recommend accordingly.

3. As regardd the discrepancy pointed out in the names of villages in para 2 of the Government Memo, it is submitted that Aladipatti and Uralpatti are hamlets of the mother village Pannaikadi and Mangalankombu is a hamlet of Kamanur.

4. According to Cl.(a) of Rule 11 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances, Government servants who are natives of or domiciled in the concerned unhealthy locality are not eligible for the special pay for service in an unhealthy locality. The M.L.A. wants to relax this restriction in favour of the natives and domiciled persons for the reasons stated in his 3rd letter dated Nil. I think this suggestion is reasonable and recommend that the concession allowed to non-natives may be extended to the natives of the locality.

p.t.o.

5. As regards the Upper Palnis villages which include Kodaikanal Municipality, they are notunhealthy but they are expensive localities. According to rule 15 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances, Kodaikanal alone has been classified as an expensive locality. The following are the villages of the upper Palnis.

Mannuvenor
Poombarai
Koonal
Vilpatti
Adukkan
Vellagavi
Poondi.

To meet the case of teachers in some of the villages of the Upper Palnis, the villages of the Upper Palnis may be notified as expensive localities. They are all similar in nature to Kodaikanal and living in those villages is expensive.

6. If these proposals are accepted the result will be that all the villages of the Lower Palnis in Kodaikanal taluk and the three villages of Dindigul taluk which lie in the intensely malarial zone will come under the classification of "unhealthy locality", which is only proper and people serving in those villages will be eligible for the allowance prescribed for service in an unhealthy locality. Similarly all the villages of the Upper Palnis will come under the definition of expensive locality and District Board teachers and others stationed in those villages will be eligible for the allowance under Rule 15.

22/6
~~For~~ Collector.

sn.20/6.

E. & P. H. Dept. (B2)

Memorandum No.21710-B2/49-5-Edn., dated 16.9.49

81/16/9

JV/16.9

Sub:- Payment of unhealthy locality and hill allowances to the local board employees and the Govt. servants working on the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills, Mathurai District - Amendment to the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances

At present the grant of unhealthy locality allowance and hill allowance is regulated with reference to rules 11 and 12 and 15 and 16 respectively, of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances. Under these rules employees working ~~only~~ in certain localities on the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills only are eligible for the allowances. It has been suggested that local board employees and Govt. servants working in any part of the Kodaikanal and Palni hills should be granted those allowances, irrespective of the nature of the localities. The Collector of Mathurai, who was consulted on the matter, has made the following recommendations:-

I. (1) The unhealthy locality allowance may be granted to employees working in the following villages on the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills:-

1) Poolathur
2) Aladipatti
3) Uralipatti
4) Thandigudi
5) Mangalacombu
6) Kilakkuchettipatti
7) Periyur
8) Pachalur
9) Adalur
10) Panrimalai
11) Thonimalai
12) Manalur

(2) Hill allowance may be granted to employees in the following villages on the Kodai kanal and Palni Hills:-

1. The whole of Kodaikanal Hills
2. Mannuvenor
3. Poombarai
4. Koonal
5. Vilpatti
6. Adukkan
7. Vellagari
8. Poondi

(2.–8.) on the Palni Hills

II. The unhealthy locality allowance may be granted also to ~~the~~ natives of the locality which has been declared as unhealthy although the

30

Copy of letter No.10480/49 dated 23-11-1949 of the President, District Board, Mathurai.

Sub: Education - Elementary - Kodaikanala Taluk and Palni Hills - Allowance - unhealthy localities and Hill allowance - payment to local board employees working in the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills - Mathurai District-- Particulars furnished.

Ref: Letter No.56620/49 dated 26-9-49, 14-10-49 and 28-10-49 of the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Madras.

I enclose herein a statement showing the particulars called for.

I. (a) The number of local board employees working in the twelve villages specified in item 1-(1) is 30.

(b) The number of local board employees working in the eight villages specified in item 1-(2) is 6.

II.(a) The expenditure now incurred for the employees working in the villages specified in item 1-(1) is Rs.2112-0-0.

(b) The expenditure now incurred per annum for the employees working in the villages specified in item 1-(2) is Rs.648/-

III. The extra cost to be involved per annum if the Collector's recommendations are approved comes to Rs.1224-0-0. 900

"TRUE COPY".

HILL ALLOWANCE FOR TEACHERS WORKING IN HILLS KODAI, palni

...

Name of place.	Name of teacher.	Salary.	Hill Allowance. 110-0-0.	Hill allowance to be paid. 45-0-0.
Ooralpatty Girls School. U.L	1. Gnanaranjitham.	32 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0
	2. Karuthammal.	31 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0
	3. Saraswathy.	31 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0
4. Thandikudy. U.L	1. Nachimuthu Gounder.	32 0 0	Native place	9 0 0
	2. S.R. Rajan.	31 0 0	-do-	9 0 0
	3. K.S.Natarajan.	33 0 0	-do-	9 0 0
5. Mangalamkombu. U.L	1. K.Veliachmmy.	30 0 0	9 0 0	
	2. Samuel Devarokiam.	23 0 0	3 0 0	
6. Kizhakkuchettypatty.	1. M.Palanichamy.	20 0 0	Native place	3 0 0
7. Pachaloor. U.L	1. S. Mayappan.	30 0 0	9 0 0.	
8. Adaloor. Hill	1. K.Palaniappa Mudaliar.	33 0 0	9 0 0	
	2. Ulakanathan.	33 0 0	9 0 0	
1. Mannavannor. H ✓	1. P. Raju.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
2. Poomparai. H ✓	1. K.R.Arokiam.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
	2. M.Rajamani.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
3. Kadakkal. ✓	1. Samuel.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
4. Poondi. ✓	1. M.Alagachamy.	30 0 0	9 0 0	
Sirumalaipadiyur. H ✓	1. Harikarishnan.	33 0 0	9 0 0	
			230 0 0	75 102 0 0

"TRUE COPY"

SR/14-12-49.

2/3 14 for Inspector

31

16

--3--

HILL ALLOWANCE FOR TEACHERS WORKING IN HILL KODAIKANAL. PALNI ETC.

Name of place.	Name of the teacher.	Salary.	Hill Allowance.	Hill allowance to be paid.
1. Poolathur. U.L	1. A. Sethupathy.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
	2. Arasanchetty.	30 0 0	9 0 0	
2. Aladipatty.	1. L.S.Sadamayathevar.	50 8 0	9 0 0	
	2. D.S. Ponniah.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
	3. A.Oyyandal	35 0 0	9 0 0	
	4. P. Rengaswami.	31 0 0	Native place.	9 0 0
	5. Henry Chinniah.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
	6. Mary Muthiah.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
	7. R.Muthulakshmy.	30 0 0	9 0 0.	
	8. K.S. Durairaj.	30 0 0	Native place	9 0 0
	9. Kandaswami, Peon.	10 0 0	2 0 0	
3. Ooralpatty. U.L	1. Subramania Manniady.	33 0 0	Native place	9 0 0
	2. Perumal.	32 0 0	-do-	9 0 0
	3. P. Shanmugham.	40 0 0	9 0 0	
	4. Paravandy.	31 0 0	9 0 0	
	5. Parameswaran.	30 0 0	Native place	9 0 0
	6. M. Sinnasivanandi.	30 0 0	9 0 0	
	7. Esther Kamalam.	30 0 0	9 0 0	
			110 0 0	45 0 0

"TRUE COPY"

3/3

for Inspector. 14/12

SR/14-12-49.

OM 14/12

20/

Thirdly as the present rate of hill allowance is inadequates, ~~and~~ it must be raised by 50%. Hence, as a first measure I request that the Government may exempt the teachers who are natives of the hills and who ~~working~~ in the District Board Schools in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills, and grant them hill allowance.

Yours faithfully,

Kamatchi

(Copy)

21

From K. P. Kamatchi chettiar M.L.A
Pannaikadu
Kodaikanal Hills

11

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education,

Government of Madras.

With regards to my letter presented to you in person in the last week of October, 1948 and the previous letters and personal representations made several times about the Hill Allowance to Local teachers under Madura Dt. Board in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills, I regret to state that no action has yet been taken to restore the Hill allowance.

