

Closed
of
Supers

(7)

Scott. II-27-58,004-27-10-47.

E. P. H. (1949) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 655 Edn

→ pp 15-17
CF

Dated 9-3-49

0 for R S

✓ 15-17

(29)

1 copy

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Schools - Rules relating to the grant of recognition
and aid - Amendments - Efficiency tests - Modifications -
Orders passed

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
ms	648	Ed.	1-3-50				

② / E & P. 10

Memo. No. 52420 01/47-1 En. Dr. 6.8.47.

Subj: - Education - Elementary - Aided
and Panchayat Schools - Efficiency
tests etc. - Suggestions

37 1/2
8/8

ping

A copy of a "Press-cutting" from the Hindu dt. 16.6.47 on the subject noted above and he is requested to forward the same to the S. P. D. for their reference. A report on the matter referred to in it

To
The S. P. D. -
(with a copy of the press cutting) Def. 2
ping 11/8

10/11/47

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote
number, date and
short title.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

Received _____ Registered _____

From (Name) Dr. S.R.U. Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Post Box _____, (STATION) MADRAS.

Telegraphic Address _____

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

Roc. No. 247B2/47, dated 12.9.47 '19

Sir,

* Sub: Elementary Education - Aided and Panchayat
Schools - Efficiency tests etc.

ENCLOSURES p | ante Ref: Government Memo No. 52420C1/47-1 Edn. d/6.8.47.

With reference to the Government Memo. quoted
above, I have the honour to submit the following remarks

SPARE COPIES _____



p 20744 (1)

R |

M

2) As regards aided schools, the complaint referred to in the press report is against the enforcement of the rule regarding admission of girls of schoolage and withholding of grant for failure to satisfy this Rule. With a view to securing a larger enrolment of girls of schoolage, the enrolment of the prescribed percentage of girls of school age in the area (ie. 25%) in places where there are no girls schools has been made one of the four tests of efficiency which all schools were required to fulfil as one of the conditions of recognition in G.O.No.Ms.1051 dated 3.8.43. Sufficient time has also been allowed as required in para 2 of the G.O. for the schools to satisfy the test. As non-compliance with this test affects the conditions of recognition, grant is also withheld. The percentage prescribed, is only 25% of the total number of schoolage girls in the locality and to complain that the teachers cannot secure even this low percentage by persuasive methods with the parents is not reasonable. Generally well conducted schools will not have any difficulty to satisfy the test. In the case of schools intended for backward classes or in backward localities exemption from satisfying this test can be granted by the Director as a temporary measure for such periods necessary. In other cases there is no necessity to make any change in the Rules and relax the condition as required in the Press report. Only a small number of schools fail to satisfy the test and in most of these cases, the failure to satisfy the test is due to the indifference of the teachers and teacher-managers who therefore deserve the penalty prescribed.

P.T.O.

Exp. 14 (en)

The D.P.S. is requested to expedite his reply to Govt. Memo. No. 52420 e1/p7-2 Encl. d.co-117.

25/7/20

over
25/10/11

15 2/11
2/11
To the D.P.S.
5.4.10

C.F. 32 (a). 5 APR 1948

Department
From (Name) S. Reddi M.A.,
(Oxon)
Designation Director of public
Instruction
Station M. A. S.

Received ----- Registered -----

To
The Secretary to Government of
Madras,
Education & Public Health
Department, Madras.

Enclosures: R.C. No. 2478 B. 2/17 dated 21-1-1948.

Sir,

Ref: Government Memorandum No. 30400. Q-47-3 Bdn., dated
05-3-'48 regarding the rules regarding admission
number of girls - Elementary schools and Aided and
Panchayat .

I have the honour to state that the question is being examined and that a reply will be submitted as soon as possible.

R. S. von Humboldt

for Director of Public Instruction.

உதர. 11 (1)

Final rply. May be awaited 12-1-6-48.

27668

rd. 34.4.

~~Note call~~
4/5 hrs
scr
24/4

$\frac{9}{3150}$ is less

$\frac{dm}{3lslw}$

Education Department.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

20 OCT 1948

Roc.No.2478 B2/47 dated 20th October 1948.

Sir,

Sub: Elementary Education - Aided Schools - Efficiency
tests and payment of grants - Comprehensive report
for simplification of Rules for aid.

Ref: Government Memo No.52420-C2/47-2 Edn., dated 10-11-47
and my reference Roc.No.2478-B2/47 dated 28-7-1948.

I have the honour to submit the following report on the subject
after ascertaining the view of the Inspecting Officers at the Conference
held at Saidapet this month.

2. At present teaching grants for aided schools are assessed
with reference to the rules relating to aid to elementary schools.
These are needlessly complicated and involve unnecessary work. There
are some provisions, the need for which is no longer felt in the
improved conditions and in the new rules for grant. The following
suggestions are made after due consideration of all aspects involved.

(1) Rule 4(2): The working of this rule entails unnecessary
complication and may be modified as follows:
"Grant ^{shall be allowed} at the rate of one teacher for every 20 pupils in average
attendance to be allowed and where the average attendance exceeds 20 or
multiples of 20, ~~one~~ more teacher may be allowed ^{provided that} if the excess is 10
or more only". The rule as it is now, may be deleted. The presence
of irregularly admitted pupils, underaged pupils and stagnated pupils
will be taken into account with reference to rule 6 of the Rules for
aid and to this ~~effect~~ extent, the following may be added as item (iv)
under Rule 6(a)(iii)-"for admission of pupils below 5 years of age
and for admissions made during periods other than those prescribed by
Rule 22(a) of the Rules for Recognition without the sanction of the
Deputy Inspector".

(2) Rule 4(4): The order in which the teachers are to be
eliminated for purposes of assessment of grant is set down in this
Rule. The working of this rule involves much complications and
calculations and it is suggested that this may be deleted in view
p.t.O.

