

Closed
18/11
supt

Scott. II-27-60,004-17-11-48

Ed P. H (1949) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3522 Edn.

Dated 16.11.49.

(15)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
9.0	2915	Ed	19.7.49				
9.0	596	Ed	20.3.48				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
9.0	1750	Ed	9.6.50				
9.0	2529	Ed	2.9.50				

FROM

Sri A.K.Raghavan, B.A.B.L.,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
GUDIYATTAM.

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT.
TO

The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
MADRAS.
Through the Director of Public
Inspection, Madras.
and
the Chairman, Municipal Council,
GUDIYATTAM.

22024

Roc.C1-261/48 dated 11-8-49

Re: 2364 Gadiyattam

Sir,

BUILDINGS - Municipal High School - Gudiyattam - Construc-
tion of building - subsidy.

Roc.No.2364-G2/48 dated 2-8-49 of the Director of Public
Instruction.

The construction of the school building which was left in-
complete during the war has been taken on hand and the revised
plans and estimates were approved in proceedings No.2364-G2/48
dated 31-5-49. A committee from the public of this town has been
formed and it has raised donations amounting to Rs. 75000/- and
the construction of the building has been entrusted to them with
the sanction of Government vide G.O.Ms.No.596 Edn., dated 20-3-49
The work has been taken on hand and is in progress.

But the Committee is not able to realise the entire estimated
amount owing to slump in business concerns and therefore re-
quires Government aid as well. If the Municipal Council had taken
up the work for execution, it would be entitled to Provincial
subsidy. Owing to paucity of funds the council has entrusted the
work to be executed by the public from donations. The Council
has therefore resolved in its resolution No.150 dated 20-6-49 to
apply to Government for building subsidy and place it at the dis-
posal of the committee so that the building work may be completed
at a very early date and the long standing adverse remarks of the
inspection authorities in respect of the inadequate accommodation
for the school may be removed.

An application for building subsidy is herewith submitted in
the prescribed form. I request that Government may be pleased
to sanction a subsidy of Rs.35,000/-.

VM.12/8.a.

FORWARDED.

4.8.12/82524

CHAIRMAN.

Commissioner
COMMISSIONER.

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF A BUILDING SCHEME IN RESPECT OF MUNICIPAL
HIGH SCHOOL AT GUDIYATTAM IN THE DISTRICT OF NORTH ARCOT.

1. Number and date of the proceedings or memorandum recognising the school under the Madras Educational Rules.

(1) Director of Public Instruction's proceedings Rc.No.1355/G4/48 dated 20-7-48.
(2) Dis.No.875/48 dated 29-7-48 of the Divisional Inspector of School Coimbatore.

2. Brief history of the institution from the date of its establishment to the date of application tracing its growth and development and furnishing among other things an accurate account of its financial condition.

This was started as a high school with IV Form only and in the subsequent years V and VI Form were opened and the first batch of VI Form pupils was sent up for the S.S.L.C. Public Examination in March 1923. Even since the strength of the school has increased gradually to nearly 1400 these years. The School was almost self maintaining till dear ~~xxxx~~ allowance was granted to teachers and but for this drain even now it will be self sufficient.

3. The strength of the different classes or forms as it stood on the 31st March of every year for five years preceding the year in which the application is submitted.

	1945.	1946	1947	1948	1949	No. of pupils in each class on the date of application.	NO. of pupils that can be accommodated in each class room.
						4-8-49.	
VI Form	44	55	79	73	57	87	45 pupils in each class. room.
V	69	84	67	91	112	137	
IV	88	86	114	119	125	160	
III	84	114	109	132	103	130	
II	120	118	147	169	129	200	
I	137	157	173	197	224	287	
5th Class	145	153	180	233	252	354	~~xxxx~~ abolished from the school year 1949-50.
4th	146	155	160	292	282		
3rd							
2nd							
1st							
Total.	833	922	1029	1306	1284	1355	

CLASS ROOM AREA.

A 28 x 16
B 30 x 16
C 30 x 16
D 24 x 19
E 24 x 19
F 22 x 17
G 19 x 18
H 19 x 18
J 32 x 15

CLASS ROOM AREA.

K 32 x 16
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T

4. Character of and necessity for the proposed work in detail.

The accommodation in the existing building is very insufficient and this defect is repeatedly maintained in the inspection notes. Hence the postponed work of the construction of the new building is taken up on hand for immediate execution.

5. 1) Is a sketch plan of the proposed site and building attached.

ii) Does it show

(a) The relation of the proposed building or extension to the existing buildings?