For the last 2½ years I am frequently insisting upon the matter in person and through the Madura Dt. Board but the unfortunate consequences of not having restored the hill allowance to natives of hills is that some schools opened recently at K.C. patty and Poolathur in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills Madura District are closed for months together te the unwillingness of the teachers to serve in these costly hilly and unhealthy localities with their very poor pay. Some schools, such as Mangalamcombu and Pachalur are irregularly run, the caase being the same as mentioned above. And some schools such as Uralpatty, Aladipatty and Thandikudi are working always with under staff and that too, by the Local Teachers,

The Local Teachers now working in one or two schools also wish to be transfered to schools in plains, disheartened at the discontinuance of their hill allowance from August 1945.

At this rate I am afraid that the schools will be permanently closed down for no fault of the poor rate payers living in the backward villages. While I am fighting for these backward people in other fields it is really very much ~~in~~ miserable to see that the legitimate rights of poor children are in getting elementary education denied. I am at a loss to know what prevents the Government from restoring the hill allowance. Under these circumstances, I request the Government that Rule 11(a) of Madras Mannual of Special pay and allowances may be deleted to facilitate the local teachers also to get the Hill Allowance. Wishing this to be treated as an urgent and important matter.

Enclosures:-

1. Copy of my letter presented to Hon'ble Minister for education in the last week of October.

2. Letter No. 25869 B 2/47 -1 dn. dated 25th Nov. 1947 from M.V. Subramanian Esq., I. C. S. Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Yours faithfully,

Kamatchi

22

Edn. & H. Dept (B2)

No action is called for at present on the letters of Sri. K.P. Kamatchi Chettiar ~~at~~ on pp 19-23 ante. The Bd. of Rev. and the Inspector have been asked to furnish certain information on the ~~[illegible]~~ matter. Their reply is awaited. Please see the refgs on pp 17-18 ante.

26.9.49.

28/9/49

27/9/49

~~To~~

~~The Hon'ble Minister for Education,~~
~~Government of Madras.~~

~~Sir,~~

~~Sub:-~~

23

K. P. Kamatchi Chettiar, M. L. A.
PLANTER.

PANNAIKADU P. O.
KODAIKANAL TALUK.

28.9.49

I have already asked this matter to be examined. Let me see the papers
16/11

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
17 NOV 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

17 NOV 1949

89915

To
The Hon'ble Minister for Education
Govt of Madras

Sir,

I have been representing to the Hon'ble Minister for Education for the past 2 years and more that hill allowance to the local teachers of the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills must be restored if the ~~teachers~~ schools should work properly. There are only a few schools in this area and there is absolutely no difficulty in paying them hill allowance. I have ~~dealt~~ with all the points in my previous letters regarding the same. Since Education is very poor in these hill villages, teachers must be encouraged to work in the schools ~~with~~ all enthusiasm.

As the cost of living for a teacher ~~living~~ residing in the hills is just the same as that of a teacher coming from the plains, I request that orders may be issued to the District Boards to give hill allowance to the local teachers also.

Yours faithfully, Kamatchi

S10030 W4 A.T.S., MyW

G.F. 93. Education & P.H. DEPARTMENT.

(13)

REMINDER. II Education Branch.

To The Secretary, Board of Revenue,
Madras.

I ~~have the honour to invite~~ am directed to invite ~~invite~~ attention to Govt. memo

No. 21710 B3/49-5 Edn, dated 16.9.49 and

to request that the reply to it may be expedited.

~~request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—~~

~~(1) the stage at which the matter now is,~~
~~(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and~~
~~(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.~~

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
W110 70
23 NOV 1949

Office Secretariat,
Signature.
Station Fort St. George, For Asst. Secy. to Govt.
Designation.
Dated 18.11.49. 3 p.m.

SUBJECT.

Payment of unhealthy locality and hill allowances to the local Board employees and the Govt. servants working in the Kodaikanal and Palni hills, Madura Dt. -- Amendments to the H.M.S.P and allowances.

[P.T.O.

2f

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
26 NOV 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

C.F.

REPLY.

To The Secy to the Govt.

925 of E & P. H. Department.

Resubmitted.

The report called for from the Collector of Mathurai has not been received. He has promised to send his reply before 30.11.49. The Board's report will be submitted on receipt the Collector's report.

N. Subramanian
24.11.49

Addl Asst Secretary
Board of Rev: Madras

24/11

E & P.H (32)

This may lie over till 1.12.49

29.11.49. 29/11 29/11/49

---

(114) 95

C.F. 93.

Education & P. H. DEPARTMENT.

REMINDER. II Education *Branch.*

To The Inspector of Municipal councils and Local Boards, Madras.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPAL COUNCILS AND LOCAL BOARDS MADRAS

I ~~have the honour to invite~~ am directed to invite ~~invite~~ attention to Govt. memo No. 21710 B2/48-5 Edn. dated, ~~dated~~ 16.9.49 and

to request that the reply to it may be expedited

request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and

(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

Office Secretariat,

Signature,

Station Fort St. George, For Asst. Secy. to Govt.

Designation.

Dated 18.11.49. 3/18.11.

SUBJECT.

Payment of unhealthy locality and hill allowances to the local Board employees and the Govt. servants working for the Kodaikanal and Palni hills, Matter ai[illegible] – Amendment to the M.M.S.P. and allowances.

[P.T.O.

32

E&P.H (B2)

No action is called for at present on the letter of the Inspector on pp 29-31 ante. This may lie over till the receipt of the reply from the Bd. of Revenue to the memo on pp17-18, ante.

16.12.49. 16/12 16/12/49

K/33/B2

(17)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,

Subject;
PAYMENT OF UNHEALTHY LOCALITY AND HILL ALLOWANCES TO THE LOCAL BOARD EMPLOYEES AND THE GOVERNMENT SERVANTS WORKING IN THE KODAIKANAL AND PALNI HILLS, MATHURAI DISTRICT--Amendment to the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances--Report submitted.

***

L.Dis.W.8937/49.

~~Madras.~~

Dated 6th January 1950.

5 JAN 1950
1565

Sri N.C. Thomas, B.A.,
Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sri S. Ranganathan, I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

pp17-18 ante

Read: Government Memorandum No.21710-B2/49-5, Education and Public Health, Dated 16-9-1949.
From the Collector of Mathurai, L.Dis.6416-G.49 dated 20-12-1949.

L.DIS:

With reference to the Government Memorandum cited above the Board submits a copy of the Collector's letter which contains the information called for.

for Joint Secretary. 7/10

To the Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

nedr.6-1.
9/1

***

Copy of letter, L.Dis. 6416G.49 dated 20-12-1949 from the Collector of Mathurai, addressed to the Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sub: As above.

Amendment to Madras Manual of Spl. Pay and Allowances.
Board's Ref.W.8937-49-1 dated 27-9-1949.

pp17-18 ante

Particulars for the three points raised in Government Memo. 21710/B2/49.5 dated 16-9-1949 are furnished below:-

POINT I AND 3.

1 In Kodaikanal Hills there are two firkas by name Upper and Lower Palnis. The Head Quarters for Upper Palnis is Kodaikanal and for the Lower Palnis firka the village Thandigudy. All the Government Servants attached to Upper Palnis are residing at Kodaikanal Town and they are getting the Hill allowance. By the inclusion of other villages in Upper Palnis firka as expensive locality Only the teachers serving in the local boards will be benefitted. So far as Government servants are concerned no extra cost will be involved as all Government servants are residing at Kodaikanal.

2 As regards Lower Palnis, the Revenue Inspector and the Taluk Surveyor are the only two Government servants residing in the firka. They are now getting the unhealthy locality allowance. As such no extra cost will be involved so far as Government Servants are concerned by the inclusion of the other villages as unhealthy.

R/

In the villages of Adalur, Panrimalai, Thohtmalai and Manalur, there is no seprate Revenue Inspector or Taluk Surveyor or any other Government servants. The Revenue Inspector, Authur of Dindigul Taluk and Taluk Surveyor of Nilakottai Taluk will visit these villages whenever they have work in these villages. Hence no extra cost will be involved by the inclusion of these villages as unhealthy localities so far as Government servants are concerned.

p.t.o.

34

Point 2. The expenditure incurred in the payment of Hill allowance and unhealthy locality allowance is about Rs.17000/- (Seventeen thousand) per year as per statement enclosed.

/True Copy/

Other camp allowances--November 1949--Kodaikanal Taluk.
(Hill Allowance.)

