

Pt
2/2/12

FD# (1948) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO.

3941 E

Dated

23/12/48

2

18

[Despatch Abstract.]

G.P.F. - Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.O.
(under suspension) - Advance -
Sanctd -

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	489						
	12548						
	417						
	16249						
	1663						
	23549						

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FROM THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS

To The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Dept.,
Madras.

12 NOV 1949

Rec. 1293 J/49 dated 9-11-49

Subj: Advance --General Provident Fund--Sri S. Murugesu Mudaliar
District Educational Officer, Guntur North.

Sri S. Murugesu Mudaliar, District Educational Officer, Guntur North has applied for an advance of Rs.1,200 from his General Provident Fund amount to meet medical expenses in connection with prolonged medical treatment that is necessary for him and treatment for his mother. It is reported that he is suffering from dyspepsia, occasional chest pain and nervous pains all over his body.

2. The advance now applied for does not exceed either 3 months pay of the subscriber or half the amount at his credit in the General Provident Fund. But the advances sanctioned in G.O. Ms.No.489 Education dated 12-3-48 and in G.O. Ms.417 Edn. dated 16-2-49 are pending recovery and an amount of Rs.1600/- is reported to be outstanding on the whole. Further 12 months have not elapsed since the repayment of another advance sanctioned by me in 1947. Hence the present advance is a fourth one. I am convinced of the need for the present advance and I therefore recommend that the advance applied for may be sanctioned as a special case recoverable in 24 instalments of Rs.50 each.

Yours faithfully,

Referred to the Accountant-General for remarks.

To The Accountant-General.
14/11/49

14/11/49

This could be 24
He refused to give
1951.

Fds. 311 A/1852

1,002-4-1-49.
OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS.

Dated 2 12 1949.

Subject.—Application from Sri S. Murugesu Mudaliyar, D. E. O.,
Guntur North (Account No. 21144 Edm.) for an advance of
Rs. 1200/- (Rupees One thousand two hundred only) from the
General

9464H Provident Fund to meet expenses on account of medical treatment
for himself and his mother.

Reference:— Endr. No 88068A - 1 D. 15.11.49 Q H. G. E & P. H. on letter
No. ROC 1293J/49 D. 9.11.49 Q Re D. P. I. Madras.

Forwarded/Returned.

2. The advance applied for is covered by the balance at the credit of the applicant,
which was Rs. 3172/- on 31.8.49. The object of the advance falls
under Rule 14(a)(i) of the General Provident Fund
(Madras) Rules. There is no audit objection to the advance being sanctioned
by Government under Rule 14(1)(c) and
paragraph 1(a) of the (Fifth) schedule to the General
Provident Fund (Madras) Rules.

3. As a period of 12 months has not elapsed since the complete repayment of the a
previous advance, which sanctioned in May 47 exceeded two thirds of the amount admissible under
and as two more are
exceeded two thirds of the amount admissible under
As the advance applied for exceeds three months' pay of the applicant/As the advance
applied for exceeds half the amount at the credit of the applicant in the Fund, the advance
can be sanctioned under Rule 14(c)(i) ibid only for special reasons to be
recorded in the sanction order.

[P.T.O.]

4. As the advance applied for is not susceptible of recovery in equal monthly instalments (in whole rupees) not less than 12 and not more than 24, it may be raised or reduced if necessary, to admit of the fixation of such instalments.

5. The advance (of Rs. 1200/-) may be ordered to be recovered in 24 instalments of Rs. 50/- each together with interest as laid down in Rule 15(4) of these Rules. *As however the officer is under suspension from 11.11.49, recovery should be commenced on his return to duty vide Rule 15(3) and unless he agrees to repay the advances.*

6. The following amounts are outstanding against the applicant/No amount is outstanding against the applicant.

7. The enclosure/enclosures received with the under reference is/are herewith.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George.

To the DPI.

