

1

Summary

11/25/2

①

1/2

Seott. II-27-60,004-17-11-48.

E&PH (194⁹) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. NO.

3969 Edn

Dated

28/12/49

OK AS.

p25 of copy

XX on p21y

16

[Despatch Abstract.]

M.E.S.S. Memorials of certain Dy Inspectors of School Assts for grant of advance increments in the revised scales of pay based on their total service-papers recorded.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
Re.No.235 03/49 dated 24th Oct. 1949.

Subject:- Public Services -- Memorials of certain Deputy Inspectors and School Assistants for the grant of advance increments in the Revised Scales of Pay based on their total service in the categories of the Deputy Inspectors - Junior and Senior -- Submitted.



Read:- From the Special Officer, District Board, Ramnad, L.Dis.No.15030/49 dated 14-7-49.

83954

(ii) From the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore Divn., Re.No.507 A/49 dated 6-7-49, 11-7-49 and 26-7-49.

(iii) From the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Mathurai Division, Roc.No.587 A/49 dated 2-8-1949.

Submitted.

I recommend the favourable consideration of the request of the Memorialists.

K. Sankaranarayanan

For Director of Public Instruction

To the Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department, Fort St. George, Madras.

SJ/27/10.

27/10
(2/10/50)

3
C 23528/19

**Humble Memorial to the Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.**

13068

Sir,

1. We, the undermentioned Officers of the combined Cadre of Senior Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants, beg to submit the following Memorial regarding certain grievances of ours for your sympathetic and early consideration.

2. We were appointed to the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools during the years 1928 to 1936. Owing to the measures of all round retrenchment that Government had to adopt during the years 1932 to 1939 many posts in the combined Cadre of Senior Dy. Inspectors and School Assistants were abolished and consequently those of us who were Junior Dy. Inspectors with L. T. qualification had to continue in that lower posts for very long periods with no prospects whatever for promotion. Besides, during the years 1928—1936 it was the policy of the Government to appoint only L.T's. for the posts of Junior Dy Inspectors. When the Government revised the scale of pay in 1933 this policy was again reiterated.

3. Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advanced increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the service we had put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors were not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlooking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months, service on 31-12-'46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

4. We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L. T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

5. We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

6. We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours faithfully,

M. S. S. Bagam

11.7.49

District Board Educational Officer
Rammudat Mathurani

Station Mathurani

Date 11.7.49

H-15030/49
N. Des 15030/49 dated 14-7-49

Forwarded

[Signature]

For Special Officer,

Ramnad District Board
at Katturail.

[Signature]
11-7-49. 11/7 12-7-49

To
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras

C. No. 235 CS/49

die over.

J.D.P.I.

25-7-49

Humble Memorial to the Director of Public Instruction, MADRAS.

Sir,

We, the undermentioned Officers of the combined Cadre of Senior Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants, beg to submit the following Memorial regarding certain grievances of ours for your sympathetic and early consideration.

We were appointed to the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools during the years 1928 to 1936. Owing to the measures of all round retrenchment that Government had to adopt during the years 1932 to 1939 many posts in the combined Cadre of Senior Dy. Inspectors and School Assistants were abolished and consequently those of us who were Junior Dy. Inspectors with L. T. qualification had to continue in the lower posts for very long periods with no prospects whatever for promotion. Besides, during the years 1928-1936 it was the policy of the Government to appoint only L. T's. for the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors. When the Government revised the scale of pay in 1933 this policy was again reiterated.

Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advance increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the services we had put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors were not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlooking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months' service on 31-12-'46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though we were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L. T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.


We beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours faithfully,

Station... *Manattody*
Date... *2-7-49*

C. Rama
Deputy Inspector of Schools
Wyzad Range,
Manattody.



Naish 404 32/49
2/2.7.49

Submitted to the District
Educational Officer,
North Malabar.

C. Rang
2-7-49
Asst. Inspector of
Schools
Wyznad Range

14751

Roc/No. 507 A/49
Dated 6-7-1949.

Submitted to the Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore
Division for favourable consideration.



Chandrasekhar
District Educational Officer,
North Malabar.

To

The Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore.

P.C.7.7. 12/17

Humble Memorial to the Director of Public Instruction, MADRAS.



Sir, 15 JUL 1949
We, the undermentioned Officers of the combined Cadre of Senior Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants, beg to submit the following Memorial regarding certain grievances of ours for your sympathetic and early consideration.

