

157
Sundell

Seott. II-27-58,004-27-10-47.

E+PH (1949) Department.

Ms

SERIES.

21

~~GO~~ NO.

520 Education

Dated

24-2-1949.

[Despatch Abstract.]

University - Annamalai -

Overseer - Sri A.C. Venkata Krishna Murthy

Exemption from technical qualifications

Orders passed.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY

No. 7383

1st October, 1948.

From

THE REGISTRAR,
ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY
ANNAMALAINGAR

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sir,

Sub: Application of Sub-Overseer A.C. Venkatakrishna Naidu for exemption from the regulating qualifications for Overseer etc.

I am forwarding herewith the application of Sri A.C. Venkatakrishna Naidu now employed as an Overseer in the university.

He has completed his S.S.L.C. course but was not successful in the examination held in 1936. He has no technical qualifications but Sri J. Krishna-murti Rao, B.A., B.E., A.M.I.E., the university Engineer under whom he works says he is at present doing the work of a Supervisor, P.W.D., as he is well versed both in field and other office work.

I request that the Government may be pleased to exempt him from passing the tests required for the appointment of Overseers.

Yours faithfully,

S. Sathuram

Encl: 1. Application of
MR. A.C. Venkatakrishnan, Registrar.
2. Extract of Audit objection.

P.N.4/10.

ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY

1st October 1948

1883
B.A.(G)



THE REGISTRAR,
ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY,
ANNAMALAINAGAR

*See
11/10/48*

*If the Audit objection relates
to his relation in the service
of the University, why should
P.D. deal with this case?
Is it not a matter for the
Syndicate?*

Application of Sub-overseer A.O. Venkatesh
Krisna Venkatesh for exemption from the
existing qualifications for over-
seer etc.

I am forwarding herewith the application of
Mr. A.O. Venkatesh who is now employed as an
Overseer in the University.

He has completed his B.A., B.L., course but was
not successful in the examination held in 1936. He
has no technical qualifications but Mr. A. Ramana-
murthy M.A., B.A., B.L., A.M.L.S., the University
engineer under whom he works says he is at present
doing the work of a supervisor, P.W.D., as he is well
versed both in field and other office work.

I request that the Government may be pleased
to exempt him from passing the tests required for the
appointment of overseers.

Yours faithfully,

Encl: 1. Application of
Mr. A.O. Venkatesh
2. Extract of Audit objection.
T.M.A.

Encl: 2.

Extract of Audit objection by the examiner of Local Fund
Accounts "O. L.F. 2110/8--6--1948.

"It is evident from the replies that the two overseers had
not the required qualifications.

In any case the sanction of Government is necessary for the re-
tention of the unqualified overseer."

To

The Registrar,
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar.

Thro' The Civil Engineer,
Annamalai University.

Respected Sir,

I beg to bring to your kind notice and necessary action the following:-

I have been working in the University for the last eleven years and more, as a permanent executive subordinate.

I am all along preparing estimates, recording measurements in the Measurement Books and preparing Bills in addition to the supervision of all works.

I beg to request you will be kind enough to arrange to get necessary exemption of the authorities from the prescribed qualifications on my behalf.

I submit that when the Engineer's post was vacant for about 3 year and when there was no Overseer or Supervisor in the Department I prepared bills and measurements which had been approved by the Executive Engineer for purposes of issuing certificates.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. E. Venkatakishnan

Annamalainagar,

Dated 3rd April, '48.

} D. 26
31/4/48

Forwarded to Registrar

Mr. A. E. Venkatakishnan, is at present doing the work of a Supervisor B.W.D. as he is well versed both in field & office work. The necessary exemption may be obtained from the relevant authorities, to meet the
J Krishna moor

6

126

26/10
25/10
27/10

27/10

P. 10

Dr. Reg No. 7448553/48-1
E. J. P. H. (G3)
Edudl-25/10/48
To
The Registrar, Annamalai
University, Annamalainagar
Sir,
Sub:- University - Annamalai
Sri A. Venkata Krishna Rao,
Overseer, exemption from
possession of the necessary quali-
fication
Ref:- your letter No. 7383 dt-
5-10-48
I am to request you to
state

2/8
state whether any, and if so,
what qualifications have been
prescribed by the university
for the post of an Overseer in
the university.

yours ffly,

Ref
22/10/48

22/10/48



No. 8005
From

ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY

2nd November, 1948.

THE REGISTRAR,
ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY
ANNAMALAINGAR



The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sir,

81271

Sub: University - Annamalai - Sri A.C.
Venkatakrishna Naidu, Overseer -
Exemption from the possession of
necessary qualifications.

PP7-8

Ref: Government's letter No. 74,485-G3/48-1
Edn. dated 25-10-48.

With reference to Government's letter quoted
above, I have to inform you that the University has
not prescribed any qualifications for the post of
Overseer. The technical qualifications prescribed
for Overseers employed in Local bodies may be taken
as the standard required for employment in the Uni-
versity.

Yours faithfully,

S. Ramachandran
Registrar. 3-11-48

P.N.3/11.

E. & P.H. (G.3)

Letter/Ref. No.

To
The Registrar, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar.
Sir,

Sub:- University - Annamalai - Overseer - Sri A.C.
Venkatakrishna Naidu - Exemption from
technical qualifications. *Green power*

Ref:- Your letters No. 7383 dated 5-10-48 and
No. 8005 dated 3-11-1948.