7 Land Revenue - Maintenance of Municipal and Union Surveys	20--4-0
11 Registration - M. Charges.	41-11-0
25 General Administration - Taluk Establishment	143--1-0
[illegible] Administration of Justice.	9--1-0
27 Administration of Justice	23--1-0
28 Jails	4--8-0
38 Medical Muffasil Hospital and Dispensaries.	45--0-0
Do.	198--8-0
39 Public Health Mufasail ordinary area Rodalia scheme 40-8-0,	63--0-0
40 Agriculture--Demonstration and Propoganda	65-15-0
41 Veterinary	22--8-0
47 Miscellaneous	73--0-0
50 Civil works.	37--6-0
52-A other revenue expenditure	67--0-0
63 Ext. charges.	85-13-0
	940--4-0
Probable for Police and Forest Deprtment.	460--0-0
Monthly total.	1400--0-0

Annual expenditure : 1400 x 12 ; ∠ 16800 or 17000/-

/True Copy/

for Joint Secretary.

35/C

From

K.P.Kamachi Chettior, M.L.A.,
Pannaikadu,
Mathurai District.

To

The Hon'ble Minister for Education,
Government of Madras,
Madras.

MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
GOVT. OF MADRAS

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
3 MAR
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

661

Sir,

Sub:-Hill allowance-Kodaikanal taluk-native teachers in Board Schools-restoration-requested.

I wish to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration. Iam representing this very frequently for the past four years in person and in writing to you, and the authorities concerned.

The teachers employed in the District Board Schools in Kodaikanal taluk, Mathurai District were given Hill Allowance without any distinction between natives and non-natives, up to August 1945. From September 1945 the Hill Allowance has been stopped only for native teachers.

Most of the teachers from plains are unwilling to serve in the Hill Schools though they have been paid Hill Allowance. The number of native teachers is very poor and they too are unwilling to continue their service, as their pay is low and as they do not get Hill Allowance at all. As a result of the dearth of teachers, many schools are working understaff, some have been closed, and some new ones have not yet been opened for want of teachers to serve in this hilly taluk though it is many months since opening of these schools has been sanctioned.

To solve this problem to some extent, the Mathurai District ~~in 1939~~ Board persuaded me in 1939 as a member of that Board to send some local people to the training school. I agreed and did so. It is only those few teachers that are now working in the hill schools.

The aim of giving hill allowance to teachers is to enable them to meet their costly living in the hills, special dress suited to the cold climate. The cost of living of a local teacher is as high as of one coming from plains, and hence it is not justifiable to treat the local teachers in a different way.

I regret to pointout that I have not heard any thing regarding this, though it is four years since I brought this to the notice of the Government.

In the interests of the backward communities living in these backward areas which are devoid of road, bus and medical facilities, I appeal to you to kindly treat the matter as very urgent and pass orders restouring hill allowance to local teachers giving retrospective effect by deleting clause 11A in the Madras special pay and Allowance Manual.

Yours faithfully,

Kamatchi
11.2.50

G.C.P. 33.

93075
29 NOV 1949 **REPLY.**

To The ~~Asst.~~ Secretary to Government
Local Administration Department

Sir,

The particulars called for from the President Dist Board Mathurai have not yet been received. He has been specially reminded. I will submit my report to Govt on receipt of his reply the same.

22/11/49

For Inspector

21.11.49

24/11/49

L.A. Dept

E.&P.H. ..

24/11/49

24/11/49

Ed P.H. 0371
This may lie over till 25-12-49

29/

D2 16

From

SRI T. PRABHAKARA RAO NAYUDU, B.A.,
Inspector of Ml.Cls.& Local Boards, Madras. 1.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

Sir,

L.Dis.No.56620/49 dated 13--12--1949.

Sub: Allowance - Unhealthy localities and Hill Allowance - Payment to local board employees working in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills - Mathurai District - Particulars - Furnished.

Ref: Government Memorandum No.21710-B2/49-5Edn. dated 16-9-49.

15 DEC 1949
98073

pp 17-18 ante

The particulars called for in the Government Memorandum quoted above are furnished below:

1. The number of local board employees working in the villages specified in the Government Memorandum referred to above is 38 (two under the Panrimalai Panchayat Board and thirty six under the Mathurai District Board).

The Expenditure now incurred by the Mathurai District Board on the payment of allowances to the employees in the above village is Rs.2700/- and no expenditure is incurred by the Panrimalai Panchayat Board on the payment of the above allowances.

The extra cost involved if the Collector's recommendation is accepted will be Rs.1308/- per annum (i.e.Rs.1224/- in the case of the employees of the Mathurai District Board and Rs.84/- in the case of the employees of the Panrimalai Panchayat Board.

Copies of the letters of the District Panchayat Officer, Mathurai L.Dis.No.2220/49 dated 22-10-1949 and of the President, District Board, Mathurai No.10480/49 dated 23-11-1949 are also enclosed herewith.

p30 infra 1/3

SR/14-12-49.

for Inspector. 14/12

Copy of letter of the District Panchayat Officer, Mathurai L.Dis. No.2220/49 dated 22-10-1949.

Sub: Allowance - Unhealthy localities and Hill Allowance - Payment to Local Board employees working in the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills - Mathurai District - Particulars submitted.

Ref: Your R.O.C.No.56620/49 dated 26-9-1949.

Panrimalai is the only panchayat in the list of villages noted in the Government Memorandum.

Particulars regarding staff are submitted.

Name of post.	Salary.	Dearness Allowance.	Monthly extra cost involved if the Collector's recommendation is accepted.
1	2	3	4
Panchayat School Teacher.	25.	16	5
One Sweeper.	10	16	2

"TRUE COPY"

~~E&P.H.(B)~~

Edn (C)

The connected file on the subject is in circulation. This may lie over till the return of ~~the~~ the file.

6.3.50.

8/3/50

8/3

---

37 (19)

100 1
27/2

Edn. H. Deptt (B2)

Memo No. 21710 B2/49-7 Edn. dated 27.2.50

Sub:- Payment of unhealthy locality allowance to the local Bd. employees ~~working~~ in Panrimalai village, ~~Mathurai~~ in Kodaikanal and Palni hills, Mathurai Dt.

1 T on p150 of

To
The D.P.H.,
Madras.

It has been suggested that Panrimalai, a ~~village~~ in ~~Kodaikanal and~~ the Palni hills, Mathurai Dt., ~~may~~ should be declared as an unhealthy locality as it is highly malarial, being situated contiguous to ~~the~~ the villages of Lower Palnis firka in the malarial ~~z~~ zone. The D.P.H. is requested to report whether this village is really a malarial place and if so, ~~whether~~ it may be declared as an unhealthy locality.

25/2

25.2.50

25/2.

27/2

Two drafts

(20) I Draft 39

Education Department (C).

Sub: Allowances - Unhealthy locality and Hill allowances - Classification of localities in the Kodaikanal and Palni hills, Mathurai Dt. - Orders passed.

Ref: From the Collector of Mathurai, No. 5398-G/49, dated 22-6-49.
From the Inspector of Ml. Cls. and Local Bds. No. 56620/49, dated 13-12-49
From the Bd. of Rev. No. 8937 [illegible], dated 6-1-50.

----

pp15-16c-f
p29c-f
pp33-34c-f
3

31-32
6/4

ORDER MS. NO. 1106 EDN. DATED 6-4-50

In supersession of the previous orders, on the subject the Government direct that the villages noted below, in the Kodaikanal and Palni hills of the Mathurai Dt. be classified, as follows, for purposes of compensatory allowances:-

UNHEALTHY LOCALITIES.

para 1, p15c-f

(1) Poolathur
(2) Aladipatti
(3) Uralipatti

Pannaikadu hamlets

(4) Thandigudi
(5) Manglacombu

para 5, p16c-f

(6) Kilakkuchettipatti
(7) Periyur
(8) Pachalur
(9) Adalur
(10) Thonimalai
(11) Manalur

para 2, p15c-f

pannaimalai

EXPENSIVE LOCALITIES.

(1) Kodaikanal Municipality.
(2) Mannuvenur
(3) Poombarai
(4) Koonal
(5) Vilpatti
(6) Adukkan
(7) Vellagavi
(8) Poondi

2. The Govt. direct that the teachers working in Board Ele. schools in the localities mentioned above be granted the compensatory allowances, according to the nature of the localities, at the rates admissible under the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances. Teachers who are either natives of the unhealthy localities or are domiciled therein will not be eligible for the compensatory allowances. The expenditure involved on the grant of compensatory allowances should be met from the Ele. Edn. Fund of the Dt. Bd.