: 2 :

of the following: Ordinarily untrained teachers are not appointed permanently except where they are ^{overaged} and have put in long service in the school. Consistent with the need for ensuring security of service for teachers and as the conditions of service noted in the Teachers' Service Register is the agreement between the teachers and the managers binding on both, it is but proper to retrench or eliminate the teachers only in the order of Juniority even though it may be for purposes of grant. Hence when teachers are eliminated, retention of a newly employed secondary grade trained teacher or Higher Grade trained teacher in preference to a lower trained teacher of long service in the school does not meet the requirements of justice. Further when a teacher is eliminated by the Department for purposes of grant it is only natural that the manager will dispense with his services irrespective of other conditions. Hence the elimination order noted in this rule may be deleted. This will mean that the teachers will be retrenched in the order of juniority.

page 2506 BR (3) Rule 4(6): The rule with the note and explanation thereunder may be deleted. With the introduction of compulsion in various areas the rule is practically inoperative now and will not apply for new schools opened on or after 1-1-1946, for a period of 3 years as per G.O.No. Ms.1475 Edn., dated 29-7-46. The note and explanation have made the rule complicated in its actual working and the rule has also operated as a check to the larger enrolment of pupils in standard I in existing schools in non-compulsory areas. The intention of the rule is to ensure that there is no wastage by withdrawal of pupils before they reach V standard and this may be kept up by the imposition of suitable fines wherever necessary with reference to Rule 6(ii) of the Rules for Aid.

(4) Rule 4(7)(b): The following may be substituted for the existing rule "4(7)B." and "note 2" under this rule may be deleted the existing word note (1) being substituted by the word "note".

"The assessed grant shall be reduced proportionately for the shortage in the number of working days in the calendar year if any, provided the shortage exceeds 10% and has not been condoned by the competent authority. If it is proved to the satisfaction

Educational Officers that the teachers, except

: 3 :

when they happen to be Teacher managers, were not responsible for the shortage, this reduction shall be made in the managements' share of the grant. The grant so calculated shall be the final assessed teaching grant."

Unless the school is a single teacher one where the teacher is the manager also the number of working days is not decided by the teacher but by the management. The management should therefore be held responsible for the shortage in the number of working days for which there is provision for penalty under Rule 7(i)(ix) of the Rules for aid. This was Government's original proposal and I now agree to this.

pages 2543 106 BK (5) Rules 5(a) and 20: Both the rules 5(a) and 20 with explanations thereunder required to be deleted in view of the following reasons:

Under Rule 20 every school is to employ only one untrained teacher for every two trained teachers and where necessary the District Educational Officer has the power to exempt the school from this rule for any stated period of year or years and under Rule 5(a) grant is not to be assessed for untrained teachers in excess of the number admissible under Rule 20. With the present policy of the Government on the expansion of Elementary Education insisting on opening of new schools in all school-less centres and in view of the paucity of trained teachers, appointment of untrained teachers has to be allowed for some years. Hence instead of having the Rule 20 and Rule 5(a) and leaving it to the District Educational Officer to grant permission to individual institutions to enable them to get grants for such untrained teachers also, it would be better to delete those two rules from the rules for aid. A suitable provision may however be made under the Rules for recognition in Chapter I by the insertion of the following Rule 12(4) (a) the existing rule 12(4) being noted as Rule 12(4) (b).

"Schools employing untrained teachers may not ordinarily employ more than one untrained teacher for every 2 trained teachers without the specific approval of the District Educational Officer."

A' exp 2) B
B' exp 2) (B)

08 BK

type 3

Page 207
f (J)

page 5 ante

13

of

- cc
b. 208
en

Page 25
BK

de

$$: 5 :$$

of prescribed No. of girls in a boys' School may also be now cancelled in view of the fact that the distinction between boys and girls schools is now abolished since the amalgamation of the women's branch of the subordinate inspecting agency with effect from 15-5-1948. All schools may hereafter be named as "elementary Schools" only and not as "boys" or "girls" elementary schools and they may be open for both boys and girls alike. The other two tests 1 & 2 may continue to be enforced. The main reason for recommending this deletion is that conditions have improved considerably since these tests were instituted and they were meant only to be temporary.

Yours faithfully,

konkurrenz

for Director of Public Instruction.

KHR.21-10.

$$\frac{3}{22} \times$$

Е.Ф.Н. (с.)

Co. 1051 Edu., R 3/8/23, noted at
A' up. 3 ante + B' up. 12 ante was given
to B2 section for one of their files.
That section appears to have returned it
to the M.R.O. from where it is being
called for.

Also, ~~the~~ the proposals at pages 9-11 of relate to comprehensive amendments to sch. school rules and have to be considered in some detail. Time till 20, 11, 48 may therefore, be granted for submitting this paper.

2/14/1968

~~DM~~
15/11/168

Subj:- Elementary Schools - Rules relating to the grant of recognition and aid - Amendments - Efficiency tests - Modification - Orders passed.

pages 1-2 of (A)	"	1475,	"	"	29.7.1946
" (C)	"	1330,	"	"	24.6.1947
pages 1-2 of (B)	"	217,	"	"	29.1.1948
page 2 of (B)	"	1683,	"	"	2.7.1948

pages 9-13 of *Ad also:* From the D.P.I. *Rec. No. 2478/60-47, 0720.10.1948*
ORDER MS NO. *655* *REC'D & DATED* *9-3-1949*

The Govt. approve the proposal of the D.P.I. that tests No.(3) and No.(4) of the "Departmental Tests" for efficiency, referred to in para.1 of G.O. No.1051, Edn., dated 3-8-1943, may be abolished. The remaining tests No.(1) and No.(2) should continue to be applied subject to the restrictions ^{laid down} in para.2 of the G.O.

The D.P.I. is, in this connection, informed that the question of applying test No.(1) to the new schools opened in school-less centres *is being considered separately in connection* with the proposals in his letter, No.No.2383-B2/48, dt.11-9-1948.

2. The following amendments shall be made to the rules relating to the grant of recognition and aid to ele. schools published with Edn. Dept.'s Notification No.243, dated the 21st Aug. 1939, at pages 556-568 of Part I-B of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated the 29th Aug. 1939, as subsequently amended:-

Amendments

Chapter I - Rules for recognition

Rule 12 (4) :- Insert the following as rule 12 (4) (a), the existing rule being a-number as 12 (4) (b) :-

Schools employing untrained teachers may not ordinarily employ more than one untrained teacher for every ^{two} trained teachers without the specific approval of the District Education

page 17 of BK

A' imp. 119.
+
para 2 (iv) imp. 6 ny.

Educational Officer."

Chapter II - Rules for Aid.

Rule 4(2):- Substitute the following for the existing rule:-

"Grant shall be allowed at the rate of one teacher for every 20 pupils in average attendance and where the average attendance exceeds ^{20 or} multiples of 20, one more teacher may be allowed, ^{provided that} the excess is ten or more only."

