(T)

Scott. II-27—50,000—17-11-48.

Edn (1949) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3909 Edn

Dated 21/12/49

Put up

Hu 1-9 uf
p 15 uf
p 35 uf

[Despatch Abstract.]

Edn. Post war Plans - Inclusion of new Schemes - Approved by G.O.I. Orders - Papers Recorded

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Edn. & P. H. Dept. (B2)

Letter Ref. No. 50642 B2/48.4 Edn., dated 28/9/48

To The Secretary,
Development Board,
Government of India,
NEW DELHI. (with encl)

copy to the Secy
to the Govt of
India, Ministry
of Edn.
copy to the D.P.I.
copy to the D.P.H.
(C.P.A.S.)
Copy to Fin ([illegible])

Despd (with encl) 1-10-48

Despd 1-10-48.

Sir,

Sub:- Post-war Educational Plan - Inclusion of certain schemes.

The Madras Govt. have introduced the following new schemes which are treated as part of the Post-war Plan:-

(i) Opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls.

(ii) Teaching of Hindustani in schools.

(iii) Introduction of Bifurcated courses of studies

~~(iv) Grants to Loyola College.~~

(iv) Opening of Schools for defective children.

(v) Taking over under Government management the Victory Memorial Blind School at Poonamallee

Brief notes on each of the schemes together with ~~the~~ x estimates of cost are given in the appendix to this letter.

x in the form attached

2. I am to request that the approval of the Govt. of India may be obtained for the inclusion of these schemes in the Post-war Plan.

The Govt. of India have fixed the overall maximum limit of the block grant for 1948-49 on approved post-war development schemes at Rs.442 lakhs. I am to request that the Govt. of India may be pleased to include the schemes mentioned above in the list of schemes eligible for financial assistance from central grants in 1948-49.

24/9/48

23-9-48

Yours faithfully,

28/9/48

1. Opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls.

The policy of Govt is to rely on local bodies and private agencies for the expansion of facilities for secondary education of boys and girls. Schools newly opened by them and recognised by the Director of Public Instruction are admitted to aid and recurring teaching grants are paid with reference to the net cost of maintenance of the institutions in the preceding financial year, the basis adopted for assessment being one half of the approved net cost in respect of schools under local bodies and two thirds in respect of schools under private managements. In addition, grants are also paid towards the loss of fee income on account of the grant of fee concessions to pupils belonging to backward classes, scholarships and fee remissions in accordance with schemes approved by the D P I and the expenditure incurred on payment of dearness allowance to the staff. The number of schools newly opened in 1947-8 and recognised by the D P I which have been admitted to aid from the current year is 42 under private managements and 34 under local bodies and the amount provided for payment of teaching grants to new schools is Rs 1,81,600. (114400 for private schools + 67200 for schools under local bodies).

2. There were certain taluks which had not even a middle school for boys. The Govt considered that it essential to provide at least one high school in each taluk and that to begin with a new middle school should be opened and it should be converted into a high school later on. With a view to encourage ~~to encourage~~ the opening of new middle schools for boys in such taluks and to convert middle schools into high schools in taluks not served by high schools, the Govt decided in 19

why

to make [illegible] recurring grants on the basis of the actual (full) net cost of maintenance of each school subject to a maximum of Rs 3,300 for a middle school + Rs 4,000 per annum for a high school for a period of five years from the opening of the school and to ~~[illegible]~~ review the position at the end of the period. In addition, grant to the extent of Rs 3,000 is also made towards the full cost of initial equipment. Three new middle schools were opened and two existing middle schools were converted into high schools under this system of special aid in 1947-8. The maximum grant payable in the current year in respect of these institutions will be Rs ~~[illegible]~~ 12000 (7500 non-recurring 4500 recurring) excluding the grant paid in advance in 1947-8. Sanction has been accorded for the opening from the current school year of three new middle schools and for the conversion of three existing middle schools into high schools and following the usual practice a portion of the grant will be paid in advance in the current year. The expenditure on this account is estimated at Rs 13500. (9000 non-recurring 4500 recurring)

3. Secondary Education for girls is in a very backward state. There are a large number of towns with a population of over 5000 + below 10,000 which have not even a middle school for girls. With a view to improve the facilities for the secondary education of girls, the Govt have been opening certain new middle schools directly under their own management. As local bodies and private agencies do not generally come forward to open secondary schools for girls even to the extent they do in the case of boys, the Govt have decided to extend the system of special aid to girls' schools also from the current year. Accordingly, sanction has been accorded for the opening of six new middle schools for girls - five by local bodies and one by a private agency from the current year. The expenditure on payment of a portion of the recurring + non-recurrent grant in respect of these institutions is estimated at Rs 18,000. (9000 non-recurring + 9000 recurring) The Govt have also sanctioned the opening of six new Govt middle schools from the current school year. The cost in ~~[illegible]~~ 1948-9 in respect of these schools is estimated at Rs 46800. (Rs 36000 recurring + Rs 10800 non-recurring).

(ii). Teaching of Hindustani in Secondary ~~schools~~

In 1938, the Govt sanctioned the introduction of the teaching of Hindustani in Forms I to III of secondary schools. In ~~Forms~~ 1940, they directed that its extension in Forms IV to VI should be encouraged. The study of the language was optional and Govt aid was limited to one half of the pay of the teachers employed for the teaching of the language. Although 10 years had elapsed since the Govt ~~sanctioned~~ the introduction of the teaching language, provision has been made only in a limited number of schools.

p 13 of ②

G.O. 1316 Edn 24.5.48

pp 15-16 of ②

Under the scheme for the reorganisation of secondary education introduced from the current year, Hindustani can be studied as a second language throughout the secondary school course. The study of the language will be compulsory in Forms I to III for students who take it. They will have the option to take it or not in the higher forms IV to VI. In view of the importance of Hindustani as the national language of India, the Govt have directed that steps should be taken to provide for the teaching of the language in all the middle schools in which the language is not now taught (12 Govt middle schools - 112 middle schools under local bodies + 105 middle schools under private managements). With a view to provide qualified teachers in Hindustani for employment in Secondary schools, the Govt have decided to subsidise the existing private institutions which provide for the Visharad Course + Pracharak diploma course - the grant being limited to one half of the net cost of maintenance of the recognised institutions in the preceding financial year. It is proposed to incur an expenditure not exceeding Rs 50,000 on this account in the current year. The expenditure on account of the introduction of the teaching of the language in 12 Govt middle schools in the current year is estimated at Rs 7,300. The grant in aid in respect of non-Govt ~~middle~~ secondary schools in which the language has been newly introduced in the current year is payable only in the next year.

~~4~~ 6

~~IV Opening of schools for defective children~~

III Introduction of bifurcated Courses of Studies in Secondary schools.

The main item of reorganisation of Secondary education introduced from the current year is the introduction of diversified Courses of studies from Form IV in the existing Secondary Schools providing for academic courses. The new courses in selected subjects have been introduced in 50 selected secondary schools in the current year Copies of GOs no 1395 Edu dt 29.5.48 & no 1504 Edu dt 7.6.48, & no 1629 Edu dt 24.6.48 are enclosed. (2) The entire expenditure on account of the introduction of the new courses in Govt Secondary schools will have to be met ~~in~~ by Govt and ~~[illegible]~~ in addition they have to meet the expenditure on account of the payment of grants - recurring & non-recurring ~~grants~~ to non-Govt Secondary schools to the extent specified in para 4 of GO no 1395 Edn dt 29.5.48. (2)/1 The expenditure in the current year is estimated at Rs 3.37 lakhs. (38600 Recurring & Rs 298100 non-recurring) ~~[illegible]~~ There are about 1000 Secondary schools and it will take atleast 10 years to provide for the teaching of the new courses in the existing schools even if the courses are newly introduced in 100 secondary schools every year. In the meantime a number of secondary schools would have been newly opened & provision will have to be made for the teaching of the languages in those schools also. It is proposed to consider the question of introducing bifurcated courses in 150 Secondary schools in the next year in connection with the budget estimates for that year.

(IV). Opening of Schools for Defective children.

The existing facilities for the education of the deaf, ~~and dumb~~ and ~~for~~ blind children are very inadequate - There are only ~~9~~ 7 schools under private management and two under local bodies (Mcs Coimbatore & Karaikudi) (four for the deaf and dumb, four for the blind and one school for the deaf, dumb and the blind). It is considered essential that additional schools should be established throughout the Province. One of the impediments in the way of opening new schools and ~~in~~ providing additional facilities in the existing institutions is the paucity of trained teachers for employment in those schools. In order to remove this difficulty, arrangements have been made in the current year for the training of six teachers for the Blind & 3 teachers for the deaf and dumb in the existing aided schools for defective children. The expenditure on this account ~~[illegible]~~ in the current year is estimated at Rs 8,400. It is also

○ The DPI has reported that it is not possible to train 3 Telugu teachers for the deaf & dumb in our

(3) !

(Separate file in office)

proposed to open in the current year a Govt School for Deaf-Mute children in South Malabar. The cost on account of the opening of the school in the current year is estimated at Rs 51,00 (Rs 2100 - Recurring & Rs 3000 non-recurring).

~~x~~ It is proposed to consider the question of providing additional schools for defective children ~~[illegible]~~ in connection with the budget for 1949-50, as teachers who undergo training in the current year will be available for employment from the next year. It is also proposed to consider the question of opening of a school for mentally defective children from the next year as there is no such school at present in this Province.

(V). Taking over under Govt management the Victory Memorial School for the Blind at Poonamallee.

As the administration of this aided special school was unsatisfactory, the Govt at the request of the Board of Governors of the School & of the President of the Madras Association for the Blind, have taken over the management of the school from 3.7.48. The school is now run on behalf of the private management, as it will take some time for completing the formalities for effecting a legally valid transfer of the buildings & other properties of the school. The expenditure ~~[illegible]~~ incurred on the maintenance of the School in the current year will be recovered from the association. It is anticipated that the School will become a regular Govt institution atleast from the next year. The net expenditure to Govt on running the School is estimated at Rs 32000 a year. Although this is not a new institution, ~~the~~ addl expenditure to Govt on the maintenance of the institution may be treated as a part of the cost of the Scheme for the improvement of the facilities for the education of defective children in this Province.

22.9.48

23/9/48

23/9

Annexure

Schemes in respect of which financial assistance from the Central Grant is required

B

~~Such~~ Schemes to be taken up for the first time in 1948-9.

S.No	No in the five Year plan	Description of scheme	Proposed expenditure in 1948-9			Remarks.
			Non-recurring	Recurring.	Total.	
			Rs.	Rs	Rs	
1.		Opening of additional Secondary Schools for boys and girls.	36.300	2.35,600	271900.	
2.		Teaching of Hindustani in Secondary schools _	—	7,300	7.300	
3.		Introduction of bifurcated Courses in Secondary schools _	298100	38.600	3.36.700	
4.		Opening of schools for defective children _	8000	10500	13500	
5		Taking over under Govt management the Victory Memorial school for the Blind at Poonamalle _	—	—	—	

62~~8~~9400

Schemes in respect of which financial assistance from the Central Govt is required.

A.

Schemes already in operation & proposed to be continued in 1948-9.

S. no. Number

11

E & P. H. Dept (B_2)

⑦

Letter/Ref. No. 50642 B2/48-5 Edn dated 29.11.48.

To

The Secretary,
Development Board,
Govt. of India,
New Delhi.