Vide orders — p.9 c-f
X

P.t.o.

~~410~~

3. Separate orders will be issued on the question whether Panrimalai village should be declared as an unhealthy locality.

3/31.3.50 RM 1.4.50

NM 4/4/50

p37c.f

Despatched Von 11/4/50

To

The Collector, Mathurai
The Pt. Dt. Bd.,
Mathurai.
The Inspector of Ml.
Cls. & L. Bds.
The Bd. of Rev.
The D.P.I.
The Examr. L.F. Accts.
" ~~Finance~~ Deptt.
" ~~Inspr. of L.F.O~~ L.F.Accts.

P.O. for draft !!

II Draft

(2)

~~41~~

Letter No. 21710 B2/49-8 Edn dated 5.4.50 Edn (C)

To

Sri. K. P. Kamatchi Chettiar, M.L.A.,
Pannaikadu
Kodaikanal Taluk,
Mathurai Dt.
(with copy of G.O. 8-vi-II)

5/5 with Encl. Von 11/4

Sir,

Sub:- Allowances - Unhealthy locality and Hill allowances - Classification of localities in the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills Mathurai Dt.

Ref:- your letter dated ~~11.?.50~~.

p35c.f

With ref. to your letter cited above, I am directed to ~~forward~~ enclose ~~herewith~~ a copy of the G.O.Ms. No. Edn dated ~~passed on the above subject~~, ~~for your information~~ issued in the matter.

(G.O. No. & date of draft I)

Dates to be filled in before issue.

Yours ~~faithfully~~,

3/31.3. RM 1.4.50

NM 4/4/50

42

40

L.Dis.No.15771-3MAL(2)/50 Office of the Director of Public Health,
Madras, Dated: 3rd May, 1950

From
The Director of Public Health,
MADRAS.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Health Department,
MADRAS.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
4 MAY 1950
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Sub: Payment of unhealthy Locality Allowance to the Local Board Employees working Panrimalai village in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills (Mathurai District)

Ref: Government Memo No.21710-B2/49-7 Edn. dated 27-2-50

11044
below

I forward herewith a copy of letter R.No.548/50 dated 16-4-1950 of the Regional Malariologist, Pattukottai and in the circumstances stated by him, ~~and~~ I recommend that the Village "PANRIMALAI" in the Lower Palani Hills (Mathurai District) may be declared as "UNHEALTHY" for a period upto 31-3-1952, after which the position can be reviewed.

Yours faithfully,
K. S. Viswanathan 3/5
for Director of Public Health, Madras.

Copy of R.No.548/50 dated 16-4-50 dated 16-4-50 from Dr. N. Rajagopalan, M.B.B.S., B.S.Sc., Regional Malariologist, Pattukottai to the Director of Public Health, Madras.

--

Sub: Unhealthy locality allowance to the Local Board employees working in Panrimalai village in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills - Mathurai District.

--

Ref: Your R.No.15771-Mal/50 dated 6-3-50

--

8
4/3/50

P.T.O.

to the employees of the Panchayat

C&R

C

18/5

22/5/50

Note Finance may see with ref. to their notes at X on p 24 n.f. (T). Please see also p 29 of (T). No extra expenditure is involved (by the grant of the allowance to regularising) to Panchayat employees.

18.5

18/5

22/5/50 Fin. Ed.

Fin (CM)

Edn U.O. 11546 C/50-1

23.5.50

May be perused and returned to Education. U.O.

25/5/50

MRD 24.5.50

Issue

26/5/50

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
37871
2 MAY 1950
C&R
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
26 MAY 1950
12960
EDUCATION

Finance U.O. 37871 C&R 50-1

25.5.50

~~47~~ 28 289

Health, Education and Local Administration Department

Memorandum No. 64520 E2/57--4, Education, dated 19th December '57

Sub: ALLOWANCES - Unhealthy locality and Hill allowances certain areas - deleted -

Ref: G.O.No. 1106, Education, dated 6-4-1950
From the Collector of Madurai, L.Dis.26498/57-C
dated 24-9-1957.
From the Inspector of Municipal Councils and
Local Boards, L.Dis.42656/57 dated 12-11-57.

In partial modification of the orders in the G.O. cited the Government direct that the lower Palni area in the Palni Hills in Kodaikanal Taluk, Madurai District be deleted from the list of unhealthy localities for purposes of compensatory allowances with effect from 1st January 1958. A list of villages in the lower Palni area is appended. The special pay for unhealthy localities if any paid to elementary school teachers in this area will be discontinued with effect from 1st January 1958.

V. KANNAIYAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government

To
The Collector of Madurai.
The Special Officer, Madurai District Board.
The Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Madras.
The Board of Revenue, Madras.
The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.6.
The Examinerof Local Fund Accounts, Madras.
The Finance Department.

Forwarded--By order

kr.23.12. SUPERINTENDENT.

APPENDIX

1. Poolathur.
2. Alagipatti.
3. Uralipatti
4. Thandikudi
5. Mangalakombu or Kamanur
6. Kilakku chettipatti
7. Periyur
8. Pachalur.

(1) Special pay for service in unhealthy localities or

(2) Special compensatory allowance for meeting the increased cost of living.

Rule 10 on p 4 of BK no 116

Rules 15 & 16 on p 10-11 BK 116

(1) above: The special pay referred to in item (1) above is granted to those employees who serve in the localities recognised as unhealthy with reference to rule 10 of the rules in the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances. This special pay is not admissible to the natives of or to those domiciled in the locality concerned apparently for the reason that they will be accustomed to the conditions of the locality. In the case of others the allowance is to serve as an inducement to them to accept appointment in unhealthy localities.

p 4 of BK 116

Rule 11 on p 5 of BK 116

(2) above: Special compensatory allowance is granted to the non-gazetted Govt. servants and to employees of local bodies residing in Hill stations (with reference to rules 15 and 16 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowance Rules) which have

Rules 15 & 16 pp 10-11 of BK no 116

---

been recognised by Government as expensive. The allowance is admissible to all employees without exception.

In 1944 an increase of 50% in the rate of Hill Allowance was sanctioned. The concession was in force till 31-3-1948 only.

(a) p 17 CF

2. In G.O. No.647, Edn. dated 25-4-45 Government approved as a special case the payment of Special and compensatory allowance referred to above to the teachers employed in the ~~Board~~ schools under Madura Dt. Board in the following villages:-

(1) Aladipatti, (2) Uralpatti, (3) Poolathur, (4) Pachalur

(5) Mangalacombu. (Special allowance to be sanctioned under Rules 11 and 12 of the Madras Manual of Spl. Pay & Allowances).

ibid

pp 5-6 of BK 116

(1) Mannavanoor, (2) Poombarai, (3) Sirumalai Palayur,

(4) Sirumalai Pudur, (5) Adalur . (Compensatory allowance to be given under Rule 16 of the Madras Manual of Spl.Pay & Allowances).

ibid

p 11 of BK 116

The Dt. Bd. Madura, sanctioned the payment of the allowance to the teachers employed in these areas at the rate mentioned in its resolution No.10(U) dated 6-6-1945. Subsequently in his letter at page 25 c.f. of (A) the P.D.B. came to Govt. for waiving the recovery of the Spl. allowance for unhealthy localities paid to certain teachers who were natives of certain unhealthy localities, viz., Uralpatti. In that connection he pointed out that the villages, Aladipatti, Uralpatti, Poolathur, Mangalacombu and Pachalur, are not only unhealthy localities but also expensive areas. The D.P.I. while recommending the waiver of the irregular payment, made the observation that in the interest of the schools on the hills and in order to attract more teachers to those areas, it was desirable that an exception should be made on behalf of the teachers, who might happen to be natives or domiciled, of the localities concerned. The Govt. while waiving the recovery of the allowances paid in contravention of G.O. 647, Edn. dated 25-4-45, ordered that "the grant of the Compensatory allowances should be regulated in accordance with the provisions of the Manual of Spl. Pay and allowances and that any deviation from the rules would not be permitted."

p 29 of (A)

p 27 of (A)

ibid

Para 8 p 26 of (A)

p 33 of (A)

p 35 of (A)

p 17 of (A)

p 36 of (A)

3. Subsequently with reference to the representation of Sri Kamatchi, M.L.A., regarding the non-payment of allowances to the residents of localities, the ~~payment of the hill allowance~~ matter was examined. It was held that Kodaikanal is not an unhealthy locality but a hill station and that under rules 15 and 16 of the Madras Manual of Spl. Pay and allowances, all teachers in the place are eligible for the hill allowance irrespective of the fact whether they are natives of the locality or not. The D.P.I's attention was drawn to this provision and he was asked to issue instructions to local bodies concerned that hill allowance should be restored to the teachers who were denied of it till then. The D.P.I. in reply reported that all the teachers employed in the following villages, except one teacher in Mannavanoor, were being paid the allowance for expensive localities under rule 16 of the Manual of Spl. Pay and allowances and that the particular teacher (a native of Mannavanoor) would also be paid the allowance from 1-5-47, the month in which the Spl. Officer received the communication for payment of the hill allowance to all teachers irrespective of the fact whether they were natives of the locality or not.