Rule 4(4):- Substitute the following for the existing rule:-

"If the number of teachers employed in a school is greater than the number of standards or sections in the school ~~or~~ than the number for whom the grant may be calculated under sub-rule (2), the teacher or teachers most junior shall be excluded from the calculation of the grant in whole or in part."

Rule 4(6):- Delete the rule and the note and explanation under it.

Rule 4(7) (a):- Substitute the following for the existing rule:-

"The assessed grant shall be reduced proportionately for the shortage in the number of working days in the calendar year, if any, provided the shortage exceeds 10% and has not been condoned by the competent authority. If it is proved to the satisfaction of the District Educational Officers that the teachers, except when they happen to be teacher-managers, were not responsible for the shortage, this reduction shall be made in the management's share of the grant. The grant so calculated shall be the final assessed teaching grant."

Note, 2 under Rule 4(7)(a):- Delete this

Note, Note (1) being retained merely as 'note'.

Rule 5(a):- Delete this rule.

1A

para 2(1) of BK

A' on page 9 of

para 2 (i) on page 5 of

page 25 of BK

para 2 (2) on

page 9 of 10

para 2 (iii) on

page 5 of

page 25 of BK

para 2 (iv) on

page 10 of

para 2 (v) on

page 11 of

para 2 (iv)

on p. 6 of

10-11 of

para 2 (iv)

on p. 6 of

para 2 (v) on

page 11 of

Rule 6(a) (iii):- Insert the following as item (iv) under the rule:-

"(iv) for admission of pupils below 5 years of age and for admissions made during periods other than those prescribed by rule 22(a) of the Rules for Recognition without the sanction of the Deputy Inspector."

Rule 7(2) (iii):- Delete this rule ^{and} the proviso under it and transpose the word "and" at the end of clause (ii) of rule 7(2) to the end of clause (i) of the rule.

Rule 20:- Delete this rule.

20
The D.P.F.
"A.G."
"S.G.P."
publication in
the Gazette
(5 extra copies)

7/12/48

~~24/3~~



E. & P. H. Dept. (C1)

Memorandum No. 23753-C/49-2 Edn., dated 29-3-49.

Sub:- Elementary Education - Rules relating to the grant of recognition and aid.

Ref:- G.O.No.655-Education, dated 9-3-1949.
From the D.P.I. No.2478-B2/47, dated 24-3-1949.

In the circumstances stated by the D.P.I. the Govt. have no objection to the amendments to the rules relating to the grant of Recognition and aid to elementary schools ordered in G.O.No.655-Edn., dated 9-3-1949 taking effect from 1-1-1949.

To the D.P.I.

Copy to the A.G. thro' Finance.

E.P.H. U.O. No
23753-C/49-1 Edn
29-3-49

note: Finance need see.
28/3/49 5

In reply, please quote
number, date and
short title.*

Received Registered.

(Designation) The Director of Public Instruction,

Post Box _____, (STATION) _____ Madras. _____

Telegraphic Address _____

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

ROC No. 2478 B2/47, dated 21-10-47 - 1949

Sir,

Sub:-- Elementary Education--Aided--Rules for
recognition and aid--Simplification of Rules
for aid.

Ref:-- G.O. No. 655 Edn. dated 9-3-1949.

ENCLOSURES

SPARE COPIES.

In the G.O. cited above, an amendment has been issued for Rule 4 (2) of the Rules for aid permitting assessment of grant for teachers at the rate of one teacher for every 20 pupils in ~~an~~ average attendance and where the average attendance exceeds 20 or multiples of 20 permitting assessment for one more teacher provided the excess is 10 or more only. But I find in the amendment as notified by the Superintendent, Government Press in the supplement to Fort St. George Gazette No. 5 dated 22-3-49, the word one referred to above is printed as and by mistake.

2, In view of the fact that Rule 5 (a) of the Rules for aid has now been ordered to be deleted, the existing rule 5 (b) may now be renumbered as merely Rule 5.

I request that necessary corrections may kindly be caused to be issued. The few typographical errors in Rule 4 (7) (b) noticed may also be got corrected.

Yours faithfully,

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

ARB : 22-4

$$\begin{array}{r} 22 \overline{) 484} \\ 44 \\ \hline 44 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$$

22

22

36 u
10/8

23

E. & P. H. Dept. (C1)

Memorandum No. 3/498-C/49-1 Edn., dated 8/6/49

Sub:- Errata to amendments to rules for recognition and aid for elementary schools.

Ref:- From the D.P.I., No. 2478-B2/47, dated 21-4-1949.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette:-

Notification

Errata

In the amendments to ^{the} rules relating to ^{the} grant of recognition and aid to elementary schools, published by the Education Department in the Rules Supplement (No.5) to Part I-B of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated the 22nd March 1949, in the amendments to Chapter II - Rules for Aid -- (1) for "and more teacher" occurring in new Rule 4(2), substitute "one more teacher"; (2) for the ^{second and third} ~~last two~~ sentences of new rule 4(7)(b), substitute the following:-

p.t.o.

- 2 -

"If it is proved to the satisfaction of the District Educational Officers that the teachers, except when they happen to be teacher-managers, were not responsible for the shortage, this reduction shall be made in the management's share of the grant. The grant so calculated shall be the final assessed teaching grant"; and

(3) for the amendment to rule 5(a), substitute the following:-

"Delete this rule, rule 5(8) being renumbered as rule 5".

DM
8/6/49

To

The D.P.I.
The A.G.
The S.G.P. (for publ.
in the E.S.G.G.)

[Add to the original of G.O. No. 655 Edn.
d. 9-3-49 after insce]
DM 8/6/49

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction, Madras.

Roc.No.1267 B1/49 dated 20-7-1949.

Elementary Education - Chingleput District - Resolution of
the Sriperumbudur Taluk Teachers' Union at Kunnathur -
relaxation of the efficiency tests - requested.

Ref: Letter Roc.No.10436/49 C1 dated 29-6-1949 from the
Special Officer, District Board, Chingleput.

Submitted.

2. Government have in G.O.No.655 Edn., dated 9-3-49 abolished the efficiency tests No. 3 and 4. In G.O.No.195 Edn., dated 26-1-1949, Government have specially relaxed Test No.1 in the case of new schools opened in school-less centres for a period of three years from 1-7-1948.