" A.G. (to Fin.).
by S. Murugesu Mudr.
by V.S. Mudaliyar Sr., Saindapat. 22.12.49

Edn. and P.H. Dept. (A).

G.P.F. - Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension)
- Advance - Sanctd.

Read:- From the DPI, No. 1293-J/49, dt. 9.11.49.

G.O. (MS.) No. 3941. Edn., d/- 23.12.49.

(As a special case,)

The Govt. sanction the payment to Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension) of an advance of Rs. 1,200 (one thousand and two hundred only) from out of the amt. standing to his credit in the G.P.F. to enable him to meet the expenses connected with the medical treatment for him and his mother. The Govt. are convinced of the need for the advance to the subscriber. The recovery of the advance will be postponed ~~until he is no longer under~~ ^{until he is no longer under} the order placing Sri. Murugesu Mudr. under suspension ~~is cancelled or final orders~~ ^{stands} are issued by the Govt. in the discy. case against him, whichever is earlier.

22.12.49

From the Dpt, No. 1293-J/49, d/- 9-11-49.
From the A.G., No. Fds. II-A/1852, d/- 2-12-49.

G.P.F. - Advance for Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., DEO

Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O., has applied for an advance of Rs. 1,200 from his G.P.F. account to meet the expenses connected with the medical treatments for him and his mother.

In connection with some irregularities ^{which} he has committed, he has ^{recently} been ~~separately~~ placed under suspension ^{and an} enquiry into the irregularities is to be made by the Tribunal (Discy. Procs.).

The advance applied for by Sri Mudr. may be as a spl. case, sanctioned, notwithstanding ~~the~~ the fact that he is under suspension. He has got a balance of Rs. 3172 in his GPF account, For a precedent, pl. see "L".

The recovery of the advance may be postponed until Sri Mudr.'s suspension is cancelled or the ~~Govt.~~ issue final orders in the discy. case against him. For, he is not getting full pay ^{now} during the period of suspension; he is ^{paid} ~~getting~~ subsistence allowance from out of which it will not be possible for him to repay the advance in addition to meeting his normal expenses.

Finance may see.

Therefore even if he is not continued in service on completion of the discy. enquiry, the advance may be adjusted ^{para 5,} towards the balance.



Fin. n. 1

85549/49-1
20.12.49

21 DEC 1949
99716

P.J. 14
15/12/49

13/12/49

3/15/12/Fin

Lin (Pen)

In the special circumstances of the case, the proposal of E.H. at 'A' and 'B' above may be agreed to. (cf. also rule 15(2) of the Ruls.)

Col. 20/12/49

20.12.49

A draft order is subd.

22.12.49

22/12/49

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

From The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Fort St. George,
Madras.

Sir, Roc.No.292-J/50 dated 29-3-1950.

Sub: Advance - General Provident Fund - Sri S.
Murugesu Mudaliar - District Educational
Officer (under suspension)
Ref: From Sri S. Murugesu Mudaliar dated 28-2-1950.

In submitting a copy of the letter cited above, I offer
the following remarks:--

1. With reference to rules 28 and 29 of the General Provident
Fund rules, the closure of the General Provident Fund Account
now, is not feasible, though the subscriber is at the gag end
of his service.

2. Regarding his request for an advance from the General
Provident Fund the following remarks are offered.

(i) The subscriber has been given four advances so far
(between 1947-49)

(ii) The first advance of Rs.840/- was sanctioned in my Proceedings
Dis.878/48 dated 3-3-1947 to meet expenses connected with the
illness of the subscriber and his wife. It was repaid on 1-11-1949
and the interest thereon has to be recovered.

(iii) A second advance of Rs.1000/- was sanctioned in G.O.No.489
Education dated 12-3-1948 to meet expenses connected with the
marriage of the subscriber and his mother's illness. This
advance is pending recovery.

(iv) A third advance of Rs.1200/- was sanctioned in G.O.No.417
Education dated 16-2-1949 to meet expenses connected with the
marriage of the subscriber's daughter. This advance is also
pending recovery.