(1) Mannavanoor ~~xx~~ and (2) Poombarai in Palni Hills.

(2) Sirumalai Pudur and (4) Sirumalai Palayur in Sirumalai Hills.

(5) Adalur in Kannivadi Hills.

On the strength of this report, the M.L.A. was informed that instructions were issued for the grant of hill allowance to teachers in elementary schools in the Kodaikanal and the Palni Hills even though they might be natives of the localities.

The M.L.A. now represents that hill allowance is not being paid to teachers in the following villages:

Aladipatty, Uralpatty, Poolathur, Pachalur, Mangalamcombu, Poombarai, Adalur, etc.

This does not seem to be correct. According to the D.P.I's report, it would appear that teachers in villages of Mannavanoor, Poombarai, Sirumalai Palayur, Sirumalai Pudur and Adalur classified as expensive localities, are being paid hill allowance under rule 16 of the Madras Manual of Spl. Pay &

p37-38 y (a)

p58 (a)

pp39-40 y (a) II

p53 y

p54 y (a)

p7 Z

pp53-54 y (a)

p11 y Bk 116

27

X

allowances ~~Rules~~ and that teachers in the ~~following~~ villages Aladipatti, Uralpatti, Poolathur, Pachalur and Mangalacombu, classified as unhealthy localities for purposes of payment of allowance under rule 11 and 12 of the Madras Manual of Spl. Pay and Allowances are not getting the compensatory hill allowance. The point for consideration is whether these villages also should be characterised as expensive localities or hill stations for purpose of payment of the Compensatory allowance under rules 15 and 16 of the Madras Manual of Spl. Pay and Allowances. It is observed that all these villages are situated in the Kodaikanal taluk. Finance may be requested to state how the villages have been classified and how the Govt. servants are remunerated there - whether they are eligible for special allowance for unhealthy localities admissible under rules 11 and 12 of the Manual of Spl. Pay & Allowances or for compensatory allowance for expensive localities or hill stations admissible under rules 15, and 16 of the Manual of Spl. Pay and allowances.

p17 (a) & 5-6 y Bk 116

pp10-11 y Bk 116

H p2 y (a)

H 5-6 y Bk 116

p10-11 y Bk 116

15-4-48.

16/4/48

19/4/48

M. E. I.

A.P.H.O.O. 21710 B3/48-1
20.4.48

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
20 APR 1948
30716
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

With reference to the points raised ~~by~~ by E & P.H. at X above, it is submitted that the places referred to at X above have already been classified as unhealthy localities ~~allowance~~. With reference to rule 11 of manual of special pay and allowances, natives are not eligible for the allowance. The intention of E & P.H. is apparently to ~~convert~~ treat these places as a hill stations so that the natives may get some allowance (Hill). It is submitted that when ~~one pla~~ a particular place is classified as unhealthy locality, there is no case to

Cf Z on p4 above

~~4~~ 6

classify the particular locality again as a hill station. The places referred to at X in p. 3 above may continue to remain an unhealthy locality. area, and this Dept. may advise accordingly

24/4/48

24.4.48

Thro ~~[illegible]~~ C.S.R.

26/4/48

Please see the Collector of Madura's letter, copy at p1 c.f. of ②. These places are not in the hill station of Kodaikanal but in the Lower Palni hills. The locality is unhealthy and malarious and the allowances are given accordingly. There is no need to grant hill allowance. Poombarai and Mannavanur on the other hand are on the upper plateau.

The Collector of Madura may be consulted again if any change is pressed for, but Finance would advise that no change is necessary.

Finance Dept. would also advise against any exception being made to the rule that natives of the area are not entitled to any allowance for the unhealthiness of the locality.

A

27/4/48

[Minutes and Notes from page 1 ante]

E-P.H. Dept. / B2

Fin. U.O. 3071 GE 1-1

27.4.48

The file may now be circulated to H.M. (Edn) w.r.t his minutes at page 1 ante.

29.4.48

1/5/48

1/5/48

H.M. (Gov.)

The villages on the hills may be given the hill allowances. If the information is not readily available, the Collector

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT. 29 APR 1948

31430

1/5

㉘ 7 ~~6~~

may be asked to say as to which of the villages are on the hills.

ISA

9.5.48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT. 10 MAY 1948 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft memo to the Collector of Madura is submitted for approval.

B/ 13.5.

14/5/48

13/5/48

p 3 CF

[Memo No. 21760 B2 48-1 Edn. d. 18.5.48]

B2

Secy.,E.& P.H.

Please see herewith a representation from Sri K.P. Kamakchi Chettiar,M.L.A. The matter may be examined and ~~[illegible]~~ put up.

ISA 28.10.48

H.M.Education.

pp 7-8 CF

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT. 29 OCT

The M.L.A. sent a similar representation in February last. Please see the notes on pages 1-6, ante ~~and~~ the orders in circulation on pp 6-7 and the memo on page 3CF. H.M. (Edn) may see

10/11/48 S 11/11/48 H.M. (Gov.)

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT. 6 NOV GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

22/11

A

The reply of the Collector ~~[illegible]~~ when received may be put up

ISA

15-11-48

8

From the Collector of Madura, No.8952-G/48, dated 23-12-1948.

This file deals with the grant of ~~payment of~~ hill and compensatory allowances to teachers in board ele. schools in the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills. Under the Madras Manual of Special Pay and allowances teachers are eligible for the following allowances:-

(1) If they are stationed in any expensive locality they will be eligible for hill allowance (Rules 15 and 16).

(2) If they are stationed in unhealthy localities they will be eligible for compensatory allowance. (Rules 16 and 18).

While the allowance payable under (1) is applicable to all, the allowance payable under (2) is given only to those who are not domiciled in the locality. Sri K.P.Kamakshi Chettiar M.L.A. raises the question of payment of hill allowance to teachers in Kodaikanal and Palni Hills. In his letter no distinction is made between the compensatory allowance and the hill allowance. The M.L.A. seems to urge that compensatory allowance should be granted to all teachers including those who are natives of the locality. Under H.M.'s orders the Collector of Madura was asked to report the names of the several villages in the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills ~~and~~ that may be declared as unhealthy localities. His reply is on page 9 c.f.

p. 9 c.f

For orders whether ~~thexixtkxxxf~~ the ~~Collector~~ Spl. Officer Dt. Bd may be asked to grant unhealthy locality allowance to the employees stationed in the 11 villages referred to in the Collector's letter, if such an allowance is not being paid to these employees so far, the allowance being granted only to members who are not natives of the locality. (Please see Finance Deptt. note on p 6 ante)

X

The Finance Department may see for remarks before circulation.

25/1/49

E&P.H. v.o. 2171 B3/48-3
25.1.49

17.1.49

17/1/49

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO ... FINANCE DEPARTMENT
4811
26 JAN 1949
27.1.49

The notes on p.8 above may be perused. The proposal is to declare certain villages in the Kodaikanal and Palni hills as unhealthy localities and to ask the Special Officer, District Board, Madura, to grant unhealthy localities special pay to the teachers stationed in those villages ~~and~~ who are not natives of the locality. The Director of Public Health has not been consulted in the matter and Finance may suggest that the remarks of the D.P.H. may be invited in the first instance before the matter is further pursued.

X

KPS
31.1.49

31.1.49

EPH

X may be done if E & PH dept. wish, but this dept is prepared to accept the Collector's proposal. He has presumably made proper inquiries.

B

Finance Dept is opposed to any exceptions being made (as suggested by the M.L.A.) to the long standing rule that natives of the locality are not entitled to unhealthy localities special pay.

Hill allowance, which is compensation for high cost of living, should not be given for any villages not on the plateau on which Kodaikanal stands. Warm clothing is not required in the villages on the lower slopes, and living there is in fact cheaper.

