

Put away

By
22/4/49

Scott. II-27-58,004-27-10-47.

Edn. & P. H. (1949) Department.

(7)

Min

SERIES.

G.O. NO. M. 76 Edn.

Dated 10-1-49

→ p 10, 11

O p 10, 11

p 9 of - copy -

(8)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Estt. Office of the D.P.S. - 600g promotion with 600g allowance
from Junior Superintendent Grade II to Grade I -

Sanctioned

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
M.	4212	P.W.(S)	10.4.43				
M.	1123	"	7.4.42				
M.	1700	Edn	16.7.39				
M.	548	Edn	23.3.30				
P.	169	Pub-Inst	28.1.38				
M.	441	Edn	21.3.45				
M.	1671	Edn	22.10.45				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Rc.No. 39.F1/48.

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction.
Madras. dated 19-3-1948.

From

Sri D.S. Reddi, M.A. (Oxon).
Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George,
Madras.



Sir,

Sub: Establishment - Office of the Director
of Public Instruction - Madras - Junior
superintendents - Admissibility of
officiating allowance to Junior Superintendents
Grade II while officiating as Junior
superintendents Grade I - Sanction of
Government - Requested.

22163

I have the honour to invite the attention of

Government to the correspondence ending with my letter Rc.No.

509.F/44 dated 23-3-1946 disposed of in Government Memorandum

No. 16944.A/46-2, Education dated 15-4-1946 and to request that

the Government may be pleased to reconsider their decision and

permit the Junior Superintendents Grade II of my office to draw the

officiating allowance when they are promoted to officiate as junior

Superintendents Grade II.

2. In my letters to Government Rc.No. 509.F/44, dated

2-12-1944, 14-6-1945 and 23-3-1946, I have made it clear that

the ~~drawal~~ ^{denial} of the officiating allowance to junior Superintendents

II Grade when they officiate ^{in the} with I Grade is unfair and is felt

as great hardship. On a further investigation it is found that

in other 'A' class offices in the city (viz) (1) Board of Revenue,

(2) Chief Engineer (High ways), (3) Surgeon General with the

Government of Madras, officiating allowances are permitted by

Government, Vide G.O. No. Ms. 379, Rev. dated 25-2-1946 and

G.O. No. Ms. 1130, P.H. dated 27-4-1945. I request the extension

of the concession to the Junior Superintendents (Grade II) of my

Office which is only fair and reasonable. I furnish below

a statement showing the relevant statistics for comparison.

P.T.O.

Name of Office	No. of posts in the scale of			%age chances of promotion from the scale of 140-5-190 to 190-10-240.	Re-marks
	(1) 250-300	(2) 190-10-240	(3) 140-5-190		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Board of Revenue	3	8	11	100%	
Director of Agriculture	1	8	20	45%	
Chief Engineer, (Irrigation)	1	1	5	40%	
Chief Engineer, (High ways)	..	2	6	33 1/3%	
Chief Engineer, (Electricity)	..	2	16 6	33 1/3%	
Surgeon General with the Govt of Madras	..	2	11	18%	officiating allowance is admissible
Director of Public Instruction	1	2	11	27%	
		(6 permanent & 5 temporary)			

3. The above statistics will indicate that except in the case of my office and in that of the surgeon general with the Government of Madras, Junior superintendents in other 'A' class offices drawing the scale of pay of Rs.140-5-190 are placed a more advantageous position and their chances of promotion to the higher grade are distinctly brighter. In this the office of the Surgeon General officiating allowance is however admissible when Superintendents Grade II are promoted to Grade I. I may also state that, as has already been

reported in my letter to Government Rc.No.509.F/44 dated 14-6-1945 referred to in Govt Memo No.27025-2-B, Education dated 27-8-1945, a clerk in my office usually gets his promotion as a Junior superintendent at the age of 40 (Forty) and this means that permanent chances of their becoming Junior Superintendents in Grade I are few. I submit in this connection that it will not be out of place to re-iterate the fact that my office is one of the biggest Presidency Offices, only second in importance to the Government Secretariat, and that the

contd..