(v) A further advance of Rs.1200/- was sanctioned very recently,
in G.O.No.3941 Education dated 23-12-1949 to meet expenses
connected with the illness of the subscriber and his wife.

(vi) An amount of Rs.2800/- is pending recovery of the whole from
the loans sanctioned above.

(vii) The amount standing at the credit of the subscriber is
reported to be Rs.2137/-.

3. The advances sanctioned in the four instances, are either
to meet expenses connected with the marriage of his daughter or
illness of himself and his wife and mother. The reasons that
can be accepted in the present advance are the illness of the
subscriber and his mother only. The confinement of his daughter
cannot be regarded as a valid reason as she will be a dependent
on her husband.

4. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Government of India's
letter communicated in G.O.No.304 Finance dated 2-5-1930, the
object of the General Provident Fund scheme will be frustrated
if it is considered as an ordinary banking account from which
frequent withdrawals are allowed leaving the subscriber with
a depleted account at the time of his retirement when it ought
to be most helpful to him or his family.

5928
545

p.2 infra
18.19-10
of Adv. put
up before

(K)/2
+ daughter

(H)/3

(N)/4

M/

(P)/2

2222
11

2222
11

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Fort St. George,
Madras.

Ref. No. 202-1/50 dated 22-3-1950.

Subj: Advance - General Provident Fund - Sri S.
Muruges Mudaliar - District Educational
Officer (under suspension)
Ref: from Sri S. Muruges Mudaliar dated 28-3-1950.

In submitting a copy of the letter cited above, I offer
the following remarks:--

1. With reference to rules 22 and 23 of the General Provident
Fund Rules, the closure of the General Provident Fund account
now, is not feasible, though the subscriber is at the age end
of his service.

2. Regarding his request for an advance from the General
Provident Fund the following remarks are offered.

3. The subscriber has been given four advances so far
(between 1947-48)

(i) The first advance of Rs. 840/- was sanctioned in my proceedings
No. 272/48 dated 2-3-1947 to meet expenses connected with the
illness of the subscriber and his wife. It was repaid on 1-11-1949
and the interest thereon has to be recovered.

(ii) A second advance of Rs. 1000/- was sanctioned in G.O. No. 480
Education dated 12-8-1948 to meet expenses connected with the
marriage of the subscriber and his mother's illness. This
advance is pending recovery.

(iii) A third advance of Rs. 1200/- was sanctioned in G.O. No. 417
Education dated 16-2-1949 to meet expenses connected with the
marriage of the subscriber's daughter. This advance is also
pending recovery.

(iv) A further advance of Rs. 1200/- was sanctioned very recently
in G.O. No. 3941 Education dated 23-12-1949 to meet expenses
connected with the illness of the subscriber and his wife.

(v) An amount of Rs. 2800/- is pending recovery of the whole from
the loans sanctioned above.

(vi) The amount standing at the credit of the subscriber is
reported to be Rs. 2137/-.

3. The advances sanctioned in the four instances, are either
to meet expenses connected with the marriage of his daughter or
illness of himself and his wife and mother. The reason that
can be accepted in the present advance are the illness of the
subscriber and his mother only. The confinement of his daughter
cannot be regarded as a valid reason as she will be a dependent
on her husband.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Government of India's
letter communicated in G.O. No. 304 Finance dated 2-5-1930, the
object of the General Provident Fund scheme will be frustrated
if it is considered as an ordinary banking account from which
frequent withdrawals are allowed leaving the subscriber with
a depleted account at the time of his retirement when it ought
to be most helpful to him or his family.

5. In the circumstances stated above, the advance in the present
case is not recommended.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

BR, 20-3.

Copy of Letter dated 28-3-1950 from Sri S. Muruges Mudaliar,
64, V.S. Mudaliar Street, Saidapet, Madras to the Director of
Public Instruction, Madras.