The estimates and plans for the new building approved, by the Director of Public Instruction in his proceedings No. 2364-G2/48 dated 31-5-49.

(b) Any alteration in existing buildings necessitated by it?

(c) The purpose to which the existing buildings are now put?

(d) The purpose to which they will be put when the new building or extension is complete?

6. (a) Probable cost of the proposed work.

Rs. 1,31,910/-

(b) Whether the management has the necessary funds to complete the work in case the scheme is approved.

The public of this town has formed a committee of members and the construction of the building has been entrusted to them. The expenditure is met from the public donations.

(c) Whether the managing body has been registered under the Indian Companies Act of 1913 or any other Act and if so whether attested copies of the memorandum of Association and the articles of Association are submitted.

(d) If the scheme relates to the purpose of site, declaration that it is the cheapest suitable site.

(e) Amount of building grants drawn by the institution in previous years with the number and date of the sanction and the purposes for which given (particulars should be given for 40 years)

1) G.O. Ms. No. 1819 Edn., d/ 1-8-38.	Rs. 6272-0-0
2) Realised in June 1939.	4388-0-0
3) G.O. No. Ms. 16 Edn., dated 3-1-45.	1363-0-0
	<u>12023-0-0</u>

(f) If the scheme relates to the construction of a hostel, declaration that the hostel will be managed according to rules approved by the Director of Public Instruction.

(g) The annual expenditure incurred in the upkeep of the institution and how it is met from.

Fees.	Govt. Grant.	Other sources.	Manager's funds.	TOTAL.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1946-47 28096-15-0	8180-0-0	618-11-0	8999-4-6	45894-14-6
1947-48 33175-14-0	8172-0-0	281-0-0	21605-5-7	55234-3-7
1948-49 42960-9-0	3088-0-0	-	19315-0-7	65363-9-7

7. Inspecting Officer's remarks about accommodation from his inspection reports for the two previous years.

Extracts enclosed.

8. Director's review of such remarks.

do

Station:- GUDIYATTAM.
Dated: 9-8-49.

VM.9/8.a.

[Signature]
COMMISSIONER.

Col. 7. REMARKS OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER.

1946-47.

(1) (1) ACCOMMODATION:

The school is held in 5 buildings and two of the buildings are rather far away from the main building. This makes supervision work difficult for the headmaster. The main building is owned by the management and the other buildings are rented. Accommodation is very unsuitable. Girls have separate provision. To provide additional accommodation, thatched sheds are being constructed and these sheds are also unsuitable for use.

XX XX XX XX

GENERAL.

Pucca accommodation for the school is an urgent need which cannot be postponed any longer. As the school is held in several buildings one more person is necessary.

XX XX XX XX

SD. S. Murugesam,
District Educational Officer, N.A.

(True extract)

1947-48.

(1) (1) ACCOMMODATION.

The remarks of the Divisional Inspector of schools, Coimbatore in paragraph 4 of his Dis. Pl. No. 4/T.I.R. 46-47 dated 15-11-46 still hold good and as instructed therein immediate steps should be taken to

rectify the defects. As the school is for work in shifts, and this has been permitted by the Director of Public Instruction, Madras 47 dated 23-7-49. It is necessary to take immediate action in L.Dis.1219/G. as it is not desirable to continue the system for 1948-49 on the matter

Lack of accommodation is a bar to any progress in this direction.

XX

XX

XX

(True extract)

1948-49.

Accommodation.

The Divisional Inspector of Schools in Dis.Pl.T.R.47-48 dated 19-10-48 observed that the want of suitable and adequate accommodation is a handicap to the efficient running of the school and hoped that the management would rectify the defects early. The school has been permitted to work in shifts during 48-49 only as a strictly temporary measure in Procs.No. 502-B-48 ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ dated 21-8-48 of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore.

This problem of accommodation has still to be faced by the management. The school is working in shifts. There is absolutely no accommodation for the location of classes in craft.

(True extract)

Co.8. Review of the Divisional Inspector of the inspection notes of the ~~District Educational Officer.~~

..

1946-47. Dis.Pl.No.4-T.I.R/46-47 dated 15-11-46.

ACCOMMODATION:

Accommodation and sanitation continue to be inadequate and unsuitable. The management should take immediate steps to rectify the defects.

1947-48: Dis Pl.4.T.I.R./47-48 dated 19-2-48.

Want of suitable and adequate accommodation is a handicap to the efficient running of the school. It is hoped that the management will rectify the defects early.