Superintendents in my office are entrusted with heavy and responsible duties.

4. In consideration of the facts mentioned above and also of the fact that such officiating allowance has been allowed in the case of the Superintendents in the office of the Surgeon General with the Government of Madras, I request that the Government may be pleased to reconsider their orders and permit the Junior Superintendent Grade II of my office to draw the officiating allowance when they are promoted to officiate as Junior Superintendents Grade I.

I have the honour to be
sir,

your most obedient servant,

P. M.

Gr.223. *24/3*

Edu and P.H. Dept

Go no: 1671Edu & 22.10.45 required for this is put up in another file. This may be over the the become available.

12/4/48 *12/4/48*

2-12-48/19169

to Govt. attention in those offices, the posts of Junior Superintendents I Grade carry duties of more responsible and arduous nature than those attached to the posts of Junior Superintendents II Grade.

27.7.48/161/48
31/8/48

To The D.P.G.
D.P.G.
6/8/48

R.C.NO.39-F/48.

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, Dated 12th Novr. 1948.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.



The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Office of the Director of Public Instruction - Superintendent - Grade II admissibility of officiating allowance on promotion as Superintendent Grade I.

REF: Government Memorandum No. 22163-A.2 Education dated 3--8--1948.

86790

From the replies received from the Surgeon-General, Madras, the Chief Engineer and the Revenue Board the drawal of the Officiating allowance on behalf of Junior Superintendents Grade II promoted as Junior Superintendents Grade I has been permitted on the understanding that such a promotion carries with it additional responsibilities. In the Surgeon-General's office where the officiating allowance is drawn with reference to G.O.No.1130 P.H. dated 27-4-1945 with effect from 21-9-1942 no specific place or work is ear-marked for the appointment of grade I Superintendent. But it is reported to be practice - there to appoint the promotees to sections which are considered important e.g. Post-War Section and establishment section. In the Chief Engineer's Office a similar procedure is being adopted and it is reported that the promoted Superintendents was holding heavy sections ~~that~~ and had been in additional charge of sub-sections viz. record and issue sections. In the Board of Revenue the officiating allowance is being claimed with reference to G.O.Ms.379 Rev. dated 25-2-46. Even here no place has been ear-marked as carrying officiating allowance but the promotions are made with reference to merit and ability. These posts are section posts. The promotees, it is reported, are posted to important sections.

(PTO)

As the position in my office is analogous to that obtaining in the three offices Government may be pleased to grant the same concession to the Junior Superintendents of my office on similar grounds. As in the three offices no place in my office can strictly be ear-marked as one carrying higher responsibilities but Superintendents holding important sections may be granted the officiating allowance. Of the 14 sections in my office I now propose to select only two sections viz. the section dealing with Budget work and the Section dealing with the personnel and rules as important sections for purposes of drawing the officiating allowance on behalf of the Superintendents of the sections. But I consider that it may be necessary to declare a few more sections also as important for the drawal of the officiating allowance in view of the nature of work dealt with by them.

I request that Government pass early orders for the drawal of the Officiating allowance on behalf of Junior Superintendents Grade II when promoted as Junior Superintendents Grade I.

Yours faithfully,

P. M. S.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Ram.19/11.

RAM
19/11

Sanctioned
17/11/49

10 6 9
Edn and P.H. Dept

Establishment - Office of the D.P.S. - Offg. promotion with offg. allowances from ^{Junior} Superint. grade II to grade I - Sanctioned.

Letter from the D.P.S. No. 39-FI/48 D
19.3.48, and 12.11.48

Order 76 (Edn) dated 10-1-49
Ms

We govt direct that Offg. promotion with offg. allowances be allowed from posts of ^{Junior} Superint. grade II to posts of ^{Junior} Superint. grade I in the D.P.S.'s office.