We were appointed to the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools during the years 1928 to 1936. Owing to the measures of all round retrenchment that Government had to adopt during the years 1932 to 1939 many posts in the combined Cadre of Senior Dy. Inspectors and School Assistants were abolished and consequently those of us who were Junior Dy. Inspectors with L. T. qualification had to continue in that lower posts for very long periods with no prospects whatever for promotion. Besides, during the years 1928-1936 it was the policy of the Government to appoint only L. T's. for the posts of Junior Dy Inspectors. When the Government revised the scale of pay in 1933 this policy was again reiterated.

Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advanced increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the service we had put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors was not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlooking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months' service on 31-12-'46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though we were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L. T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours faithfully,

Station Meltanor
Date 9.7.49

Address: 75.32/49, 9-7-49.

Submitted through the District
Educational Officer North Malabar.

P. Balapin
Deputy Inspector of Schools
Meltanor Range
N. Malabar.

P. Balapin
9-7-49

Rec.No.507 A/49
Dated 11-7-49.

Submitted to the Divisional Inspector of schools,
Coimbatore Division for favourable consideration.

[Signature]
District Educational Officer,
North Malabar.

To

The Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore.

P.C.12.7.

[Signature]

**Humble Memorial to the Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.**

Resd. Aves
NORTH MAL

Sir,

We, the undermentioned Officers of the combined Cadre of Senior Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants, beg to submit the following Memorial regarding certain grievances of ours for your sympathetic and early consideration.

We were appointed to the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools during the years 1928 to 1936. Owing to the measures of all round retrenchment that Government had to adopt during the years 1932 to 1939 many posts in the combined Cadre of Senior Dy. Inspectors and School Assistants were abolished and consequently those of us who were Junior Dy. Inspectors with L. T. qualification had to continue in that lower posts for very long periods with no prospects whatever for promotion. Besides, during the years 1928—1936 it was the policy of the Government to appoint only L.T's. for the posts of Junior Dy Inspectors. When the Government revised the scale of pay in 1933 this policy was again reiterated.

Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advanced increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the service we had put in as Jnnior Dy. Inspectors were not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlooking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months, service on 31-12-'46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though we were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L. T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours faithfully,

Badagara
Station *5.7.49*
Date *5.7.49*

[Signature]
Head master,
Govt Ben's Dainij School,
Badagara



Reg 559 Aug

15092
50022

Doc. No. 507-1/49
Dated 8-7-1949.

Submitted to the Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore Division for favourable consideration.

9 Aug 9/1
District Educational Officer,
North Malabar.

To
The Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore.
P.C.9.7.

By

Humble Memorial to the Director of Public Instruction, MADRAS.

Sir,

We, the undermentioned Officers of the combined Cadre of Senior Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants, beg to submit the following Memorial regarding certain grievances of ours for your sympathetic and early consideration.

We were appointed to the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools during the years 1928 to 1936. Owing to the measures of all round retrenchment that Government had to adopt during the years 1932 to 1939 many posts in the combined Cadre of Senior Dy. Inspectors and School Assistants were abolished and consequently those of us who were Junior Dy. Inspectors with L. T. qualification had to continue in that lower posts for very long periods with no prospects whatever for promotion. Besides, during the years 1928-1936 it was the policy of the Government to appoint only L.T.s. for the posts of Junior Dy Inspectors. When the Government revised the scale of pay in 1933 this policy was again reiterated.

Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advance increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the service we had put in as Jnnior Dy. Inspectors were not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlooking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months' service on 31-12-'46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.

We beg to remain,

Sir,


Yours faithfully,

1.7.49

School Assistant,
Govt. Training School,
Palghat

Station... Palghat
Date... 1.7.1949

12



Ma No 506 A/49
8.7.49

Submitted to the Divisional
Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore
Division.

Dt. Educational Officer
South Malabar

7.7.49

Div. Divisional Inspector of Schools
Coimbatore Division.

14746

Submitted to the Headmaster,
Government Training School, Palghat
for favour of submission to the
District Educational Officer,
South Malabar.

Headmaster
1.7.49
Govt. Training School
Palghat

N. No. 399/49
1.7.49

Submitted to the District
Educational Officer, South
Malabar.

Headmaster
1.7.1949
Govt. Training School
Palghat

C2

Office of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore Division
N. Di. No. 859-A/49, dated 26-7-49.