Subj: General Provident Fund - Loan - Sri S. Muruges Mudaliar.

As per the orders conveyed in G.O. No. Ms. 3473 Education and
Public Health dated 11-11-1949 charges have been framed against me
and the inquiry is going on. The next hearing is to take place on
28-3-1950 and at this rate it may take long time for the inquiry
to be completed.

With the subsistence allowance I am now getting I am not
able to meet even the bare needs of my family and I have to borrow
money at a heavy rate of interest. With the slow of suspension
on me I find it difficult even to raise a loan. I have a big family
to support and for a period of one more year my family commitments
will be very heavy—a marriage, my son's college education, medical
expenses for my mother and myself and my daughter's confinement.
Lastly whatever may be the results of the inquiry now going on against
me, the money that I have saved will be most helpful to me especially
now as I am already at the end of my service.

In view of the special circumstances explained above I beg
to request that my General Provident Fund account may be closed and
the amount may be ordered to be paid to me as early as possible
or at least an advance of Rupees One thousand may be sanctioned to
me as a special case because I am struggling to meet the needs of my
family.

The balance today in my General Provident Fund account is as
shown below:

Balance on 31-3-1949 as per deposit sheet	Rs. 2887--0--0
received from the Accountant-General	450--0--0
Subsequent deposits.	3337--0--0
Less advance sanctioned in G.O. No. Ms. 3941	1200--0--0
Education dated 23-12-1949.	2137--0--0
Balance this day.	

The last advance was sanctioned in G.O. No. 3941 Education
dated 23-12-1949.

Three advances are not outstanding.

True Copy

for Director of Public Instruction

BR, 20-3.

In the circumstances stated above, the advance in the present case is not recommended.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

BR, 30-3.

Copy of letter dated 28-3-1950 from Sri S. Murugesu Mudaliar, 64, V.S. Mudaliar Street, Saidapet, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sd/-: General Provident Fund - Loan - Sri S. Murugesu Mudaliar.

As per the orders conveyed in G.O.No.3473 Education and Public Health dated 11-11-1949 charges have been framed against me and the industry is going on. The next hearing is to take place on 28-3-1950 and at this rate it may take long time for the industry to be completed.

With the subsistence allowance I am now getting I am not able to meet even the bare needs of my family and I have to borrow money at a heavy rate of interest. With the aid of suspension on me I find it difficult even to raise a loan. I have a big family to support and for a period of one more year my family commitments will be very heavy - a marriage, my son's college education, medical expenses for my mother and myself and my daughter's confinement. Lastly whatever may be the results of the industry now going on against me, the money that I have saved will be most helpful to me especially now as I am already at the end of my service.

In view of the special circumstances explained above I beg to request that my General Provident Fund account may be closed and the amount may be ordered to be paid to me as early as possible or at least an advance of Rupees One thousand may be sanctioned to me as a special case because I am struggling to meet the needs of my family.

The balance today in my General Provident Fund account is as shown below:

Rs.	
Balance on 31-3-1949 as per deposit sheet	2887-0-0
Received from the Accountant-General	450-0-0
Subsequent deposits	3837-0-0
Less advance sanctioned in G.O.No.3441	1200-0-0
Education dated 28-12-1949.	2137-0-0
Balance this day.	

The last advance was sanctioned in G.O.No.3441 Education dated 28-12-1949.

Three advances are now outstanding.

True Copy

for Director of Public Instruction

BR, 30-3.

Edn. (A)

MEMO. No. 574-A.2, d/- 8/5/50.

Advance - G.P.F. - Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension).

Ref:- From the DPI, No.292-J/50, d/- 29-3-50.

The request of Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension), for the closure of his G.P.F. account is not admissible under the rules and cannot therefore be granted.

2. Sri Murugesu Mudr.'s alternative request, viz., the sanction of a special advance of Rs.1,000/- to him will not be granted, either, ~~as many as four~~ ^{also complied with} advances have been ~~sanctd.~~ ^{granted} to him during the years 1947-1949 and the Govt. do not ~~xxx~~ consider it proper to permit further withdrawal from the officer's account.