Sir,

Sub: Post-War Educational Plan - Inclusion of certain schemes

I am directed to invite ~~your~~ attention to this Govt's Letter No. 50642 B2/48-4 Edn, dated 28-9-48 and to enquire when a ~~request that the~~ reply ~~to it~~ may be ~~expedited~~. expected

27/11/48

Yours faithfully,

RSM
25.11.48

27.11.48

10/11
30/11

1/12

11/f

13 O3✓

(8)

No.59/RC/Madras

Government of India
CABINET SECRETARIAT
(Economic and Statistical Co-ordination Section)
.....

New Delhi, dated the 10th December, 1948.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
[illegible] DEC 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC [illegible]

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
MADRAS

93977

Subject: Post-war Educational Plan - Inclusion of certain schemes.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No.50642-B 2/48-5 Edn. dated the 29th November, 1948, and to say that the 'education' schemes in question are under consideration and that a reply will be sent as soon as a decision has been reached.

Yours faithfully,

R. Jagannathan

(R. JAGANNATHAN)
Under Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat.

15/

82

(9)

No. F.6-1/48-P(D.1)
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
MINISTRY OF EDUCATION.

NEW DELHI 3, THE 11th DECEMBER, 1948.

From

The Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department
MADRAS.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 DEC 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC

94742

Subject:- POST-WAR EDUCATIONAL PLAN - INCLUSION OF CERTAIN SCHEMES.

Sir,

pages 1-7 ante

With reference to your letter No.506442-D2/48-4 of 28th September addressed to the Secretary, Development Board and copy endorsed to this Ministry, I am directed to make the following observations:-

pages 3-4 ante

(1) Opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls.

It is agreed now that the experiment of transferring control of Primary Schools to Local Bodies has been a colossal failure and Primary Education has suffered. Attempts are now being made in almost all Provinces to take over the Administration of Primary Schools. The new Basic Schools are being started by the Provincial Governments. Under the above scheme, it is proposed to ask the Local Bodies among others, to start Secondary Schools. The venture appears to be very risky and I am to suggest that in view of the experience of administration of Primary Schools by Local Bodies, the Government of Madras may reconsider this scheme and start the proposed new schools on their own. This should not be difficult ~~speci~~ specially when the Government contemplate to bear the full net cost of maintaining the new schools for some time to come.

p.7 ante

(2) Taking over under Government management the Victory Memorial School for the Blind at Poonamalle.

There is no objection to the Provincial Government taking over this school. But before recommending the scheme for approval, the Ministry of Education would like to have full details of the scheme e.g. the present teaching staff with their qualificationsand experience, whether the scheme would be in conformity with the policy of the Central Government with regard to Visually Handicapped, whether Uniform Indian Braille has been introduced, etc. etc.

Yours faithfully,

B. Chatterji

(B.Chatterjee)
for Secretary.

17

E. & P. H. Dept. (B2)

Letter Ref.No.50642-B2/48-5-Edn., dated 10.3.1949

---

(8)

To

The Secretary,
Development Board,
Government of India,
NEW DELHI.

Sir,

Sub:- Post-War Educational Plan - Inclusion of certain schemes.

Ref:- Your letter No.6-1/48-PD.I, dated 11--12--1948.

11/3

12/3

P1CF

I am directed to invite reference to this Government letterNo.50642-B2/48-4-Edn., dated 28--9--1948 and to enquire when a final reply may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

10/3/49

(11) 19

23544

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
29 MAR 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC

No.59/RC/Madras

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
CABINET SECRETARIAT
ECONOMIC & STATISTICAL COORDINATION SECTION.

NEW DELHI, the 21st March, 1949.

....

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras,
Education & Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

Subject:-Post War Educational Plans-Inclusion of Certain Schemes.

Sir,

p-17-CF I am directed to refer your letter No.50642-B2/48-5 Education dated 10.3.49, and to say that early action is being taken to obtain the necessary sanction. A Another communication on the subject will be sent shortly.

Yours faithfully,

R. Jagannathan

(R. JAGANNATHAN)
Under Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat.

RS
28/3/49.

E.P.H (B2) Deptt

The further communication referred to A above may be awaited till 21.4.49

TJ.

Note Call
~~31/3/49~~
1/4/49.

31.3.49
31/3/49.

1/4/49

23544

29 MAR 1949

No.59/RC/Madras

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
CABINET SECRETARIAT
ECONOMIC & STATISTICAL COORDINATION SECTION.

NEW DELHI, the 21st March, 1949.

....

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras,
Education & Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

Subject:-Post War Educational Plans-Inclusion of Certain Schemes.

Sir,

I am directed to refer your letter No.50642-B2/48-5 Education dated 10.3.49, and to say that early action is being taken to obtain the necessary sanction. Another communication on the subject will be sent shortly.

Yours faithfully,
R. Jagannathan
(R. JAGANNATHAN)
Under Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat.

E.P.H. (B2) Dept

The further communication referred to A. above may be awaited till 21.4.49.

21
EPH (B2)

(12)

34
17/6

16-7-49

20/6

p 19 CF —

Letter / Ref. No. 50642 B2/48-7 Edu. d. 16/6/49.

To

The Secretary,
Development Board,
Govt. of India. New Delhi.

Sir,

Sub. Post-war Educational plans – Inclusion of certain schemes.

1-7-49

Ref. Your letter No 59/R C/Madras d. 21/3/49.

I am directed to enquire when the communication promised in your letter cited may be expected.

15/6/49. 15/6/49 16/6/49 y. f.

22

20

13

No.59/RC/Madras

Cabinet Secretariat
Economic & Statistical Coordination Section.

NEW DELHI, the 1st July,1949.
....

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education & Public Health Department,
MADRAS.

50415

Subject:-Post war education-Inclusion of certain schemes.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.50642 B2/48-7 -Education dated 16.6.49 and to say that the 'Education' schemes of the Provincial Government are still under consideration.

B2 L ante —

Yours faithfully,

R. Jagannathan

(R. JAGANNATHAN)
Under Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat.

EPH(B2)

This may lie over till 14-8-49.

4/7/49.

5/7/49

This may further lie over till 14-9-49

12/8/49 22/8/49 22/8/49

25

(14)

E&PH (B2)

Letter/Ref No. 50642 B2/48-8 Edn dated 19/9/49

95
30/9

To

The Secretary
Development Board
Govt. of India : New Delhi.

Sir,

Sub: Post War Educational plans - Inclusion of certain scheme.

Ref: your letter No. 59/RC/Mds. dated 1/7/49.

I am directed to enquire when the ~~Communication~~ approval of the Go. of India for the new scheme ~~promised in your letter cited~~ may be expected.

Yours faithfully

1/9 16/9/49 9/9/49

27

B7 1802

(15)

No.D.3238/49-D.1.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
20 OCT 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

New Delhi, the 18th October 1949.

MEMORANDUM

8.1278

The undersigned is directed to refer to this Ministry's Memorandums No.F.6-1/48-D.1.(p) dated the 11th December,1948 and 3rd June,1949, to then Development Board and Cabinet Secretariat respectively, wherein the Madras Government schemes noted on the margine were recommended for approval and to say that this Ministry is not aware whether they have been finally approved by the Government of India. The Cabinet Secretariat is requested to kindly let this Ministry know immediat-ly when these are likely to be approved. The provincial Government have already implemented these schemes pending final approval of the Government of India.

1. Teaching of Hindustani in Secondary Schools
2. Introduction of Bifurcated courses in Secondary Schools.
3. Opening of Schools for Defective Children.
4. Taking over under Govt. management the Victory Memorial School for the Blind at Poonamalle.

Cabinet Secretariat is also requested to kindly send to this Ministry copies of final lists of schemes of all Provincial Governments and Centrally Administered Areas, approved by the Govt. of India for 1948-49, so as to enable this Ministry to ask for the progress reports of these schemes from the Provincial Governments concerned.

Sd. (B.Chatterjee)
for Secretary to the Govt. of India.

To

The Cabinet Secretariat,
Economic & Statistical Co-ordination Section,
NEW DELHI

P.T.O.

27

16

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Education & Public Health Department, Madras, with reference to their remarks in the progress reports of the relevant schemes.

By order,

B. Chatterjee

(B.Chatterjee)
for Secretary to the Govt. of India.

-- --

This may be [illegible] [illegible] 25/11/49

[illegible]
24/10

[illegible]
25/10/49

E+PH

30

⑰

Letter 50642 B2/48-9 Edn dated 1.12.49

To

The Secretary to Govt of India
Ministry of Education
New Delhi

37/2/12

3/12

1274

Sir,

Sub: Post war Educational plans - Inclusion of certain schemes:

Ref: Your letter No D.3238/49-D.1. dt 18.10.49.

I am directed to enquire when the approval of the Govt of India for the new schemes may be expected?

RK/30/11 30/11

1/12/49

y. f.

30(A)

SST. SECRETARY

3 - JAN 1950

No. F. 22-1/49-D.I.
Government of India.
Ministry of Education.
New Delhi 3, the 31st December, 1949.

B2

18

From
The Secretary to the Government of India.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education & Public Health Department,
M A D R A S.

Subject:- Post-war Educational Plans - Inclusion of certain schemes.

88

Sir,

(1) p-30-9

With reference to your letter No. 50642 B2/48-9 Education, dated the 1st December, 1949, I am directed to say that the schemes in question along with other schemes included in your revised programme are still under the consideration of the Government of India. The decision as soon as arrived at, will be communicated to you.

Yours faithfully,

for Secretary.

SRG

S.R.H. (B2)

~~The Schemes under reference have been dealt with~~ by ~~the Dev. Deptt. In the circumstances, the papers having already been recorded. For orders~~. This may also be added to S.O. 3909 dt 21.12.49.

RU
4/1/50

4/1/50

4/1/50

Special

B2

(19)

50642

28 JUL 1948
EDUCATION

Secretary, E. & P.H.

The Post-war Development Schemes were drawn many years ago. The Government of India promised to make certain contributions for these schemes. They reduced it to a smaller figure after some time and the small figure that they have promised every year is a block-grant of Rs.6,25,00,000 to be distributed to the various departments in the Post-war Development Schemes. It has been learnt that this facility of their offering Rs.6,25,00,000 has not been fully taken advantage of by our Government. From the figures supplied yesterday by the Premier, I understand that the following departments have spent the amounts noted against their names and the total amounts spent in the last year, i.e. 1947-48 has only been Rs.2,74,000.00

A/

Departments.		Amount spent. In lakhs.
Forest	..	4.78
Irrigation	..	12.18
Colonisation Schemes		13.83
Education	..	68.79
Medicine & P.H.	..	61.15
Agriculture (excluding G.M.F.)		5.86
Veterinary	..	3.02
Industries	..	4.98
Roads	..	57.31
Co-operative (for ex-Servicemen)		.21
Rural Reconstruction		6.40
G.M.F.	..	36.35
		274.86

B/

That means that nearly 3¾ crores has lapsed to us.

It is necessary that we should utilise the full amount this year. For this, as far as we are concerned, i.e. the Education Department, we should draw up the schemes for which we may get grant. I see from the volume containing the Post-war Reconstruction Schemes, that items 34, 35, 36, 87, 88, 89 and 90 are the schemes relevant to the Education Department. We may examine as to how much we have provided in this year's budget for these schemes. Last year, we have spent only 68.79 lakhs. I think this year, we must budget for nearly 2 crores so that the Standing Finance Committee and the Cabinet may see the scheme and choose whatever schemes may be beneficial to the country.