I In D.P.S.
u. A.G. (H. Fin.)
and
10/11/49

10/11/49
8/11/49

10/11/49
6/11/49

8

Edm and P.H. Dept
Office of the D.P.S. - Junior Supdts
Admissibility of officiating allowance

Letter from the D.P.S. to the Finance Dept
19.8.48 and 21.8.48
25/10/48 (21/10/48) 25/10/48
The first direct that all the officers who are promoted to the post of Junior Supdr. Gr. I, in the D.P.S. should be allowed officiating allowance.



Edm and P.H. No.
22163A1

18/7/48
18/7/48

Edm and P.H. Dept

Edm and P.H. Dept
C.No: 22163A. From the D.P.S. No: 39FI/48 D 19.8.48

Office of the D.P.S. - junior ~~Supdts~~ ^{Supdts} -
Admissibility of officiating allowance

6 Supdts
+ 2 Supdts

(J)
(B)

A

B

Please see the letter from the D.P.S. in the of recommending that the junior Supdts, II Grade, in his office (Rs 140-5-190) when promoted to officiate as junior Supdts, I Grade (Rs 190-10-240) may be permitted to draw officiating ~~pay~~ ^{allowance}. The question of allowing officiating pay to the junior Supdts, II Grade, on their promotion as junior Supdts, I Grade, ~~in~~ the Director's office was considered more than once before and negatived. - please see the notes on pp 3-8 of of G.O. No. 441 Edm D 2/3.45, and page 2 of of Memo No. 16944 A/46-2 Edm D 15.4.46. The Director now brings to notice that in other A class offices in the City, viz, Board of Rev, Office of the C.E. (Highways), Office of the S.G., officiating allowance is being allowed to junior Supdts, II Grade when they are promoted to officiate as junior Supdts, I Grade. In view of this and in view of the reasons given by the Director in para 3 of his letter the proposal may be supported in this dept. The file may be sent to the Finance dept for remarks.

18/7/48
12/7/48 S.H.
18/7/48

Finance (C.S.R)

The proposal to allow officiating promotion from the post of Junior Supdr. Gr. II to the post of Junior Supdr. Gr. I, in the

D.P.I's office has been negatived in the past for the reason that the duties and responsibilities attached to the latter post are not more arduous than those attached to the former post - Vide the D.P.I's statement referred to at B on p.3 N.F of G.O No. 441 Edu.

Dated 21.3.45 and the then Chief Secy's remark on p.7 N.F of the same G.O. In his present reference also, the D.P.I has not ^{specifically} stated that posts of Junior Supdts. Gr. I carry duties of more responsible or arduous nature than those attached to similar posts in Gr. II. In the circumstances, this Dept. may advise against the proposal. If E.P.H. wish to press ^{the matter}, they may be requested to obtain orders in circulation, including



cp: FIC 54325

H.N. (H.N.)
21.4.48

21/4

E-PH


E.P.H. Dept. En. G.O. 50813 (SR-1) 21.7.48

to n.c. the mode of Fin at X above and that of this Dept at A on p1 ante, a draft memo to the D.P.G. is being circulated for approval.

1/11/48
28/11/48
[Issued as Memo 22163A-2 Edu on 3.8.48]
from the D.P.G. No. 39 F/W on 12.11.48

H-5-6 of
H-7-8 of

Please see the letter from the D.P.G. on subject. It has noted that in other A class offices like the S. G. in the, of Rev and the Chief Engineer in Junior Supdts Grad. I when promoted to Grad. I are posted to important and heavy duties and that his position is analogous to that of the P. I's officer. In the circumstances stated ~~it is~~ it is ~~is~~ ^{it is} for orders whether the proposal ~~be pressed~~ ^{be pressed}. The ~~may be circulated to H.N. (EDN)~~ ^{may be circulated to H.N. (EDN)} before being



EPH No. 22163A/W.3 8/12/48

H.N. (H.N.)
28/11/48