I am to enclose a copy of the note from the
Exr. of L.F. Accounts, No. 523-1 dated 9.2.49, in the
matter and to ~~request that the exemption from~~
~~sought for and not sanctioned by the Govt.~~
request that the Syndicate of the University may
~~approve the qualifications for the posts of~~ *Secy*

ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY

2nd November, 1948

THE REGISTRAR
ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY
ANNAMALAINAGAR

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sub: University - Annamalai - Sri A.C.
Venkatakrishna Naidu, Overseer -
Exemption from the possession of
necessary qualifications.

Ref: Government's letter No. 74, 85-53/48-1
B.M. dated 25-10-48.

With reference to Government's letter dated
above, I have to inform you that the University has
not prescribed any qualifications for the post of
Overseer. The technical qualifications prescribed
for Overseers employed in local bodies may be taken
as the standard required for employment in the Uni-
versity.

Yours faithfully,

Strombach
Registrar, B.M.

University - Annamalai - Overseer - Sri A.C.Venkatakrishna
Naidu - Exemption from technical qualifications.

C.No.74485/48

From the Registrar, Annamalai University, No.7383 dated
1-10-48.

From the Registrar, Annamalai University, No.8005 dated
3.11.1948.

Sri A.C.Venkatakrishna Naidu is employed as an overseer in the Annamalai University. He has completed his S.S.L.C. course but was not successful in the S.S.L.C. Examination. He does not possess ~~any~~ technical qualifications for the post of an overseer, but the University Engineer under whom he is working states that he is well versed both in field and other office work. In the audit of the accounts of the Annamalai University, the Exr. of L.F.Accts., has pointed out that in the case of the appointment of Sri Venkatakrishna Naidu, the sanction of Govt. is necessary, as he does not possess the requisite qualification. With reference to this audit objection, the Registrar ^{of the} University now requests the Govt. to exempt the overseer from the possession of the ~~possession~~ necessary qualification. The Registrar states that the University has not prescribed any qualifications for the post, but that the technical qualifications prescribed for Overseers employed in Local ~~Bodies~~ Bodies may be taken as the standard ~~for~~ required for employment in the University. The qualifications prescribed for employment as overseers in the Municipal ~~service~~ service are given on ~~page~~ p.224 of the Statutory Rules ~~under~~ under the Dt. Municipalities Act, 1920 and those for appointment in the Local Boards are given at p.p.262-263 of the Statutory Rules under the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920. The applicant does not possess any of the qualifications laid down in the above rules. ~~The exemption applied for may be sanctioned, since the University recommends the same. It may however be pointed out that with reference to Sections 3(e) and 22(e) of the Annamalai University Act, the ratification of Govt. is~~

Consider the suggestion contained therein -
technical staff as Engineers and Overseers of the
University and also consider the question of retention
or otherwise of the incumbents with no qualifications.
I am to state that no order of exemption is necessary from Govt. in
respect of the retention of the ~~person~~ ^{person} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~matter~~ ^{matter} of granting exemption to
unqualified persons.

Yours ffly,

Copy to

The Exr. of L.F.Accts.

2/12

Sub
M
2012

BKM 157.B

P31 of me
Annamalai
University
Calendar
1948-49

Under section 13 (4) of the Human Rights Act
the Vice Chancellor has no power to make

The appointment, and under Section
22 (c) of Nat. Act the Syndicate — ✓ p 42

has the power to define the conditions
of service of the staff - the Syndicate

has not chosen to prescribe
qualification for the post of

Overseer. The appointment seems
to be all right for all purposes

and if any approval is required
for the appointment, this

approval may be given by

the syndicate which has the power to prescribe the conditions

of leave for the staff - in any
event the Govt. do not

Come into Re picture

in this appan -
Examiner may see the

Case no. 2 explain his
~~and its~~ objection more clearly —

(cf. 4 pages
 + vol 2, p 99)

523
107.169
LOCAL FUND ACCOUNTS
12.11.48
of L-F. Accounts. no

Examine: The

63
The idea behind in ~~the~~ pursuing the objection is as follows:
FEB 1940
Examiner's Office. 9-3

It is true that under section 22(c) of the Annamalai University Act, the Syndicate has the power to define the conditions of service of the staff. The Syndicate had not however prescribed the qualifications for the post of overseer. Since the opening of the Engineering and Technology courses in this University, the

building works involving lakhs of rupees have been taken up for execution. In G.O. Ms.No. 1892 Edn. dated 20-12-44 as modified by G.O. Ms.No. 252 Edn. dated 20-2-45, Government have sanctioned from Provincial funds a non-recurring Grant of Rs.10 Lakhs towards equipment which is being paid to the University subject to the rate of Rs.2 Lakhs per annum.

10.2 lakhs a year. In view of the heavy expenditure on works and the Government Grant drawn, it was considered that, as the Syndicate had not chosen to prescribe qualifications for the post of overseer, the Government may perhaps advise the Syndicate to prescribe qualifications for such posts as Engineers, etc. requiring such qualifications.

requiring technical skill and also to consider the question of retention or otherwise of the incumbents with no qualifications.

Examiner's U.O. 523-1
9-2-49

52141
E & P.H. Deptt. U.O.

E. & P.H. (G.3)

Please see this Deptt. previous notes on p.p. 1-2 ante
and the u.o. note of the Exr. of L.F. Accounts above.

Examiner's report may be communicated to the University, and the Registrar ^{may} be requested to take action to prescribe the qualifications of such technicians.

to consider the question of retaining or otherwise of the unqualified incumbents. (X) A draft letter is submitted for

circulated to H.M. (Edn).

SECRET
24 FEB 1949
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
13.2.49
Tubing
5 1/2
16/10/49
K. (B. G.)

159
23.249

124/99 pp 11-12 of