I understand that in addition to these seven schemes approved, there have been other schemes which have been approved later. Those may be put up and the schemes that we may put up for the 2 crores that I have suggested above, may of course include the other schemes that have been approved by the Govt. of India.

I believe that women's education, that is, women's high schools and colleges, hostels for boys and girls, training colleges etc. will come in among these Post-war Development Schemes. Elementary school buildings and also building programmes for training schools will come within the scheme. If they do, it is possible for us to plan a large number of ~~schools~~ buildings as well as start a large number of schools both elementary and training, and schools and colleges for women even in this year.

TSA
8-7-48

H.M. Education.

H.M.(Edn) told me over the phone this morning that he had sent a note on the question of putting up further ~~plans for the~~ Post War Plans under Education for the utilisation of about 2 crores and odd of the Government of India's grant which has not been utilised by us, that H.Pr. wanted that this should be settled within about a fortnight and that therefore the question should be dealt with expeditiously. Please take action at once.

9/7/48.

From the figures of expenditure given on page 1 ante it is seen that the expenditure on education schemes was ~~about~~ Rs.68.79 lakhs. It is not known how this figure was arrived at. The expenditure provided for in the Budget for Post-War schemes related to education is about Rs. 200 lakhs as detailed below:

+. distributed under the several heads in the budget

⊗ Scholarships
Training abroad
concession
Physical ed..

	Rs
Compulsory Elementary Education	38.76 lakhs
Training of Teachers	9.45 ..
Revision of Salaries of teachers. +	134 ..
Engineering colleges	11.80 ..
Other schemes ⊗	5.60
	199.61

It will be seen that the total expenditure on Post-War Schemes related to Education in 1947-48 would be Rs. 200 lakhs. The Finance Department may be consulted as to how the figure Rs.68.79 lakhs was arrived at. Dev (G&D) may also see

2. H.M. (Edn.) has ordered that schemes should be prepared so as to enable this Govt. to claim from the Govt. of India a grant of about Rs.2 crores. The Govt. of India insist on a condition that if a grant is claimed from that Govt. for any Post-War Scheme an equivalent expenditure should have been incurred from the Provincial funds on the scheme. Thus ~~a~~ schemes costing Rs.4 crores ~~is~~ should be prepared if an application for a grant of Rs.2 crores is to be made to the Government of India. The following schemes may perhaps be considered in this connection.

3. Compulsory Elementary Education:- The Post-War Plan prepared by this Government aims at introducing compulsory ele. education upto V standard within a period of 10 years. A scheme on a limited scale was introduced in 1945-46 and extended during 1946-47 and 1947-48. The provision made for the purpose and the number of children ~~there~~ that would have been brought to schools in these compulsory areas were as follows:-

Year.	Provision made Rs.	Number of children brought to schools.
1945-1946	20 lakhs	1.70 lakhs
1946-1947	22 "	2.00 "
1947-1948	13½ "	1.50 "

The scheme was not~~ed~~ extended during the current year as the Government decided to utilise the provision suggested for the purpose ~~of~~ for introducing Basic education.

The Retrenchment & Reorganization Committee suggested that the scheme of compulsory elementary education should be introduced within a period of 10 years and that a standing provision should be made ~~for~~ in the Budget of each year. It was estimated that about 35 lakhs of children will have to be brought to schools within the period of 10 years, at the rate of 3.5 lakhs of children a year. The expenditure to bring the 3.5 lakhs of children has been estimated at Rs.84 lakhs recurring and Rs.16 lakhs non-recurring. When the recommendation of the Committee was noted on ~~to circulation~~ & the file circulated for orders it was decided that the matter might be referred to the Standing Finance Committee. ~~A note for the Committee was put up and the file was sent to Finance for remarks.~~ If the scheme of introduction of compulsion is taken up, a provision of Rs.1 crore~~s~~ will be necessary (Rs.84 lakhs recurring and 16 lakhs non-recurring.)

A

File Subd separately

B

4. Training of Teachers:- The Director has estimated that to bring ~~in~~ 3.50 lakhs of children to schools about 15,000 teachers will be necessary. The training of these teachers would involve an expenditure of Rs.66.7 lakhs. If the question of introduction of compulsory elementary education to bring ~~in~~ 3.5 lakhs of children to schools should be taken up, a provision of Rs.66.7 lakhs may also be made for the training of the teachers required.

C

5. Revision of salaries of teachers:- The Central Advisory Board of Education have recommended ~~a~~ uniform scales of pay for teachers in all institutions irrespective of managements which employ them. Though the principle enunciated ~~by~~ the Board was accepted differen~~ce~~t scales of pay have been fixed for teachers in this province, merely on financial considerations. The additional expenditure on account of the introduction of the revised scales (even without accepting the principle ~~of~~ laid down by the Central Board of Education) has been estimated at Rs.256 lakhs of which a sum of 134 lakhs ~~of rupee rupees~~ falls on provincial funds. The Director has separately reported that ~~for~~ the introduction of the scales of pay suggested by the Central Advisory Board of Education for all teachers irrespective of managements who employ them would entail a futher additional

D

82	82
92	9
30	15
44	20
8	8
256	134

---

expenditure of about Rs.125 lakhs. It is for orders whether a further revision of salaries of teachers so as to introduce uniform scales for all teachers irrespective of managements will be taken up. ~~xxxxx~~ If so, a provision of Rs.125 lakhs will be necessary.

6. Supply of midday meals to school children:- In connection with the introduction of scheme of compulsory ele. education the Govt. sanctioned a scheme of sup~~p~~ly of midday meals to poor children attending elementary schools in compulsory areas. The intention was that as ~~much~~ many children as possible should be brought to schools in compulsory areas without any need for ~~xxxx~~ resorting to the penal provision of ~~from~~ the Act. Provision was made in the Budget ~~for in~~ for 1945-46 & 46-47 for the supply of midday meals to children attending schools in compulsory areas. But the Govt. discontinued the scheme on financial consideration from 1.4.47 ~~Orders were issued in G.O.No.838, dated 1-3-47~~ The question for consideration is whether the scheme of supply of midday meals ~~would~~ should be revived. It has been ~~considered~~ accepted that the supply of midday meals will go a long way to improve attendance of schools. Further the parents being poor they are not able to provide as much food as is necessary to their children, with the effect that they ~~were not able to~~ do not derive the full benefit ~~of~~ from the~~ir~~ education imparted to them in schools. The scheme of supply of midday meals will also improve the health of children, and enable them to benefit by education. It has been calculated that the ~~cost of~~ supply of midday meals to a child would ~~be~~ cost Rs.0-1-9 and it will be sufficient if meals are supplied ~~in~~ for 220 days in a year. On this basis the cost of ~~scheme~~ providing meals for a lakh of children would be Rs. 24 lakhs. There will be about 9 lakhs ⊗ of children attending elementary schools where the scheme of compulsory elementary education is now in force; and 6 # more lakhs of children will ~~become to~~ be attending schools if the scheme of compulsory elementary education is extended as indicated at 'A' ~~above~~ on [illegible]. Thus about 15 lakhs of children will receive ~~x~~education in schools in compulsory areas of whom it ~~is~~ may assumed that 50% will be poor. So ~~a~~ provision

⊗
children already in schools 4 lakhs
children newly brought to schools 5 lakhs
9 ..

#
children already under [illegible] 2.5 lakhs
to be newly enrolled 3.5 ..
6.0

must have to be made ~~in the budget~~ for supplying meal to 7.5 lakhs of children and the cost will be Rs. 180 lakhs. The provision can be reduced with reference to number of children to be fed.

7. The Government have under consideration the question of introducing the ~~Basic Sys Scheme of~~ system of basic Education throughout the province. The scheme will be spread over ~~within~~ a period of 10 years. The cost of the scheme has been estimated by the Director as indicated in the margin ~~and~~ the Government of India may be addressed for a grant towards the scheme.

year	(in lakhs) cost of the scheme Recurring	Non-recurring
1948-49	11.15	.68
49-50	5.11	2.20
50-51	9.43	6.44
51-52	18.67	13.26
52-53	28.68	22.44
53-54	38.28	34.62
54-55	47.93	44.49
55-56	42.09	54.86
56-57	48.90	64.68
57-58	48.32	71.78
	298.50	316.45

8. H.M. has ordered that the question of providing schools in schoolless centres, having a population of 500 and more should be taken up ~~immediately~~. The D.P.I. has estimated that the number of such schoolless centres will be 3,500. ~~For~~ The provision of schools in these centres will cost about Rs.35 lakhs. H.M. has ordered that the scheme ~~will~~ may be spread over ~~in~~ a period of 5 years. In view of the fact that a grant may be claimed from the Govt. of India, ~~that~~ it is for consideration whether the scheme may be put forward in the first year itself ~~in its entirity~~ in full i.e. school may be opened in all the centres in the first year itself.

9. Orders are solicited as to which of the schemes mentioned above should above should be taken up for consideration.

10. ~~The~~ H.M. suggested that the Schemes for construction of a hostel for women students in the compound of the Lady Willingdon Training College and of a building ~~of~~ for the Govt. College for women, Guntur may be included in the post war scheme. The schemes have not been finally approved by Govt.

11. In another connection the Govt. of India have been furnished with a list of schemes which the Madras Govt. may introduce in future along with the Post War plans. The following subjects have been included in the letter to Govt. of India.—

(1) Opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls.

(2) Teaching of Hindustani in schools.

(3) Introduction of bifurcated courses in ~~Sy.~~ secondary schools.

(4) National ~~Cadre~~ Cadet Training.

---

(22)

In other files a few other proposals are under examination, for example (i) Starting ~~of psycological~~ a Psychological Bureau (ii) grant to ~~Lyola~~ a Loyola College for Laboratory equipment.

The schemes have not yet been approved by Govt. When they are approved they may be included in the post war schemes and grants claimed from the Govt. of India.

11. It may be noted that the Govt. of India have raised the question whether the percentage of grant to be allotted for Education schemes should be increased. The matter is under examination in the Dev. (P&D) in consultation with the Finance.

12. Finance and Devt. (P&D) may see for remarks, before circulation.

[illegible]
13-7-48

[illegible]
14/7/48

S

The items referred to in paras 3 to 6 are schemes already 'approved' by the G.O.I. This only necessitates we should accept the continuation [illegible] or them if we are to take them up in the present conversation.

2 The items 1 to 3 in para 11 we have only, I think, to ask for approval of the schemes by the G.O.I. to the extent to which we may count them in to the extent that we have introduced them. Items of this kind remain to be approved by us — & after this we have to write to G.O.I. to approve it as a Post war development scheme.

3 The items in paras 7, 8 & 10 & those referred to as 'A' above have also first to be approved by us & then approval of them by G.O.I. as P.W. dept. devt. schemes to be obtained.

4 If this is so we are done we [illegible] to save about the 4 crore mark — but the most important point to notice we are in a position to put in the 2 crores [illegible] schools which will earn us the other two crores from the G.O.I.
(R. a. p3 [illegible]).

[illegible]
14/7/48

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SECRETARY
50885
14 JUL 1948
FINANCE [illegible]

E&P H no. NR.
50642/48-1 B2 Edn
14-7-48

8

D.

Finance (P.W.R.) Deptt.

Please see the minutes of H.M. (Edn.) on pp.1-2 above and the note of E & P.H. on pp.3-7 above.

