

E & P.H.

(194 9) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. NO. Ms.735, Education.

Dated 16th March 1949.

1
G.O. No. 735
up 45 of

52

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant - Loyola College, Madras - Construction of buildings for the auditorium and library hall - Purchase of equipment for the chemistry Honours course - Inclusion in the Postwar Development Programme for 47-48 - Papers Recorded.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
382 Edw	19401						



LOYOLA COLLEGE
CATHEDRAL P.O., MADRAS

12th April 1948

Dear Sir,

Let me thank you sincerely for the kindness with which you listened to my tale of woe when you received me on Saturday. I am submitting the appeal, a copy of which I am enclosing, to the D.P.I. I earnestly request you to give your kind attention to it. You assured me that an additional sum of Rs. 75000 would be given next year. But the communication is so worded that even this seems to be excluded. I can only conclude in view of your assurance that there is an error somewhere.

Next as to the part of the Central Government in the payment of the grant. I am definitely informed that the full grant in regard to the Laboratory is to be reimbursed by the Central Govt. In view of this I most earnestly request you to ~~gi~~ secure for us the full half grant on the equipment namely Rs. 125000 and not merely Rs. 50,000 which, you told me, was sanctioned. I have however received no official communication about it so far.

I confess I am not sure if the Auditorium is also included in the Government of India scheme of full reimbursement. I have a feeling that if the grant had been asked for from Government of India last year before the new regulation was made and it was pointed to them that the Laboratory and Library scheme were a single scheme approved as ^{whole} by the Government and the work begun simultaneously, we might have secured last year itself the full half grant. I request you to do what you can to secure it, if possible, even now. But should this fail, once again I appeal to you to sanction on your own part the half grant and not the one fourth grant seeing that in any case the earlier grant has been or will be paid fully by the Central Government.

I beg you to forgive me for coming back again and again with this matter. To me it is a matter so to say of life and death. You asked me to pray to God to help me out of this difficulty. I am doing so unceasingly. But Almighty God can only make use of instruments like you to do His Work...

With deep respect,

Yours sincerely,

J. D. Souza s.d.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.

R.O.C.No.805.K/47 dated 7-4-1948.

Sub: Grants - Buildings - Non-Government Arts and Professional College
1948-49 - Sanctioned -
Read: G.O. Ms.No.748-Edn. dated 2-4-1948. 12999(M)

ORDER:

The Government sanction grants limited to 1/4 of the actual expenditure on the respective works or the following sums respectively, whichever is less.

DESCRIPTION OF SCHEME.	AMOUNT SANCTIONED.
(1) Loyola College, Madras (Construction of an Auditorium and Library).	Rs.50,000/-
(2) St.Christopher Training College, Madras. (Construction of a hostel).	Rs.65,800/-

The grants will be disbursed in accordance with the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

3. Necessary provision has been made in the Budget for 1948-49 under 37-c. Education - University - Grants to Non-Government Arts Colleges (1) Excluding Post-War Reconstruction" and under "37-e. University - Grants to Non-Government Professional Colleges" for the scheme mentioned above.

/BY ORDER OF HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR/

M.V. Subramanian,
Secretary to Government.

Copy Communicated.

P.Bhadraiah,
for Director of Public Instruction.

/True Copy Forwarded By Order/

Sd/- Sambandhamoorthy,
Superintendent.

To
The Principal, Loyola College, Madras.
The Principal, St.Christopher Training College, Madras.
Copy to A. Section. D.2 Section.

R.O.C.No.805.K/47 dated 7-4-1948.

Read: G.O. No. 748-Bdn. dated 2-4-1948. 1948-49 - Sanctioned -
Sub: Grants - Buildings - Non-Government Arts and Professional Colleges

ORDER:

The Government sanction grants limited to 1/4 of the actual expenditure on the respective works or the following sums respectively, whichever is less.

AMOUNT SANCTIONED.

DESCRIPTION OF SCHEME.

Rs.50,000/-

(1) Loyola College, Madras (Construction of an Auditorium and Library).

Rs.50,000/-

(2) St. Christopher Training College, Madras (Construction of a hostel).

The grants will be disbursed in accordance with the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

3. Necessary provision has been made in the Budget for 1948-49 under 37-c. Education - Grants to Non-Government Arts Colleges (1) Excluding Post-War Reconstruction, and under 37-e. University - Grants to Non-Government Professional Colleges for the scheme mentioned above.

(BY ORDER OF HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR)

M.V. Subramanian,
Secretary to Government.

Copy Communicated.

For Director of Public Instruction,
P. Bhadrachari.

(True copy forwarded by Order)

84/- Subramanian,
Superintendent.

Copy to A. Section, D.S. Section.
The Principal, St. Christopher Training College, Madras.
The Principal, Loyola College, Madras.

D13/48-49

12th April

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Ref: Your Proceedings R.O.C.No.805.K/47
dated 7-4-1948. - P3 aml

Government have been pleased to sanction a sum of Rs.50,000 for the Library and Auditorium Building. If the wording of the Communication is correct, it would seem that this is all the grant that we shall get since it is stated that we shall be given either 1/4 of the actual cost of construction or Rs.50,000 whichever is less. One fourth of the cost according to present estimates is Rs.125,000. Hence it would seem that the lesser sum is the one sanctioned. We were given to understand that a further instalment would be given to us next year. I request that this point may be clarified.

Presuming that Government's intention is to give us one fourth grant, I once again respectfully request you to reconsider this decision and sanction in our favour the half grant which was promised in the original sanction Memorandum No. 50619-B/45-8-Edn., dated 1st February 1946, I beg to state that although the original sanction contained provisos that showed that the promise was not absolute and unconditional, still, up to now, the use of that formula has never prevented managements from getting the half grant. We proceeded on that understanding and launched upon this extensive scheme of expansion with the firm hope that the half grant from Government would be certainly secured. For our part we have had to secure more than seven lakhs for building and equipment. The increased costs will raise this sum to more than eight lakhs. If in addition to this we have to find the Rs.125,000 which Government are now cutting down from the grant, the Management is faced with a crushing burden which in these days when gifts from Europe are no longer forthcoming, it is impossible for us to bear. It is an 'appeal for mercy' which I am making to Government, and trust that it will not go unheard.

I feel I must adduce another consideration in order to urge on Government to reconsider their decision. We have been informed that in regard to the Laboratory building for which a grant of Rs.2 lakhs has already been paid, the entire sum has been reimbursed to the Madras Government by the Central Government since the scheme was one approved by the Central Government under the Post War Reconstruction scheme. We had applied for a half grant on the Rs.250,000 worth of equipment which was necessary for the Laboratory. So far no grant on this has been sanctioned. I believe that under the same scheme this entire sum of Rs.125,000 for equipment could be secured from Government of India. I request that even now an effort may be made for this and the sum secured for us without loss to the Government of Madras.

5

It is possible that the Library and Auditorium building too could be included in the same scheme since it was approved as one single project by the Government of Madras and the entire half grant secured from the Government of India. If this is so, I would request Government to approach the Government of India and obtain for us this assistance. If this is not feasible, I plead that in view of the earlier grant having been entirely paid by the Central Government, the Government of Madras may be pleased to pay the half grant on the Auditorium also. We have been informed that even of this half grant, one half will be reimbursed to the Government of Madras by the Central Government. Hence their payment to this big building scheme costing over Rs.11 lakhs (Building and equipment) will be Rs.125,000. I most earnestly request Government to be pleased to render us this help.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. D. Sanga s.d.

PRINCIPAL, LOYOLA COLLEGE.

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Ref: Your Proceedings R.O.C.No.803/M/47
dated 7-4-1948.

Government have been pleased to sanction a sum of Rs.50,000 for the Library and Auditorium building. If the wording of the Communication is correct, it would seem that all the grant that we shall get since it is stated that we shall be given either 1/4 of the actual cost of construction or Rs.50,000 whichever is less. One fourth of the cost according to present estimates is Rs.125,000. Hence it would seem that the grant sum is the one sanctioned. We were given to understand that a further instalment would be given to us next year. I request that this point may be clarified. Presuming that Government's intention is to give us one instalment grant, I once again respectfully request you to reconsider this decision and sanction in our favour the half grant which was provided in the original sanction Memorandum No. 80319-P/47-8-48. I am sorry to state that although the original sanction contained provision that the grant was not absolute and unconditional, still, up to now, the use of this formula has never prevented us from getting the half grant. We proceeded on that understanding and sanctioned upon this extensive scheme of expansion with the firm hope that the half grant from Government would be certainly secured. For our part we have had to incur more than seven lakhs for building and equipment. The increased costs will raise this sum to more than eight lakhs. It in addition to this we have to find the Rs.125,000 which Government are now cutting down from the grant. The Management is faced with a crushing burden which in these days when gifts from Europe and the no longer forthcoming, it is impossible for us to bear. It is an appeal for mercy, which I am making to Government, and trust that it will not go unheard. I feel I must address another consideration in order to urge on Government to reconsider their decision in order which a grant of Rs.2 lakhs has already been paid, the entire sum has been reimbursed to the Madras Government by the Central Government since the scheme was approved by the Central Government under the Post War Reconstruction Scheme. We had applied for a half grant on the Rs.250,000 worth of equipment which was necessary for the laboratory. As far as grant on this has been sanctioned. I believe that under the same scheme this entire sum of Rs.125,000 for equipment could be secured from Government of India. I request that even now an effort may be made for this and the sum secured for us without loss to the Government of Madras.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

C.F. 33.

Received 19 Registered 19

Subject.

GRANT - Building - Loyola College - Auditorium
and Library Hall.

30967

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C. No. 805/K/47

Station M A D R A S, Dated the 21st April 1948.

From (Name) SRI D.S. REDDI, M.A. (Oxon),
(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
M A D R A S.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH Department.

Sir,

P294 f (M)

REF:- G.O.No.MS. 748, Education, dated the
2nd April 1948.

I have the honour to state that the Government have been pleased to sanction a grant of Rs. 50,000/- or 1/4th of the cost of construction - whichever is less - in respect of the above scheme. It is reported by the Correspondent that according to the present estimates the management may on the whole get Rs.125,000 only as Government grant.

2. The management, it is reported, had to raise about Rs.800,000/- on its own, for the recent building schemes, and, the reduced rate of 1/4 adopted by the Government is likely to subject the management to great financial difficulties..

It is possible that the Library and Auditorium building too could be included in the same scheme since it was approved as one single project by the Government of Madras and the entire cost would be met by the Government of India. If this is so, I would request Government to sponsor the Government of India and obtain for us this assistance. If this is not feasible, I plead that in view of the earlier grant having been entirely paid by the Central Government, the Government of Madras may be pleased to pay the full grant on the Auditorium also. We have been informed that even of this full grant, one half will be reimbursed to the Government of Madras by the Central Government. Hence their payment to this building scheme costing over Rs.11 lakhs (including and equipment) will be Rs.125,000. I most earnestly request Government to be pleased to render us this help.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P. S. Reddi

PRINCIPAL, LOYOLA COLLEGE

- 4 -

3. In recommending the Correspondent's petition for enhancement of the grant, I have the honour to state that in view of the difficulties experienced by the management for want of funds, and also, in view of the fact that, the scheme was included in the list of approved schemes under a Government Memorandum viz. Memo. No.50619/B/45-8, Edn., dated 1st February 1946, the Government be pleased to amend their order referred to above so as to raise the grant to 1/2 the actual cost as in the case of schemes normally included in the list of approved schemes.

4. A scheme on behalf of the Loyola College for the purchase of equipment for the B.Sc. (Hons) Chemistry course at an estimated cost of Rs.2,40,612/- was submitted to Government as a normal scheme under Part II of the Budget Estimate for 1948-49 in Director's letter RC.No.650/F/47 dated the 26th September 1947. Government in their order No. MS. 750, Education, dated 2nd April 1948 have, however, provided in the budget estimate for 1948-49 a sum of Rs.50,000 only as grant therefor, the grant being debitable to "37.c. Education (i) Excluding Postwar Equipment". Separate orders including the scheme have not yet been received. The Correspondent now requests that this equipment grant scheme may be considered as a Postwar Reconstruction Scheme, on the analogy of the laboratory building grant scheme, the entire grant towards which was met from the Government of India funds. In this event the Correspondent points out that one half of the estimated cost viz. Rs.1,20,306/- might be obtained from the Central Government without any loss to the Government of Madras thereby. It is, therefore, a matter for Government consideration. I am enclosing a copy of the Principal's letter and if the facts are as stated in paragraph 3 of the letter, the Correspondent's request may be favourably considered.

for Director of Public Instruction.

KAN.27/4

29/4.

- P11-2 of 9 (K) -

P16 of 9 (K)

(K)-P12-4

Since including 750 Edn
24-48vide p16 of 9
(2)

Principal's

Copy of letter No. D.13/48-49 dated 12th April 1948 from the Principal, Loyola College, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

REF:- Your Proceedings R.O.C.No.805/K/47 dated 7th April 1948.