To the D.P.I. A.G.

2.5/50
8/5/50

8/5/50

8/5/50

Edn. Dept. (A).
From the D.P.I., No. 292-J/50, d/- 29-3-50.

Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension) -
Request for disbursement of money in G.P.F.

Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O., is under suspension now. Certain charges against him are being enquired into by the Tribunal for Discy. Procs. Saying that he is in dire need of money, he requests that the amount in his G.P.F. account may be paid to him, the account being closed, or that at least an advance of Rs. 1,000/- may be sanctioned. The first request is not admissible under the rules. The D.P.I. does not recommend the second request. For the reasons given by the Dir. in paras 2 to 4 of C.F.1, the officer's request for an advance ⁱⁿ ~~may~~ be turned down. ~~or whether the advance will be sanctioned as a special Finance may see before giving to H.M. (E. & I.)~~ ⁱⁿ ~~case~~. The officer has a sum of Rs. 2137/- to his credit in the G.P.F. He is due to retire in 26.6.1951. Finance should see.

25/4/50

26/4/50 S

I think the advance must be refused.

26.4.50

Lin.

Finance (Pension)

Pl. see the ref. from the D.P.I. on p. 1 of and the Edu. Dept. is note above.

The position set out at 'x' above is correct. This Dept may agree with the views of Sect. Edu. that at 'y' above that the advance may be refused.

25.4.50

Edn

25.4.50

Edn

For. v. v. 5928-A.1

26/4/50



For. v. v. 30,256/50-1



Education department (A)

A draft Memo. negating the request of Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension), for the closure of his S.P.F. account or the grant of an advance from 15th fund, is subd.

H.M. (E.&L.) may see

no imp
4/5/50
5/5/50
5/5/50

H.S.
The further info. regard to the subm. of files of H.M. for orders and be made clear to the Clerk. They seem to suggest subm. to H.M. at their whims. It is clear why in this case the case suggest subm. to H.M.

5/5/50

Subd. that Sectt. Instruction 4(1) mentions the cases which should be circulated to H.Ms.

As the request of a gazetted officer was dealt with in this file and as it was proposed to negative the matter was considered to be an important one and therefore circn. to H.M. (E.&L.) was suggested. If Secy. does not consider the case to be of importance, under item (i) of the Instruction, the file need not be subd. to H.M.

5/5/50
5/5/50

5/5/50
9/5/50

It is not at all important. Do not be subd. to H.M.

5/5/50

292 J/50
30 DEC 1950

From. S. S. Murgusa Mudaliar
64.V.S. Mudaliar Street
Saidapet.

To, The Secretary to Government,
Education - Madras
Through the Director Public Instruction
Madras

54,

(B) 8

Filed with
9.39.41
28.12.49

Reference. Government Memo No 5928A.2
Edu. Dept. dated 9.5.50 Communicated
in Proceedings R.O.C. No 292 J/50 dated 22.5.50
regarding advance from my General Provident
Fund

In the Government order
referred to above, my request for
an advance of from my Provident
Fund accumulation was refused.

I am on suspension only
for fourteen months now and with the
allowance of Rs 160/- as against my
permanent salary of Rs 460/- it is
not possible for me to meet even the
barest needs of my family. Further
being on suspension I find it difficult
even to borrow money and in the
circumstances explained above I beg
to request that an advance of Rs 1000/- (Rupees
one thousand only) may be sanctioned as a
Special Case from my Provident Fund accumulation.
Yours faithfully
S. Murgusa

27.12.50.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper.]

3

R.O.C.No. 292 J/50

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS
dated 7-1-1951.

292 J/50
293

Advance - G.P.F. Sri S.Murugesu Mudaliar, District Educational Officer, (under suspension)
Letter dated 27-12-1950 from Sri S.Murugesu mudaliar.