Sub:- Memorial of Messrs P.C.M. Raja, School Asst., Govt. Trg. school, Palghat
C. Raman, Dy. Inspector, Wynad, P. Balakrishnan, Dy. Inspector, Mattanoor
and K.P. Kunchu Menon, Headmaster, Govt. Basic Trg. school, Badagara.
Ref:- From the Dist. Edl. Officer, North Malabar, Roc. 507-A/49, dated 8-7-49
and N. Di. 506-A/49, dated 8-7-49 of the Dt. Edl. Officer, S. Malabar.


Submitted to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras

Received
9.8.49

V. Natarajan
Divisional Inspector of schools,
Coimbatore Division

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

17317



A 16786 15

(9)

**Humble Memorial to the Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.**

Thru Proper Channel.

Sir,

We, the undermentioned Officers of the combined Cadre of Senior Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants, beg to submit the following Memorial regarding certain grievances of ours for your sympathetic and early consideration.

We were appointed to the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools during the years 1928 to 1936. Owing to the measures of all round retrenchment that Government had to adopt during the years 1932 to 1939 many posts in the combined Cadre of Senior Dy. Inspectors and School Assistants were abolished and consequently those of us who were Junior Dy. Inspectors with L. T. qualification had to continue in that lower posts for very long periods with no prospects whatever for promotion. Besides, during the years 1928—1936 it was the policy of the Government to appoint only L. T's. for the posts of Junior Dy Inspectors. When the Government revised the scale of pay in 1933 this policy was again reiterated.

Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advanced increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the service we had put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors were not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlooking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months, service on 31-12-'46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though we were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L. T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours faithfully.


A. K. Zamil

Station.....*Trichy*

Date.....*22-7-48*

*School Assistant Govt. Islamiah
High School,*

Thiruchirappalli



88 Synes leade

Headmaster:

Govt. Islamiah High School.

Trichinopoly.

4
 272
 Nov 520 Aug
 7 Aug

Submitted to the Divisional
Inspector of schools
Coimbatore Division

Don
Dist Bob. Officer
Tuma by

27/7

2 AUG 1947
Re 947
Sir,
COMBATORS
We, the un

DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
RECEIVED
18 JUL 1949
No. 135/1949
SALEM

Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advanced increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the service we had put in as Jnnior Dy. Inspectors were not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlocking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months, service on 31-12-'46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours faithfully.

19-
Humble Memorial to the Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

Sir,

We, the undermentioned Officers of the combined Cadre of Senior Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants, beg to submit the following Memorial regarding certain grievances of ours for your sympathetic and early consideration.

We were appointed to the posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools during the years 1928 to 1936. Owing to the measures of all round retrenchment that Government had to adopt during the years 1932 to 1939 many posts in the combined Cadre of Senior Dy. Inspectors and School Assistants were abolished and consequently those of us who were Junior Dy. Inspectors with L. T. qualification had to continue in that lower posts for very long periods with no prospects whatever for promotion. Besides, during the years 1928—1936 it was the policy of the Government to appoint only L.T's. for the posts of Junior Dy Inspectors. When the Government revised the scale of pay in 1933 this policy was again reiterated.

Consequent on the introduction of revised scales for all Government Servants in February 1947 and the sanction of advance increments for previous service, we were deeply disappointed to see that the service we had put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors were not taken into account in drawing increments for past services. This has naturally affected our prospects adversely and has hit us hard. It creates an anomalous situation, since, now-a-days, even raw graduates with no L. T. qualification are appointed to the posts of School Assistants and Senior Dy. Inspectors. Some of us who have put in a total service of 15 to 20 years as L. T's. have been sanctioned only one increment since most of our service was in the Junior Dy. Inspectors' Cadre. Such overlooking of our claims brings about a situation when even a new recruit with about 4 months' service on 31-12-46 was able to draw one advance increment just like we could draw one advance increment for 18 to 20 years' past Service in the Department.

We would respectfully point out in this connection that we were forced to remain in the lower posts of Junior Dy. Inspectors, though we were qualified for higher posts even at the very beginning, for no fault of ours. Such a situation was brought about mainly by the policy of the Government in deciding to have only L. T's. as Junior Dy. Inspectors in those years. It would, therefore, be only just and proper that part of our service would also be taken into account for drawing advance increments.

We may be permitted here to bring to your kind notice a similar representation of the Senior Language Pundits of Government Schools and Colleges. They were at first not permitted to draw advance increments for the periods they served as Junior Pundits, but on their representing their grievances through a Memorial, Government were pleased to consider their claims as just and reasonable and to sanction increments, for those periods as Junior Pundits.