Government have been pleased to sanction a sum of Rs.50,000/- for the Library and Auditorium Building. If the wording of the communication is correct, it would seem that this is all the grant that we shall get since it is stated that we shall be given either 1/4 of the actual cost of construction or Rs.50,000/- whichever is less. One fourth of the cost according to the present estimates is Rs.1,25,000/-. Hence it would seem that the lesser sum is the one sanctioned. We are given to understand that a further instalment would be given to us next year. I request that this point may be clarified.

2. Presuming that Government's intention is to give us one-fourth grant, I once again respectfully request you to reconsider this decision and sanction in our favour the half grant which was promised in the original sanction Memorandum No.50619/B/45-8, Education, dated 1st February 1946, I beg to state that although the original sanction contained provisos that showed that the promise was not absolute and unconditional, still, upto now, the use of that formula has never prevented managements from getting the half grant. We proceeded on that understanding and launched upon this extensive scheme of expansion with the firm hope that the half grant from Government would be certainly secured. For our part we have had to secure more than seven lakhs for building and equipment. The increased cost will raise this sum to more than eight lakhs. If in addition to this we have to find the Rs.1,25,000/- which Government are now cutting down from the grant, the Management is faced with a crushing burden which in these days when gifts from Europe are no longer forthcoming, it is impossible for us to bear. It is an "appeal for mercy" which I am making to Government, and trust that it will not go unheard.

3. I feel I must adduce another consideration in order to urge on Government to reconsider their decision. We have been informed that in regard to the Laboratory building for which a grant of Rs.2 lakhs has already been paid, the entire sum has been reimbursed to the Madras Government by the Central Government since the scheme was one approved by the Central Government under the Post War Reconstruction Scheme. We had applied for a half grant on the Rs.2,50,000/- worth of equipment which was necessary for the Laboratory. So far no grant on this has been sanctioned. I believe that under the same scheme this entire sum of Rs.1,25,000/- for equipment could be secured from Government of India. I request that even now an effort may be made for this and the sum secured for us without loss to the Government of Madras.

4. It is possible that the Library and Auditorium building too could be included in the same scheme since, it was approved as one single project by the Government of Madras and the entire half grant secured from the Government of India. If this is so, I would request Government to approach the Government of India and obtain for us this assistance. If this is not feasible, I plead that in view

12

- 4 -

of the earlier grant having been entirely paid by the Central Government, the Government of Madras may be pleased to pay the half grant on the Auditorium also. We have been informed that even of this half grant, one half will be reimbursed to the Government of Madras, by the Central Government. Hence their payment to this big building scheme costing over Rs.11 lakhs (Building and equipment) will be Rs.1,25,000. I most earnestly request Government to be pleased to render us this help.

/True Copy/

for Director of Public Instruction.

KAN.27/4

2/11/48

13

83/ASII

DEPARTMENT 8

Received _____ Registered _____

From (Name) Sri. D. S. Reddi, M.A. (OXON)

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Post Box _____, (STATION) MADRAS.

Telegraphic Address _____

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

RC. No. 805-K/47, dated 22.4.1948.

Sir, SUB:- Grants- Building - Non-Govt. Arts and
Professional Colleges - Hostel
Accommodation - St. Christopher's
Training College, MADRAS.

ENCLOSURES _____

115 with
on 142 of B
129 of M

In continuation of this office letter
RC. No. 805/k/47 dated 21.4.48, I have the honour
to submit herewith a copy of letter dated 16.4.48
from the Correspondent, St. Christopher's Training
College, Vepery, requesting for sanction of the
half grant contemplated in Govt. Order No.696 Education
dated 1.4.46.

2. As per Govt. order No.748 Education dated
2.4.48, the management is entitled to receive a
grant of Rs.65,800/- only. This has subjected the
management to serious financial difficulties.

3. In view of this fact and also in view of the
fact that the scheme was actually included in the list
of approved schemes under the Govt. Order quoted in
para (1) above, the request of the Correspondent is
recommended for favourable consideration of the
Government. The college has been doing well
and deserve to be encouraged.

K. Sankaranarayanan

FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Enclo.

MDS
23/4

23/4

h, 1948

The Director of Public Instruction,
Nungambakkam, Cathedral P.O.
M a d r a s.

SUB: Grants - Buildings - Non-Govt. Arts and Professional
Colleges - 1948-49 - Sanctioned.

25/10/2014

(3) The need for a hostel has been felt keenly for many years. As long ago as 1929 the University Commission which visited the College urged the necessity for proper hostel accommodation, and a second University Commission in March 1940 strongly reiterated this recommendation. (4) The application for a grant on a college hostel has been before Govt. since 1936. It was held up in 1941 only because of war-time difficulties. (5) Owing to rise in building costs the actual cost to the management will be far more than that noted in the application. Even if the full amount is paid, it will not by any means be a half grant of the total cost to the management. (6) The management has gone ahead with the work trusting Government will give the full half grant. It will be put to great difficulty if only $\frac{1}{2}$ grant is given. I request you therefore to urge Govt. to make the full half grant which we have confidently expected to receive.

Correspondent,

MDS
23/4

True cups

Konkurrenz

for Director of Public Instruction.

sol
23/4



Camp Mount St. Mary
Kodaikanal,

LOYOLA COLLEGE
CATHEDRAL P.O., MADRAS

30th May 1948 194



40435

Dear Mr. Subrahmaniam,

I trust that you will not be impatient with me for writing to you once again about the matter of the grants to Loyola College. I believe that there is a way of helping us to some extent at least without any loss to the Government of Madras. I am speaking of the equipment grant to the Chemistry Laboratory.

As you know in the first instance Government refused any grant for equipment saying that the the two lakhs for the building was all that Government could afford. Subsequently I was informed on good authority from the Finance Department of the Government of India that the entire two lakhs was to be reimbursed to the Madras Govt. by the Government of India as the Lab. was part of the Central Govt. scheme of Industrial reconstruction. I was also told that if the Government of Madras had applied for the equipment grant also under the same heading the entire sum would have been given by the Central Government. Now, the Minister of Education has informed me that the Government of Madras have sanctioned as a special case Rs. 50,000 for equipment instead of the 125,000 which we had applied for. I have begged him to reconsider the matter and secure us the full grant from the Government of India. I am however now informed that Government of India may give the full grant only of the Madras Govt. recommends it and asks that it be adjusted to the accounts of 47-48. After 47-48 the Madras Government would have to give half of the sum. Still, since our scheme was a scheme which was to be completed by 47-48 and our original application was in time, there is still chance of the full grant being secured and the account adjusted to 47-48. I have written to the Minister of Education to make this move and he has orally told me that he would do all he can of the grant is to be secured from Government of India. I therefore request you to help me as far as you can in getting this recommendation through. As you know Government have promised us only one-fourth grant for the Library and Auditorium. If at least this grant could be secured in full it would be a partial compensation for the diminution of the

other grant. Kindly do what you can to help me get out of the difficult situation into which I have got!
With many thanks and every good wish,

Yours sincerely,

J. D. Souza s.f.

BY AIR MAIL.



19 93 7
D.O.No.D.4104/48-D1.

JOINT EDUCATIONAL ADVISER TO THE
Government of India,
NEW DELHI, the 26th August, 1948

Dear Mr. Subramanian,

Will you please refer to your letter No.1584 P & D VI/4-II, dated the 7th April, 1948, to the Secretary, Development Board, asking for inclusion in the approved programme of 1947-48 inter alia the scheme about "Grant to the Loyola College - construction of building for B.Sc.(Hons.)."- a continued scheme? It has been brought to the notice of this Ministry that the assistance to the College has been restricted only to that part of the expenditure which relates to laboratory buildings, although the Provincial Government while sanctioning the project in their letter No.50619-B/45-8-Edn., dated the 1st February, 1946, treated the proposal to build the Chemistry Laboratory and Library Hall and Auditorium as one Development project. As equipment is a necessary part of a laboratory, this Ministry presumes that the Madras Government would be inclined to treat the whole scheme as one and include it in their Development programme for 1947-48 subject to the usual conditions. Kindly confirm.

Yours sincerely,

H. Kabir


(HUMAYUN KABIR)

SHRI M.V. SUBRAMANIAN, ICS.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Madras,
Education Department, MADRAS.

63 21 3
12
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

FROM
THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
MADRAS.

TO
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.



RC. No. 805-K/47 dated 10th September 1948.

Sir,

68260

Sub: Loyola College - Building Grant - Chemistry
Laboratory - Literary and Auditorium -
Enhancement of grant.
Ref: This office letter & number ~~21~~ dated 21.4.48.

prys 9-10 ante

@x@

I have the honour to invite your attention
to the letter referred to above and to state that the Principal
of the Loyola College, has since forwarded a copy of the D.O.
letter from the Joint Educational Adviser to the Government of
India to the Secretary to Government and has requested me to
recommend the proposal contained therein. *Pigante*

In the circumstances stated in the letter
and in view of the fact that the ~~g~~ Government of India is agreeable
to the proposal, I recommend that the entire scheme consisting of
the Laboratory with equipment and Library and Auditorium may be
treated as ^{one} ~~are~~ composite scheme.

Yours faithfully,

P. M. —

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

3/11/9.

KN.11.9.

By Air Mail.

13

E. & P.H. (G.3)

D.O. No. 65022 G3/48-1 Ednd-2048

Dear Mr. Humayun Kabir,

Sub:- Grant - Loyola College, Madras - Construction of buildings for the auditorium and library hall etc.

Ref:- Your D.O. No. 4104/48-D1 dated 26-8-1948.

I am to acknowledge receipt of your D.O. and to say that the matter is receiving the attention of the Govt. of Madras and that a further communication will be sent at an early date.

Yours sincerely,

To
Professor Humayun Kabir,
Joint Educational Adviser
to the Govt. of India,
New Delhi.

VRB
20/9/48
20.9.48

20.9.48

by
20/9/48

Note. The file will be submitted with a note after issue of the above D.O.

Papers removed
for preparation the
note.

20/9/48
20.9.48

for
20/9/48



Personal, and confidential

LOYOLA COLLEGE
CATHEDRAL P.O., MADRAS

15th Sept. 1948

Dear Sir

I came back from the interview with you yesterday greatly disturbed and taken aback by the impression that our Memorandum had made on you. Never had it occurred to me that it would be looked upon as an effort to frighten or intimidate. My own attitude was that it should be an appeal for specially sympathetic attitude towards the Christian Community; that in dealing with us, the psychological state of a small minority, depending entirely upon the goodwill of an all-powerful majority, very anxious about their beliefs and cultural peculiarities to which they are passionately attached and which are not always understood by their fellow-citizens, should be kept in mind. We made it clear that no single action referred to could be considered definitely unjust but that the general trend in several Provinces had caused nervousness. This nervousness owing to various reasons is very much more pronounced in the North where the Memo was drawn up than in the South. I thought it right to be a party to the expression of this fear, because representations were coming to us in Delhi from every side. Personally I felt some satisfaction in having helped to tone down the expressions and add some sentences which emphasised the aspect of "appeal". I do not know if you got the full Memorandum. I have looked up my copy of it and am sending you a full and exact copy. I am also enclosing a copy of the letter I am sending to Pandit Nehru about the Loyola grant.

Concerning the same grant, permit me to add here a note summarising what I said yesterday -

1. I have been given definitely to understand by the Education Dept in New Delhi that if the Govt. of Madras agree to include in the Development Schemes of 47-48 not only the Laboratory but also the Library and Auditorium, the entire grant for both the buildings will be paid by the Govt. of India and Madras need not pay anything for the Lib. and Auditorium.
2. The Equipment of the Lab. might cause some difficulty as it was not formally approved by the Govt. of Madras in 47-48 but is being approved with provision for grant in 48-49. I plead that it was approved in some way by the D.P.I. who asked us to proceed with the

purchases, and that in any case it could be implicitly included in the approval of the Laboratory. That it seems to me is the implication of the reference to the Equipmwt in the letter of the Joint Adviser to ~~the~~ Mr. Subrahmaniam.

3. I only request that the total outlay on buildings and equipment may be recommended to the Governemnt of India as part of the 47-48 Develop ment schemes on the understand^g that the entire grant be paid by Delhi.

Yours respectfully,

J. D. Saigal s.f.



Confidential. Copy of letter to
Pandit Nehru.

LOYOLA COLLEGE

CATHEDRAL P.O., MADRAS

15th Sept.

1948

Dear Panditji,

I am writing this in connection with the Memorandum which we sub-
mitted in Delhi in the course of August. I feel bound in fairness to
modify two statements in it in the light of later ~~of later~~ informa-
tions.

1. After the Memo was drawn up, I met Mr. H.V.R. Iengar in connection
with vis~~as~~ for foreigners and exposed to him some of our difficulties.
He assured me that they would do their best to avoid hardships for us
and that the condition of "rendering useful srevice to the nation"
would be interpreted in a broad and rational way. In fact after re-
turning to Madras, I learnt that the case of seven applicants for
vis~~as~~ for admission into the Madras Province had been in the inter-
val happily settled. We are hoping that in future there may be no
cause for uneasiness in this regard.

