

closed

Scott. II-27-58,004-27-10-47.

EPH (1949) Department.

(X)

~~EPH~~ SERIES.

G.O. NO. 52 E dm

Dated

6 — 1 — 49

O for R S

pp 5-6 of - 1949 m

(6)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grants - Teaching - Secondary school,
under local bodies - Assessment in 1948-49 - Certain
points raised by the Director - Orders passed.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction.
Rc. 731-G2/48.

Madras, dated 23rd November '48.

FROM THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE, MADRAS.

SIR,

SUB: SUBSIDY - Teaching - Secondary Schools
under local bodies - Balance payable in
1948-49.

REF: G.O. No. 2190 Education dated 10/10/1948.

In para 2 of the above G.O. Government have directed that Local bodies should submit to the Director the Financial Statements of secondary schools under this managements for the year 1946-47 and 1947-48 and that from the total teaching grant due for the years, the lump sum subsidy paid in 1946-47 and the special grant paid in 1947-48 should be deducted and the balance paid to the local bodies concerned in 1948-49.

2. Again in para 2 of the G.O. No. 975 Education dated 10/5/1947 Government have stated that the system of paying lump sum subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of maintenance of secondary schools opened prior to 1930 will be abolished as from 1/1/1947 and that local bodies will be paid every year, for all recognised secondary schools under their management, grants-in-aid based on the net cost of the institutions in the preceeding financial year approved by the Director of Public Instruction, subject to the proviso that in the case of schools, in respect of which fixed subsidies are being granted now as teaching subsidies, teaching grants paid under the revised system will not be less than the subsidies to which they are at present entitled.

3. The financial statements called for from local bodies have now been received this though not with full particulars as required by the circular issued by the Director. These detailed particulars required for determining the admisibility of the items of expenditure for purposes of grant will be called for again from the local bodies and the grant assessed.

4. Now the following points arise for consideration in connection with the assessment of grant due to local bodies in the current year:

(i) Whether the proviso in the second sentence of para 2 of G.O. No. 975 Education dated 10/5/1947--that in the case of schools, in respect of which fixed subsidies are being granted now as teaching subsidies, teaching grants paid under the revised system will not be less than the subsidies to which they are at present entitled-- applies to the code grant payable for the year 1947-48 only or for 1946-47 also.

(ii) Whether the Special subsidies sanctioned to

District Boards, Bellary, Cuddapah, Kurnool, Anantapur, Chittoor and the Nilgiris in G.O. No. 2048 Education dated 5/12/1945 and to the Municipal Council, Hospet in G.O. No. 687 Education dated 20/4/1942 should also be taken into account for the above purpose. In G.O. No. Ms. 2311 Education dated 2/12/1946 Government has however directed that the Municipal Council, Hospet should make every effort to maintain the Municipal High School without the additional subsidy from 1948-49 onwards and

(iii) whether the term "total teaching grant" referred to in the last sentence of para 2 of G.O. No. 2190 Education dated 10/10/1947 refers only to the Grant towards half net cost of the institution or it includes also the grant towards compensation for fee income foregone by the local body in 1947-48.

5. The approximate figures worked out from the Financial statements in respect of two local bodies are furnished in the annexed statement.

In the case of the first local body the balance of grant payable in 1948-49 will be (Rs. 23556 + 813 + 19450 + 2764) - 38900 + 22664 = 30347, as per the directions in the two G.Os. But in the case of the second local body if the proviso is taken to apply to 1947-48 and not to 1946-47 and if the Special Grant subsidy is left out of account, the balance of grant payable in the current year will be Rs(21625 + 2741 + 23880 + 2443) - 47760 + 22601 = 25530/-. But if the special xx subsidy of Rs. 9000/- is also taken into account and the proviso made applicable to 1947-48 only, then there will be no balance due under code grant as shown below:

Rs(21625 + 2741 + 32880 + 2443) - 65760;

Only the compensation grant of Rs. 22601/- will be payable in the current year. If, however, the proviso is made applicable to the code grant for 1946-47 also then the balance of grant due for 1948-49 will be

Rs(23880 + 2741 + 23880 + 2443) - 47760 + 22601 = 27785/-.