3. If general relaxation is granted in respect of Tests Nos. 1 and 2, there cannot be completeness and minimum economic strength and eventually the efficiency in the Elementary Education will be impaired. Every elementary school should have all the 5 standards for continuity of study in the respective places. Hence, Test No.1 is very essential. Test No.2 is equally important and unless the maintenance of a minimum strength of 30 is insisted, the teachers may not strive hard to improve the strength. Under the circumstances, the Special Officer may be informed, that general relaxation of Tests 1 & 2 is inadmissible.

for Director of Public Instruction.

To the Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

KHR.25-7.

29 June, 49.

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

Sub:- Departmental tests- Relaxation of the conditions-
requested-

The Sriperumbudur Taluk Teachers Union which met at Kunnathur on 1-5-49, have resolved to request the authorities to relax the conditions imposed for the observance of the departmental tests in Elementary schools. A copy of their resolution No. 17, dated 1-5-49 is enclosed.

I request you to kindly consider their request favourably and issue necessary orders on the matter.

R. V. 5-79

for special officer, D.C. Board.

p.t.o.

2/

28/4

(Copy of Resolution No. 17, dated 1-5-49, passed by the Sriperambudur Taluk Teachers Union at Kunnathur. Resolved to request the Government to show at least slight relaxation of the conditions regarding the observance of the departmental tests in schools as these tests would appear to force teachers to go even against their conscience for observing them in toto under the conditions now obtaining in the Rural parts.)

(True Extract).

EPH(B2)

The AO 1051 Edu. d 2/8/43 required for the disposal of this ~~part~~ current has been called for. This may be over till 8.8.49.

8/11/49. 2/18

DM 2/8/49

18/12/48

p 1-2 - CF

for 17/10

To The D.P.S.
" Spl. Officer Dt. Board
Chingelput.
S/C - 1.

29 (10)

EPH(B2)

Memo no. 57404 B2/49-1 Edu. d. 12/8/49.
Sub. File. Edu. - Chingelput District.
Resolution of Sriperambudur Taluk teachers' union at Kunnathur -
Request for relaxation of departmental tests not complied with.
Ref. From the Spl. Officer D.P.S 1267 B1/49.
d 20/7/49.

The Govt. agree with the D.P.S. that the request of the Sriperambudur Taluk teachers' union, for the relaxation of the departmental tests 1 and 2 ~~cannot~~ be granted.

for 17/10

DM 9/8/49

31/9/50
THE SOUTH INDIA TEACHERS' UNION (17)

520, HIGH ROAD, TRIPPLICANE, MADRAS-5

Dated the 13th Jany. 1950.

U/788

To

The Hon'ble Sri K. Madhava Menon,
Minister for Education,
Government of Madras,
Fort St. George.

17 JAN 1950

Sir,

Subject: G.O. No. 655, Education, dated 9th March 1949 -- Amendments to rules regarding payment of teaching grants to elementary schools.

In the matter of assessing teaching grants to aided elementary schools, government had allowed a concession whereby the average attendance of pupils in classes 4 and 5 of an elementary school was enhanced by 50% so that even when the actual average attendance was less than 20, with this concession, it would enable schools to have one teacher for each of the standards 4 and 5. The concession was apparently given to encourage the opening of of standards 4 and 5.

The amendment to Rule 4(2) of Chapter II of the Rules for aid to elementary schools contained in G.O. No. 655, dated 9th March 1949 takes away this concession suddenly without giving any adequate notice to managements of aided elementary schools. Though the G.O. is published as a supplement to the Fort St. George Gazette dated 22nd March 1949, it does not specify the date from which it will take effect. The G.O. was actually communicated to managements so late as May and, I understand, it is being given effect from 1st January 1949, that is, six months retrospectively.

The teachers did not feel the effect so far. But now when the final grant is being assessed, it is feared that the grant amount will be substantially reduced, as weightage in attendance of pupils in standards 4 and 5 is not being given from 1st January 1949 and this will deprive many teachers of a substantial portion of their salary.

I therefore request that orders may be issued that the G.O. may be given effect from 1st January 1950.

Yours faithfully,
M. Aravindan
President.

S.P.H.

The order should have retrospective effect.

Tell the S.P. 9.
as seen this
him further
18/1

C.F. 32.

EDUCATION

Department

Received

Registered

From (Name)

To

Designation The Director of Public
Instruction, Madras.
Station

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health
Department, M a d r a s.

Enclosures

R.O. No. 3541-B-7-49

dated 18th January 1950.

Subject:-

Elementary Schools- Rules relating to the grant of
recognition and aid- amendment- efficiency tests- modifi-
cation- Orders of Government- Date of effect.

Ref: G.O. No. Ms. 655 Edn. dated 9-3-1949.

I have the honour to invite the attention of Government to the G.O. quoted above in which rule 4 (2) of Chapter II, Rules for Aid, regarding the assessment of grant to elementary schools was amended doing away with the weightage that used to be given in standards IV and V. These amended rules were ordered to be given effect to from 1-1-49. A large number of representations from the Secretaries of various Teachers' Associations have been received request- ing that the weightage-abolition might be kept in abeyance till 31-12-1949. Further, since the G.O. was issued in the middle of March 1949, the amendments could have reached the managements only about two months later. This amendment adversely affects many institutions in the amount of grant that would have been normally due to them but for the amend- ment. Further, certain difficulties have cropped up as the result of giving effect to this amendment from 1-1-1949 as will be evident from the letter of the District Educational Officer, Tanjore (copy enclosed). A copy of letter from the Presi- dent, The South India Teachers' Union on the subject is also enclosed. In the circumstances mentioned above and with reference to the discussion I had with the Hon. Minister for Education this morning on the subject, it is proposed that the grant for the first two quarters may be continued to be assessed according to the old rules instead of on the basis of the amendment with retrospective effect from 1-1-49. I am giving instructions to the subordinate inspecting offi- cers in anticipation of the approval of Government to assess grant for the first two quarters on the basis of the old rules.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

A.S./18-1.

33

19

Copy of letter Rc. No. 732-E2/49 dated 9-10-1949 from the District Educational Officer, Tanjore to the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Mathurai.

Sub:- Elementary Education- Aided- Rules relating to aid- Amendment to rule 4 (2) issued in G.O. No. 655, Edn., dated 9-3-1949- Effect of- Further instructions soliciting.