2. Another item noted in the "Memo" was the reduction of Building
grants to two Christian Institutions to one fourth after the build-
ings had been started on a promise that Government would give half,
subject to the usual conditions. One of these institutions was Loyola
College. I should have never have mentioned it if it had been alone.
Taken in conjunction with another (a Protestand) institution, it
seemed a case of some discrimination. I am now authoritatively inform
ed that ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ other institutions to whom similar commitments
had been made got no grants at all and that these two institutions
were allotted at least one fourth grants. In the light of this I
must confess that there was no discrimination against Christian inst-
tutions in this matter, and I regret that I included this in the
Memrandum,

With the expression of my profound respect,

I remain,

yours sincerely, etc.

[Signed J.D.S.]

29
16

MEMORANDUM REGARDING THE POSITION OF THE CHRISTIAN
COMMUNITY IN MADRAS AND ELSEWHERE
Drawn up by a few members of the Constituent Assembly.

The Christian Community in the Province of Madras has become uneasy because of the policy and actions of the Madras Government in recent months, and the leaders of the Community, Catholic and Protestant are asking themselves what are the intentions of the Government in their regard? While hardly any single decision of theirs can be definitely called unjust, taken all together, they reveal an unsympathetic bias, the accumulated impression made by them is that Government are not neutral in the religious sphere and are determined to weaken the Christian Minority, prevent the growth of their numbers and influence and diminish the chances of their survival in new India.

The most disturbing development is undoubtedly certain features of the Government's policy in educational matters. In spite of the great demand for increasing facilities for education, the Government makes it harder for private agencies to start and maintain schools.

a) They ask for endowments for Secondary and High Schools - new ones as well as for old ones, - Rs 30,000 for Secondary Schools and Rs 50,000 for High Schools. Christian Managements which have many schools and who have to bear already a great burden in putting up the buildings and making up the deficits will have greater difficulty in finding these endowments, than others. The greater number of students in their institutions are non - Christians. (In Colleges 80 %, in High Schools 60%) Yet the Government makes it harder for them to expand their educational work.

b) Though these schools and colleges are controlled in various ways by the Educational Department and the University and always carried out their directives the Government have now asked private institutions to have advisory councils, in addition to their Managing Committees, in which representation will be given to the parents, to the staff, to the Government etc. The freedom of the Managements as regards the selection of students and the appointment of teachers will be compromised by this.

c) There is a proposal for a very drastic Requisitioning Bill by which schools which incur the grave displeasure of Government will not only have their recognition withdrawn but their buildings and equipment will be requisitioned so that Government or any other private agency might run the institution.

31

- 2 -

The above mentioned measures are the most important. There are however, minor actions which ⁱⁿ their implications are equally alarming to us.

1) Boy Scout organisations which in some of our schools included certain religious exercises and practices for Christian Scouts in keeping with the practice in several European Countries, have been ordered to be dissolved and merged in a single association entirely secular in character.

2) In a certain school, because the Christian students alone had prayer and the non-Christians were not asked to join ⁱⁿ any religious exercise, the Minister has asked that a common prayer meeting should be held.

3) In another school, ~~because~~ a Christian boy wrote what was undoubtedly a stupid notice protesting against the use of certain words in connection with Gandhiji which seemed to him to be a deification of Gandhiji. The student certainly deserved reprimand and punishment. The Minister took the entire matter in his hands, ordered the change of the Headmaster, rustication of the boy, and the suppression of the grant.

4) There are other instances of direct intervention by the Minister to the point of even prescribing a particular text as obligatory in certain classes because it is a great religious classic which no Tamilian should ignore - the Kural.

5) Two Christian Colleges to which Government had promised building grants amounting to half the cost before the Congress Government came to power have now been told that they will get only one-fourth grant, though the institutions have carried out the work with the approval of all Government authorities.

Other features of Government policy which cause us apprehensions are,

1) The new G.O. concerning the proportion of Christians in the services. From two in twelve we have been reduced to one in fourteen. The same ratio is also applied to admission ~~into~~ Government Colleges specially the professional Colleges, such as the Engineering Colleges.

2) In spite of earnest requests that some of the poorest Christians of Harijan origin may be considered as a Backward Class and assistance given to them along with their Hindu kinsmen, they are receiving no assistance.

3) In recent months, we have been experiencing greater difficulty than before to secure visas for Foreign workers and chaplains to come to India for the

service of the Community. Many Christian communities are very poor and still need the assistance, personal and financial, of their brethren in Europe.

These examples are taken from the province of Madras. There are even more alarming manifestations in some other provinces. The Emergency Bill regarding conversion in the C.P. is, it is feared going to be made permanent, and thus in an attempt to control the activity of Muslims the peaceful and legitimate work of Christians is hindered and their ministers exposed to legal action of the most vexatious kind. In other provinces - Bihar, e.g. circulars have actually been sent to the Managements of private schools asking them to state the terms on which they will surrender their schools and make them over to Government. This is contrary to the educational safeguards given to the Minorities in the Fundamental Rights.

The Christian Community is fully aware that consequent on Independence and the transfer of power to the majority community there would be a reaction against the special privileges given to certain minorities. They admit the right of the Government to remove everything that would wound the susceptibilities of the great Hindu Community. But we submit that the Christian Community has not received ~~and have~~ particularly favoured treatment from the old regime. Most of us have been nationalists and have always opposed separatism. We are also a poor community and many sections among us very backward from every point of view. We request the majority community to treat us with greater consideration and sympathy. We request the ~~majority community to treat us with greater consideration and sympathy~~ leaders of the Congress to see to it that the Provincial Governments carry out the high ideals of the Congress and allay the fears of a community most anxious to collaborate in the task of national reconstruction, and which has ^{not} done anything to deserve the severity shown to them.

Madras, dated 2nd October 48.

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

Sir,
Sub: Grants - Building - Non-Government Arts and
Professional Colleges - Hostel construction -
St. Christophers Training College, Madras.

I have the honour to invite your attention
to my letter Rc.No.805-K/47 dated 22-4-48, and to state that
the management of this college has made further representations
to me, expressing its difficulty to meet the cost of the
scheme if Government grant is limited to 1/4 the cost
as per G.O.Ms.No. 748 Edn. dated 2-4-48. I recommend,
therefore, that the Government may reconsider the question
and enhance the grant payable to the usual 1/2 grant
as was contemplated in G.O.No. 696 Edn. dated 1-4-1946.

2. If the Government cannot find
their way to providing the additional expenditure under
the Budget Head "37.n. Education - Excluding Post War -
Scheme etc., I have the honour to suggest that the
feasibility of including this scheme under the "Post War
Schemes" and thereby obtaining the necessary funds from
the Government of India, may kindly be considered. In
view of the recommendations made by the Joint Educational
Adviser to the Government of India, in the case of building
scheme of the Loyola College, I feel that they may not be
averse to treating the scheme in question also as
"post War" and providing the funds.

Yours faithfully,

Meikumar

for Director of Public Instruction.

kn.5.10.

E+PH(G3)

See main file on the subject relating to
reconsideration of the orders relating to the
grants for the construction of buildings for the audi-
torium and library hall of the Loyola College, Madras,
and for the hostel for the St. Christophers Training
College, Madras, has been sent to Secy (P & H) for
St. Christophers may be available till 20/10/48.
4/10/48 13/10/48

E+PH(G3)

May be added to the
main file (sent to
Secy (P & H) & him)

24/9/48

No. D.4104/48-D.I.
MINISTRY OF EDUCATION
 GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

New Delhi, 3, the 15 November, 1948.



Grant - Loyola College, Madras - Construction
 of buildings for the auditorium and Library
 Hall etc.

86236

Dear Shri Subramanian,

Please refer to your D.O.No. 65022-G3/48-1-Edn,
 dated the 20th September, 1948, addressed to Prof.
 Kabir. Will you kindly let us know when we may ex-
 pect the final views of the Madras Government on
 the subject mentioned above?

Yours sincerely,

Bina Chatterjee

(B.CHATTERJEE)

Shri M.V.Subramanian, I.C.S.,
 Secretary to the Govt. of Madras,
 Education & Public Health Deptt.,
MADRAS.

'SRG'

38

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

11-11-48

Subject: - ...
Reference: - ...

11-11-48

11-11-48

39

Routine (21)

E-114(50)

37 aut

Please see the Do. imp. 1 of the main file on the subject has been circulated to H.C. (Sd.) on 17/11/48. The return of that file may be awaited till 23/11/48.

rel
19/11/48

An interim reply may be sent. A draft may be put up

19.11.48

19-11-48

Draft Do is sub.

20.11.48

22/11/48

11/11/48

24/11/48 (93)

DO. NO. 862 3683/48-1 Dated 22/11/48

Dr. S. S. Chatterjee,

Grant - Loyola
College, Madras - Construction
of Buildings for the Audi-
torium and Library Hall etc.

I am desired to refer to
your letter no D. 4104-48-D
dated 15th Nov. 1948, on the
above subject, and to state

42
Please that the Govt's views
will be communicated to you
soon -

20.11.48

20/11/48

y. s.

Sri Bina Chatterjee
dtd. Joint Secy -
20/11/48. Local Admin.
S. G. J.
New Delhi.

23

E. & P.H. (G.3)

D.O. No. 27725.93/48-6 Edn., dated 7/12/48

Dear Mr. Chatterjee,

Sub:- Grant - Loyola College, Madras - Construc-
tion of buildings for the auditorium and
library hall etc. - Your Do No D-4104-48
D1 dated 15-11-48

I am desired to refer to Professor Humayun
Kabir's D.O. No. 4104/48-D1 dated 26-8-1948 on the above
subject, and to state that the Govt. of Madras consider
that the entire scheme for the construction of building
for the Auditorium and library hall, etc. in the Loyola
College, Madras, may be treated as a single composite
scheme and that the scheme for the construction of
buildings for the auditorium and library hall and the
equipment

2863

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Development Department.

Endorsement No. 138875 P&D V/48-2 dated 20-2-1949.

Sub: Postwar Development - Programme for 1947-48

Ref: Government of India, Ministry of Finance Letter
No. F.1 (10)-PII/47 dated 24-12-1948.

P4 infra

15387

P4 infra

P43-44
ante

Communicated to the Director of Public Instruction with a copy of the letter from the Government of India, Development Board No. 347/RC-Madras dated 29-4-1948. His attention is, in this connection invited to the D.O. to the Joint Educational Adviser, Government of India, No. 27725 G3/48-62 dated 4-12-48, (copy communicated to him).

2. According to the Government of India's letter dated 24-12-1948 cited, the scheme mentioned in the letter will be eligible for financial assistance from Central grant for expenditure incurred in 1947-48, i.e., the Central Government will bear in full a half grant towards the expenditure incurred on the scheme in 1947-48 as for other Post War Development Schemes of 1947-48. The position in regard to the three parts of the scheme is as follows:

Description of the Scheme	Total estimated cost Rs. lakhs)	Half grant (Maximum - grant admissible) - Rs. lakhs.	Amount of grant already sanctioned (Rs. in lakhs)	Balance Rs. in lakhs
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. Construction of an auditorium & laboratory.	5.00	2.50	.50	2.00
2. Equipment for the Chemistry laboratory (for the B.Sc.Hons. Course)	2.41	1.205	.50	.705
3. Construction of buildings for the Chemistry laboratory.	4.00	2.00	2.00	nil

library

The institution may draw the balance of grant admissible on a half grant basis, subject to the maxima shown in Column 5 above for schemes (1) and (2) in the current year. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to take steps to see that the amount of grant admissible is drawn by the institution before the close of the current year.

P.T.O.

-2-

equipment for the Chemistry Laboratory be included in the Post-War Development Programme for 1947-48, subject to the usual conditions. I am to state that the total estimated estimated cost and the cost to this Govt. on account of grants for the scheme in respect of the construction of buildings for the auditorium and library hall and the purchase of equipment for the Chemistry laboratory will be as follows:--

Construction of auditorium and library hall.
Purchase of equipment for the Chemistry laboratory.

Total estimated cost.
Rs. 5 lakhs.
" 2.41 lakhs.

Grant.
Rs. 2.5 lakhs.
Rs. 1.205 "

A grant of Rs 2 lakhs has already been adjusted in respect of the cost of laboratory building in the P.W. Development accounts for 1946-47 and 47-48

2. This Govt. trust the decision of the Govt. of India in the matter will be communicated to this Govt. as early as possible.

Yours sincerely,

To
Sri Bina Chatterjee,
Joint Educational Adviser,
Govt. of India,
New Delhi.
Copy to the Secy Devt. Board
New Delhi
Copy to the D.P.D.

24/11/48
24.11.48
25-11-48

J. K. 21/2.

SUPERIOR

MR
26.8

Copy of letter No. F.1(10)-P.II/47 Dated 24th December, 1948 from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, New-Delhi, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Development Department, Madras.

Madras Government's Development Programme for 1947-48.

In partial modification of the orders contained in para.1 of the Government of India, Development Board letter No. 347/RG-Madras dated the 29th April, 1948, on the subject mentioned above I am directed to state that the following scheme has been generally approved and will be eligible for financial assistance from Central Grant for expenditure incurred in 1947-48.