We, therefore, pray that the Director may be pleased to recommend our just claims also to the Government so that we may be able to draw increments for the period we put in as Junior Dy. Inspectors of Schools.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours faithfully,

V. Sethuramashipam

9.7.49
Deputy Inspector of Schools
Sattur Range

Station...Sattur...

Date...9.7.49...

Mr. Rao 135/47

20.7.49

Submitted to the
Divisional Inspector
of Schools, Coimbatore.

District Educational Officer,

Salem.

To the Divisional Inspector of Schools
Coimbatore.

20

NRC 823 A/4P
24-7-49

Submitted to the
Divisional Inspector of Schools
Mathurai Division
Continuation of this office
NRC 823 A/4P dated 13 & 16-7-49
Furnished
to District Educational Officer
Raman
13/7

Doc. No. 587-A/49 dated 2-3-1949.

SUBMITTED to the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras.

P. W. S. S. S. S.
Divisional Inspector of Schools,
Mathurai Division.

To
The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

S.U.4/3

Transferred to C2 Section
23/8/49
23/8/49
23/8/49

21 / Ppt 4A

68
18/11
m
m
m

T.M. Bypost M 83954 A/49 Edu D 17.11.49
From the Secy to Govt, Ed. Dept
To the D.O.

Public Services, removals of certain
by Inspectors & Senior Assistants for grant
of advance increments in the Revised
Scales of pay based on their total service

pick Your letter no 235 C874 of 24.10.49

p3a

In para 5 of the memorial it
has been stated that the Senior Pandits in Govt
Colleges were granted advance increments for
the periods they served as Junior Pandits. Please
refer me to the orders of Govt, if any, on the subject.

R. S. S. S. S.

Mul
16/11
16/11/49

MU
17/11/49

C.F. 32 (a).

EDUCATION

Department

Received

Registered

From (Name)

Designation The Director of Public
Station Instruction, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government
Education and Public Health
Department, Madras.

Enclosures:

R.C.

No. 235 C8/49 dated 26th November 1949.

Sir,

Subject:

Public Services- Memorials of certain Deputy Inspectors and School Assistants for grant of advance increments in the revised scales of pay based on their total service.

Ref: Government T.M. by post No. 83954 A/49 Edn. dated 17-11-49.

The concession granted to Pandits referred to in para 5 of the memorials in question is contained in the Government Memo No. 74187 B1/48-2 Edn. dated 2-11-48.

Yours faithfully,

A.S./28-11.

for Director of Public Instruction.

A)

14

pick

J.V.
21.12

21/12 u

№ 7418731 | 18. 2 Edm 012.11.48

②

5

To

~~23~~

msd

19/12/4

20/12/2019

$\uparrow$ 3-19cf
 para 6 on
 $\uparrow$ 3cf
 2 alone
 ④ $\uparrow$ 2

16

2/. According to Rule 7(2)(c) of the Madras Revised Scales of Pay Rules, where two or more grades in the existing scale have been combined into a single grade in the revised scale, an officer shall count service in all such grades in the existing scale for increments in the grade in the revised scale. In the Memo referred to at 'V' above, all Pandits and Munshis were not allowed advance increments based on their total service. The concession was given only to those Pandits and Munshis who were in the transitory scales (i.e. on the scales admissible to those who did not possess the required qualifications) as it was considered that the transitory scales to that class of Pandits (unqualified Pandits) covered all the existing scales of Pandits.

It may be seen that the same consideration does not apply to Deputy Inspectors ^{and} School Assistants who are fully qualified and who do not have a separate scale for unqualified persons. ^{Further under the revised scale, Junior and Senior Deputy Inspectors have not been combined into a single grade - they form two distinct grades - vide A, B and C.} In the above circumstances, the request of the petitioners is inadmissible. F & P.H. may explain the position to the Director of Public Instruction.

13 of 21.78 (V)
 56.2.47
 12 of (X)
 B on 2 of (X)
 cf also para 3 on p 1 and 13 of (M) and 12 of (S)

14.12.49

14/12/49

14/12/49
 F.M.V.O.
 84024/15.12.7
 14.12.49

E. & P.H. Deptt (A)

Please see the Finance Deptt note from planning ~~the circumstances stated by the~~ at ~~the~~ ~~a draft memo is subd for approval.~~ A draft memo is subd. After seeing the papers may be recorded for future reference.

Md 14/12

19/12/49

20/12/49

G.O. No 3969

28.12.49

16 DEC 1949
 98333

M.S.S.S. - Memorials of certain Dy Inspectors of Schools for grant of advance increments in the revised scales may be based on the total service papers recorded