I submit herewith a copy of the extract of letter Cur. No. 563-A/49 dated 21-9-1949 of the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Kumbakonam with the following remarks:-

The difficulties represented by the Deputy Inspector of Schools in regard to the application of the revised rule 4 (2) of the rules for aid are real. Under the system of monthly payment of grants, almost all schools would have been assessed and paid grants upto May 1949, in accordance with Rule 4 (2) before it was modified. The application of Rule 4 (2) as modified with retrospective effect from 1-1-1949 will cause hardship to the managements of many schools, especially small schools having 2 teachers and big schools having a large number of pupils in standards IV and V. To obviate all these difficulties it is desirable that the new rules is ordered to take effect from 1-7-1949.

I therefore request you to kindly address the Director of Public Instruction, Madras for giving effect to revised rule 4 (2) from 1-7-1949.

A.V. Tyagarajan,
Dt. Educational Officer, Tanjore.

Copy of Cur. No. 563-A/49 dated 21-9-1949 of the Deputy Inspector Kumbakonam.

Sub:- Ele. Edn. Rules relating to Ele. Schools- Chapter II Rules for aid- Rule 4 as amended in G.O. Ms. 655, Edn., dated 9-3-1949 and Proceedings R.O.C. No. 698-E2/49 dated 9-3-49 and 19-6-1949 of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Mathurai- Further instructions soliciting.

In applying the amended rules as per G.O. and proceedings quoted above from 1-1-1949 the following difficulty will be felt. Instructions are solicited as to how the same may be got over.

(i) If rule 4 (2) of the existing rules for aid inclusive of provisos (i) to (iii) are modified and the amendment applied from 1-1-1949 as per G.O. 655, Edn., dated 9-3-1949, assessment for schools with standards 1 to 5 for which hitherto grant was allowed for two teachers, if there were 30 pupils in average attendance inclusive of the weightage is to be revised as per the amendment the rate of one teacher for every 20 pupils in average attendance and if it exceeds 20, or multiples of 20, one more teacher is allowed provided the excess is ten or more only. In the case of such schools grant has been assessed and paid as per old rules from January 1949 and the application of the revised rules will adversely affect some cases and grant so far paid during 1949 will have to be recovered or adjusted in future months. Such recoveries will be a hard hit on the management and naturally they will protest against such recovery.

(ii) As per old rule 1/4, 1/2 or 3/4 teachers has been allowed if the modified average attendance is in multiples of 5 or more in excess of 20. This calculated after adding the weightage to the absolute average attendance. Though such teachers are assessed grant at 1/4 or 1/2 or 3/4 as the case

34

-2-

may be, dearness allowance has been paid in full.

If note (i) under Rule 4 (2) is removed from 1-1-1949 as grant assessed as per revised rules, the question of recovery or adjustment of grant and dearness allowance will arise in most of the cases, as the modified average attendance has hitherto been the main factor for deciding the number of teachers eligible for grant in most of the schools. If the absolute average attendance alone is taken now for the purpose of arriving at the number of teachers eligible for grant, naturally will have to be disallowed in some cases.

Orders are solicited as to whether the teaching grant statement already prepared from January 1949 under the old rules are to be revised on the lines of the amendment issued in G.O. No. 655, Edn. dated 9-3-49 and recommendations made for the reduction or recovery as the case may be in future months of this year.

Copy of Rc. No. 1367-E2/49 dated 20-10-1949 from the Divisional Inspector Mathurai.

Copy submitted to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras for favour of consideration and orders in view of the expressed hardship to the managements.

Copy of letter No. U /788 dated 13th January 1950 from the President, The South India Teachers' Union, to the Hon'ble Sri. K. Madhava Menon, Minister for Education, Government of Madras and copy to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sub:- G.O. No. 655. Education, dated 9th March 1949- Amendments to rules regarding payment of teaching grants to elementary schools.

In the matter of assessing teaching grants to aided elementary schools, Government had allowed a concession whereby the average attendance of pupils in classes 4 and 5 of an elementary school was enhanced by 50 % so that even when the actual average attendance was less than 20, with this concession, it would enable schools to have one teacher for each of the standards 4 and 5. The concession was apparently given to encourage the opening of standards 4 and 5.

The amendment to Rule 4 (2) of Chapter II of the Rules for aid to elementary schools contained in G.O. No. 655, dated 9th March 1949 takes away this concession suddenly without giving any adequate notice to managements of aided elementary schools. Though the G.O. is published as a supplement to the Fort. St. George Gazette dated 22nd March 1949, it does not specify the date from which it will take effect. The G.O. was actually communicated to managements so late as May and I understand, it is being given effect from 1st January 1949, that is, six months retrospective.

The teachers did not feel the effect so far. But now when the final grant is being assessed, it is feared that the grant amount will be substantially reduced, as weightage in attendance of pupils in standards 4 and 5 is not being given from 1st January 1949 and this will deprive many teachers of a substantial portion of their salary.

I therefore request that orders may be issued that

35
-3-

20

the G.O. may be given effect from 1st January 1950.

[Signature]
for Director of Public Instruction.

[Signature] A.S./18-1.
18-1-50

36 EA
Elementary education - Rules relating to the grant of recognition and aid


From the President, The South India Teachers Union letter dated 13-1-50
From the D.P.D. No. 3541-B-7-49 dated 18-1-50

Memo No. 3967- C1/50-2 Edu dated 4-2-50

In modification of the order in Memo No. 23753-C1/49-2 Edu dated 29-3-49 the Govt. direct that the teaching grants for the forth two quarters of 1949, ^{maybe assessed} according to the old rules instead of on the basis of the amendment issued in L.O. No. 655 Edu dated 9-3-49 with retrospective effect from 1-1-49. pp 15-17 ante

P 19 ante
The D.P.D.
The A.G. Thiruvananthapuram

Copy to The South India Teachers Union,
520 High Road, Triplicane, Madras



E.P.H.W.O.
No. 3967- C1/50-1 Edu
25.1.50.

CB
25/1/50
25/1/50

Noted: Finance may see
25/1/50 Finance

37 Fin (Emp-A)

Retrospective effect from 1.1.49 was given to the orders in G.O 655-Edu & 9.3.1949, on the recommendation of the D.P.I. please see his letter on p. 18 CF and the Memo on p. 19 CF of the G.O at (E). In view of the practical difficulties now pointed out by the D.P.I. on p. 2 CF, the draft memo overlaid may be accepted.