Description of the Scheme:

Grant to Loyola College, Madras - Construction of Buildings for the Chemistry Laboratory, the Auditorium and Library Hall and purchase of equipment for the Chemistry Honours Course.

R.G. MUNDKUR,
Under Secretary to Government of India.

/True Copy/

Re. 26.2.
SUPERINTENDENT.

Copy of Letter No. 347/RG-Madras dated 29th April, 1948 from the Asst. Secretary, Development Board, Government of India, New-Delhi to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Development Dept.-

Madras Government's Development Programme for 1947-48 - Inclusion of additional schemes --

With reference to your letter No. 15484 P&D VI/48-11 dated the 7th April, 1948, I am directed to say that the following interim schemes have been generally approved and will be eligible for financial assistance from the Central grant in 1947-48.--

S.No.	No. in 5-year plan	Description of the Scheme.
1.	2.	Co-operative workshops for Ex-servicemen
2.	-	Kelingivadi channel - construction of a Head sluice.
3.	-	Grant to Loyola College, Construction of Buildings for B.Sc. (Hon's)

2. As regards the new schemes (vide items 1-4 of the annexure to your letter), I am to observe that it is too late now to approve and include them in 1947-48 programme. Any expenditure incurred on them, it is suggested, may be met from Provincial resources, particularly since the total amount involved is only Rs. 15 lakhs.

R. JAGANNATHAN
Asst. Secretary.

/True Copy/

J.K. 21/2.

Eu naya
SUPERINTENDENT, 26/2/48
16.2.

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

... of the Government of India, Ministry of Education, Government of Madras, ...

P. Chinn

E. & P.H. (G.3)

Section 17(1)

Please keep a clean copy for file

2/1/48

ST 7/3

Depd
1/3

PP45-47cf

From

The Secretary, E. & P.H. Deptt., Madras.

To

The D.P.I.

Sub:- Grant - Loyola College, Madras - Construction of Auditorium and Library hall - Purchase of equipment for the Chemistry Laboratory - Disbursement in 1948-49 - Ordered.

Ref:- Devt. Endt. No. 138875 P. & D.V/48-2 dated 26.2.49.

please arrange to disburse the balance of grant due to the Loyola College, Madras, on the two schemes mentioned above, very urgently, during the current year, to prevent any lapse of funds, as the necessary supplementary appropriation required for the above two schemes relating to the Loyola College is being provided for in the current year's final estimates.

Rs 2 lakhs under 'Building grant' and Rs 70500 under 'Equipment grant'

Payments should be arranged for immediately the claims are received from the Management of the College - 5/3/49

Rajam

No. M. 134/48-49.

Special

53

Loyola College, Cathedral P.O.

Madras, 1st March 1949.

(20)

MAR 1949

From,

THE PRINCIPAL,

LOYOLA COLLEGE,

To,

The Secretary to the Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sir,

Ref: G.O.Ms.No.748- Education dated 2--4--1948 and Endorsement
No. 138875 P & D.V/48-2 dated 26--2--1949 of the
Development Department.

15720

I have the honour to place before you the following
facts for your sympathetic consideration.

The Government was pleased to sanction Rs.2,50,000/- as
half grant for the Library and Auditorium and asked us to draw
the amount before the close of the current year.1948 - 1949.

The Director of Public Instruction, Madras had asked us
in his Proceedings dated R.O.C.No. 805-K/47, dated 5--1--1949 to
submit a draft Mortgage deed to enable us to draw the money in
time. The required draft Mortgage deed and the Encumbrance
Certificate have been submitted to the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras along with our letter No.D.145/48-49 dated
18--1--1949, and No.D.152/48-49, dated 26--1--1949.

Since we cannot draw the money before the Mortgage deed
is executed and considering the short time before us to cash the
bill, I humbly request you to authorise the Director to permit
us to draw the money before the completion of the execution of
the Mortgage deed. I most earnestly request Government to be
pleased to render us this help.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Copy to:

The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

M. Disayan,
PRINCIPAL.

29
E. & P.H. (G.3)

Grant - Buildings - Loyola College, Madras - Auditorium and Library Hall - Disbursement pending execution of mortgage deed - Permitted. PP45-468/9

C.No.15720/49.

Encls Devt. Deptt. Endt. No.138875 P. & D.V/48-2 dated 26.2.49. From the Principal, Loyola College, Madras, No.M.134/48-49 dated 1.3.49.

Memo No 15720 E3/49-2
Order/Ms. Edn., dated 5/3/49

In the circumstances stated by the Principal, Loyola College, Madras, in his letter quoted above, the D.P.I. is authorised to disburse the grant ^{payable} ~~due to above college~~ for during the current year for the construction of auditorium and library hall ^{for} ~~for~~ the ^{Loyola} college, pending the execution of the mortgage deed by the management, which should be done at an early date.

To The D.P.I. (with Encls)
encl-copy of p.1 c.15
The Principal, Loyola
College, Madras. P.T.O. 2.3.49

2/3/49
170

Note

58

EA 2 52

no 15720/49

To The A.G. through Fin.
3 spare copies.

17/4/49

15 MAR 1949

EXP (G3)
no no 15720/49

3/3/49
13616
3 MAR 1949
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

13616/Exp 4/49
3.3.49

Orders sanctioning the grant have already been issued. Orders are required on the Principal's letter for a precedent please see G.O. 1981 E.D. D/10.8.48.
The file may be sent to Finance u.o. for acceptance of

the draft order on p.1 ante.

Finance

Fin/Exp. A)

The Draft memo. overleaf may be accepted.

3.3.49

3/3/49

EXP (G3)

May come.

4/3/49

5 4/3/49

Fin. w. 13616/Exp 4/49
K. (w.)

16593

2/3

The draft may be approved. We had provided Rs.50,000 in this year's budget as part of the quarter grant to be given to this College. The rest of it was provided in part I in the next year's budget. But since the Govt. of India have agreed by themselves to meet half the net cost, I hope the amount that we have provided in this year's budget as well as what has been provided in the part I of next year's budget will not be spent. The matter may be looked up and put up to me for ~~confirmation~~ clarification.

5 - MAR 1949
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Action taken on page 36-37 mb

TSA

5.3.49

30

57

~~B~~ $G \Pi$ (31) 59

Memorandum No. 27938A / PWD / 49-1 dated 15-3-49

Sub: Postwar Development - Programme for 1947-48 --

Ref: Government Endorsement No. 136876 P&D V/48-2 dated 26-2-1949.

In partial modification of paragraph 2 of the Endorsement cited, the Government direct that, for the entry against item 1 in this paragraph, the following be substituted.-

Description of Scheme	Total estimated cost Rs in lakhs	Half grant (maximum grant admissible) Rs. lakhs.	Amount of grant already sanctioned. Rs in lakhs.-	Balance Rs lakhs.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. Construction of an Auditorium and Library.	5.00	2.50	.50	2.00.

C. J. VENKATARAMANA RAO,
Asst. Secretary to Government.

To
The Director of Public Instruction.
The Accountant General (Through Finance Department)

Copy to:-

The Education and Public Health Department.
The Finance Department.

The Principal, Loyola College, Nungambakkam, Madras.

Forwarded/By Order.

J.K. 15/3.

L. S. Zannyan
SUPERINTENDENT.

$$\mathbb{E}H_t(G_2)$$

May be filed with HQ No. 735 Edw

24- 16/3/69.

$$\frac{22}{(7 \div 3) \div 9} \text{ bu}$$

u
9/8/49

17.348

Cherry

For: Government Information No. 13858 1941 V40-2 dated 28-2-1942

1. In the first paragraph of paragraph 2 of the Annexment
ment added, the Government should state, for the entire
sentences in this paragraph, the following as added:
Added.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. Constitution and Library. 5.00	2.50	3.00	2.00	1.00

The President, Loyola College, New Orleans, Louisiana, U.S.A.
 The Finance Department.
 The Education and Public Health Department.
 Copy to:
 The Assistant General (through Finance Department).
 The Director of Public Education.
 And, Secretary to Government.
 P. J. WAKATAMA, JR.

[Faint handwritten notes and markings, possibly "B-100" or similar.]

Secretary, E. & P.H.

88-748 Please see here-with the letter from the Principal of the Loyola College. This may be examined and put up.

ISA 14-4-68

H.M. Education

E. & P. H. (G3)

27725

PP 1-7 cf
PP 9-12 cf
PP 13-15 cf

P. 4

—ds—
P29 of (M)

81. 9

6955

From the Principal, Loyola College, Madras, dated 12.4.1948.
From the D.P.I.R.C.No.805-K/47 dated 21.4.48.
From the D.P.I. R.C.No. 805-K/47 dated 22-4-48.

Please see the letter from the Principal, Loyola College, Madras, on p.1 c.f., its enclosures on p.p. 3-7 c.f., the ~~min~~ minutes of H.M.(Edn) above, the D.P.I.'s letters on p.p. 9 and 13 c.f. and their enclosures on p.p. 11-12 c.f, and 15 c.f. The Principal, Loyola College, Madras, ~~requestes~~ has forwarded a copy of his appeal to the D.P.I., and states that only a sum of Rs.50,000/- has been ^{to} sanctioned ~~for~~ the College for the construction of auditorium and library hall for the College, and that he understands that a sum of Rs. 1,25,000/- has been proposed to be sanctioned by the Govt. for the scheme and ~~requestes~~ that a further instalment of Rs. 75,000/- (after deducting the sum of Rs. 50,000/- sanctioned during the ~~current~~ ^{may be paid} year ~~current~~) during the year 1949-50. He wants clarification on this point. He also requests the Govt. to reconsider their decision to make ^{only} an one-fourth grant ~~to~~ the College for the scheme and invites the attention of the Govt. to the memo. on p.p.11-12 c.f. of (p) in which the scheme was included in the approved list and states that the college is therefore eligible for

eligible for a half-grant for the scheme. He also states that the entire scheme of grant for the Chemistry laboratory buildings for the College (Rs. 2 lakhs) is financed by the Govt. of India as a Post-War Development scheme and that the Govt. of India may also be addressed for including the grant for the cost of equipment for the Chemistry Laboratory, ^{as a P.W. Devt. scheme,} so that the college may be eligible for ^{the} entire half cost of the scheme, i.e. Rs. 1,20,306/-. He also desires that the Govt. of India ^{may} be addressed for treating the scheme relating to the construction of ^{the} auditorium and library hall ^{scheme} for in the Loyola College as a Post-War development, so that they may meet the grant for the purpose; failing which he requests this Govt. to give a half-grant for the scheme, i.e. Rs. 2.50 lakhs, instead of the sum of Rs. 50,000/- now sanctioned in G.O.No.748 Edn., dated 2.4.48.

The D.P.I. while enclosing a copy of the Principal's appeal, states that it is a matter for the Govt. to consider and if what the Principal states is correct, the case of the Loyola College may be considered favourably. ~~Similarly~~ He states that the management had to raise about Rs. 8 lakhs on its own for the recent building schemes and the reduced rate of 1/4 grant adopted by the Govt. is likely to subject the management to great financial difficulties. ^{He therefore} recommends the request of the Principal, Loyola College, for enhancement of the grant for the Auditorium and Library, in view of the difficulties experienced by the management for want of funds, and also in view of the fact that the scheme was included in the approved list. Similarly in respect of the St. Christopher Training College, Madras, the D.P.I. has forwarded a copy of letter from the Correspondent of the College for reconsideration of the orders already issued in regard to the grant for the College for the construction of hostels. He requests that a half-grant of Rs. 1,31,600/- may be sanctioned for this College, ^{also} as this was also previously included in the approved list. The requests are examined below:-

Loyola College, Madras - Auditorium and library hall -

In Feb. 1946, the Govt. sanctioned the ~~included the~~ inclusion in the list of approved schemes the scheme relating to the construction of buildings for the Auditorium and library hall for the Loyola College, Madras, along with the scheme relating to the construction of buildings for the Chemistry laboratory. The estimated cost and the grant proposed for ~~them~~ ^{the scheme} are as follows:--

	Estimated cost.	Proposed grant.
1. Chemistry laboratory	Rs. 4 lakhs.	Rs. 2 lakhs. (1/2 grant)
2. Auditorium & library hall.	" 5 "	" 2.5 lakhs. (-30-)

The grant in respect of item (1) above was treated as a Post-war development scheme and the full amount of grant of Rs. 2 lakhs was already disbursed during 1946-47 and 1947-48. Scheme No (2) was considered in connection with the Part II

Schemes for 1947-48. In that connection, in view of the paucity of funds and on account of the introduction of Prohibition, ~~the grant of Rs. 2.5 lakhs for the auditorium and library hall~~ this Deptt. recommended that ~~that~~ ^{the} grant for the scheme might be spread over two years. A provision of Rs. 1.25 lakhs was proposed for ~~1947-48~~ ^{for 47-48} for inclusion in the B.E. The S.F.C. which met on 14.1.47 considered all the Part II Schemes for 1947-48 and recommended the deletion of ~~this scheme relating to building grant for the auditorium and library hall in the B.E. for 1947-48~~ and ordered that it should be postponed ~~to~~ ^{to} 1948-49. The Principal of the College then appealed for reconsideration of the orders. ^{examined fully and} The subject was then placed before the Cabinet and it was ordered that it should be postponed. H.M.(Edn) minuted that the scheme might be considered as a Part II Scheme for 1948-49 and the D.P.I. ^{was} asked to submit proposals for the same. The D.P.I. was accordingly asked to submit proposals for treating this as a Part II Scheme for 1948-49. He sent his proposals in ^{Oct} September, 1947. He recommended a grant of Rs. 2.5 lakhs for the scheme. The scheme was considered as a Part II Scheme for 1948-49. Please see in this connection the minutes of H.M.(Edn)

proposal in this respect for a half-grant may be negatived.