Para.1 on p.3 above: The total of Rs.200 lakhs includes a sum of Rs.134 lakhs on account of revision of salaries of teachers. Dev (P&D) have pointed out in another file that E & P.H. ~~E & P.H. Deptt.~~ did not propose this scheme for inclusion in the Development programme for 1947-8 and 1948-9 sent to the Govt. of India for approval. It will not, therefore, be eligible for assistance from the Central grants. In the main file relating to the revision of the five year plan for education schemes, Dev. (P & D) have suggested that it may be included in the supplementary list for 1948-9 to be sent to the Govt. of India for their approval. Excluding the sum of Rs.134 lakhs from the total of Rs.200 lakhs the net figure will be Rs.66 lakhs only. This does not differ materially from the figure of Rs.68.79 lakhs furnished by this Department which was worked out on the basis of statements received from the A.G. and ~~expected~~ adjusted in the accounts for 1947-8. The amount spent for 1947-8 on post war schemes of Education Deptt. will be known only after the accounts of the year are finally closed by the A.G.

p3 above

This Govt. separately [illegible]

2. ⊗ With a view to see that there is no lapse in the Central block grant for the current year, the E & P.H. Dept have examined the question of taking up new schemes as directed by H.M.(Edn.) in his minute on pp.1-2 above. The proposals of E & P.H. call for the following remarks.

⊗ The block grant sanctioned for 1948-9 is Rs 442 lakhs and it is not a fixed amount of Rs 625 lakhs a year as stated at A on para 1 above
16.7.48

3. Paras.3 to 6 on pp.3-6 above and para.1 of E & P.H. Secretary's note on p.7 above: The estimated cost of the proposals are as under:--

	Rs. lakhs per annum.	
(i) Compulsory elementary education ..	100	A on p4 above (84 lakhs / 16 [illegible])
(ii) Training of teachers ..	66.7	para 4 on p4 above
(iii) Revision of salaries of teachers ..	134 + 125	para ~~[illegible]~~ pp 4-5 above
(iv) Supply of midday meals ..	180	A on p6 above

E & P.H. Secretary ~~states~~ has observed that the above ~~schemes~~ schemes are already approved by the Government of India and that it is only

para 1 on p7 above

---

(23) 9

necessary that, if we are to take them up in this connection, we must accept the commitment on them. The position is noted on below:

x Item 50 on p10 of (G)

(i) Compulsory elementary education: Please see para 3 on pp.3-4 above. A sum of Rs. 49.50 lakhs was included for compulsory elementary education in the post-war development programme for 1948-9 sent to the Govt. of India for their approval. The Govt. of India have sanctioned a total block grant of Rs. 442 lakhs for all post-war schemes to be taken up in the current year as it is not usual to sanction grants schemewise. The approved list of schemes has not yet been received from them. The above scheme may be introduced in the current year if it is included in the approved list awaited from the Govt. of India. The expenditure for a full year is estimated at Rs. 100 lakhs. Assuming that it is introduced from the middle of the current year, the expenditure in the current year will be about Rs. 50 lakhs and half of this amount viz., Rs. ~~33~~ 25 lakhs may be debited to the Central Block Grant.

B p.4 above

+ Item 49 on p10 of (G)

(ii) Training of teachers: A sum of Rs. 11.50 lakhs was included in the post-war programme for 1948-9 sent to the Govt. of India for their approval. This scheme has to be sanctioned by the Government on receipt of the Govt. of India's approval. On the basis of an estimated expenditure of Rs. 66.7 lakhs p.a., the expenditure in the current year will be about Rs.33 lakhs if it is introduced from the middle of the current year. Half of this amount may be debited to the Central block grant.

D p 4 above

(iii) Revision of salaries of teachers: The additional estimated expenditure to the Government on account of the revision, as already sanctioned, is Rs. 134 lakhs p.a. As pointed out in para 1 of this Department's note on p.8 above, this scheme has not yet been sent up to the Govt. of India for approval. No grant can therefore be claimed for the scheme in 1947-8. E & P.H. may consider, in consultation with Dev. (P.& D.), whether a special grant may be obtained from the Central Govt. for the expenditure on the scheme incurred ~~viewed~~ in 1947-8 in view of the fact that a large portion of the Central grant was allowed to lapse in that year.

x B p.1 above

16.7.48

~~It~~ The scheme will be eligible for financial assistance from the ~~c~~Central block grant in the current year only if the Govt. of India approve it with reference to the supplementary list proposed to be sent to them separately by Dev.(P.& D.). It may ~~be taken~~ then ~~that the amount to be~~ be possible to recoupe from the Govt. of India's block grant ~~will be~~ a sum of Rs. 67 lakhs (half of Rs 134 lakhs) in the current year.

17.7.48.

E. & P.H have noted that if the scales of pay are further revised ~~,~~ as recommended by the Cetral Advisory Board of Education for all teachers, irrespective of the managements who employ, a further additional expenditure of about Rs. 125 lakhs will have to be provided for. This proposal will have to be examined on its merits and it does not appear that it is now ripe for claiming a grant from the Govt. of India.

para 5 on pp 4-5 above

16.7.48

17.7.

(iv) Supply of midday meals: The scheme was in force in 1945-6 and 1946-7 and it was discontinued from 1-4-47 on account of the necessity to cut down the cost of post-war development programme in view of the finances available to meet it. The cost is estimated at Rs. 180 lakhs p.a., if the scheme of compulsory elementary education dealt with in item (i) above is introduced. This seems to be a very costly scheme and if it is introduced, this Govt. will have to ~~provide~~ meet Rs. 90 lakhs p.a. In view of the present state of Provincial finances, it does not seem that this scheme can be reintroduced. If, however, it is decided to re-introduce the scheme, say from 1-10-47, the expenditure in the current year will be Rs. 90 lakhs of which Rs. 45 lakhs can be recouped from the Govt. of India's block grant.

'A' in p6 above

I do not think that this can be revived in view of the prohibitive cost & the need to keep the cost of Education schemes during the quinquennium within Rs 11 crores (para 6. next page)

17.7.48

4. Paras 7, 8 and 10 on p.6 above and para 3 of E.& P.H. Secretary's note on p.7 above: The proposals referred to in these paras have not yet been ~~sanctioned~~ approved by this Govt. It is not, therefore, possible to apprach the Govt. of India now for their sanction ~~from~~ for counting the expenditure on them against their block grant. If the proposals are approved by this Govt., we may address the G. of I for including them in the list of approved Prov. schemes

16.7.48

H

17.7.48

5. Para 11 on pp.6-7 above and para 2 of E.& P.H.Secretary's note on p.7 above: The first three items mentioned in this para, viz., (i) opening of additional secondary schools for boys and

girls, (ii) teaching of Hindustani in schools and (iii) introduction of bifurcated courses in secondary schools, have already been introduced. The ~~cost involving~~ estimated cost is not, however, stated. This Deptt. may agree to the Govt. of India being asked for their approval to include ~~them~~ schemes in the post-war development programme for 1948-9. E.& P.H. may take necessary action in consultation with Dev. (P.& D.)

16.7.48

M on p7 above

17.7.

The other items mentioned in para 11 on pp.6-7 above have not yet been ~~sanctioned~~ approved by this Govt. They will have to be first examined ~~and sanctioned~~ and approved by this Govt. before the Govt. of India's approval for inclusion of the schemes in the post-war development programme can be sought.

H

16.7.48

Linked File I p7.

17.7

6. The total cost of all education schemes originally included in the five year plan was Rs. 34.35 crores. The Govt. of India pointed out that it was high and probably beyond the resources of the Province and suggested that the plan should be reduced with a view to reducing expenditure, in particular the recurring expenditure. Having regard to the resources now available, it has been considered that the total cost of the educational schemes should be restricted to Rs. 11 crores. In the main file relating to the revision of the five year plan Dev. (P.& D.) have expressed the view that the total ~~xxxxxxxx~~ ~~purpose~~ cost of all educational schemes, including new schemes to be included in the post-war ~~purpose~~ Development programme, should not exceed Rs. 11 crores. Finance Secretary has also expressed the same view. ~~Their~~ This deptt. may therefore agree to new schemes being included in the programme, provided the total cost of all educational schemes ~~during~~ for the quinquennium 1947-8 to 1951-2 does not exceed Rs. 11 cores. The schemes may be taken up in advance of their ~~time~~ turn in the five year programme, with the previous consent of the Govt. of India, so as to utilise to the utmost extent the block grant allotted by them ~~Govt. of India~~ each year.

M

LF III

X

16.7.48

17.7

7. It will be seen from the above note that though a number of schemes are mentioned, many of them have yet to be sanctioned by the Government. Proposals relating to unsanctioned ones will have to examined on merits with reference to the financial position of this Province and will have to be got

approved by the Govt. of India ~~,~~ if they should become eligible for financial assistance from the Central block grant.

It may be noted in this connection that the lapse of grant in 1947-8 was mainly due to slow progress on approved schemes. It seems necessary to issue instructions to Heads of Deptts. to see that better progress is made in respect of sanctioned schemes so that the Central block grant may be utilised to the fullest extent possible. Dev. (P.& D.) may consider this suggestion.

V. N. – 16-7.48

D
16.7.48

The total cost of the post-war development programme for 1948-9 of this Govt., so far forwarded for approval of the Govt. of India, is estimated ~~to cost~~ at Rs. 880.62 lakhs and it is debitable to current revenues. This is made up of:-

(i)	Post-war development schemes	Rs. 498.27 lakhs
(ii)	G.M.F. schemes	" 382.35 lakhs
	Total..	Rs. 880.62

Item (i) includes Rs. 81.50 lakhs on account of educational schemes. Against the total estimated expenditure of Rs. 880.62 lakhs, the Govt. of India have sanctioned a block grant of Rs. 442 lakhs. If the above programme is worked up, the Govt. of India grant will be almost fully utilised. But in actual practice, it is not possible to adhere to the programme as the ~~xx~~ execution of certain schemes ~~are~~ is retarded on account of various reasons, such as scarcity of labour, non-availability of materials, etc. This Dept. may therefore agree ~~the~~ to new schemes being forwarded to the Govt. of India for ~~their~~ approval for inclusion in the post-war Development programme for the current year. But this should be subject to the condition that the total allotment for the quinquennium for the department concerned is not exceeded, (e.g.) in the present case relating to education, the total cost should be restricted to Rs.11 crores. This department may advise accordingly.

D
16.7.48

~~ADS/S~~

(G)

× X on p4-5 (D)

p4 of (D)

Separate file

442 × 2 = 884 lakhs

One point to be noted in taking up new schemes is that half the cost will have to be borne by us from 1948-9. There is no guarantee that the G of I will continue these grants indefinitely. It is desirable to avoid taking up a large number of new schemes involving ~~[illegible]~~ considerable recurring expenditure.
17/7/48

"X" on Pages 9-10 above. While addressing the G of I ~~[illegible]~~ for approval of this scheme, it may be pointed out that by oversight it was not included in the programme for 1947-8 and 1948-9 but that it is one of the schemes included in the five year plan copy of which has been sent to the G of I.

This may be tried, though it is doubtful whether the G of I will agree.

-- 14 --

13

(25)

The G of I may be requested to permit this Govt. to count the entire expenditure in 1947-8 against the 'block' grant for this year. It is doubtful whether even with the ~~[illegible]~~ utmost efforts of all Depts, we could spend a sum of Rs 8.81 crores on p.w. devt. schemes in 1948-9. The inclusion of the expenditure in 1947-8 on the scheme referred to above will not therefore in any way affect the amount of grant for the current year. It may on the other hand, help us to prevent lapse of the grant to some extent.