See also, the orders of H.M. (E+L) on p. 1 CF.

31.1.50
1.2.50

12.50

2.2.50

EPH

The draft memo overlaid may not be accepted.

4/2/50

4/2/50

Added after circled.

8 FEB 1950

8065

10,002-28-3-47

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
PUBLIC (INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY) DEPARTMENT

52420
Extract from The Hindu
of Madras, dated the 16th June 1947

25 JUN 1947
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Secretary, E. & P.H.

Please see herewith a cutting from 'The Hindu'. There seems to be something in the letter. I have received also representations about it from Teachers' Associations. The matter may be examined and put up.

TSA 24-6-47
H.M. Edn.

EXP. H. C. 1

II AIDED SCHOOLS AND TEACHING GRANT

Sir, The teaching grant given to aided schools is drastically cut or practically stopped altogether in case the teachers in such schools fail to admit 25 per cent of girls of school-going age in their school-areas. There is neither rhyme nor reason in enforcing this provision. Is non-admission of a prescribed percentage of girls the fault only of the teachers? Do not the parents and guardians contribute largely to this situation? What is the power given to aided school teachers to enforce admission? Even in compulsory areas, the authorities empowered to enforce the penal provisions for non-attendance have not used their powers even in a single case, in spite of the recommendations of the attendance committee. Such being the case, to penalise a teacher for non-enrolment of girls lacks justification.

In the case of District Board Schools, the increments of teachers are stopped or suspended for a like fault and the teachers of Village Panchayat Schools, whose pay is disbursed by Government, are paid in full. Why is this discrimination? Should not the Government set their own house in order before they apply the axe in aided and board schools? The provision for victimisation of poor and defenceless aided school teachers, who are hopelessly underpaid should be removed at an early date and there is every reason that cuts made in the past should be restored and paid in full. Will those concerned look into the matter?

S. N. N. N. N.
Retired Assistant
Ulundurpet (S. Arcot).

In the press cutting above the following allegations are made:--

- 1) The pay of teachers in aided ele. schools which fail to admit 25 per cent of girls of school going age in the school-area is drastically cut or stopped; and
- 2) The increments of teachers in District Board schools are stopped or their pay suspended for failure to comply with the above provision, while in the case of Panchayat schools no such penalty is imposed on the teachers.

Item (1) above:-- The assessed grant for an aided ele. school can be reduced only for the reasons mentioned in rule 6 of the rules for aid relating to ele. schools. The grant due to the teachers is also liable to a cut for any misdeed or negligence on the part of the teachers. Vide G.O. 1495 Edn. dated 31-7-46. But, as alleged in the News paper cutting it cannot be reduced for failure to admit into school 25% of girls of school going age in the school area. The admission of a prescribed minimum ie., 25% of girls of school going age in the school area was introduced as an "efficiency test" along with others and such tests. These four tests will be found at pp. 35 of of

According to the test in question this is to be enforced (1) only in areas where there is no separate school for girls (2) and it is designed with a view to admit more girls in schools every year in rural parts. Further this test, though approved by Govt. has not yet been embodied in the rules relating to ely. schools, though the point was specifically considered. Again, failure to comply with this "test" does not entail a cut in the grants of the teachers but will only result in the withdrawal of the recognition accorded to the school. Hence the allegation does not appear to be correct.

Item (2) on p.1 ante:- The position as stated above holds good in the case of Dt. Bd. schools also. There is no indication in the allegation as to the authority which stops the increment of the teachers, ~~on this score~~ ^{of it is the P.D.B. authorised,} he is not authorised by the Govt. to do so. For, as already pointed out, the non-compliance of the above "efficiency test" will result only in the withdrawal of the recognition of the particular school. This is also further confirmed by the statement of the retired Tahsildar that in the case of village Panchayat schools no such cut is imposed; for the schools are under the control of the Inspector of Local Boards and Municipal Councils. If such ~~unauthorised~~ ^{of} stoppage of increments are imposed on teachers by the Presidents/District Boards for no fault of theirs, surely they can appeal to the D.P.I. or the Govt. and get redressal.

The whole question of fixing a minimum proportion of girls of school-going age into boys school has been discussed at length in the notes connected with G.O. 1051 Edn. dated 3-8-43. Particular attention is solicited to the notes at pp. 35-37 n.f. of the G.O. In the circumstances it is for consideration whether the matter should be pursued further. For orders as to circulation.

Perhaps a copy of the ~~attending~~ ^{attending} ~~note~~ ^{note} ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~sent~~ ^{sent} ~~to~~ ^{to} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~D.P.I.~~ ^{D.P.I.} and a report called for.

16/8/47 S 1/10/47

A draft memo. to the S.P. is subd.

16/8/47

R on p 30f

M on p 30f

A

presenting up. 1 ante. For order where the Director's view may be accepted.

As regards item (2) on p.1 ante, the Director reports that no case of a Panchayat school has so far been brought to his notice that has not satisfied the rules, hence the question of discrimination in the treatment of teachers under the different managements — Private and Panchayat — does not arise.

The file may be circulated to H. M. (En) for orders with ref. to his minutes on p.1 ante.

A above. In the absence of a specific provision in the rules, it does not seem fair to penalise teachers by imposing a cut in the teaching grants.

24.9.47. 27.9.47

5 (9 has it)

check up with in the district head up to the level of his first hand. his first hand has been dealt with; I see it was not.

14/10/47

This is a very important matter. There are various elements which go to regulate the giving of grants to elementary schools.

- (1) There must be a minimum attendance in the rolls.
- (2) There must be a minimum of girls in the rolls.
- (3) Efficiency.

I understand there are one or two other standards which makes the working of the grant to elementary schools a little complicatory. This problem must be viewed as a whole. I have had a talk with the Deputy Director in charge of elementary education over this matter. The D.P.I. in consultation with the Deputy Director in charge of elementary education may be asked to go into the matter comprehensively taking all the rules into consideration and submit a considered report to Govt. on the matter.

ISA

2-10-47



A draft memo. to the D.P.I. is submitted for appl. 9/11/47

(Memo No. 52420 G1/47-2 Edn., d/10-11-47)

From the D.P.I., Roc.No. 2478-B2/47, dated 20-10-48.