Finance may see on this point also.

As regards the suggestion for treating ~~the~~ ^{Chemistry Laboratory} the grant ~~Equipment Scheme of P.W.R. Scheme~~ ^{for} towards the cost of equipment for the Chemistry Laboratory, it is doubtful whether at this distance of time in the post-war quinquennium, the Govt. of India will entertain the proposal and sanction ^{any grant for the purpose. The remarks of Finance may be invited.} Finance may however see, and advise.

II. St. Christopher Training College, Madras - Construction of a hostel - Grant for - ~~the~~ In G.O.No.696 Edn., dated 1.4.46, the Govt. sanctioned a grant not exceeding one-half of the actual cost of the scheme for the construction of a hostel for the St. Christopher Trg. College, ^{at the} ~~the~~ ^{the scheme was} estimated cost of Rs. 2,63,200/-. Accordingly a provision of Rs.1,31,600/- was made in the B.E. for 1946-47. Since the management did not take up the work that year, the amount was surrendered. ^{Look up the work in 1947-48 and} the management ~~again~~ ^{applied} for a grant for the purpose in 1947-48 and it was considered as a Part II Scheme for 1948-49. Please see in this connection the Part II Scheme note on p.17 and the recommendations of the S.F.C. and the Cabinet on p.p.19-23 c.f. of (M), with reference to which a provision of Rs.65,800/- has been ^{sanctioned in} ~~made in~~ the D.B. ^{1/4th grant subject to a maximum} or 1948-49 in G.O.No.748 Edn., dated 2-4-1948. In the circumstances, there appears to be no case for reconsidering the orders already passed on the subject. The D.P.I.'s proposal may be negatived.

May be sent to Finance u.o. for remarks before circulation.

VR
4/5/48
8/5/48
6.5.48

8.5.48 S W
Jin WSK J.

Finance (Exp.-A) Deptt.

Please see the note of E.P.H. on pp.1-6 above.

2.0 Grant to the Loyola College, Madras, for the construction of an auditorium and a library:

Finance may agree with the views of E.P.H. that the position may be made clear that the total grant for the scheme

E.P.H. (G3)
No. 277259340-1
28/5/48
36315/2
11 MAY 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

See the marginal note of E.P.H. say on p.4 above

is Rs.1,25,000 of which Rs.50,000 alone ^{will} ~~should~~ be paid in 1948-9--please see the ^{Recommendation of the S.F.C.} ~~order of the Cabinet~~ on page 19 C7 in G.O. 748 Edn of 2.4.48 - (M). ~~sanctioned by the then Finance Secretary (Dr. B.H. Dodwell) at the foot of p.45 of the Note on Part I Estimates and Part II Estimates.~~ ^{No guarantee can be given that the balance will be paid in 1949-50 as it will depend on the financial position in that year. A} on p.4 above: There is no objection to Govt.

of India being addressed for treating this as a post-war scheme. The Finance Minister ^{of the} Government of India has stated in his budget speech/as follows: "It is being made clear to the Provinces that in future the grants from the Centre up to the maximum agreed to will be contingent on the Provinces spending from their own resources at least an equal amount and that the whole scheme of assistance would be subject to readjustment if in the new constitution there is any substantial transfer of resources from the Centre to the units".

If the College should get a half grant of Rs.2.50 lakhs for the scheme, this Government will have to sanction a ^{1.25} ~~1.25~~ grant of Rs.2.50 lakhs, ^{from Govt. funds so that the other half} ~~so that half of this amount~~ viz., Rs.1.25 lakhs may be debited to the Central block ^{to this Govt. have already agreed to pay Rs.1.25 lakhs ultimately,} grant. ^{Fin. may suggest that the Government of India's} approval for treating ^{proposed} ~~the~~ as a post-war scheme may ^{be} ~~first~~ ^{considered} in consultation with Dev. (P & D). The question of issuing revised orders sanctioning 1/2 grant ^{will depend on the form} ~~may be considered after the~~ approval of Government of India ^{is received being obtained if it is decided that they should be allowed in the matter.}

(i) Grant for the Loyola College Chemistry Laboratory - (Request for a 1/2 grant of Rs.1,20,306 instead of Rs.50,000 sanctioned): Finance may agree with E.P.H.

that there is no case for a reconsideration of the orders already issued--G.O.No.735, Education, dated 1-4-1948.

'B' on p.6 above: There is no objection to Government of India being addressed for treating this as a ^{post-war scheme.} ~~post-war scheme.~~ ^{If it is approved by them, the management can be sanctioned an additional grant of Rs.50,000} E.P.H. may consult Dev. (P & D) also in the matter.

3. St. Christopher's Training College - Grant for the construction of a hostel - (Request for a full half grant instead of one-fourth grant now sanctioned):

Finance may agree with the views of E.P.H.

K
18/5/48
K
4A
18/5/48
B

that there is no case for reconsidering the orders in G.O. No. 748, Education, dated 2-4-1948.

15.5.48
15.5.48

18.5.48
18.5.48

18.5.48
E & P.H.

E & P.H. (83)

Fin. G.O. 36395 Exp. A-1

18.5.48

Please see the Finance note on pages 6-8 above. The case may be sent to Dev. (P & D) G.O. 37120 for remarks with reference to A and B on page 7 ante.



Devt. (P & D VI) Dept.

Please see the note of E & P.H. and Finance on pages 1-8 above. The file has been sent to this department for remarks on the question whether the grant to the Loyola college (i) for the construction of an Auditorium and Library and (ii) for the purchase of equipment for the Chemistry Laboratory, can be treated as post-War Schemes. Finance Department have noted that there is no objection to the Government of India being addressed for treating them as post-war schemes. This Department may agree with the views of Finance. It may however be pointed out that in connection with the revision of the 5-year plan, it has been decided that tentatively that the total cost of all schemes relating to Education should not exceed Rs. 11 Crores. As E & P.H. wanted to include some new schemes in the 5-year plan, the main file on the subject has been sent to them. They may include these two schemes also in the revised 5-year plan, if it is finally decided that they should be treated as post-war schemes.

A on 27.5.48
B on 27.5.48

1R

1R

Thro' P.W.R.

18.5.48

Dev. U.O. No.

59791 P.D. 48-1

1-6-48.



No. 4435/48

2 JAN 1948

29.4.48 (M)
4085A

PP 4 and 7 ante

18.5.48

(M) 31/5/48

PP 5 & 6 ante

It is proposed to send a supplementary list of schemes proposed for execution during 1948-49 to the Government of India. E & P.H. may therefore be requested to furnish urgently the necessary particulars in regard to these two schemes in the prescribed form, in case it is finally decided to treat them as post-war schemes.

With these remarks, the file may be returned to E & P.H.

31/5/48
31/5/48

31/5/48
16.6.48

S.

1/6

E & P.H.

E & P.H. (63)

From the Principal, Loyola College, Madras, dated 30-5-48.

Please see the Dev. (P & D) note on pages 8-9 above and the D.O. at pp. 17-18 c.f. since received from the Principal, Loyola College, Madras. The proposals in this case are:-

- (1) that the orders in G.O. No. 748 Edn., dated 2-4-48 may be clarified to the effect that the total grant to the Loyola College, Madras, for the scheme relating to the construction of buildings for the Auditorium and Library will be Rs. 1,25,000/- of which Rs. 50,000/- above will be paid in 1948-49, the balance of Rs. 75,000/- being paid in 1949-50 or in any subsequent year, depending on the financial position of Govt. in that year;
- (2) that the request of the Principal, Loyola College, Madras, that the previous orders of Govt. may be reconsidered and $\frac{1}{2}$ grant sanctioned for the scheme referred to in (1) above and for the scheme relating to the equipment of the chemistry laboratory, may be negatived.

P.T.O.

(3) that the request of the correspondent of the St. Christopher Training College for Women, Madras, for reconsidering the orders in G.O.No.748 Edn. dated 2-4-48 and sanctioning a $\frac{1}{2}$ grant for the construction of hostel may be negatived; and

(4) that the Govt of India may be addressed to ^{that} the schemes for the construction of an Auditorium and Library hall for the Loyola College and for purchase of equipment for the chemistry laboratory in the College as Post-War Schemes.

Finance agree to the above proposals. Dev. (P & D) also agree with Finance in respect of proposals (4) above and state that these two schemes may be included in the revised 5 year plan if it is finally decided to treat them as post-war schemes.

If the two schemes are approved by the Govt. of India, with ~~the~~ ^{the} management of the College will become eligible for additional grants of Rs. 1.25 lakhs and Rs.50,000 respectively from the central block grant, being the amounts equal to those sanctioned for the schemes from provincial funds. The case may be circulated to H.M.(Edn), and H.M.(Fin), for orders whether the above proposals may be approved.


This recommendation No.4 is based upon the information given in the letter of the Correspondent of the Loyola College, of the 30th May, but I would like to know whether this Government have received any information to this effect.

Proposal No.4 is to ~~recommen~~ ^{recommen} apply for Govt. of India's approval for the schemes referred

to being treated as ~~Post War~~ ^{Post War} scheme and thus make the schemes eligible for a half grant 50% of the expense incurred by the ~~Post~~ Provincial Govt. being claimed from the Govt. of India. It is submitted that this proposal is not based on the information given in the letter of the correspondent dated 30-5-48. As for 'A' in the correspondent's letter it does not seem that this Govt. have received any information to that effect. The adjustment procedure for the Planning and Development grants by the Central Govt for 1948-49 is contained in the Fin. Dept. Memo No. 41039/PWR-2 & 12-6-48.

The scheme is detailed at page 5 ante. The request of the Principal, Loyola College, is that the grant for the purchase of equipment required for the B.Sc (Honours) course, may be fixed at one-half of the estimated cost and that the expenditure may be debited to the Post War Schemes' account for 1947-48. HM (Edn) desires to know whether this request is permissible. The remarks of Dev (P & D) and Finance may be invited.

E.O.P.H. UD. No. 27725/93/48-X3
28/6/48



28/6/48

Dist. (P.W.D.) Dept.

Previous note of the department on pp 8-9 ante]

Further notes of E.O.P.H. and the minutes of H.M. (Edn) on p. 10 ante may be perused. The file has been sent to the department for remarks on the question whether the grant to Loyola College for the purchase of equipment required for B.Sc (Hons) course

may be debited to the post-war development account for 1947-48.

Apparently, the suggestion of the Principal, Loyola College, is that the scheme should be reported to Govt. of India as an item under the main scheme "grant to Loyola College for the construction of buildings for B. Sc. (Hons)" which has already been approved by Govt. of India as eligible for financial assistance for 1946-47 and 1947-48. and that a grant of Rs 1,25,000 may be claimed from Govt. of India.

In the case of Scheme No. 18 "Construction of permanent buildings for 'Saxum Institute'" this Govt. had addressed to Govt. of India in February 1948 to include this scheme in the list of schemes eligible for financial assistance in 1947-48. In that connection, the Govt. of India have stated as follows: "The construction of permanent buildings for the Saxum Institute has been generally approved; as, however, the financial year 1947-48 is closed, the expenditure incurred on this scheme will be reimbursed in the current year". From this, it will be seen that it will not be possible to debit the expenditure on the scheme to the question to the accounts of 1947-48. The only other point for consideration is whether the Govt. of India will agree to reimburse the expenditure on this scheme in the current year.

With these remarks, the file may be passed on to Finance.



6/7/48
S. M.
6/7/48
S.

Finance (ExpA)

(Previous Finance note on pp 6-8 above)
The further notes of L&P & Devt (P+D) on pp 10-12 above with reference to the minute of H.M. Edu. on p. 10 above may be perused.


Finance may agree with Devt. that it is not possible now to debit the expenditure on the scheme in question to the block grant for 1947-8 as the financial year has closed. As regards the other point, namely to treat it as a post war scheme for purposes of claiming grant from the block grant for the current year, the Govt. of India will have to be addressed for their prior approval of the inclusion of the scheme in the post war devt. scheme for 1948-9.

for 1947-48
13/7/48
THO' PWR.

12/7/48
12/7/48

15/7/48
15/7/48

Fin No. 49291 E.A.



52975

Please see this dept. note on pp 9-10 and the minutes of H.M. (Edu) on p. 10 and the subsequent notes. As regards the statement of the Principal that he is informed that he may give full grant if this Govt. recommends it and ask for its adjustment to the accounts of 1947-48, it is submitted that this Govt. have no information to that effect. Fin states that it is not possible now to debit the expenditure in the scheme in question. The scheme for the purchase of equipment required for the B.Sc. (Hons) Chemistry

E.P.

course - to the L. of I. block grant for 1947-48. may suggest that the Govt. of India may be approached for the inclusion of this scheme in the Post War Development Programme for 1948-49.