1/2/49

E-PH.

Fin. no 4811 CSR-1
1.2.49

7321

[Notes from p 8 ante] E&P.H (B3)

Please see the notes of Finance above. In the circumstances stated at X above, it is for orders whether the D.P.H should be consulted on the question of declaring the 11 villages, mentioned in the collector's letter on p 9 c.f, as unhealthy localities or as ~~proposed~~ stated by the Finance deputy secretary, the collr's proposal may be accepted. In the former case, the draft memo below is for approval. It does not appear that the S.P.H. should be consulted. The file may be circulated to H.M. (Edn) for orders on the proposal at 'X' on page 8 ante.

2.2.49.
Approved

3/2/49

4/2/49

H.M. (Edn.)

Draft
8/2/49

May be done to all the teachers.

6.2.49

/A

SECRETARIAT, GEN. AND P.H. DEPT.
8 FEB 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

p 11 CF

B

Secretary, E.& P.H.

Please see herewith a repreentation from Sri K.P.Kamtchi Chettiar,M.L.A. He has mentioned that the villages noted in para 2 of his letter are all hill villages and so, are entitled to the hill allowance. This may be considered along with the old file and put up. If they are places in the hills, I think they are entitled to the hill allowance. The matter may be examined and put up.

H.M.Education.

6.2.49

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
12 FEB 1949
9027

Please see the notes of this department on pp 9-10 above and the orders in circulation above. Attention is also invited to a further communication received from Sri. K. P. Kamatchi chettiar on p 11 c.f and the note of H.M. (Edn) above.

2. With

'A' on page 10 ante

2. With reference to 'X' on page 8 ante, H.M. has ordered that the unhealthy localities special pay may be granted to all the teachers, that is, irrespective of whether they are natives of the villages concerned or not. This will mean the relaxation of rule 11 of the ~~[illegible]~~ Special Pay Rules, which has not been agreed to in Finance. If, they H.M's orders are to be carried out, the file should be circulated to H.M. (Fin.) also.

page 9 ante

M

3. Sri Kamatchi Chettiar in para. 2 on p. 11 cf. mentions the following villages:-

(i) K.C. Patty (ii) Poolathur, (iii) Mangalamcombu,
(iv) Pachalur, (v) Iralpatti, (vi) Aladipatty and (vii) Thandikudi

× K.C.Pattichettipatti

He says that these are (hill) villages lying on the hills and eligible for the grant of hill allowance. H.M. wants this to be considered. It may be pointed out that items (i), (ii), (iv) and (vii) above are given by the Collr on page 9 cf. as unhealthy localities and items (iii), (v) and (vi) have already been recognised as unhealthy localities in G.O. No. 647, Edn., dated 25. 4. 1945 (in which items (ii) and (iv) are also mentioned.). So, none of the villages mentioned by Sri Kamatchi Chettiar will be eligible for hill allowance.

'B' at p. 10 ante

page 17 cf. of (a)

4. It may, in this connection, be pointed out that on a comparison of the lists in the G.O. at page 17 cf. of (a) and the Collr's. list at page 9 cf., the following contradictions are noticed.

(i) In the list at p. 17 cf. of (a), Aladipatti, Iralpatti and Mangalamcombu have been declared as unhealthy localities whereas they are not found in the Collr's list at p. 9 cf.

(ii) Adalur has been specified by the Collr. as an unhealthy locality whereas it has been declared as expensive locality in the G.O.

page 9 cf

page 17 cf of (a)

It seems necessary to consult the Collr. further on these contradictions. It may perhaps be better even to forward copies of Sri Kamatchi Chettiar's representations at pages 37-38 of (a) and pages 1-2, 7-8 and 11 cf. to the Collr. and

to ask

B2

to ask him definitely as to which of the villages ~~sh~~ should be declared as expensive and which of them as unhealthy, so that decisive and final orders may be passed in the matter. Subject to orders, Finance may see before circulation.

A

Sd
16/2/49

BM
17/2/49 S

Aw wip sepa. 6 the minutes of H.(M) at p. 10 ante, public notes will be taken on this first stage in respect of this unhealthy ~~[illegible]~~ locality, the compensatory allowance [illegible] may be paid to teachers who are natives

Sd
Thiru [illegible]

P

Finance E I.

Previous Finance note on p. 9 ante and the further notes from p. 11 ante may be perused. In the circumstances stated on pp 11-12 ante, the proposal of E&PH at A above may be agreed to. ~~The following remarks seem called for.~~

E&P. H. U.O. 21710 B2/48-4

Dp(1)
18/2/49

Sd
19. 2. 49.
25.2.49

(a) ~~It would also seem~~ desirable to consult the D.P.H. whether the ~~proposed list containing the~~ places ~~revised list to be declared~~ places to be recommended by the Collector in the revised list, can be declared ~~as unhealthy localities.~~

~~(b) It may also be made~~ clear that the natives will not be eligible for the unhealthy locality allowance. Unhealthy locality allowance is a special pay but not a compensatory allowance. Giving ~~all~~ this special pay to natives is against the rules – cf rule 11 (a) of Part I, Vol I of the Madras Special pay and allowances

Cf P in E&PH
See my note above

~~Hill~~ allowance which is a compensatory allowance will be admissible to natives but not the unhealthy localities special pay – see previous Fin note but p 9.

Sd
23/2/49

Sd
23.2.49

Sd
25.2.49
D.S.

MR
26/2/49

Sd
28.2.49
Jt. Secy. CSR.

29/2/49

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
11185
19 FEB 1949

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH
26
15339

E&PH

A
approved

E&P. H. (B2)

Please see the notes of Finance above. A draft memo to the Collector of Madura with ref to A above

Fin. U.O.
11185 E1/49-1
26/2/49

(M)

is submitted below for approval. Finance still ~~persist in~~ adhere to their view that the unhealthy locality allowance should not be paid to the ~~[illegible]~~ natives of the localities as this is against ~~the~~ rule 11 of the Special Pay Rules. But H.M.(F) has ordered in his minutes on p 10 ante that the allowance in question may be given to the ~~natives~~ natives of the localities also. Orders on this point may be taken up in circulation to H.M. (Fin) ~~as~~ as suggested already at H on p11 ante after the receipt of the Collector's reply to the Govt. memo submitted below for approval.

Sd
8.3.49

BM
9/3/49 S

Sd
TM

10 (12)

p15 c.f

In this file two questions are raised at the instance of the M.L.A., K.P.Kamatchi Chettiar –

(1) Grant of unhealthy locality allowance to teachers in Board schools situated in some parts of the Kodaikanal and the Palni hills;

(2) Grant of hill allowance (expensive locality allowance) to the Board ele. school teachers in certain parts of the Kodai and the Palni hills.

(1) above:- The Collector of Madura has listed out villages in the Kodai and the Palni hills which can be treated as unhealthy localities. There are 11 villages, 7 in the Kodaikanal Hills and 4 in the Palni Hills as detailed below:-

Kodaikanal Hills

1. Poolathur
2. Aladipatti & Hamlets of Uralipatti & Pannaikadi
3. Thandigudi
4. Mangalakombu h/o Kamanur
5. Kilakkuchettipatti
6. Periyur
7. Pachalur

Palni Hills

1. Adalur
2. Panrimalai
3. Thonimalai
4. Manalur.

Out of these villages only the village Thandigudi has been declared as a unhealthy locality in the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances. Orders have already been issued authorising the grant of unhealthy locality allowance only to teachers in board ele. schools in some ⊗ of the villages referred to above. The question now for consideration is (i) whether all the villages reported by the Collector may be declared as unhealthy localities and the names included in the Manual of Special Pay and Allowances. (If so, all employees of Govt. and local bodies working in these localities will be eligible for the unhealthy locality allowance); ~~or~~ or

PM c. 7 (a)

No. 1, 2, 4 and 7 are in Kodaikanal hills

(ii) whether it is sufficient if the teachers alone working in board ele. schools in these localities ~~may be~~ are permitted to receive unhealthy locality allowance.