17.7.48

17.7.48 Dev (P&D)

Dev. no. 51885/PMN-1
17.7.48

FOURTH ASSISTANT ... DEVELOPMENT ...
19 JUL 1948
Dev 79713 P&D

A on p 3 (D)

(D)

1A 3-7 ante

1 M... / 12 ante

Development (P&D VI) Dept:

The file relates to the proposal to include some more schemes relating to Education in the Post-War Development Programme for 1948-49, in order to ensure that the Block Grant of Rs. 442 lakhs sanctioned for 1948-49 is utilised in full. The circumstances under which the programme was drawn up and the present position in regard to the programme, are explained in the note put up at "D". With reference to the minutes of H.M (Education) on pages 1-2 ante, E & P.H have now suggested the inclusion of a number of new schemes in the Programme. Some of these schemes are already in progress while others are yet to be sanctioned by the Government. Finance have agreed tentatively to the inclusion of the following schemes in the Programme subject to the condition that the total cost of all Educational Schemes for the Quenquennium 1947-48 to 1951-52, does not exceed Rs. 11 crores:

1) Compulsory Elementary Education
2) Training of Teachers
3) Revision of salaries of Teachers
4) Opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls.
5) Teaching of Hindustani in schools.
6) Introduction of bifurcated courses in Secondary Sch

Items 1 & 2: on p 13 ante

These two schemes have already been included in the Development Programme for 1948-49 (vide S.Nos. 49 & 50 on page 10 of "G") It is therefore not necessary to report these schemes again to the Government of India for approval in case it is decided that they should be reintroduced from the middle of the year. — Please see, in this connection, the note of Finance under Sub-paras (i) & (ii) on page 9 ante.

Item No. 3: on p 13 ante

Please see para 5 of E & P.H Department's note on pages 4-5, the note of Finance in Sub-para (iii) on pages 9-10 and the remarks of ~~the Secretary and~~ the Additional Deputy Secretary & Secretary Finance at the bottom of pages 12 & p 13 ante. This Department has already noted, in another file, that the Scheme re: to Revision of Salaries of Teachers may now be included in the programme for 1948-49 and E & P. H have been asked to furnish necessary details for addressing the Government of India. As regards the expenditure incurred in 1947-48, this department may agree with the ~~requisition~~ remarks of the A.D.S., Finance, that the Government of India may be requested to permit this Government to count the entire expenditure in 1947-48 against the block grant for this year. It may be pointed out, in this connection, that the Government of India have in a similar case agreed to reimburse the expenditure incurred on a particular scheme during 1947-48 in the current year (vide Government of India's letter No. 347/Re-Madras dated 11-6-1948 at "K").

Items Nos. 4-6: on p 13 ante.

In respect of these schemes, Finance have agreed that they may be reported to the Government of India for approval as they have already been introduced. This Department may also agree.

It is proposed to send a supplementary list of schemes to the Government of India for inclusion in the programme r 1948-49. Items Nos. 3 ~~and~~ to 6 may also be included in list. E & P.H may be requested to furnish the necessary ticulars in regard to these schemes in the prescribed m, for addressing the Government of India.

Separate file in [illegible]

8/6
28 Jul

K on p 13 ante

(K)

Para A on p 10 & 11 ante

A

8/6
20 Jul

M

p 20

---

(20)

Another scheme proposed for inclusion in the Programme, is the Scheme - "Extension of Basic Education". A provision of Rs. 10 lakhs has already been made for this scheme in the programme for 1948-49 - ~~Extension of Basic Education~~. Subject to the Government of India's approval, the scheme will be eligible for assistance from the Central Grants during 1948-49. As it has already been reported to the Government of India, there is no necessity for including it in the supplementary programme, proposed to be sent to the Govt of India.

As regards the other schemes mentioned by E & P.H., Finance have pointed out that as they have not yet been sanctioned by the Government, they are not yet ripe for inclusion in the programme. They have also stated that if the proposals are approved by this Government, the Government of India may be addressed for including them in the list of approved P.W.R Schemes.

The Government of India have suggested that in drawing up the programme for 1948-49, new schemes, not yet initiated should be confined as far as possible to those which are likely to increase the production of the material wealth of the country. Finance have also pointed out the inadvisability of taking up a large number of new schemes involving considerable recurring expenditure when there is no guarantee that the Government of India will continue these grants indefenitely. It is also necessary that the percentage of expenditure allotted to each main head of development in the programme should hold a fair balance between the various subjects. The provision made for education in the programme is Rs. 81.50 lakhs as against a total expenditure of Rs. 498.27 lakhs for all schemes eligible for central grants (excepting G.M.F and training schemes). This works out to 16.36 % of the total expenditure. With the addition of the schemes mentioned at "M" on p 14 ante ~~above~~, the

Para 7 on p 6 ante

Discussion on p 10 (?)

- ibid -

portions marked H on p 10 & 11 ante

A para on p 10 ante

K on p 18 (M)

M' on p 11 ante

P

provision for education will show a substantial increase. It does not therefore seem desirable to add any more schemes relating to education in the programme. The other departments are also being asked to suggest schemes for inclusion in the programme for 1948-49. On receipt of their replies, a consolidated supplementary list of post-war Schemes will be sent to the Government of India.

With reference to X on page 12 ante, necessary extracts may be taken for separate action. The file may be returned to E & P.H.

20/7/48.

20/7/48

S.

E & P.H

20/7/48

Extracts taken 20/7/48.

A.S.

Secy

E & P.H

Special. **Secretary, E. & P.H.**

I had asked you to send proposals for expenditure under the Post-war Development Schemes, of nearly two crores of rupees. I have not yet received them. They may be expedited and sent up as soon as possible. On my going through it, we may have to include new schemes and then have it examined further by putting it up before the Cabinet and so, the matter may be put up immediately.

TSA
24-7-48

H.M. Education.

26 JUL 1948

The file relates to the question of inclusion of certain new schemes in the Five year post-war development plan so as to ensure that the block grant given by the Govt. of India is utilised in full. The following schemes have been suggested for inclusion in the plan:-

✓ (1) Introduction of compulsory ele. education.

✓ (2) Training of teachers.

✓ (3) Revision of salaries of teachers.

✓ (4) Supply of midday meals.

✓ (5) Further revision of salaries of teachers.

✓ (6) Introduction of Basic education.

✓ (7) Opening of schools in school-less centres.

✓ (8) Construction of a hostel for women students in the compound of the Lady Willingdon Training College and of a building for the Govt. College for Women, Guntur.

3.8.3 lakhs

✓ ✗ (9) Opening of addl. Secondary schools for boys and girls.

✓ ✗ (10) Teaching of Hindustani in Schools.

✓ ✗ (11) Introduction of bifurcated courses of studies in Secondary schools.

✓ ✗ (12) National Cadet Training.

✓ (13) Psychological Bureau.

✓ (14) Grants to Loyola College.

✓ ✗ (15) Opening of schools for defective children.

Short notes on the Schemes are given in this and the linked files.

Items (1), (2), (3) and (4) were included in the original Post-war Plan.

2. Items (1) and (2) - Compulsory ele. edn. and Training of teachers have already been included in the Development Programme for 1948-49. Finance Dept. point out that if the schemes are re-introduce

in the middle of the year, half the expenditure incurred on them may be debited to the Central Block grant. Development agree. It is doubtful whether schools for training teachers can be opened in the middle of the year.

p14 ante

Besides, we have proposed to have a review made before continuing the schemes. So we need not consider this.

3. Item (3). Revision of salaries: A grant in respect of the scheme was not claimed from the Govt. of India by oversight. Action is being taken separately to address Govt. of India for a grant towards the scheme for 1947-48 and 1948-49.

4. Item (4) - Midday meals: The scheme was discontinued from April 1947. Finance Deptt. advise against its revival. This view may be accepted.

p10 ante

Only on the ground that we do not want the limit of 11 crores for the quinquennium to be exceeded.

5. Items (9), (10) and (11): Opening of additional secy. schools, Teaching of Hindustani and Introduction of bifurcated courses:

These schemes have already been introduced. The Finance and the Development Deptts. agree that the Govt. of India may be asked for their approval to include the schemes in the Post-war Development Programme and to claim grants in respect of them. Action may be taken accordingly. Subsidy or grant will become payable from Govt. grants only in 1949-50. The DPI will have to be consulted about this (exp: incurred on the schemes).

pp 11 & 14 ante

6. Item (14) - Grants to Loyola College:

Please see the notes under sub-para (5) on pp.9-10 of L.F.I. The Govt. of India may be addressed for grant (Rs 1.75 lakhs) in respect of the two schemes relating to the Loyola College, viz.

1.25
50

(a) construction of an auditorium and library-hall and
(b) equipment for the Chemistry laboratory.

7. Item (15) Though the Govt. have sanctioned the opening of four schools for defective children this year the D.P.I. has reported that the schools cannot be opened on account of non-availability of ~~schools~~ teachers. If the schools are opened the Govt. of India be addressed for a grant (Rs 20000).

8. Item (6) Basic education: A sum of Rs.10 lakhs has been included in the Development programme for 1948-49 for the extension of Basic education. In the budget estimate there are the following provisions for extension of Basic education:

2.37 under Training Schs
8.62 (Remaining provision)
10.99

In lakhs

(1) 37. Education. Rs 10.99
(2) 50. Civil Works. Rs 10.

Under (1) above, a number of schemes have been approved and put into operation. The provision under 'Civil Works' relates to the construction of buildings for Basic Training Schools. It is doubtful whether it will be possible to make much progress in regard to this as the sites are yet to be acquired and the plans and estimates approved by Govt. The Finance Deptt. have suggested that the Govt. of India may be addressed in regard to the entire provision. This may be done so that grants in respect of the expenditure incurred under both items may be claimed.

p 14 NF [illegible]

9. Items (5), (7), (8), (12) and (13):

Here p 11 ante

These schemes have not been approved by Govt. The Finance Deptt. point out that the schemes will have to be examined on merits with reference to the financial position of the Province and will have to be got approved by the Govt. of India if they should become eligible for financial assistance from the Central block-grant. The question of including the schemes in the post-war plan may be considered after they are sanctioned by Government.

10. The schemes referred to in paragraph 5, 6, 7 and 8 above only can be included in the post-war plan for purposes of claiming a grant from the Govt. of India. If the schemes are included in the post-war plan, the total cost of education schemes for the quinquennium may exceed Rs. 11 crores. But, in actual practice the expenditure estimated for is not incurred in full and the actual expenditure during the period may not exceed Rs.11 crores.

Apart from this: reference to in para 3.

In view of the instructions of Gov: in the Legislature that the total cost over the quinquennium need not exceed 11 crores, & in view of the note of Dev: (P & D) at p 11 of pp. 15-16 ante, there is not much scope for our including further schemes in the present quinquennium.

For orders as to circulation.

OS 29/7

DS 28/7/48

25/7/48 Hon. (Ed.)

Separate estimates for all these 15 items may be supplied and also the amount spent even now under the Post-War Development Scheme in the items already approved.

A

With regard to the revision of salaries, I find the grant from the Government of India has not been claimed till now. It may be examined whether we cannot get the money even for the last year expenditure. Certainly, we must make it available for this year's expenditure.

B

With regard to item (6), I hope the contribution that we are getting from the Govt.of India must be for the expenditure that we are incurring. A tabular statement of the expenditure involved on all these items may be put up with some details so that I may be able to select the items which we can choose for the Post-War Development Scheme.