This may be circulated to L.M. (Edu) with reference to his minutes on p. 10 ante and for orders on the proposals detailed in this Dept. note on pp 9-10 ante.

Item (2) on page 9 ante (to Govt) as it relates to the payment of grant for the purchase of equipment will remain modified if the suggestion of Finance at 'P' above is accepted; in other words, against an estimated cost of Rs 2,40,612 for the purchase of equipment, one-fourth of the cost will have to be debited to the P.W. development account and one-fourth will have to be given as grant from Provincial funds. There will then be an increase of this Govt's grant from Rs 50,000 to Rs 60,150.

Finance may see again prior to circulation.

22.7.48

S. J. W. R. J.

E. B. P. H. U. O.

27725/43/48-4

23.7.48



53059/48

Fin (ExpA)

(Previous Finance note on p 13 above)

The further note of E.P.H. on pp 13-14 above may be perused.

2. The estimated cost of the scheme for purchase of equipment is Rs 2,40,612/-. The grant asked for by the Loyola College authorities is Rs 1,20,306/4 (half grant). The amount already sanctioned by the Govt. in the current year for the scheme is Rs 50,000/-. If the scheme is accepted by the Govt. of India as a post war devt. scheme, and a half grant is sanctioned by the Govt. (Rs 1,20,306), it will be eligible for a grant from the Centre to the extent of half of the expenditure incurred by the provincial Government (or Rs 60,150/-).

Please see

120,306 below
60,150
60,150
30,000
10,150

Thro' P.W.R.

26/7/48

of P. 31(1), (K)

The extra cost to Govt. will therefore be Rs 10,150/- (Rs 60,150/- - 50,000/-).

The position as stated by E.P.H. at A on p. 14 above is therefore correct.

When the Govt. agreed to sanction a grant of Rs 50,000 for the scheme in question, they were aware of the fact that the total cost of the scheme was Rs 2,40,612. They did not then agree to a half grant of Rs 1,20,306. Even the grant of Rs 50,000 was sanctioned as a special case. If the S.I. is to be addressed for a subsidy in respect of this scheme, they will have to be specifically informed that this Govt. are prepared to subsidize from Provincial funds only to the extent of Rs 50,000 and their subsidy may be restricted to the same amount, since they have stated that they will be prepared to finance all postwar schemes only to the extent up to which expenditure is incurred on them from Provincial funds. In other words, their subsidy will be equal to half the total expenditure incurred by the Provincial Govt. on any scheme - cf. K on page 7 above. The total grant that may be sanctioned in the present case is Rs One lakh, of which Rs 50,000 provided the S.I. agree to bear half the cost of the scheme treating it as a postwar development scheme. The Provincial Govt's liability will then be restricted to Rs 50,000 and not Rs 60,150 as proposed by E.P.H.

26.7.48

A above may be done as it was apparently intended that the cost to this Govt. should not exceed Rs 50,000.

27.7.48 E.P.H.

E. J. P. H. (43)

With reference to L.M. (Edu)'s minute

Fin No 53059 E.A/48-1
27.7.48



(40)

and H.^M(Fin) for orders on the above, viz. that the Govt. of India may be addressed in the matter.

RS
12/8/48
H. (Fin)

13/8/48

S. by
16/8/48
H. (Fin)



Bnt. that will mean that we will not get back half the amount that we have given as grant from the Govt. of India. ~~to~~ we have many programmes for which we want money, + we cannot give up on getting half grant on the amount we have paid.

If they can get from Govt of India they may apply direct with out referto affecting on financial position.

ISA
22-8-48

ETH(93)

With reference to H.M's minute above, attention is invited to paragraph 2 of the Finance Department's memorandum No. 41039/P.W.R.-2 dated 12.6.1948, under which the "the actual grants (from the Government of India's block grants) will be limited to 50% of the expenditure incurred by the Provincial Govt. on development schemes, approved by the Govt. of India and sanctioned by the Provincial Government". In the case of these two ^{Loyola College} schemes, the figures of

(J)

The orders in circulation so far as they relate to X above, relate to turning down the request of the Principal, Loyola College, for addressing the G. of India for debiting the expre. on purchase of equipment for the B.Sc. Hons. course in the P.W. scheme Account for 47-48.

will be paid after approval

No

on p 10 ante attention is invited to this dept. note on pp 13-14 ante and the fin. note on pp 14-15 ante. The case may now be circulated to HM (Edn).

The ^{four} points for orders are set out in the notes on pages 9-10 ante. Development CP&D and Finance say in reply to HM's query on page 10 ante that it is not now possible to debit the expenditure on the purchase of equipment in the Post War Schemes' account for 1947-48.

30-7-48

S. by
31/7/48

H. (Fin)

1/8/48

With regard to the four points for orders on pages 9 and 10, (1) yes; (2) no; (3) no; (4) no; in view of 'X' above.

ISA
2-8-48



9.8

Please see the orders in circulation above. Finance have no objection to ~~treating~~ the schemes relating to the purchase of equipment for the laboratory for the Chemistry Honours course and the construction of buildings for the Auditorium and Library Hall in the Loyola College, Madras, being treated as Post-War Development schemes. ^{for 48-49.} Devt. (P. & D.) also have no objection. The Govt. of India have now to be addressed. The effect will be that the Loyola College will get ~~an~~ an increased grant of Rs. 1.25 lakhs for the scheme relating to the construction of buildings for the Auditorium and Library hall and Rs. 50,000/- towards the purchase of equipment for the laboratory for the Chemistry Honours course.

These sums are ~~equivalent~~ equivalent to the amounts ~~thereof~~ agreed for payment by this Govt. as grants for the above two schemes. The file may ~~again~~ be recirculated to H.M. (Edn)

and

of total estimated ~~expend~~ expenditure and the grants ~~san~~ sanctioned are the following:--

	Estimated expenditure.	Grant sanctioned by the Govt.
	Rs.	Rs.
(1) Construction of auditorium and library hall.	5 lakhs.	1.25 lakhs, out of which Rs.50,000/- will be paid during 1948-49.
(2) Equipment of the Chemistry Laboratory.	2.41 "	0.50 lakhs.

Development (P.& D.) and Finance Departments have already agreed to addressing the Government of India for their permission to treat the above two schemes as Post-War Development Schemes for 1948-49. Having regard to the principle mentioned ~~above~~ in Finance Department's memorandum referred to above, the grant that the Provincial Govt. will become eligible to in respect of the two schemes will be as follows:--

- (1) Auditorium and library hall. Rs.62,500/-
- (2) Equipment of Chemistry lab. " 25,000/-

These amounts represent half the total expenditure of the Provincial Government on grants for the above two schemes. It may be observed that ~~having~~ in regard to item (2) above, i.e. equipment of the chemistry laboratory, Finance Deptt. have made it clear that the management can be sanctioned an additional grant. ~~of Rs.50,000/-~~. If this is done, the total grant for the scheme will become one lakh of rupees out of which Rs.50,000/- will be borne by the Provincial Govt. and Rs.50,000/- ~~from~~ from the block grant ~~of~~ the Central Govt. The position is the same as regards item (1) also. It is for consideration whether the Provincial Government's expenditure on grant for ~~item (1)~~ ^{these items} may be put down for purposes of reference to Govt. of India, as Rs. 2.5 lakhs, ~~and Rs.1-lakh.~~ ^{Rs.1-lakh.} ~~Otherwise~~, the Provincial Government will become eligible only for Rs. 62,500/- and Rs.25,000/- respectively for the above two schemes, as already stated above.

Re.

P.T.O

Regarding B of H.M.'s minute on p.17 ante. - The Govt. of India's grants are made to the Provincial Governments and not direct to the institutions. The grant is contingent upon the Provincial Government bearing one-half.

I am surprised at this matter being put ^{up} again and again. The Standing Finance Committee and afterwards the Cabinet considered these matters very fully and they have said that we will be unable to make any more grant to these institutions. It is enough if these amounts are included in the Post-war Development Schemes. It is expected that we will get half of the amount that we are giving.



E.& P.H.(G.3)

A D.O. since received from the Joint Education ~~Ad~~ Adviser to the Govt. of India on the question of building and equipment grants to the Loyola College, has been added to the file - p 19cf. An interim reply has been sent to him that the question may be kept open until the reply of this Govt. is received by him. The point raised in the D.O. is whether the undermentioned schemes relating to the Loyola College may be treated as one and included in the Development programme for 1947-48

P.T.O

subject to the "usual conditions":

Estimated cost.

- (i) Construction of Chemistry Laboratory for the B.Sc. Honours Course newly introduced
- (ii) Construction of auditorium and library hall.
- (iii) Purchase of equipment for the Chemistry Honours Course.

Rs.
4 lakhs. P3 ante

5 lakhs. P3 ante

2.41 " P5 ante

11.41 "

The three items are dealt with in

detail in the notes on pages 3-5 ante. A grant of Rs. 2 lakhs in respect of item (i) above, has been made to the authorities of the institution and the amount has been adjusted in the accounts for 1946-47 and 1947-48 under Post war Reconstruction Fund; thus the entire grant of Rs.2 lakhs has been met by the Govt. of India.

2/. In respect of items (ii) it has been decided to pay a grant of Rs.1.25 lakhs spread over 1948-49 (Rs.50,000) and 1949-50 (Rs.75000) or in any subsequent year depending upon the financial position, to address the Government of India to treat it as a Post-War Scheme for 1948-49 and get half of the amounts referred to above. So far as item (iii) is concerned, a promise of Rs.50,000 from Provincial funds has been made to the management and it has been decided to address India to treat this also as a Post-War Scheme for 1948-49, thereby getting one-half of it from that Govt. If as suggested in the D.O., the three items are included in the Development programme for 1947-48, the restriction imposed in the current year that in respect of Post-War schemes an equal amount should be spent by the Provincial Govt. will not apply and the entire cost may be borne by the Govt. of India, the expenditure being debited to the Post-War schemes' account. In that case, the management may be enabled to get an additional grant of Rs.2 lakhs in respect of item (i), and the entire cost of items (ii) and (iii), aggregating to Rs.4.41 lakhs; this Govt. may not perhaps have to pay the grant of Rs.1.25 + .50 agreed to by them in respect of items (ii) and (iii). The question of debiting the expenditure on item (iii) to the Post

Estimated cost.

Rs.
4 lakhs. P3 ante

5 lakhs. P3 ante

2.41 " P5 ante

11.41 "

Post War account for 1947-48 was raised previously at the instance of the Principal of the College and Dev(P & D) and Finance stated that it was not permissible -- vide pages 11-13 ante. The D.P.I. has recommended that the three items may be treated as one composite scheme. Inasmuch as the Government of India themselves have raised this question, apparently with the idea of helping the authorities of the institution for having undertaken these schemes, the reply to the D.O. may perhaps be in the affirmative. If, however, the words "usual conditions" refer to the principle of sharing the expenditure half and half by the Central and the Provincial Govt. introduced from the current year, it may be suggested that the expenditure incurred on the three items upto 1947-48 may be debited to the Post-War Reconstruction account and that the expenditure from the current year on items (ii) and (iii) may be limited as shown in the beginning of this para.

3 Dev.(P & D) and Finance may see prior to circulation.

With reference to 'P' on page 19 ante it may be submitted that as the orders at page 16 ante, and point (4), were with reference to 'X' on page 16 ante (that no debit could be made for 47-48), and as 'B' on p.17 ante still indicated that the idea was to help the College with a grant from the Govt. of India without our own liability being increased, we had to invite attention to the fact that it was still open to us to include the scheme for 48-49 and that if we formally increased our grant as indicated at 'B' at page 18 ante, we would, without having our own commitments increased, get an equivalent amount from the Govt. of India for the College. The present communication at p.19 c.f. has made a further difference. The Govt. of India are inclined to treat on the schemes as Schemes for 47-48. The

implications have been set out in the note above.

We may agree to the proposal, assuming, (we will tell the Govt. of India so), that the position will then be as at 'T' on p.20 ante.

Even if the Govt. of India wish to treat it only as a "continuous" scheme, and make payment only of the total cost minus the cost we have committed ourselves to, ('R' at page 20 ante) we may still agree - as that will be a question of giving considerable extra benefit to the College without our own commitment being increased. This will not be possible, however, if our stand is to be that indicated at 'K' on p.19 ante. That stand would mean that the scheme is to be put up only with reference to our own commitment on it, that we should recoup from the Govt. of India half of that amount, and that the Loyola College will not get any benefit beyond the grant which we give. We shall meet that since the idea at the earlier stages of this case was, as far as I can see, to get some extra benefit for the Loyola College without increasing our own commitment, and not merely to recoup from the Govt. of India half of what we had agreed to give to the College, we need not take up that attitude.

10248
25.9.48
Dev. (P&VI) Department

Development (P&VI) Department.