6. I request that Government may be pleased to make their intention clear as to how the balance of subsidy due in 1948-49 should be arrived at and that early orders may be passed in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

M. S. Subramanian

for Director of Public Instruction.

24/11

kn.24/11/-

Grants - Teaching - Secondary Schools under local bodies
1946-7 & 1947-8 - Assessment in 1948-9 - certain
points raised by the Director - Orders passed

From the DP 3 No 731 G. 2/48 & 23. 11. 48

Order no 52 p. edu. 6-1-49

The Govt have considered the points raised by the DP 3 in connection with the assessment of teaching grants to secondary schools under local bodies for 1946-7 and 1947-8 in the current year and they pass the following orders

(1) Whether the proviso in para 2 of Go. no 975 Edn & 10.5.47 that in respect of schools for which fixed subsidies were being paid, teaching grants under the revised system should not be less than the subsidies to which they were entitled, applies to the grant for 1946-7 or for grants payable for 1947-8 & subsequent years

The grant for 1946-7 should be assessed in the manner laid down in para 4 of Go. no 975 Edn & 10.5.47 as amended by Go no 2650 Edn & 12.12.47 and the proviso referred to need not be applied to the grant for this year. ¹⁹⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷ It is sufficient if it is applied to teaching grants for 1947-8 & subsequent years.

(2) Whether the special subsidies sanctioned to certain poor district boards & Hoopet Mahal should be taken into account for purposes of provision given in the proviso in para 2 of Go no 975 Edn & 10.5.47

The additional subsidy of Rs 2000 sanctioned to the Municipal Council Hoopet should be taken into account for purposes of payment of teaching grant up to 1947-8 only and not for subsequent

2E
Dividee
6/1/49

(K)

(R)

(K)

6
years. The additional subsidies sanctioned to six district boards in G.O. no 2048 Edn D. 5.12.45 need not be taken into account for purposes of the proviso. Only the fixed subsidies that were being paid to each school should be taken into account for determining the amount of teaching grant to be paid in respect of that school.

(3) whether the term 'expression' 'total teaching grant' used in the last sentence of para 2 of G.O. no 2190 Edn D. 10.10.47 refers only to the grant towards half the net cost of the institution or it includes the compensation towards fee income foregone by the local body in 1947-8.

The word 'total' refers to the teaching grant assessed on the basis of net cost for the two years 1946-7 & 1947-8 and not to the compensation towards the loss of fee income which is calculated separately & paid along with the teaching grant.

KSU
4-1-49
5-1-49

(M)
(H)
To
The DP 9
Surigao
Acts
At a length
7th
Spec 2.

Deposited
by
of

5
Education and Public Health Dept
B 1 section

Grant - Teaching- Secondary schools under local bodies -
Balance payable in 1948-9 in respect of teaching grants for 1946-7 and 1947-8

From the D.P.I. R.C.No 731 G2/48 dated 23-11-48

(K)
Under para 2 of G.O. No 975 Education dated 10-5-47, the system of payment of fixed lump sum subsidies towards the cost of maintenance of secondary schools under local bodies opened prior to 1930 was abolished as from 1-1-47 and the system of payment of teaching grants to all recognised schools under local bodies based on half the approved net cost of maintenance of the institutions for the preceding financial year was introduced instead subject to the proviso that in the case of the schools in respect of which fixed teaching subsidies were being paid hitherto, the teaching grants paid under the revised system should not be less than the subsidies to which they were hitherto entitled. The grants for 1946-7 and 1947-8 should be assessed in the current year and from the assessed grant the lump sum subsidy paid in 1946-7 and the provisional grant paid in 1947-8 should be deducted and the balance alone should be paid in the current year. In this connection the D.P.I. has raised certain points for orders. These are examined below.

2- Whether the proviso in the second sentence of para 2 of G.O. No 975 Edn dated 10-5-47 that in respect of schools for which fixed subsidies were being paid, teaching grants under the revised system ^{should} ~~will~~ not be less than the subsidies to which they were hitherto entitled, applies to the code grant (Teaching grant) payable for the year 1947-8 and subsequent years or for 1946-47 also.