The M.L.A. stresses the need for the grant of unhealthy locality allowance also to employees who are natives of these localities or are domiciled therein. This is opposed to rules 11 and 12 of the Manual of Special Pay and Allowances. The Collector's opinion is that the suggestion of the M.L.A. is reasonable and that the concession allowed to non-natives may be extended to the natives of the localities also. For orders whether this deviation should be permitted.

p 2 c-f

para 11, p 15 c-f

(2) above:- The Collector reports that the following villages in the upper Palni may be declared as expensive localities in addition to Kodaikanal ~~besides the Kodikanal hills~~:-

paras 5, p 16 c-f

Mannuvenor
Poombarai
⊗ Koonal
Vilpatti
Adukkan
Vellagavi
Poondi

⊗ Of these some have been declared as expensive localities in respect of teachers alone
p 17 (a) f.

Here also the question ~~as to~~ arises whether these villages should be included in the ~~xxxt~~ Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances or whether it is sufficient if the teachers alone are given the benefit of the expensive locality allowance. The ~~pxp~~ proper course seems to be to amend the Madras Manual of Special Pay and allowances.

2. Finance Dept. may see for remarks before circulation.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
44472
7 - JUL 1949
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

3/5.7.49 a/5/7/49

BM 6/7/49

S 6/7 Fin

E&P.H. u.o. 21710 B3/48-6
6.7.49

B1

Finance (E.I.) Dept

Previous Finance note on p.13 above and the typed note from p.13 above may be perused.

p 14 above

2. The case has arisen in respect of the grant of unhealthy locality special pay and Hill ~~these~~ allowances to teachers employed by the local bodies in certain villages in the Madura Dt. E.&.P.H. propose to amend the rules appertaining to the grant of special pay for unhealthy localities and ~~their~~ hill allowance, in the Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances, Vo.I.

3. The financial implications of the proposals are not quite clear. Though there may not be many Govt. servants ~~situate~~ in these villages, it is possible that there may be other local bodies employees to whom the concessions in this regard may have to be extended. Before ~~the~~ necessary amendments are issued, E&PH may be requested to obtain the remarks of L.A. and Revenue (who may be requested to consult the Board of Revenue also) ~~may be obtained~~.

A

On receipt of their remarks together with a statement of extra cost involved necessary financial procedure ~~may~~ will be indicated by this Dept.

MC 13.7.49 . 13/7/49 13.7.49

14.7.49 P.S.

Thro C&R

14/7/49
E&P.H.

54125

Fin no 44472 E1/49-1
14.7.49

E&P.H (B3)

Please see the notes of Finance above. The file may be sent to L.A and Rev for remarks and further action as suggested by Finance.

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
31239
18 JUL 1949
SECRETARIAT, MADRAS

MR 20/7

3/15.7.49. a/15/7/49

BM 16/7/49

LA
Revenue

E&P. H. u.o. 21710 B3/48-3
18.7.49

L.A. dept (C)

The question ~~has~~ as stated in the E&PH ~~dept note~~ ~~on p 13-14 above~~ the file is sent ~~to other dept~~ ~~with reference~~ notes of the Finance dept. at above. There may not be many employees of local bodies in the villages ~~referred~~ ~~given~~ to in the notes

should be issued from these ~~resp respective~~. Depts. ~~I ha~~ for orders /P.
whether the file may accordingly be returned to L.A and Rev for necessary action through the Finance dept.

3/16.8.49.
16/8/49
DSP 16/8/49 S
16/8 L.A Rev

Fin
L A
Rev

E&P.H. U.O. 21710 B3/49-4

Finance Dt.

Previous Finance note on p. 15 above and the notes following may be perused, and the case passed on to L.A. Dept. ~~[illegible]~~

19/8/49 19.8.49

22/8/49
L.A.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
53577
17 AUG 1949
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Fin No 53577 Dt/49-1
22-8-49

L.A. Dept.

(Previous notes of this Dept will be found at pp 15-16 ante)
So far as the employees of local bodies, besides teachers, are concerned it seems unnecessary to take action in this Dept. Both the E&PH and this Dept deal with the ~~staff~~ employees of local bodies. If E&PH have no objection they may be requested to issue a reference to the Inspector. On receipt of his reply the ~~whole~~ question may be considered further ~~[illegible]~~

The file may be passed on to Rev.

26.8.49. Please see Sectt Instruction 62-A. So it is the originating Depts that

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
36714
22 AUG 1949
49/2318
SECRETARIAT, MADRAS

M

M should issue the refce. The file may be returned to E&PH. through Rev.

28-8-49 Secy

F
30/8

pp 30/8

Rev.

E&PH

LA No 36714 G-49-1
30.8.49.

A
318

52218A
31
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

TO AS Rev(A)

Please see the previous note of this Dept and the remarks of E&PH & LA at pp16-19 ~~ante~~ above.

With ref to 'P' on pp 17-18 ante, it is submitted that as stated by L.A. ~~[illegible]~~, the originating Dept viz. E&PH ~~should~~ issue the reference to the Bd of Rev in this case ~~vide~~ Instruction 62-A of the Secretariat Instructions. ~~This Dept may therefore suggest that E&PH may~~ should issue ~~a reference to the Bd of Rev~~ in the matter. ~~and on receipt of its reply, the question may be considered in this Dept~~. With these remarks, the file may be ~~returned~~ passed on to the E&PH. Dept.

Mar 1/9/49 above

Extract (1)

31/8/49
1/9/49

6/9/49 E&PH.

E&P.H.

Rev No 52218 A/49-1
3-9-49

69257
9 - SEP 1949

E&P.H. dept

Please see the notes of L.A and Rev in pp 15-19 above. In the circumstances, it is for orders whether the references in question should be ~~issued~~ made from this dept. If so, the draft ~~memoranda~~ memo below ~~are~~ is for approval.

3/14.9.49.
14/9/49
DSP 15/9/49 S
16/9

20

With reference to the minutes of H.M. (Edn) on p23 c.f., the file may be submitted to him for perusal. [The reply to the references made to the Board of Revenue & the Inspector of M.C.s & Local Boards is awaited. They have been reminded.)

pp 17-18 CF

3
18.11.49.

18/11/49

20/11/49 S

21/XI

H.M. (E & L)

21/11

22 NOV 1949

Issued as memo No. 21710-B2/49-5 Edn. dated 16.9.49. pp 17-18 c.f.

Ref:- From the Inspector of M.C.s. Local Bds. No. 56620/49 dated 13.12.49. pp 29-31 c.f.

From the Bd. of Rev. No. W- 8937/49 dated 6.1.50. pp 33-34 c.f.

From the Inspector's letter, it is seen, that there are 38 employees of Local bodies in the localities to be declared either expensive or unhealthy. These are:- pp 29-31 c.f.

(1) 36 teachers under Dt.Bd. Mathurai.

(2) 1 teacher and a sweeper under the Panchayat Bd. Panrimalai.

There are no other employees in the localities concerned.

2. As regards Govt. servants in the locality~~y~~ies, the ~~Bd.of.Revenue~~ Collector has reported, that all the employees of Govt. are at present stationed either at Kodaikanal or Thandigudy. The former is an expensive locality while the latter is an unhealthy one. pp 33-34 c.f.

Anyhow all the Govt. servants, in ~~this~~ these two localities are getting the compensatory allowance. The ~~Collector~~ Bd. of Rev. has reported, that no extra cost will be involved to Govt. if some more villages are declared as expensive or unhealthy for purposes of compensatory allowances as indicated in the ~~Govt.~~ Memo on p. 17cf. pp 33-34 c.f.

3. The ~~Inspector~~ Govt., therefore, ~~resolves~~ reduces itself to the payment of compensatory allowance to the local bd. employees alone. Of the 36 teachers under Dt.Bd. Mathurai, 27 are getting the allowance to them. The remaining 9 teachers ~~working~~ in the unhealthy localit~~y~~ies ~~were~~ are not given the allowance as they are natives of the localit~~y~~ies. The question for consideration is, whether ~~this~~ these 9 teachers should be granted the unhealthy locality allowance, in contravention of Rules 11 and 12 of the Madras Manual of Spl.Pay and allowances. The extra cost is Rs 900* and will have to be met from the E.E. Fund. pp 30-31 c.f.

A

* recurring per annum

The two employees of the Panrimalai Panchayat Bd. are not at present granted the unhealthy locality allowance.

For orders, whether the Inspector~~s~~ should be asked, to direct the Panchayat Board to grant the allowance to these two employees. The extra cost involved will be Rs 84 a year.

C

4. The file may be sent to L.A., Rev., Fin. for remarks w.r.t. their notes on pp 15-17 ante before circulation.

3
18.1.50

18/1/50

18/1/50 S

19

L.A.
Rev
Fin

20.1.50

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
2389
19 JAN 1950
SECRETARIAT, MADRAS

Exp. M.O.D. 21710 B2/49-6
19.1.50.