This Department last noted on pages 11-12 ante. Further notes of E & P.H and Finance, the D.O. from the Joint Educational Adviser to the Government of India on page 19 c.f., and the Minutes of H.M (Edn) on pages 16, 17 and 19 ante, may be perused. The Ministry of Education presumes that the Government of Madras would be inclined to treat the three schemes relating to the Loyola College, mentioned on page 20 ante as one scheme and include it in the development programme for 1947-48, subject to the usual condi-

17 on p.19

E & P.H (G3)

Res No. 27258/48-4

22/7/48

P on p.21 ante

K on p.12 ante

M on p.10 ante

10248/25/9/48

P on p.21 ante

N

tions. E & P.H propose to reply in the affirmative and to request that the entire expenditure incurred on the scheme up to 1947-48 may be debited to P.W.R account and that the grants from the current year on items (ii) and (iii) on page 20 ante may be limited as shown at "B" on page 18 ante.

Till now, the three schemes have been kept separate. Item (i) has already been approved by the Government of India and items (ii) and (iii) are proposed to be reported to the Government of India for approval as postwar schemes. However, there seems to be no objection in treating these schemes as one ^{composite} ~~component~~ scheme especially as the Government of India, Ministry of Education also appear to share the same view. As regards the suggestion to recoup the entire expenditure incurred up to 1947-48 from the Government of India's block grant, attention is invited to para. 3 of this department's note on page 12 ante. The communication referred to in that paragraph was received from the Development Board who are directly concerned with the payment of grant. The D.O. on page 19 c.f. has come from the Ministry of Education and it is doubtful whether the Development Board or the Ministry of Finance have accepted the view contained in that letter. Therefore, it seems doubtful whether the Government of India will agree to reimburse the entire expenditure incurred up to 1947-48 from out of the block grant. It may also be pointed out that this scheme stands on a different footing from other postwar schemes which are managed directly by the Government of India. For purposes of grant, it would appear that the Government of India will take into account only the actual expenditure incurred by the provincial Government -- in this case, the actual grant paid up to 1947-48 -- and not the

actual expenditure incurred by the College authorities. However, in view of the view taken by the Ministry of Education, this department need not object to the Government of India being addressed as suggested by E & P.H. This department may however suggest that a copy of this Government's reply may be sent to the Secretary, Development Board also.

With these remarks, the file may be passed on to the Finance Department.

Finance (Exp.-A) Deptt.

(Previous Finance note on pp.14-15 above).

The orders in circulation on pp.16-17 and 19 above, the d.o. from the Joint Educational Adviser to the Govt. of India on p.19 c.f. and the notes of E.P.H. and Devt. (P & D) on pp.19-24 above may be perused.

The three schemes relating to the Loyola College which were considered by the Govt. for financial assistance are mentioned below:--

	Estimated cost. Rs. lakhs.
(i) Construction of Chemistry Laboratory for the B.Sc. Honours Course newly introduced..	4 lakhs.
(ii) Construction of auditorium and library hall ..	5 lakhs.
(iii) Purchase of equipment for the Chemistry Honours Course ..	2.41 lakhs.
	11.41 "

In respect of item (1) above, a grant of Rs. 2 lakhs has been sanctioned by the Govt. and adjusted in the accounts of the years 1946-7 and 1947-8 under Post-war Reconstruction Fund. The entire grant being met by the Govt. of India. As for item (ii) above, it has been decided that a grant of Rs. 1.25 lakhs



may be paid in the years 1948-9 (Rs. 50 lakhs) and 1949-50 or any subsequent year (Rs. 75 lakhs). In regard to item (iii) above, the Govt. have sanctioned a grant of Rs. 50,000 only to be paid in 1948-9. In the light of what is stated in the d.o. on p.19 c.f. from the Joint Educational Adviser to the Govt. of India, E.P.H. propose that all the three schemes mentioned above may be treated as one composite scheme and treated as a Post-war scheme for 1947-8. They consider that if this is done, the scheme No.(i) on p.24 above will be eligible for an additional grant of Rs. 2 lakhs in respect of the expenditure incurred in 1947-8. In the Education Deptt. Memo. dated 1-2-1946 referred to in the d.o. on p.19 c.f., this Govt. fixed the maximum grant admissible in respect of this scheme as Rs. 2 lakhs, or half the estimated cost of the building. This amount has been disbursed and the Govt. of India have borne this expenditure fully as Post-war grant. The scheme is not eligible for any further grant, unless the Govt. intend to make a grant equivalent to more than half the cost. There does not appear to be adequate justification to make more than a half grant in this case. It does not appear that even the college authorities ask for more grant. Finance may advise that no further action need be taken in regard to item (i) on p.24 above (building for the chemistry block). The maximum grant admissible on the usual half grant basis for the 2 schemes is Rs. 2.75 lakhs.

3. As regards items (ii) and (iii) on p.24 above, E.P.H. Secretary has noted that if the Government "formally" increased the grants for items (ii) and (iii) to Rs. 2.5 lakhs and Rs. 1 lakh respectively, the Govt. would, without having their own commitments, viz., Rs. 1.25 lakhs for item (ii) and Rs. 50 lakhs for item (iii) increased get an equivalent amount from the Govt. of India for the college. Attention is invited to the minute of H.M.(Edn.) agreed to by H.M.(Fin.) on p.19 above and to the remarks of E & P.H. Secretary at 'K' on p.22 above. As the proposal of E.P.H. will not commit the Govt. to any extra expenditure, we need not object to the

Govt. of India being addressed for inclusion of items (ii) and (iii) on p.24 above in the post-war development programme for 1948-9 informing them that the total grant proposed to be given will be as suggested at 'B' on p.18 above. Of this, half may be debited against the block grant for 1948-9, provided the Govt. of India agree to the inclusion of the items in the 1948-9 programme.

It may be pointed out that the position stated at 'X' above applies also to items (ii) and (iii) on p.24 above. It will not therefore be correct to assume that according to the Govt. of India proposal, a total grant of Rs. 9.41 lakhs

will be admissible for the 2 items. The maximum grant admissible on the usual 1/2 grant basis for the two schemes is Rs. 3.705 lakhs.

4. E.P.H. may be requested to circulate the case to H.M.(Fin.) also before addressing the Govt. of India as proposed above.

AA 13/10/48 It is not clear what exactly the Govt. mean by inclusion of the whole scheme in the Serl. programme for 1947-8 subject to the usual conditions. If they mean that they will give a grant to cover the entire commitment of this Govt. in respect of grants for the ~~the scheme~~ ^{the scheme} it will be advantageous to this Govt. Even if that be the intention of the Govt, the total commitment of this Govt. cannot exceed half the cost of the three items mentioned on p.24 above. ^{as the policy of the Govt. is to give half grant to private institutions for all buildings & equipment} For item (i), a half grant has already been given, and the Govt have met it in full. There is nothing further to be done in regard to this item.

As regards items (ii) and (iii) on p.24 above, this Govt. have so far decided to give a grant of Rs. 1.75 lakhs. If a half grant is given, the amount of grant will be Rs. 3.705 lakhs.

If the intention of the Govt is as at 'X' above, they will bear the entire amount of Rs. 3.705 lakhs, there will be no liability for the Govt.

B 13.10.48 Also below for 13.10.48

B 13.10.48 = 3.705 lakhs

* as under procedure for all P.W. Dept schemes from 1947-8.

1,85,000 - 1,75,000 = 10,000

3.50

K

File No. 8908194-1

14.10.48



75780 p.3 ante

p.3 ante

p.5 ante

PP 24-25 ante

45 If Govt. of India however, the Govt. will meet only half of this, the Madras Govt's share will be Rs. 85,250 or Rs. 10,250 in excess of the amount already decided upon. In the latter case, the Madras Govt. may adhere to the amount already decided upon (Rs. 1,75,000) and ask the Govt for a grant for an equal amount as for other P.W. Dept. schemes for 1948-9.

ESPH. may take orders in consultation on the point whether this Govt's commitment in respect of items (ii) and (iii) on p.24 above may be raised to half the cost, subject to the condition that the Govt bear the entire commitment or at least a half the commitment. Even in the latter case, there will be no addl. expn. to the Govt. beyond what they have already decided upon if the course at 'K' above is adopted.

For 14.10.48 ESPH.

E. & P.H. (G.3)

The three schemes relating to the Loyola College which were considered by the Govt. for financial assistance are mentioned below:-

	Estimated cost in lakhs
	Rs.
(i) Construction of Chemistry laboratory for the B.Sc. Honours course newly started.	4 lakhs.
(ii) Construction of auditorium and library hall.	5 "
(iii) Purchase of equipment for the Chemistry Honours course.	2.41 lakhs.
	11.41 lakhs.

In respect of item (i) above, a grant of Rs. 2 lakhs has been sanctioned

sanctioned by the Government in 1946-47 and 1947-48.
The entire grant was borne by the Govt. of India.
action is required in respect of this item.

Finance suggest that
no further
his dept. may agree
in future

2. The questions now under discussion in the file relate to the grant
for items (ii) and (iii), namely, construction of Auditorium and
Library hall and purchase of equipment for the chemistry (Hons.)

course in the Loyola College. The Government have decided to
sanction grants of Rs.1.25 lakhs (out of which Rs.50,000 was to be
paid in 1948-49 and the balance later) and Rs.0.50 lakh
respectively in respect of these two items. These grants
represented one fourth of the estimated cost in the case of
item (ii) and less than one-fourth of such cost in the case of
item (iii). The Principal Loyola College in April, 1948 requested
Government to reconsider the decision in respect of these

CF part 2 on
page 20 ante

grants and make a half grant for them. He suggested that
the entire half-grant might be secured from the Govt. of India
Post War Reconstruction grant, as in the case of the Chemistry
Laboratory buildings, and if the Govt. of India had been addressed earlier

plcf

in the matter. The D.P.I. recommended the request. The Devt.
(P. & D.) and the Finance Departments had no objection to Govt.
of India being addressed in respect of these grants, the Principal in a letter dated 30th May, 1948, stated
that there was a chance of the full grant being secured from the
Govt. of India if the scheme was treated as one relating to

1947-48. Devt. and Finance thought that this was not feasible as the year
had closed. In his letter of 26th August, 1948, the Joint Educational

pages
7-8
ante
pages
17-18
cf

Adviser has now asked whether this Govt. have any objection to
treating the Chemistry laboratory, Library hall and Auditorium and
Equipment for the Chemistry Laboratory as one single scheme
and to including it as such in the Development programme for
1947-48. The Director of Public Instruction has recommended

pages
11-13
big ante
y.

that these items may be treated as part of a Composite scheme.

This Dept's intention was that if the College could be helped
with a grant from the Govt. of India without our liability being
increased, Govt. might formally increase their commitment in

pages 21-22 ante

X that is
Rs 1.25 lakhs
and
Rs 0.50 lakh.

pages 22-26 ante

7m note
on page
26-27
ante

2.50 lakhs
1.205
3.705

1-18 y

This is a matter for the
Govt. of India
to decide if they agree
to increase their grants for
the Loyola college. It is a
matter for the Govt. of India
to decide if they agree to
increase their grants for
the Loyola college.

respect of the schemes (to Rs.2.50 lakhs and Rs.1 lakh
respectively), and thereby get an equivalent amount
of what we would pay as grant from the Government of
India. The Joint Educational adviser's letter on p 19
c.f. has made a further difference; it will be seen
from it that the Govt. of India are inclined to treat
all the three schemes of the Loyola college, mentioned
above as schemes for 1947-48. As the implication of
this proposal is that the Govt. of India would bear
the entire commitment of this Govt. so far as expenditure
in that year is concerned, that the proposal
may be agreed to. Devt. and Fin. have no objection to
this. As observed by Finance, it is not clear what
exactly the joint Educational adviser's suggestion
means. If it means that the total amount of Govt.

ETP 24 Consider

ADL DS

that the Govt. of India will bear

grant on the two schemes (a half grant for the schemes
will be Rs.3.705 lakhs), there will be no liability
at all to this Govt. Even if only half of our half-
grant is to come from the Govt. of India's fund, it
will be advantageous to agree to the proposal as the
College will thereby be getting more grant than what
we can pay from Provincial funds while liability which
we already stand committed will not be increased.
In this view it is for consideration,

(i) whether the Govt. of India may be informed in reply
to the letter on p 19 c.f. that their presumption is
correct, and (ii) whether in view of the suggestion of
the G. of I. the total commitment of this Govt. may
be formally increased to half the estimated cost of
the schemes, for the Auditorium and Library hall and
equipment for Chemistry Hons. in the Loyola college
and (iii) whether the Ministry of
Edn. may be asked to use its influence with the Develop-
ment Board to have the schemes included in the programme
for 1947-48, for purposes of grants in respect of that year and 1948-49.

Training

3. As regards grant for St. Christopher's college, the D.P.I. in his letter on p 35 presses for a half grant instead of an one-fourth grant which has been sanctioned. It has already been decided not to reconsider the orders already passed. ^{Item (3) in H.M.'s minute on page 16 ante} It is suggested that orders may be issued accordingly, and that no further discussion of this matter is necessary.