With reference to the minutes of H.M.(Edn.) above, attention is invited to the Memo to the D.P.I. on page 5 c.f. and the Director's reply at pages 9-13 c.f. The Director has discussed the question of revising the Rules for Aid with the Inspecting Officers and submits the following proposals:-

- (i) Substitution of Rules 4(2) and addition to rule 6(a) of the Rules for Aid;
- (ii) Deletion of the elimination order in rule 4(4) of the rules for Aid;
- (iii) Deletion of rule 4(6) of the Rules for Aid;
- (iv) Substitution of rule 4(7)(b) and of the Rules for Aid and deletion of note 2 under it.

(v) Deletion of rules 5(a) and 20 of the Rules for Aid and insertion of a new clause in Rule 12(4) of the Rules for Recognition.

- (vi) Deletion of rule 7(2) (iii) of the Rules for Aid
- (vii) Deletion of Tests Nos. (3) and (4) of the four tests of efficiency approved in G.O. 1051 Edn. (dt. 3-8-1943)

2. The above proposals call for the

following remarks:-

(i) Rule 4(2) of the Rules for Aid:- As proposed by the D.P.I., this may be substituted by the rule at 'A' on p.9 of with slight verbal alterations. The rule as modified may read as follows:

"Grant shall be allowed at the rate of one teacher for every 20 pupils in average attendance and where the average attendance exceeds 20 or multiples of 20, one more teacher may be allowed, provided that the excess is ten or more only".

(ii) Rule 6(a) of the Rules for Aid:- The addition suggested at 'B' on page 9 c.f. may be inserted as item (iv) to rule 6(a) as proposed by the Director.

(iii) Rule 4(4) of the Rules for Aid:- The proposal regarding this rule in para 2 (2) on pages 9-10- c.f. is a little confusing; in that, whereas, at 'P' on p.9 c.f., the Director suggests the deletion of the whole rule, at 'M' on p.10 c.f. only the deletion of part of the rule relating to the elimination order is suggested. The object of the proposal is that the teachers found in excess should be excluded in the order of juniority and it is not known how this can be achieved either by the deletion of the whole rule or by that of part of it. It seems better to retain the first part of the rule with the words "least qualified" substituted by the words "most junior"; that is, the rule may be substituted as follows:-

"If the number of teachers employed in a school is greater than the number of standards or sections in the school or than the number for whom the grant may be calculated under sub-rule (2), the teacher or teachers most junior shall be excluded from the calculation of the grant in whole or in part".

6/24 EA 2500 Book p. 10(B) p. 2500 BK p. 310 BK A'out. 2 B'out. 3 of (B)

(i) Rules 4(6), 4(7)(b), 5(a), 20 and 7(2) (iii) of Rules for Aid:- The proposals of the Director in respect of these rules in paras.2(3) to 2(6) on pages 10-12 c.f. may be agreed to.

(ii) Rule 12(4) of the Rules for Recognition:- The Director's proposal at 'A' on p.11 c.f. in regard to this rule may also be approved.

(vi) Efficiency tests:- (a) In para.3 at pages 12-13 c.f., the D.P.I. suggests that Tests No.3 and No.4 may be abolished. The reasons given by him for the proposal are convincing enough. Attention is, in this connection, invited to the notes on pages 1-3 ante regarding these tests. In these circumstances, the proposal may be accepted. Tests No.1 and No.2 may continue to be applied subject to the restrictions laid down in para.2 of G.O. No.1051, Edn., dated 3-8-43.

(b) Another point also arises for consideration in this connection. The D.P.I. has separately raised the question of relaxing some of the Rules for Recognition and Aid in respect of new schools opened in school-less centres. He does not refer to these efficiency tests in that connection. Presumably, he will not apply even the two tests (now proposed to be retained) to these new schools. Test No.2 relates to minimum average attendance referred to in rule 4(1) of the Rules for Aid. ^{As it} is proposed to be relaxed ^{in rule} in respect of the new schools under reference ^{but rule} and will not ~~the rules~~ apply to them. As for Test No.1, the D.P.I. may be told that this test should not be applied to these schools during ^{their} early years. This is being done in the l.f. For purposes of this file, the D.P.I. may be informed of the fact that the question of applying efficiency tests to new schools opened in school-less centres is being considered in connection with his proposals in the l.f.

3. Subject to orders on the above note, a draft order is submitted for consideration. Finance may see before circulation.



RM 10/12/48 S 10/12/48 Edn & PH. no. 52480/W. L. A. Ed. 10-12-48

25 2 Finance (Exp.-A) Deptt.

p. 1 above

pp 9-13 cf.

pp 5-6 above

pp 25-31 and B/203 of Book put up

pp 11-12 cf.

SRPH may A kindly consider this suggestion for 21/11/48

pp 3-4 of (U)

At the instance of H.M.(Edn.), E.P.H. have examined, in consultation with the D.P.I., the question of eliminating all unnecessary complication in the working of the rules for recognition and aid to Elementary schools. The D.P.I. has considered the question comprehensively and has submitted certain amendments to the rules. E.P.H. propose to approve generally the proposals made by the D.P.I. The letter from the D.P.I. on pp.9-13 c.f. and the note of E.P.H. from the middle of p.5 above may be perused.

The proposals may involve financial implications but apparently it will not be possible to work out the extra cost, if any, on account of the amendments proposed, even roughly. The proposals seem generally acceptable as they are made in order to evolve a more simplified process of calculating grants for these schools under the changed conditions whereby the need for some provisions ^{is} are no longer felt. The following remarks, however, seem called for in respect of Rules 5 (a), ²⁰ and 7 (2) (ii):--

The amendments suggested by the D.P.I. in respect of these three rules have been considered necessary owing to the paucity of trained teachers now when the Govt.'s policy is to expand elementary education by opening more schools. The deletion of the rules in question is designed to permit of the calculation of grant for all untrained teachers if specially approved by the D.E.O. It does not seem necessary for this purpose to omit the rules altogether as the dearth of qualified trained teachers is only a temporary ^{feature} and trained teachers are likely to be available in course of time for filling up the posts. It seems sufficient to keep the operation of the three rules in abeyance as normal conditions are expected to be restored soon. It may be mentioned here that a similar arrangement has been made with regard to rule 5 (b) of the rules for aid which has not been deleted although it is now in operative--see G.O.1330, Education, dated 24-6-1947.

The other amendments proposed may be agreed to.

Subject to the above remarks the draft order below

may be accepted.