L.A. Dept.

Please see the previous notes of this Dept at pages 15-16 and 18-19 ante.

~~A above~~.

This Dept may concur in the view expressed by the Fin Dept at B on page 9 ante and advise that the special pay for service in unhealthy localities should not be granted to the subordinates referred to at "A" and "C" above, if they are natives of or are domiciled in the locality concerned.

rule 11 of Madras Manual Spl. pay and...

6.2.50

Anno 2389/50
4.2.50

The file may be passed on to Rev

Secy

7658 A

6.2.50

be for the person who works there, whatsoever his nativity may be.

KM

This is an old rule and need not be changed for the present.

HM (LA)
HM (Fin)

R.G.A.
6.3

The file may be recirculated to ~~HM~~ (E&L) + ~~HM~~ (LA).

2
8/3.

AM
7/3/50

7.3.50.

I understand that this applies to only about 50 persons who are teachers mainly. There were perhaps none when the rule was framed & so that should not be the ground to reconsider this

H.M. (E. + L.)
H.M. (L.A.).

KM
8/3

10/3

Presumably the intention

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
MAR 1950
SECRETARY

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
1 MAR 1950
SECRETARY

DEPARTMENT
8 - MAR
SECRETARY

2/7/10

of H.M. is that the present position should be changed the file may be recirculated to H.M. (Fin).

3
11/3.

2
10/3

AM
10/3/50

10.3.50

H.M. (Fin)

I don't think there was no teacher when the rule was made.

I do not see the point when a teacher from an unhealthy locality goes to another unhealthy locality and claiming unhealthy locality allowance.

There is nothing unjust in the present rule.

R.G.A.
15.3

The file may be recirculated to H.M. (E&L) and H.M. (L.A.)

3
16.3.50

2
16/3

AM
16/3/50

16/3

H.M. (E.+L.)

This is a matter about which Mr. A Kamatchi Chettiyar has been bitterly complaining. I will ask him to explain matters to HM (Fin) & leave it at that.

KM
17/3

H.M. (L.A.).

22/3

1
23/3.

The file may be recirculated to H.M. (Fin).

H.M. (Fin).

Statis quo

R.G.A.
26.3

AM
22/3/50

22.3.50

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
22 MAR 1950
SECRETARY

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
27 MAR 1950
SECRETARY

.21710/49-C. Edn.

28

10/44

Please see the orders of H.M. (Fin.) at the bottom of p. 27 ante. A draft order is submitted below for approval. The file may be circulated to H.M. (E & L)

The M.L.A. Sri Kamatchi Chettiar expressed the desire that a copy of the G.O. may be sent to him. There is no objection to furnish the M.L.A. with a copy.

A draft letter is submitted for approval.

3
31.3.50

1.4.50.

OSM
4/4/50 H.M.(Edn)

There are such localities in Malabar also. Is it not. If so what are they?

KM
4/4

Issue drafts and answer HM's query separately.

OSM
5/4/50

Extract of notes:

~~27~~ (39)

7487

Allowances- Unhealthy locality and Hill allowance- Classification of localities in the Kodaikanal and Palni hills, Mathurai district~~- Orders passed~~.

At present certain localities in the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills, Mathurai District have been declared as unhealthy or expensive areas. At the instance of the M.L.A Sri K.P.Kamaktchi Chettiar, the Collector of Mathurai suggested the inclusion of a few more villages in those hills in the list. The list as revised will be as that indicated at A on p. 17 c.f. The Finance Department suggest that the D.P.H. should be consulted as to the unhealthiness of the locality "Panrimalai" . A draft memo is submitted for approval.

2. At present hill allowance is granted to all employees both under Govt. and under Local Board stationed in expensive localities while in unhealthy localities the special pay is granted only to such of the employees who are not natives or domiciled in those localities. The Board of Revenue has reported that no extra cost will be involved to Govt. on account of the inclusion of the new villages in the list. The expenditure now incurred by the Local Board on the payment of the compensatory allowances to its employees, has been reported to be Rs.2,760 p.a. The extra cost involved, if the natives also are paid the unhealthy locality allowance will be Rs.900 per annum.

3. The M.L.A. stresses that the teachers who are natives of the unhealthy localities should also be paid the unhealthy locality allowance. The former H.M.(Edn.) also expressed the view on p 10 ante that these native teachers also may be paid the unhealthy locality allowance. The Finance Department how--ever advise that unhealthy locality allowance should not be granted to the natives of the localities in contravention of the rules 11 and 12 of the Madras Manual of Special Pay and allowances.

4. The file may be circulated to H.M.(Edn.) H.M.(L.A.) and H.M.(Fin.) for orders.

I.B.M./25-2-50.

There is not much justification for relaxing the rule that the natives of the locality are not eligible to unhealthy locality allowances. May agree with Finance.

H.M.(E & L)
H.M.(L.A.)
H.M.(Fin.)

J.M.L./27/2.

I think the natives of an unhealthy area are as much suceptible to the unhealthy nature of the locality as other who go there. Natives of a Malarial area get as much of it as a person who lives there. Unhealthy locality allowance must be for the person who works there whatsover his nativity may be.

K.M./28/2.

This is an old rule and need not be changed for the present.

B.G.R/6/3

The file may be recirculated to H.M.(E.& L.) and H.M.(L.A.)

I.B.M./7-3-50 R.D.P/7-3-50.

I understand that this applies to only about 50 persons who are teachers mainly. There were perhaps none when the rule was framed and so that should not be the ground to reconsider this.

K.M./8/3

Presumably the intention of H.M. is that the present position should be changed. The file may be recirculated to H.M.(Fin.)

I.B.M/10-3 R.D.P/10-3-

H.M.(Fin.)

I do not think there was no teacher when the rule was made.

I do not see the point when a teacher from an unhealthy locality goes to another ~~xxxxxxxx~~ unhealthy locality and claiming unhealthy locality allowance.

There is nothing unjust in the present rule.

B.G.R/15/3

The file may be recirculated to H.M.(E & L.) and H.M.(L.A.)

I.B.M./16/3/50 R.D.P/16/3.

This is a matter about which M.L.A. Kamatchi Chettiar has been bitterly complaining. I will ask him to explain matter s to H.M.(Fin.) Hence leave it at that.

H.M.(L.A.)/22/3

The file may be recirculated to H.M.(Fin.)

I.B.M/22/3 R.D.P/22-3-

Stat~~us this G.O.~~ quo.

B.G.R/26/3

Please see the orders of H.M.(Fin.) at the bottom of p. 27 ante. A draft order is submitted below for approval. The file may be circulated to H.M.(E.& L.)

The M.L.A. Sri Kamatchi chettiar expressed the desirethat a copy of the G.O.may be sent to him. There is no objection to furnish the M.L.A. with a copy.

A draft letter is submitted for approval.

I.B.M./4/4/50.

H.M.(E ' L.)

There are such localities in Malabar also. Is it not. If so what are they please. A

K.M./4/4/.

~~Please see the minutes of H.M. at A above. The Collector of Malabar may be asked to report the expensive and unhealthy localities in Malabar Dt.~~

~~A draft memo is submitted below for approval.~~

8.4.50.

27/4

31

M.39.40

4/2/1106 Ed

6.4.50 (M)

H.M.'s minute at p 28 of

(M)

In connection with the classification of certain localities in the Kodaikanal and Palni Hills in the Madura Dt. for purposes of grant of compensatory allowances, H.M. (E & L) wanted information regarding unhealthy localities in the Malabar District. Submitted that the following places in the Malabar Dt. are unhealthy localities for purposes of grant of special pay and allowances to persons serving in those areas:—

1. The Wynaad.
2. The Attapady Valley.
3. The areas attached to the police stations of Kalikavu, Kulathur out-post, Mannarghat, and Tamarasseri in Malabar Dt. (~~Sent~~ (Persons at Mannarghat and Tamarasseri shall draw the special pay only if they are required to tour for more than nine months during a year in the unhealthy areas attached to the police stations.)

4.5 of Madras Manual of Spl. pay & allowances B.O.No. 116

~~Finance may perhaps see before circulation~~

25.4.50.

26/4/50

26.4.50.

SECRETARY
28 APL 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

H.M. (E. & L.)

27/4

May now be issued.

28/4/50

28/4/50

26/4/60 B1