4. The case may be circulated to H.M.(Edn.) and H.N.(Fin.) for orders on the points at M on the previous page.

29-10-48

(Minute on p. 19 ante; H.M.C.E.N. (Munich))

This matter was exhaustively discussed in the Standing Finance Committee and the Cabinet and then the grant to be given to these institutions is arrived at. I don't think we should do anything over the decision of the Cabinet in this matter. If the Govt. of India will give any grant without our spending anything more, we will not have any objection.

15A
8. 11-48

The entire scheme may be treated as one composite scheme and items 2 & 3 included in the P.W.D. scheme for 47-48, the implication being that Govt. of India will pay the entire cost of items 2 & 3 (Half of 5 lakhs + half of 2.41 lakhs). Govt. of India may be addressed accordingly.

If Govt. of India accepts the proposal and I hear they are inclined to do so, we will be saving 1.75 lakhs which we promised to the College.

St. Christopher's College may be considered separately.

Bly. A
14.XI



The file may be re-circulated to H.M.C.E.N. with reference to the minutes of H.M.C. (Fin) on page 30 ante.

17-11-48

17/11/48

We treated both the institutions together and we may give the same treatment to both the institutions. If we also save money and get these institutions some grant, there is everything to be said in favour of such a proposal. We may provide for both in the P.W.D. scheme for 47-48. I don't want to be said that we have differentiated them.

18A
22-11-48

E. & P.H. (G.3)

Please see the minutes of H.M. (Edn) above. The scheme relating to the payment of grant to the St. Christopher Training College is explained on p.6 ante. It will be seen therefrom that it was not treated as a post-war development. The request for a half-grant to the St. Christopher Training College was considered and orders were passed by H.M. (Edn) and H.M. (Fin) in their minutes dated 2-8-48 and 9.8.48 at p.16 ante. ^(Item 3) It is only at a very late stage that the request might be ^{negatively} ~~negatively~~ It is only at a very late stage that the D.P.I. suggested that this scheme also may be treated as a post-war development scheme.

Letter on P35g

On the other hand, the proposal in this file ~~was~~ ^{which} originated from the letter of the Correspondent, Loyola College, Madras, dated 12.4.48, has been that the schemes relating to the Loyola College should be included in the post-war development programme and this has been considered in consultation with Devt. (P. & D.) and Finance, who have agreed to the inclusion of the schemes relating to the Loyola College in the post-war development programme for 1947-48. The Govt. of India have ^{specifically} asked for the remarks of this Deptt. in respect of the schemes relating to the Loyola College ^{inclusion of the} ~~in the post-war devt. programme~~ for 1947-48.

P19g

PP11-13 ante

PP22-27 ante

P19g

The Joint Educational Adviser to the Govt. of India has also sent a reminder. In view of the urgent need to send a reply to the D.O. of the Joint Educational Adviser to the Govt. of India, it is proposed to send a reply to him in accordance with the orders ^{already} passed in circulation, on the question of inclusion of the schemes relating to the Loyola College, Madras, in the Post-war development programme, and deal with the case of the St. Christopher Training College, if necessary, on the same lines, after a reply is sent to the Joint Educational Adviser. A draft D.O. in respect of the Loyola College schemes is submitted for approval. Devt. (P. & D.) and Finance may see ~~before issue~~ it.

RS 25/11/48
25/11/48
25/11/48

125831

E.H.H. (G3)
C.O. No. 27725/48-5
26/11/48

Development (P&D VI) Department.

(Previous note of this department on pages 22-24 ante)


Further notes of E & P.H. and Finance on pages 24-32 ante, the orders in circulation on pages 30-31 ante and the draft D.O. below may be perused and the file passed on to Finance.

8/11/48
27 NOV 1948
21/11/48
J.S.B. Fin



Finl (Exp. A) Devt. (P&D VI)
C.O. 125831 P&D VI/48-1
3/12/48

May be perused & returned to
E.H.H.
27/11/48
21/12/48



E. & P.H. (G.3)

(Issued as D.O. No. 27725-G3/48-6 Edn., dated 4-12-1948).

The orders of the Govt. of India in the matter may be awaited. Necessary extracts have been taken in respect of the/notes relating to the grant for the St. Christopher Training College, Madras, for separate action.

RS 14/12/48
15/12/48
14/12/48

C.O. 15387/49. Devt. Encl. No. 138875 P & D V/48-2 21-26/2/49

Page 45-47

1. Please see the Devt (P & D) Encl. on pp. 45-47 of Encl. its enclosures on p. 49 of. May be sent to Finance no. for remarks as to whether any modification should now be made with reference to the estimates for 1948-49 with reference to the additional grant now sanctioned to the Loyola College.

2. The grant now payable is Rs 2.50 lakhs - There is a provision of Rs 50,000 for the purpose in the Budget. The point is whether in view of the orders in the Devt. Encl. on pages 45-47 of, and of the fact that the full amount of grant for the Auditorium & Library Hall (work in respect of which is understood to have been nearly completed) will become payable in the current year, the balance of Rs 2 lakhs payable will have to be included in the

Subsistence

Supplementary Estimate which will soon be presented to the Legislature -

As regards debit of the expenditure however, please see paras 2 & 4 of the Memo on pages 45-47 of Finance may see the case for remarks -

3.3.49

3/3/49

Finance

3/3

E.H.H. (G3)

W.O. No. 2772593/48-49

22/3

3.3.49



Finance (ExpA)

(Finance last saw on p 32 above)

The Devt (P.D.F) Endt. on pp 45-49 of and the note of E.P.H. on pp 32-34 above may be perused.

2. The file has been sent to this Department with reference to para 2 of the E.P.H. Deptt. note on pp 33-34 is attached and it is submitted that it is too late to make any alteration in the Supplementary Estimate of expenditure. The E.P.H. Deptt. may be requested to issue their instructions (if any) as to the disbursement of funds in due course.

See below
D.
4.3.49

① Clear copies of the above have already been received in Finance.

Thru B.E. IV

X
4/3/49

4/3

② in full from the Central Fund, it

is desirable to make payment of the amount in the current year. As there has been delay in the D.P.I.'s office in the sanctioning of the most expedient submitted by the Principal of Loyola College in respect of the building fund, it is proposed to waive the procedure and permit disbursement of the fund before completion of the mortgage deed. E.P.H. may therefore kindly adopt the course suggested at X on p. 34.

7/3

5/3/49

E.P.H.

E. & P.H. (G.2)

Please see the Finance Deptt. note on p.p. 34-35 ante. The additional appropriation required for the two schemes relating to the Loyola College, viz. (1) the construction of an auditorium and library hall and (2) the purchase of equipment for the Chemistry laboratory, during the current year would be Rs. 2.705 lakhs (i.e. Rs. 2 lakhs for item 1 and Rs. 0.705 for item 2 above). A supplementary note for this amount is kept below. In this connection it may be mentioned that the D.P.I. has, while submitting his re-appropriation statement intimated a savings of Rs. 69,800/- under ~~XXXXXX~~ "37-(c) Education - University - Grants to Non-Govt. Arts Colleges - 'C.B. Buildings-Voted' and Rs. 22,700/- under "37(c)-Education-University - Grants to Non-Govt. Arts Colleges (c)-C. Equipment - Voted". A draft T.M. to the D.P.I. intimating him that the necessary/appropriation ~~xxxx~~ required for the above two schemes is being provided for and that he ~~xxxx~~ should arrange to disburse the balance of grants due to the Loyola College for the schemes very urgently during the current year, to prevent any lapse of funds.

P459

(L)

E.P.H. (G3)

W.O. No. 2772593/48-49

22/3

5/3/49



5/3/49

May be sent to Finance u.o.

5/3/49

5.3.49

5/3/49

170.

Finance (B.E. IV)

(Previous Finance note on pp. 34-35 above)
The draft note on the Supplementary estimate may be accepted, subject to the amendments indicated in pencil.

This note may be incorporated in the current N.E. under Part XV. Govt. which is sent to E.P.H. separately.

Very special

(B.E. IV - 20)
Particulars relating to the Expenditure on the Supplementary estimate.

Excluded from necessary action

Fin no 14655/B.E. IV-1
7.3.49

MAR 1949

Included in the draft

E.P.H. (B.13)

Please see Finance note above.
The draft T.M. and the note on the Supplementary estimate, as amended by Finance may now issue. As regards the note for Supplementary grant please see the note on p. 10 of J.F.

7/3/49

7/3/49

[Issued as T.M. No. 7/3/49]

Extract of the minutes of H.M. (Edn):--

The draft may be approved. We had provided Rs. 50,000/- in this year's budget as part of the quarter grant to be given to this College. The rest of it was provided in Part I of the next year's budget. But since the Govt. of India have agreed by themselves to meet ~~the~~ half the net cost, I hope the amount that we have provided in this year's budget as well as what has been provided in the Part I of the next year's budget will not be spent. The ^{matter} may be looked up and put up to me for clarification.

Sd. T.S.A. (Vinashilingam Chettiar),
H.M. (Edn),
5-3-49.

50

E.P.H. (B.12)

Please see the minutes of H.M. (Edn) on p. 36 ante. The following provision has been made for the scheme:-

B.E. IV 48-49

Rs. 50,000/-

B.E. for 1949-50

Rs. 75,000/-

PP 7-89

The above two amounts, taken together, represent the one-fourth grant sanctioned by this Govt for this scheme. The Govt of India have since agreed to the inclusion of this scheme in the Post-war Devt. Programme for 47-48, rendering the scheme eligible for a grant of Rs. 2.5 lakhs from the Central block grant. The ~~the~~ management, it is expected, will draw the entire amount of Rs. 2.5 lakhs during the current year. This amount is initially debited to the Provincial Govt, but eventually the Govt. of India will give credit to this Govt ~~to meet~~ for this amount. Necessary action has been taken to provide this sum by supplementary estimate. The provision made in the

PP 45-49

PSIC

P 47

So far as the expenditure on this account in the current year is concerned, the initial debit will be to the Provincial accounts and a corresponding credit will be afforded to this Govt. from the Central Block grant. As the scheme has been treated as one relating to 1947-48, this credit will be for the entire amount of the grant, payable in 1948-49. It is not necessary to utilize the provision of Rs. 75,000 made in the estimate for 1949-50 for the budget provision in the next year, i.e. 1949-50.

Necessary action in respect of this surrender will be taken in due course. The file may now be circulated to H.M. (Edn) with reference to Mx his minutes on p. 36 ante.

11/3/49

11.3.49

14 MAR 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TSA
13.3.49

E & P H (513)

the papers may now be

Order No 735 Dated 16. 3. 49

Recorded.

Grant - Loyola
College, Reddick -
Construction of
buildings for the
auditorium and
library hall -
purchase of
equipment for
the chemistry
Honours course -
Inclusion in the
Postwar Devt.
Programme for Q-48 -
Papers Recorded.

14/3/49
14.3.49

15/3/49

Pl: present
the information
on the way to
the to be done
for the to be done
for the to be done

dictated by H.M. Edu.

Pkh
24/3
to H.M. Edu.

Secretary, E. & P.H.

(51)

A point has been raised in the supplementary demands as to what ~~the~~ are the criterions for including the Loyola College ~~grant~~ into the Post-War Development Grant, whereas other colleges have not been included. I think that it was included in our list of Post-war Development schemes in 1945-46 and that is how it got the grant. The matter may be checked up and the information sent up immediately.

H.M. Education.

94.8.7

42

The scheme relating to chemistry laboratory for the Loyola College, Madras at an estimated cost of Rs.4 lakhs was treated as a Post-war development scheme and the full amount of grant of Rs.2 lakhs was disbursed in 1946-47 and 47-48.

The scheme relating to the construction of auditorium and library hall was also treated as a post-war development scheme for 47-48 at the instance of a reference received from the Jt.Edn.Adviser to the Govt.of India. The estimated cost is 5 lakhs and a half grant of 2.5 lakhs has been sanctioned.

The scheme relating to the purchase of equipment for the Chemistry Hons.introduced in the Loyola College, Madras was estimated to cost 2.41 lakhs. A half grant of 1.205 lakhs has been sanctioned, the amount being debited to PWD grant for 47-48.

~~From 1948-49 grants from the centre correspond to an equivalent amount paid by this govt. in respect of these 3 schemes referred to above, The entire grants have been met by the Central Govt.~~

2 lakhs for the Chemistry Laboratory.
1.205 for Chemistry Equipment &
2.5 lakhs for the auditorium & library hall.

The Govt.of India have been addressed to include the scheme relating to the construction of additional hostels for the Pachayappa's College at an estimated cost of Rs.2,68,580, if the Centre agrees, they will bear one fourth of the estimated cost, The other one fourth being borne by this govt.

The question of addressing the Govt.of India in regard to the payment of building grant for the St.Christopher Training College treating the expenditure as one relating to PW Development has been raised and the connected file is in circulation. This Govt.have sanctioned the payment of a grant of Rs.65,800 representing one fourth of the estimated cost.

These grants were sanctioned to the Loyola College
with a view to produce more qualified chemists whose
services would be required for carrying out the post-
war schemes under 'Industries and Industrial training'.

(12)