A

TSA
6.8.48

Circulation interrupted.
5th Aug.

A above — A statement is put up as Ⓡ.

B above — Separate action has been taken. A refer. has been made to the D.P.I.

6.8.48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
5 AUG [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Two A

9/8/48

E.& P.H.(G.3)

A above - There/was ~~is~~ a proposal to consider the schemes noted below as Post-War Devt.Schemes and to apply to the Govt.of India for grants therefor:--

(i) Grant to the Loyola College,Madras, for the construction of an auditorium and library hall - Estimated Cost Rs. 5 lakhs.

(2) Grant to the Loyola College,Madras, for the equipment of the ~~Chemistry~~ Laboratory for the B.Sc.Honours course. - Estimated cost ~~Rs. 22~~ Rs. 2,40612.

B2

㉙

C.No.50642 B2/48

The Govt. have sanctioned Rs. 1.25 lakhs and Rs.50,000/- respy. as grants for the above two schemes. With a view to enabling these two schemes to get half-grant, the Principal of the College requested the Govt. to address the Govt.of India to treat these as P.W. Devt.Schemes. ~~The proposal was considered and it was ordered in circulation to be negatived.~~ No final orders have yet been issued in the matter. The file is being submitted separately.

Sub. separately.

The following four schemes were already included in the P.W.Devt. Schemes:--

(i) Grant to the Loyola College for the construction of buildings for the B.Sc Honours course in Chemistry. Rs. 2 lakhs.

(ii) Grant to the Madras University for the A.C. College of Technology. — Rs. 7.5 lakhs

(iii) Grant to the Annamalai University for the Engineering College. — Rs. 10 lakhs

(iv) Grant to the Annamalai University for the construction of hostels. — Rs. 4.5 lakhs.

A statement showing the expenditure involved on these schemes is submitted ~~at [illegible]~~ at p.3 of Ⓡ.

12/8/48

12/8/48 AS II

12/8/48

S
14/8/48

12/8

The tabular statements may be typed and resubmitted.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
14 AUG 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TSA
14-8-48

Circulation interrupted.

The statements have been typed Ⓡ. The file is resubmitted.

17.8.48

18/8/48 S

18/8

I have seen the details of the scheme. There is a provision for the introduction of compulsory education and training of teachers etc. There is also a provision for the introduction of Basic Education. Introduction of compulsory education means compulsory education on basic education lines and so, there should not be a separate provision for the introduction of basic education unless it be for re-training.

The National Cadet Corps has been already approved and that need not be included under this. So also the matter of midday meals was considered and dropped and so, that also need not be included under this scheme. ~~The rest may be taken circulated to all the members of the Cabinet.~~

With regard to the grants to the Loyola College, the Madras University, the Annamalai University and the hostels for the Annamalai University, I presume they are for grants already made by this Government. Accounts may be taken only for that amount. / A final note explaining the scheme, may be prepared in ~~which a para. may be devoted to each and as to how it is progressive, and put up.~~ in which the proposals may be explained & put up for approval before circulation.

M

TSA

20 Aug

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
20 AUG 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

E & P.H. Dept (B2)

A on page 21 ante: Submitted that the scheme of compulsory elementary education so far introduced has no relation to the scheme of Basic Education. In areas where the scheme of compulsory elementary education has been introduced, education is imparted to children not according to the principles of Basic Education but only under the syllabuses approved in 1939. Teachers required for schools in compulsory areas are also trained under the ordinary scheme of training (not with refce. to the basic scheme of edn.) The post war plan has also been prepared on this basis though the objective is to convert all schools into basic schools ultimately. The

The intention is also to extend the scheme of compulsory elementary education under the old syllabus (not ~~at~~ on Basic education lines). The proposal has emanated from the recommendations of the ~~Reorganization and~~ Retrenchment and reorganisation Committee. Please see the notes on p.4 ante. According to the Committee the number of children at present not attending schools (35 lakhs) should be brought under instruction within a period of 10 years, i.e., at the rate of 3.5 lakhs per year. The cost of introduction of compulsory elementary education in respect of these children and for training teachers required for schools to be opened in compulsory areas has been estimated at Rs 84 lakhs and Rs 66.7 lakhs per year respectively on a progressive scale. If the intention of H.M. is that the scheme of compulsory ele. education should be introduced only on the lines of Basic education, the proposal will have to be wait until all ele. schools are converted into Basic schools. It ~~has been~~ is the ~~intention~~ idea to convert all ele. schools into Basic schools within a period of 10 years. So according to H.M. the scheme of introduction of compulsory elementary education will have to be postponed for 10 years. The scheme of training of teachers will also have to be postponed as it ~~is intended to~~ relates to the supply of teachers required for compulsory ele. education. It may be noted in this connection that the proposal regarding the introduction of compulsory ele. edn. under the existing syllabus is to be discussed at an Informal meeting of the H.Ms. Copies of the note have already been circulated.

As regards Basic education, H.M. has minuted that it is sufficient if provision is made for retraining of teachers under that scheme. This statement is presumably on the assumption that the ~~regular~~ cost of converting all ele. schools into Basic schools will be ~~included in the~~ taken into account in determining the cost of the scheme of introduction of compulsory ele. education. Submitted that the scheme of Basic education p~~rogrammed~~ relates to the conversion of existing ele. schools into Basic schools while the scheme of compulsory ele. education has reference to schools to be newly opened to provide instruction for children to be brought to ~~under instruction~~ schools under the scheme. In the circumstances ~~other~~ the items of expenditure ~~xxxxxxxx~~ ~~in connection~~ connected with Basic Education (supply of equipment, conversion of training schools into Basic training schools, training of ~~officers~~ teachers for Basic training schools) ~~will~~ have also to be provided for.

The file may be recirculated to H.M. (Edn.) After specific orders are passed on the ~~xxxx~~ notes above, the statement already put up may be revised.

24.8.48

~~H. & P. H. (G3)~~

M. on p.22 ante:- The presumption of H.M. Edn. is correct.

N.V.S.
23-8-48

24/8/48 AS II 24/8/48

S / H by Tr.. Mr. M. (G.)

In the proposals for the Post-War Development Scheme, there are two separate items, namely. introduction of compulsory education as well as introduction of basic education. These should not be separate items. Compulsory education means compulsory basic education. And so, one must be absorbed in the other. As I had already stated above, supply of mid-day meals to school children may be omitted from the scheme altogether.

With regard to the expansion of basic education, please see herewith the note of the Basic Education Officer at page 3.(2) The pace may be according to what has been stated by him in his note. Consequently, the cost also has to be worked out accordingly.

With regard to the training of teachers, the cost worked out in the note below is not correct. The cost that has been worked out every year has been calculated as

33 lakhs for 6 months in 1948-49
133.4 lakhs in 1949-50

(3)

200.1 lakhs in 1950-51
266.8 lakhs in 1951-52, etc.

The plan is to have about 15,000 teachers trained every year. That will cost us only about 66 lakhs per year. And so, the cost that has been calculated is not correct. That may be corrected. / The D.P.I. has suggested that two new schemes may be added on in the Post-war Development Schemes and that is the scheme of taking up of the Y.M.C.A. College of Physical Instruction and the taking over of the management of the Victory Memorial Blind School. Their cost also has been worked out. That also may be added on.

With these things in view, the scheme may be amended and the final draft put up. H.Pr. is anxious that we must submit our schemes immediately. This may be treated as special and may be resubmitted to me in three days, so that I may finally see and send it on before I leave for Ceylon on the 17th.

TSA
10.9.48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
1 SEP [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The question raised in this file is what schemes may be reported to the Govt. of India for approval to enable this Govt. to claim a grant towards the cost of the schemes. Fifteen schemes were suggested in this connection and they are ~~indicated~~ mentioned on page 17 ante. The noting on the file has proceeded on the assumption that it is sufficient if only schemes definitely sanctioned by the Govt. are reported to the Govt. of India for approval. On this basis the following schemes only can be reported to the Govt. of India.

That is really not possible to report any schemes which have not been sanctioned by us.

A

(1) Basic Education
(2) Opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls.
(3) Teaching of Hindustani in schools.
(4) Introduction of bifurcated courses of studies.
(5) Grants to Loyala College.
(6) Opening of schools for defective children

Item (1):- Basic education - This item relates to the scheme already approved by Govt. - Vide paragraph 8 on pp.18-19 ante.// All the six schemes referred to above may be reported to the Govt. of India.

2. H.M.(Education) suggests that the following two schemes should also be reported to the Govt. of India for approval. p25 ante

(a) taking over under Government management the Y.M.C.A. college of Physical Education.

(b) taking over under Govt. management, the Victory Memorial Blind School.

Item (a) above:- This proposal has not yet been sanctioned by Govt. It is to be considered as a Part II Scheme for 1949-50. Unless the scheme is approved it does not seem that the Govt. of India can be asked for a grant for it. 2/10

Item (b):- It has already been sanctioned by the Govt. and a grant may be claimed for this scheme.

3. H.M.(Education) refers to the note of the Basic Education Officer in which it is suggested with the proposals for the further expansion of Basic Education, introduction of compulsory elementary education and opening of schools in schoolless centres may all be clubbed and treated as one scheme. In the minute on page 24-25 ante H.M.(Edn.) refers only to the schemes for the introduction of compulsory elementary education and Basic education and he also says that they should be one scheme. He does not make any mention of the scheme relating to opening of schools in school-less centres. Apparently he agrees with the Basic Education Officer to club this ~~this~~ also with the other two schemes. The scheme relating to the training of additional teachers will be necessary only if the proposal for expansion of compulsory elementary education is approved. (2) 2/1 para 4, p4 ante

4. The scheme for the expansion of compulsory elementary education has been suggested by the Retrenchment Committee. This proposal is to be considered at an informal meeting of the Hon. Ministers. The scheme for the spread of Basic education is also to be considered at such a meeting. As regards the opening of schools in schoolless centres, the D.P.I. has been asked to bring ~~xx~~ forward the proposal as a Part II Scheme for 1949-50. As already stated the scheme for the training of additional teachers will be necessary only if the scheme for the introduction of compulsory elementary education within a period of 10 years is approved. Since none of the four schemes has been finally approved by the Govt. it does not seem that the Govt. of India can be addressed for a grant for them now.

V.cd copies of notes kept below

2 F IV [illegible]

5. The file may be sent to ~~Finance and~~ Development and Finance (P.& D.) for remarks ~~xxxxxx~~ before circulation.

13/9/48 S

13/9/48

Dev: (P+D)

E&P.H. No. 50642 B2/48-2
13/9/48

C No. Dev 98740 (a) P&D VI/48.
13.9.48

ASSISTANT ... GOVERNMENT
8 SEP 1948
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

A on p25 ante

Para 2(b) on p26 ante

Development (P&D VI) Department.

Previous note of this Department on pages 13-16 ante. Further notes of E & P.H and the minutes of H.M (Education) at pages 16, 20, and 21-22 ante, may be perused. E & P.H have suggested that the following schemes which have already been sanctioned by the Government may be ~~included~~ treated as post-war schemes and included in the programme for 1948-49 so that the scheme may be eligible for financial assistance from the Central Grants during 1948-49:

i) Basic Education

ii) Opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls.

iii) Teaching of Hindustani in Schools.

iv) Introduction of Bifurcated courses of studies

v) Grants to Loyola College.

vi) Opening of Schools for defective Children.

vii) Taking over under Government management, the Victory Memorial Blind School.