11 JAN 1951
1472
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Submitted to Government.

[Signature]

For Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Madras.

ptv 8/1

Enst. no. 1472 A 1,

Returned. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to offer his remarks on the officer's request.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Education Dept. (A)
dated 22/1/51
[Signature]
Cm Asst. Secy. to Govt
1951



OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
Rec. 292 J/50 dated 8.2.1951.

Advance--General Provident Fund--Sri S. Murugesu Mudaliar--
District Educational Officer (under suspension)

Ref :- Government Endorsement No. 1472 A.1. Education dated 22.1.1951.

Resubmitted.

I do not recommend the request of the officer.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department, Madras.
G.M. 9/2

For Director of Public Instruction.

Edn. sept. (A)

A

(M)

(all off
not put up)

N.S.
17-10-50.

Re. no. 3941 - Edn., 27-1-51
with which is filed the previous
Memo. regarding Sri S. Murugesu
Madr.'s request for a P.F.
advance has been taken
by Revenue for their c. no. 69895-
E/50. That sept. may put
up the go.

(It is remembered that)

2. / it was on the
Recommendation of the S.P.I. that
the advance was refused. Now
the S.P.I. has merely "sub." the
S.P.I.'s letter. It seems
that he should be asked
to offer his specific remarks.
The ~~ent.~~ on P.F. is for
approval. After issue Revenue
may see w.r.t. para 1.

cf 3

missed

22/1/51 Revenue (ai)
Rev. repl.

18.1.51
Cm
19.1.51
To P.S.

Edn. u.p. 1472-A-2

22.1.51

842183

3 - FEB 1951

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
6 FEB 1951
4932
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Please see the Edn. repl. note above.
2 The original of Bro(Ms) no 3941 ETP.H.
dated 23.12.49 is put up at (B). It may be
sent to Edn. They may also be requested to
send the necessary intimation to the C.R.B.

5.2.51
5/2/51

5/2/51 Edn

Edn

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, N.A.P. 1
No. 324 1/50 dated 8.2.1951.
ADVISED - General Provision Fund - Sri S. Murugesu, Madurai.
District Education Officer (under supervision)
Rel.: - Government Order No. 1472 A.1. Education dated 22.1.1951.
Resubmitted.

For Director of Public Instruction.
The Secretary to Government
Education Department, Madurai.
G.M. 9/2

8

1

General Provident Fund
Sir S. Murugesu Mudr. D.E.O. -
Applying for advance.

A Routine.

Edn. Dept. (A)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
8-FEB-1951

9829 B

10289 B

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT
8-FEB-1951

Per
M
9.2.51

Revenue may be requested
to send to this Dept. very urgently
the papers called for in
no. 1472-A.2, Edn. Dept. 22.1.51/X

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
6825
16 FEB 1951
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

6/2/51

Revenue

Rev. Dept.

Edn. U.O. 1472-A.3

To His

10731 E/51
10.2.51

(N)

Please see the Edn. Dept. no. note above.

The original of no 195 no 3941 Edn. P.H. dated 23.12.49
was furnished to Edn. with this Dept. no 8421
E/51-1 dated 5.2.51. This may be returned to Edn.

Rev. no 10731 E/51-1
10.2.51 Edn

Per
M
10.2.51

10/2/51

Edn

Edn. Sept. 1A)

Memo no 1472 A-5. dt-10/4/51.

Advance - G.O.F. - Li. S. Murugesu Mudr.,
formerly J.E.O.

Ref:- from the D.O., no. 292-J/50, dt-
8.2.51.

In view of the decision

in G.O. 1770 - Edn., dt-31.8.51,

the appln. of Li. S. Murugesu Mudr.

for an advance from the
G.O.F. is not being proceeded

am
10/4/51

12/4

10/4/51

From the D.P.I., No. 292-J/50, d/- 8-2-51.

G.P.F. - Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension) - Request for advance.