(K)
The grant for 1946-7 should be assessed in the manner laid down in para 4 of G.O. No 975 Edn dated 10-5-47 as

amended by G.O. No 2650 Edn dated 12-12-1947. The teaching grant in the case of subsidy schools is to be calculated at one-fourth of the net cost for the year ¹⁹⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷ based on the expenditure at the old rates of pay for the last three months plus three-fourths of the annual subsidy for the first nine months of the year. The proviso need not be applied for this year of transition from one system to another. It seems sufficient if it is applied ~~for~~ ^{as the revised scales of pay were given to only in 1947-8} from 1947-8 onwards. It does not appear that the grant assessed in the manner fixed for 1946-7 will be less than the fixed subsidy to which the institution was previously eligible. If any such cases are brought to notice, the question of giving the balance as grant for that year may be considered.

(ii) Whether the special subsidies sanctioned to certain poor district boards and the Municipal Council Hospet, should be taken into ^{account} for purposes of protection ^{given} in the proviso in para 2 of G.O. No 975 Edn dated 10-5-47

In the case of the Municipal Council Hospet, the additional ^{of Rs 2000/-} subsidy was given as a temporary measure for a limited period of five years from 1942-43. According to G.O. No 2311 Edn dated 2-12-46 it was tenable only for 1947-8. The D.P.I. may be informed that the additional subsidy should be taken into account only for purposes of grant payable for 1947-8 and not for subsequent years. As regards the six district boards for which the additional subsidies ^(Rs 9000/- for each St. Board) were sanctioned in G.O.

No 2048 Edn dated 5-12-1945, it will appear from the reasons given that the additional subsidy was not in respect of schools for which ^{fixed} subsidies were paid, but as an additional help towards the cost of maintenance of secondary schools. Any such help should be restricted only to the period prior to the introduction of the revised system of ~~teaching grants~~ ^{under which the District boards have considerable relief.} The D.P.I. may be informed that it need not be taken into account

for the purposes of the proviso. Further the teaching grant is to be calculated for each school and the additional subsidy sanctioned in G.O. No 2048 Edn dated 5-22-48 was for the institutions maintained by the district boards concerned as a whole.

(iii) whether the term 'total teaching grant' referred to in the last sentence of G.O. para 2 of G.O. No 2190 Edn dated 10-10-47 refers only to the grant towards half the net cost of the institution

Handwritten notes:
m 21-12-48
by
as the revised scales of pay were given to only in 1947-8 and teaching grant for full year will be assessed only from that year

Handwritten notes:
m 21-12-48
by
K

Handwritten notes:
M
m 21-12-48
by

Handwritten notes:
H


or it includes also the ~~grant towards~~ compensation towards fee income foregone by the local body in 1947-8.

No compensation was paid to local bodies towards the loss of fee income prior to the introduction of the system of teaching grant as in the case of aided schools. The word 'total grant' had reference to the teaching grant worked out on the basis of ^{for the program 1946-7 & 1947-8} of the net cost and not to the compensation which is calculated separately and paid along with the teaching grant. The D.P.I. may be informed accordingly.

~~XX~~

The remarks of the Finance Department may be invited u.o.

Handwritten notes:
L.S.N. 19-12-48
S. 21-12-48
S. 21-12-48
S. 21-12-48
S. 21-12-48




Finance (ExpA)
Please see the reference from the D.P.I. in the current file and the note of ExpA on pp 1-3 above.

2. Finance may agree with the views of the BrH Dept. in their note on pp 1-3 above.

Jan. w.o.
108857/ExpA/48-1
31-12-48

Handwritten notes:
1AA
29-12-48
m 30-12-48
by



Finance have agreed with the views of this Dept. on pp 1-3 ante.

A draft order is submitted below for approval.

Handwritten notes:
L.S.N. 4.1.49
m 5-1-49
by

Insurance have agreed with the owner of
the property to be sold and the owner of the
property to be sold.