Item 1:

A provision of Rs. 10 lakhs has already been made for this scheme in the programme for 1948-49; but, for the reasons stated in the notes of D.S. Fin. on page 14 n.f. of L.F. I, E & P.H. propose to address the Government of India in respect of the entire expr. again. It may however be mentioned in this connection that the Government of India is only giving a block grant--the only condi-

Item 51 on p10 (m)

See remarks in [illegible] margin

P.W.R

tion being that in the case of post-war schemes, the actual grant will be limited to 50% of the actual Expenditure incurred by the Provincial Government on approved post-war schemes. The Government of India have since sent an approved list of post-war schemes eligible for financial assistance from the blockgrant in 1948-49 in which the scheme relating to Basic Education has also been included. The Government of India have however not fixed any limit of expenditure in respect of any of the schemes included in the approved list. It would therefore appear that there is no objection in taking into account the entire expenditure on the scheme relating to Basic Education even though it ~~will~~ exceeds the 10 lakhs provided in the programme forwarded to the Government of India. It does not therefore appear necessary to report the variation in the estimated expenditure, to the Government of India. The remarks of Finance may be invited.

Separate fl now in circulation

S.P.

14/9/48

Items (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v):

M In view of the desirability of utilising the Central Grants in full, ~~this~~ this department have already agreed to included these schemes in the programme.

"Separat fl wE&PH

A on p. 14 ante

Items (vi) and (vii):

The schemes have already been sanctioned. ~~It~~ They may therefore be included in the programme, especially in view of the reasons at "M" above.

As regards the remaining schemes, this department may agree with E & P.H that they need not be included in the programme for 1948-49.

The file may be passed on to Finance.

S.P.

14/9/48

14/9/48

J.S.

15/9

Fin

84902

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

15 SEP 1948

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

J.S.

Fin

Dev no. 98740(a) P&D II/48-1

15/9/48

No. 50642 B2

27

33

Finance(P.W.R.)Department.

(Previous Finance note on pp. 8-13 above)

Please see the notes from the middle of page 25 above. It is now proposed to report certain sanctioned ~~The file relates to selection of some~~ schemes of the Education Department ~~for reporting to~~ to the Government of India for their approval to treat them as Educational Development Schemes and thus make them eligible for financial assistance from the "Central" block grant. The note of Development (P & D) on pp.27-[illegible] above summarises the position. Finance may agree with the views of Development (P & D.)

B 16.9.48

15/9/48

B 16.9.48

A.D.S.

E&PH

16/9/48

E&PH

Fin no 84902/PWR-1

16/9/48

Edn. & P. H. Dept.

The file may be circulated to H.Ms. (Edn.) and Finance) for orders whether the Govt. of India may be addressed for approval in respect of schemes (ii) to (vii) on p.27 ante. (As regards schemes (i), Dev. and Fin. hold the view that it need not be mentioned in the letter as it has already been included in the programme for 1948-49). (Minutes and notes from page 24 ante)

SS

16-9-48

16/9/48

16/9/48

H.M. (Edn.)

H.M. (Fin.)

Desp 28/9/49

P

I am not able to understand this note. The most important of the schemes do not seem to have found a place there, i.e., expansion of compulsory basic education and the training of teachers for that purpose. I am not able to understand why those schemes have not been included. In fact these are the most important schemes and they must be included.

Secy may take further action necessary

T.S.A.

17.9.48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.

17 SEP [illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

for action with papers.

17/9

C. No. 50642 B2

B2

The reasons for the omission of the schemes referred to by H.M.(Edn.) are explained in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the note on pages 26-27 ante. Only schemes that have been finally approved by the Govt. are to be reported to the Govt. of India for inclusion in the Post-war Plan and for payment of grants. Development (P. & D.) and Finance have agreed with the views expressed in the note referred to.

The schemes in question are yet to be approved by the Govt. They are to be considered at an informal meeting of the H.Ms. The meeting has been postponed and the files returned to this Department by the Principal Private Secretary to the H.Pr. with a note that he will call for the file again when the subject is included in the Agenda

For orders as to the circulation.

ARM
17/9/48 S

B.G.R.
20.9

B. H.M.(Edn.) has left an[?] [illegible] & in view of the [illegible] sentence in his minute, they may have to be circulated to H.M.(Fin.) ('Pilot-note' as 'P' at p: 29 ante).

[illegible]
17/9/48

H.(Fin.)

20/9

A draft letter to the Govt. of India is submitted for appl.

2/
21/9/48

The Joint Educational Adviser to the Govt. of India has raised the question of including the grants for the Loyola College, Madras, in the Post-war Services account for 1947-48. The question has been examined and the connected file has been sent to Dev (P&D) and Finance for remarks prior to circulation. A reply will be sent to the reference from the Joint Educational Adviser. It does not therefore seem necessary to refer to this item in the draft below. Dev (P&D) and Fin. may see before circln.

[illegible]
23.9.48

ARM
23/9/48 S

[illegible] Dev. (P&D)
Fin.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
24 SEP 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT
E & P.H. No. 50642 B2/48-3
23/9/48

Dev. No. 102493 P&D VI/48
24/9/48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
2? SEP 19??
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

C. No. 50642 B2/48

Devt. P&D VI) Dept.

This Department last noted on pages 27-28 ante. Further notes of Finance and E & P.H and the minutes of H.M. (Education) on page 29 ante, may be perused. The draft letter below may be accepted and the file passed on to Finance.~~and~~ E & P.H. may be requested to circulate~~d~~ the case to H.M (Industries) also.

SD
24/9/48

E
24/9/48

SM
24.9.48

J.S. [illegible]
25/9

Fin

Dev. No. No. 102493
P&D VI/48-1
25.9.48

25 SEP 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Fin (PWR) Dept.

(Fin last saw on p 29 above)

Further notes from p 29 above and the draft letter to the Govt of India may be perused and the case ~~passed~~ returned ~~on~~ to E.P.H.

R
25.9.48

~~ADS~~

[illegible]
25/9/48

Fin 87383 / PWR-1
25/9/48

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
25 SEP 1948

25

SM
25.9.48

[illegible]
25/9/48

E.P.H.
B2

[Minutes and notes from page 29 ante]

The file may be circulated to H.M.(Edn) and H.M.(Ind) for approval of the draft letter.

ARM
25/9/48 S

[illegible]
H.(Edn.)
H.(Ind.)

X

OB3
27/9

The draft may issue. I would like to know the money that we will be claiming from the Post-war Development fund including the new items suggested. H.Pr. is anxious that we should put up schemes to utilize this large portion of the fund. I have given a scheme for the expansion of basic education which

A

C.No. 50642 B2/48

must have been examined by Finance by this time. ~~xx~~ The implications of this schemes may also be put up so that it may be referred to the S.F.C. or Cabinet, if necessary, for sending it up as an approved scheme to the Govt. of India.

CF
[Letter No. 50642 B2/48-4 Edn d. 28-9-48]

27/9/48

TSA
27.9.48

27 SEP 1948

Submitted that ~~in~~ according to the programme for 1948-49 already sent to the Govt. of India the cost of the educationa~~l~~ schemes work out to Rs.81-50 lakhs. The Govt. have since sanctioned the construction of 10 buildings for Basic training schools at an estimated cost of Rs.12 lakhs. The Dev. and Fin. Depts. have noted that a grant can be claimed in respect of this expenditure from the Govt. of India even without reporting to them particulars of the scheme. In a subsequent communication addressed to that Govt. schemes costing Rs.6.30 lakhs have been included as Part of the Post-war Programme. Thus the Madras Govt. may be eligible for a grant in respect of education schemes upto Rs.99.80 lakhs, for 1948-49.

p.28 ante

p.19

81.50
6.30
12
99.80

2. It may be noted that the Govt.of India have specifically been addressed for a grant in respect of the schemes relating to revision of salaries. ~~that~~ though the ultimate also expenditure so far on this account has been estimated at Rs.134 lakhs, ~~It~~ it has not been possible for the D.P.I. to estimate the expenditure for 1947-48 and 1948-49. The grant in respect of this scheme will be adjusted after the actual expenditure is known. The ~~cost~~ amount will be settled by the A.G. in consultation with the D.P.I.

3. With reference to H.M.'s orders at A on page 31 above attention is solititicited to the ~~xx~~ notes on pp.26-27 ante. As noted therein the [schemes of introduction of compulsory elementary education and Basic education have been kept distinct and ~~files~~ the notes on the 2 schemes have been prepared for discussion at an informal meeting of Ministers. The matter has not so far been discussed by the Ministers. The connected files have been returned to this Department with the intimation that they will be sent for as soon as the date for the next meeting is fixed. H.M.(Edn.) apparently desires that instead of the two schemes as at 'P' on p.32 ante there should be only one scheme as prepared by the Basic Education Officer at 'Z'. If so, the scheme at 'Z' will be examined and the notes on the schemes already submitted willbe modified. It may be noted that until the scheme of compulsory Basic education as envisaged in the papers at 'Z' is approved by the Govt., the Govt. of India cannot be addressed for the inclusion of the scheme in the post-war programme and for claiming a grant in respect of that scheme from the funds allotted by the Centre.

P

4. Orders of H.M. may be taken as to whether the scheme at 'Z' may be examined and ~~the~~ a revised note circulated to H.M.s for discussion in an informal meeting of the Ministers in the place of notes already circulated on the two schemes referred to] on page 32 ante.

5-10-48

BM
6/10/48

TSA
7.10.48

Extracts of minutes and notes from page 31 ante have been added to file II below and noted upon. This file may lie over until a reply to the letter in the CF is received

BM
9/10/48

34

50642/48

From the Govt. of India No F6-1/48.P(D1) dated 11.12.48.

In the letter on p-1-Cf., the Govt of India were addressed for ~~a~~ sanction for inclusion of the five new schemes ~~as~~ part of the Post. War Educational Plan, ~~of five schemes~~.

p1 cf

In the letter read above, the Govt. of India asks for additional information in ~~request~~ regard to ~~the~~ two of the five schemes. Presumably the remaining three schemes are under consideration of that Govt and a further communication will be sent.

p15 cf

Extracts of the file relating to the two items mentioned in the Govt. of India's letter read above have been given to B1 section for further action. This file may lie over.

for the [illegible] Dev (R&D) may see for information.

RSM
8.1.49

8/1/49

10/1/49

AS III
10/1/49

S. Dev (R&D)
17/1/49

Dev. (P&D)

This also for ASIV
18/1/49

FOURTH ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
25 JAN 1949
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Devt (P&D V) Deptt

The letter from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Education on p15 cf and the note of E&P.H. above may be perused and the file returned to that department.

27/1/49

27/1/49

28/1/49

Removed linked with and [illegible]

E&P.H. No. 50642 B2/48-6
24.1.49.

Dev U.O. 8055 P&D V/49-1
28.1.49

E. & P.H Deptt (B2)

The file may lie over till 1.3.1949.

Note [illegible]
4/1/49

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
31 JAN 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

RSM
3.2.49

3/2/49

4/2/49

6566

35

CN 50642

Edn - Post-war - plans - inclusion of new schemes; Approved by G.O.I orders - papers to be recorded.