10A
20/12/48

10
20/12/48

20
21/12/48

SPH


ADP

20/12

E. P. H. (C) Deptt.

Jun. no.

105901/ExA/48-1



At b. 2 anti

vide A/om
pages 1-28 draft

A

Finance have accepted the draft below except in regard to the deletion of rules 5(a), 7(2)(iii) and 20 of the Rules for Aid. In regard to these rules, they want this Deptt. to consider whether it will not be sufficient to keep the operation of these rules in abeyance until the position with regard to trained teachers improves, instead of deleting them altogether. It may, in this connection, be pointed out that though the above rules are proposed to be omitted, necessary provision is being made in the Rules for ~~Reg~~ Recognition to the effect that schools may not employ more than one untrained teacher for every two trained teachers without the specific approval of the D.E.O. Schools which do not conform to this condition will not be recognised at all and no question of paying grants to them will, therefore, arise. ~~There is~~ ^{As} the position in regard to ~~the~~ trained teachers improves, the D.E.O. will take care to withdraw gradually his approval for more than the ordinarily required ⁱⁿ number of trained teachers. There is therefore, no room for apprehension at all that grants will be paid indiscriminately for a greater number of untrained teachers than is actually warranted by the prevailing circumstances. ~~Finance~~ In fact, rules 5(a), 7(2)(iii) and 20 of the Rules for Aid ~~are~~ ^{are} really ~~the~~ superfluous and their omission will only add to the greater simplification of the rules.

In the circumstances stated above, Finance may be


may be requested to ~~agree~~ to reconsider and agree to the draft as it is. For orders.

21.11.49
No 52420-C/47-3

21/11/49 S



Jun. no.
2850/ExA/49-1



Finance (Expt)

(From Fin note on 10/12/48)
The draft order is accepted in Finance.

27.1.49
SPH
e1

[Minute from page 4 ante and the notes following may be read].
The file may be circulated to Mr. C. S. for approval of the draft order.

28/1/49 S

10A
6.2.49

C.No.57404/49.

From the D.P.I., No.1267-B1/49, dated 20-7-1949.

ELEMENTARY EDUCATION - Chingleput District -
Resolution of Sriperumbudur Taluk Teachers'
Union at Kunnathur - Relaxation of efficiency
tests.

With a view to improve the efficiency of elementary schools by preventing the large wastage in elementary education and by securing as much enrolment as possible of pupils, the D.P.I. imposed in 1940 the following four tests which all ele. schools should satisfy:-

- (1) every ele. school should teach upto V Standard
- (2) An ele. school should have a minimum average attendance of 20 pupils
- (3) The number of pupils in IV and V standards of a school should bear a fixed ratio to the number in I standard.
- (4) In areas which are not served by girls schools 25% of the girls of school-age in these areas should be reading in boys schools.

Objection was taken to the application of these tests to schools under local bodies. After much correspondence the Govt. agreed to the enforcement of these tests in the case of schools under local bodies also subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) The tests should not be too rigourously applied to backward areas and backward communities,
- (ii) Reasonable time should be given for fulfilling these conditions by local bodies.

2. In March last Govt. ordered that tests (3) and (4) might be abolished. As regards the application of test (1) the Govt. asked the D.P.I. not to apply the test in the case of new schools opened in school-less centres for a period of three years.

The Sreeperumbudur Taluk Teachers' Union has resolved that the application of the departmental tests should be relaxed. The Special Officer, Dt. Bd., has forwarded the resolution to the Director. The Director observes that no relaxation should be made in regard

Letters in the
CI of G.O. (H)

p208 cr (H)

① -

② -

0 X on p1 cr

p4 cr

p3 cr

p1 cr

to tests(1)and(2). For orders whether the views of the Director may be concurred in. A draft memo is submitted.

SS.
6-8-49.

BM
9/8/49 S

It is a pity rule ③
+ ④ have been released,
considering that no
permanent library can arise
until the U standard is
completed. There is no case
at all for releasing ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩ ⑪ ⑫

H. N. (S. R. L.)

10/8



24/10/49

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FROM

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, MADRAS

Roc.No.2478 B2/47 dated 27th March 1950

Sir,

Sub:--ELEMENTARY EDUCATION--Aided--Rules relating to Aid
certain amendments--modification of--

The Government have in this G.O.No.Mis.655 Education dated 9-3-1949 deleted Rule 5(a) in Part II- Rules relating to aid and with reference to Government Memorandum No.31498C1/49-1 Edn. dated 8-6-49 Rule 5(b) of the said rules has been renumbered as Rule 5 in view of the deletion of Rule 5(a) referred to above. As the rule has clauses (c) and (d) also these clauses also require renumbering. Hence I suggest that the existing three clauses in Rule 5 may be renumbered as 5(a), 5(b) and 5(c) in the place of 5(b) renumbered as 5 and 5(c) and 5(d) respectively.

Yours faithfully,

G.O.No. 655 Edn dated 9-3-49 is put up in and the file. This may be over till the file is available

TCB
W.H.P.
1949

11/4/50

For Director of Public Instruction

C1b.28--3--50

28/3/50



Ele. Schools - Rules for recognition and aid - Amendments
Issued

(A) 15-17cf

(A) 123-24cf

Read again L.O. No. 655 Edu dated 9-3-49 and Memo No. 3/498-C/49-1/Edu dated 8-6-49
Read also letter from the D.P.I. No. 2478 B2/47 dated 27-3-50.

received
Order (Hs) No. 5295-D/50-1/Edu dated 27-4-50

The following amendment shall be made to the rules relating to the grant of recognition and aid to elementary schools published with Edu. Dept's notification No. 243, dated 21-8-1939, at pp 556-568 of Part I-B of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 29-8-1939, as subsequently amended :-

Amendment

Chapter II - Rules for aid

Rule 5 : The existing clauses under this rule, namely 5, 5(c) and 5(d) shall be renumbered as 5(a), 5(b) and 5(c) respectively

CB
25/4/50

27/4/50

25/4/50

Note

Add to go No. 655 Edu dated 9-3-49 after issue.

27/4/50

L.O. 649/1-3-50

Letter No. 93/9A/50.
m 2/5/50

35
28/4
1/5

To, The D.P.I

The S.P. for publication
in the P.S.R.
2. of one copy

Information for the D.P.I. is being sent to the D.P.I. for the purpose of the above mentioned order.

27/4/50