--

Sri S. Murugesu Mudr., D.E.O. (under suspension), has applied for an advance of Rs. 1,000 from his G.P.F. account. This request was made by him once before, in March last, but was then negatived by the ~~Smt.~~ ~~D.P.I.~~ the D.P.I. not having recommended it. The reason, in short, for the refusal of the advance was that he had already been granted four advances during the years 1947 to 1949. Vide the Dir.'s letter at "B"/6.

Now also, the D.P.I. does not recommend Sri Mudr.'s application.

The officer is ordinarily due to retire on 26-6-51. But certain charges have been pending against him. The report of the Tribunal for Discy. Procs. into the charges was recently sent to him and he was asked to show cause against compulsory retirement. His expln. has just now been recd. and the connected

file will have to be circd. to H.Ms. Final orders in the case are likely to be issued shortly. What the officer has represented is that he is on suspension for 14 months now; with the subsistence allow. of Rs. 160 he is getting, as against his permanent salary of Rs. 460, he finds it not possible to meet the barest needs of his family; and he finds it difficult even to borrow money. This may be his real condition. Under the rules, he can withdraw all the accumulations in the G.P.F. on the date of his retirement - i.e., by four months from now in the normal course or, if orders compulsorily retiring him are issued earlier, from the date of the order. It does not seem that it will be an unjustifiable concession if he is allowed to have Rs. 1,000 from the Fund now. Of course, the recovery of the amount before his retirement cannot be contemplated, he being on suspension and is receiving

x(B)/7

(B)/1048

(B)/6



cf. 1

J

Rule 28 of (P)/19

K

Rule 15(2)
h/d of (P)

only a subsistence allowance. For orders whether the officer's request will be complied with as a special case, for the reasons mentioned at J at p.1 ante. Finance may see for remarks.

24.2.51
Cua
27.2.51

OM
21/3/51 & Finance
H. B. P. Fin.

Edn. Dept. 1472-A.4
8.3.51

(Box)



p. 5 of (R)

Finance (Pen)

The note on pp 1-2 above may be perused.

2. In view of the circumstances set forth by Edn in their note in para 2 overleaf and also on the analogy of the orders in G.O. 2749 Edn of 3.10.50 (wherein an advance of Rs 11,000 out of the officer's accumulations of Rs 18,000 was sanctioned

in relaxation of the G.P.F. Rules) this Dept need not object to the grant of Rs 1000/-

to Sri S. Murugesu Mudrahal. ^{may be defined} ~~after obtaining~~ orders in curation including H.M. (Fin) and H.C.M. relaxing the relevant G.P.F. rules (madras)

DR MV
9.3.51 9.3.51 - In March 1950 the SPD reported that a sum of Rs 2,137 was standing to the credit of the subscriber. In the special circumstances of the case the proposal to sanction an advance of Rs 1,000 from the above balance may be agreed to.

20
10/3/51

Edn. Dept. (A).

In the file relating to the discy. procs. against Sri Murugesu Mudra., it has ^{since} been ordered in circn. that he shd. be compulsorily retired from service. The



For 20.11.435 pen
10.3.51

X since
approved by
Secy & sent
to Finance
5 at 11
am

connected file has been subd. ^{separately} with a draft order. On the issue of the order, Sri Mudra. can withdraw all his accumulations in the G.P.F. It seems that it is unnecy. to sanction him an advance in the meanwhile, in relaxation of the rules, and to trouble H.M. (E.&L.), H.M. (Fin.) and H.C.M. for this purpose. The file may l.o. till orders in the discy. case are issued.

27.8.51

22/2/51

OM
29/3/51 & 29.3

(Box)

(R)

Orders have ^{since} been issued compulsorily retiring Sri S. Murugesu Mudra. from service. No ~~for~~ action is necy. in this file. A draft memo. to be subd.

OM
10/4/51

27.8.51
5/4/51

14-00000

14-00000

14-00000
14-00000
14-00000

14-00000

14-00000
14-00000