The file relates to certain new schemes treated as part of the post-war plans for which the approval of G.O.I has been sought. It is understood that a separate communication has been received from the Govt. of India ~~in~~ the Dev. Deptt asking this Govt to review such of the schemes as have not been so far introduced and to examine the possibility of postponement of those schemes. A letter has been sent by this Govt. from the Dev. Deptt to the Govt of India with ref. to the schemes which cannot be ~~[illegible]~~ postponed and for which the approval of Govt of India is required. The schemes dealt with in this file have all been included ~~with~~ in the statement sent to the Govt of India by the Dev. Deptt. In the circumstances, it does not appear that this file need ~~should~~ be kept pending any longer. The Papers may be recorded.

RM
20/12

20/12

28/12/49

G.O. 3909 Edn. D/21.12.49

37

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Roc.No.2382-B2/48.

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction, Madras.
Dated 21-4-50.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Madras.

8707

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
26 APR 1950
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Sub: Post-War Schemes - Revision of salaries - Grant from the Government of India.

Ref: Government U.O.Note 56562-B2/48, 1-Education, dated 30-7-48 and my letter Roc.No.2382-B2/48, dated 27-9-49.

---oOo---

In continuation of my letter referred to above, I have the honour to submit below the particulars regarding actual extra expenditure incurred due to the revision of teaching grant from 1-1-47 and dearness allowance from 1-1-48 for the year 1948-49.

M/

Teaching grant	Rs.71,23,008/-
Dearness allowance	Rs.27,69,199/-

Yours faithfully,

25-4-50

For Director of Public Instruction.

Copy to B1 and B2 Sections

sn.24.4.

Edu(C) Deptt

The D.P.I. has since furnished the particulars regarding the actual extra expenditure incurred due to the revision of teaching grant & d.a. for the year 1948-49. As a reply has already been sent to G. of I. no action seems called for on this. This may be added to the papers at (1) after perusal by Dev. (P&D) Deptt.

W.B.
8-5-50

p1 ante

pp 43-44 of (1).

AS I

Dev (P&D)

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
Development Department.

U.O.Note No.19967-P&DV/49-30, dated 5th May 1950.

Sub: DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES for 1948-49 -

1. Letter No.19967-P&DV/49-25 d/22-2-50.
2. Telegram No.19967-P&DV/49-28, d/23-3-50.
3. From the Government of India, letter No. 59-R~~Z~~C, Madras dated 8-4-50.

Copies of the correspondence mentioned in the margin* may be forwarded to the departments of the Secretariat and they may be requested to furnish the particulars called for in items (i) & (ii) in para 2 of the Government of India's letter at an early date.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
MAY 1950
10478
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

As the Central grants have to be adjusted as early as possible the Departments of the Secretariat may be requested to treat this as very urgent.

C.J.Venkataramana Rao,
Assistant Secretary to Government

To the Departments of Secretariat,
concerned. Edn Dept 5 copies

/Forwarded/By order/

AS.5.5.

SUPERINTENDENT.

Encl:

Copy of Government letter No.19967/P&DV/49-25 dated 22-2-50.

Sub: Postwar Development Schemes - Approval of new schemes with retrospective effect - Requested.
Ref: From the Government of India, letter No.59-RC Madras dated 23-1-1950.

I am directed to invite a reference to the correspondence resting with your letter cited and to state that though the schemes mentioned in the annexure to this letter were reported to the Government of India for approval in 1948-49 itself(vide letters referred to in column (4) of the list communicated with this Government letter No.19967-6, dated 23-3-1949) the schemes have been approved as eligible for financial assistance from Central Grants only for 1949-50. I am to point out in this connection that these schemes are in various stages of execution and that considerable expenditure has been incurred on them in 1948-49 also. I am therefore to request you to be so good as to move the Government of India to give retrospective effect to their approval of the schemes communicated with the letter cited so that the expenditure incurred on the schemes during 1948-49 may count for adjustment against the Central Block grant for that year.

ANNEXURE.

Brief description	Letter in which the scheme was originally reported to the Govt. of India.
Agriculture. Schemes for the training of Agricuture and Botany graduates and field men in Horticulture.	Letter No.Rt.127-P&DV/48 dated 23-2-48.

p.t.o.

4

Opening of fruit farms at certain Agricultural research stations.	Letter No.132398-A-II/48-2, dated 3-1-1949.	
Medical.		
Andhra Medical College. Construction of a hostel for 200 men students.	Lr.62935 P&D-V/48-11, dated 22-11-1948.	
Introduction of B.C.G.Vaccination scheme for tuberculosis patients.	-do-	
New Sewage disposal works for Headquarters Hospital Guntur.	Lr.No.62935-P&D-V/48-11, dated 22-11-1948.	
Construction of a ward of 75 beds in Queen Alexandra Hospital, Salem.	-do-	
Education.		
Teaching of Hindustani in Secondary Schools.	-do-	
Introduction of bifurcated courses of studies in secondary schools.	-do-	
Revision of salaries of Teachers.	Lr.No.No.56562-B.ii-2,Edn. dated 16-9-48 and 62935-P&D-V/49-11, dated 22-11-1948.	
Opening of schools for defective children.	Lr.62935 P&D-V/48-11, dated 22-11-48.	
Victory Memorial School for Blind at Poonamalle.	-do-	
Fisheries.		
Fisheries Technological Station at Calicut.	-do-	
Socio-Economics, Appointment of Welfare officers.	-do-	
Industries.		
Coir Industrial School~~s~~,Vizag.	-do-	
Veterinary.		
Opening of a Veterinary institution for each Taluk.	-do-	
Opening of a touring billet for each taluk.	-do-	The Scheme is awaiting the approval of the Govt. of India, Vide this Govt's Lr.19967-P&D-V/49-20, d.3-2-50.

60

M

p.t.o.

39

3

Copy of Express Telegram No.19967-P&D-V/49-28, dated 23-3-50, from the Government of Madras, Development Department, to Dr.Gyanchand, Cabinet Secretariat, New Delhi.

REFERENCE LETTER (Number) 19967-P&D-V/49-23, dated 22nd FEBRUATY AND (No.)2183-H-50-2,P.H. DATED 16TH FEBRUARY REGARDING APPROVAL OF DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES AAA PLEASE EXPEDITE REPLY.

DEVMENT.

Copy of letter No.59/RC/Madras, dated 8th April 1950, from the Under Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat, (Economic & Statistical Coordination Section), New Delhi, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Development Department, Madras.

Sub: Development schemes for 1948-49 and 1949-50.

I am directed to refer to your telegram No.Nil dated the 23rd March, 1950, and to reply as follows.

2. As regards the State Government's request that approval of certain of their development schemes approved for 1949-50 should be given retrospective effect during 1948-49 also, the Government of India may please be informed.-

(1) Why these schemes were started before they were approved by the Government of India?

(2) What the expenditure incurred on these schemes was during 1948-49, and

(3) What was the amount of Central Grant already drawn by the State Government during 1948-49 towards expenditure on (a) development schemes and (b) G.M.F. Schemes.

X

Already furnished in respect of Revision of Salaries of teachers (vide p1 ante)

(True copy)

Superintendent

6.1.50

7

62

Education Deptt.(C)

Endorsement No.10478 C/50-1, Edn., dated

Communicated to the Director of Public Instruction.

2/- He is requested to furnish immediately the particulars called for in respect of Edn. in items 1 to 3 in para 2 of Government of India's letter No.,59/RC/Madras, dated 8-4-'50,(copy of which is enclosed herewith) ~~at an early~~ dated.

M on p4 ante

To

The D.P.I.

9.5.50

9.5.50

O)/ C

Through P2

9/5/50

9/5/50

This will not do - Please speak

9/5/50

7

W

63

Education Deptt (C)

Sub:- Development schemes for 1948-49.

Ref:- Development Deptt U.O. Note No., 19967 P&D V /49-30, dated 5.5.50

p 3 ante

— x —

The Government of India desire to have information about certain Post-war schemes which have not so far been admitted to aid by that Govt. So far as this department is concerned information should be furnished on the following schemes :-

1) Revision of salaries of teachers.

2) Teaching of Hindustani in Secondary schools.

p 4 ante

3) Introduction of bifurcated courses of studies in secondary schools.

4) Opening of schools for defective children.

5) Victory Memorial School for Blind at Poonamalle.

Item (1) above :-

The scheme of revision of salaries ~~has been~~ was included as one of the Post-war schemes (Scheme No. 87) in the list of such schemes ~~of Education Development~~ drawn up in 1944-45. The general approval of the Govt. of India had already been obtained to this scheme along with other Post-war schemes submitted to that Govt. by the then Post-war Reconstruction ⊗ Department of this Govt.

⊗ Jofes now dealt with in Dev.

As regards the actual expenditure for the scheme during 1948-49, attention is solicited to the D.P.I.'s letter at p 1 cf.

16.5.50

16/5

Through P.

19/5/50

Items 2 to 4 above. At the instance of the former

Vide pp 1-2 N/f of G.O. 3997 Edn (K)
21-12-49

H.M. (Edn) and H.Pr the question of putting up further Post War Plans under Education for the utilisation of about 2 crores of the grant from the Govt. of India which was not utilised by this Govt. was examined in consultation with Dev. and Finance Depts. and orders were obtained in circulation to treat the ~~4~~ items 2 to 4 at p 7 ante and the scheme for the opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls as part of the Post War plan. The Govt. of India was accordingly informed that these schemes were introduced as Post war Dev. Schemes and they were requested to include these schemes mentioned above in the list of schemes eligible for financial assistance from central grants in 1948-49. ~~The~~ ~~introduction~~ Govt of the schemes four of them items 2-to 4 on p 7 ante have been approved by the Govt. of India. The question of including the scheme for the opening of additional secondary schools for boys and girls in the list of schemes eligible for assistance from the centre, is still under the ~~Govt~~ consideration of the Govt. of India.

p 31 N/f of (K)

p 1 of o.f. (K)

'M' on p4 ante

2. The schemes were launched before obtaining the approval of the Govt. of India, as grants can be claimed for 1948-49 only after the schemes were started and expenditure incurred on them. If the starting of the schemes had been deferred till the approval of the Govt. of India were received, it might not have been possible to incur any appreciable expenditure and the purpose of having suggested the inclusion of these schemes in the Post War Dev. Plan to utilise fully the block grant from the centre would have been defeated.

vide 'R' on p 3 N/f of (K)

3. As regards the actual expenditure incurred on the schemes 2 to 5 at page 7 ante during 1948-49 attention is solicited to pages 15 and 17 of (B)

19/5/50

Dy S / Dev.
19.5.50 Devt.

18/5/50
19.5.50

44288 A.
19.5.50

Edn. 10 MAY 1950

19.5.50

C

(N) 45

~~Dev~~ P&DV.

ZOA S.

This Dept U.O. note at pp. 3-5 ~~Cr ante~~, and notes of Edn Dept at pp. 7-8 ante may be perused. ~~With ref to the U.O. note at p 136 C.F. of L.F.~~ Edn Department have furnished the necessary particulars called for by the Govt of India in items (1) & (2) in para 2 of their letter of 8-4-50. Relevant extracts (taken from this folder) may be added to the L.F. (for necessary action) and the case returned to Edn. Dept.

Also vide p136 C.F.

× C No 19967 ... ref.
(x) note at pp 7-8 ante,
M on p1 ante and
M on p15 C.F. of (B)
× on p 5 above

× Extracts take

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
25 MAY 1950
12859
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

22.5.50
22-5-50

Edn

23/5/50 Edn.

Dev. U.O. 44288A P&DV/50-1
23/24-5-50.

Edn (C) Deptt

No action is called on this file. Dev. Deptt. will send a reply to the G. of I. The papers may be added to the G.O. at (K).

25.5.50
25/5

26/5/50