1948-49. Dis Pl.30-b T.I.R/48-49. dated 21-4-49.

The school should be provided with adequate playground and accommodation.

(True extracts)

N. S. S. S.
COMMISSIONER.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS
Rc.2364 G2-48 dated 4-9-49

Sub:--Education--Secondary--Municipal High School, Gudiyatham
Construction of building--Subsidy--

Ref:--Letter Rc.Cl-261-48 dated 11-3-49 of the
Commissioner, Gudiyatham Municipality addressed to
Government.

Submitted.

(Z) 78

Attention is invited to G.O.No.596 Education dated 20-3-48 wherein Government have informed the Commissioner that they have no objection to the proposal of the Municipal Council to permit the private Committee to undertake the construction of buildings for the Municipal High School from its funds, subject to the condition that the building is constructed according to approved design and on completion it is handed over to the Municipal Council for the use of the School. Government have also informed the Commissioner therein that no subsidy will be sanctioned from provincial funds towards the cost of construction of the building and that the unspent balance of the loan should not be utilised.

But subsequently the Commissioner has informed the Director in his letter Rc.261-48 dated 20-10-48 that the committee collected by that date only Rs.35,000/- against a subscribed amount of Rs.95,000/-, it now feels difficult to raise more subscription to the fund as the business market has fallen down; that it therefore feels that it may not be possible for it to execute the entire work without the Government aid and the Committee therefore agrees to contribute this amount to the Municipal funds for executing the construction of the High School, according to the revised estimate, provided Government sanction the maximum subsidy of Rs.35,000/- On this understanding the Commissioner has forwarded revised Estimate and plans which were approved in Director's Proceedings Rc.2364-G2-48 dated 31-5-49. The revised estimate envisaged some alterations from the original plans and the cost of the revised estimate as approved by the Director is Rs.1,31,910/-

The Commissioner now states that the Committee has raised donations to the extent of Rs.75,000/-, that the construction of the work has been entrusted to them as per G.O.No.596 Education dated 20-3-48 and that the work has been taken on hand and is in progress. The Commissioner further states that the Municipal Council has resolved to apply to Government for building subsidy and place it at the disposal of the Committee so that the building work may be completed at a very early date and he requests that Government may be pleased to sanction a subsidy of Rs.35,000/-

Attention is invited in this connection to Government Memorandum No.18349-B1/49-5 Education dated 25-6-49 wherein Government have passed orders in regard to the District Board, Coimbatore employing a private agency for the construction of the building of the Board High School, Palladam.

It is presumed that in case Government are willing to permit the request of the Municipal Council, provision has to be made in respect of the Scheme under question in Part I of the Budget Estimate for 1950-51 since this is a part-paid scheme.

Early orders are requested in the matter.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Dept.,
Madras.

For Director of Public Instruction

ch

68610/48 Subsidy - Buildings - Municipal High School
 Gudiyattam - Construction of a building -
 Application for 1950-51.

17/10/49

From the Commissioner, Gudiyattam Municipality
 R.O.C. 261/48 & 11. 8.49.

Memo. 68610 B.1/49-1 Ed d 9.9.49

The Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality
 is requested to ^{report} very urgently

(1) whether the revised estimate for Rs. 1,31,910
 for the construction of a building for the municipal
 high school excludes the expenditure already
 incurred on the execution of the work up to the
 basement level out of the subsidy loan sanctioned
 in 1937-38;

(2) how the sum of Rs. 20,910 required for the
 work in addition to the donations amounting to Rs. 75,000
 collected from the public and the subsidy of Rs.
 35,000 applied for ^{to be met} whether the unexpended
 portion of the loan sanctioned previously is
 available and if not whether the Council can meet
 the sum of Rs. 20,910 from its general funds.

The Commissioner is informed that if
 a subsidy is required from Provincial funds, the
 work should be executed by the ~~State~~ Municipality
 itself and that for this purpose, the Committee
 should credit the unexpended balance of the
 contribution of Rs. 75,000 to the municipal funds
 and carry on the work themselves as contractors
 to the effect drawing the amounts required
 for the expenditure from the municipal ~~Committee~~
 funds from time to time. The subsidy will be
 admissible only to the municipal Council on
 production of a certificate duly signed by the

75,000
 35,000
 11,000
 121,910
 1,21,910

2/13/910
 65955
 12023
 53932

competent authority in regard to the expenditure actually incurred on the execution of the work according to approved plans & estimates. The Commissioner is requested to report whether the ~~agreed~~ municipal Council ~~agrees~~ to adopt the above procedure

18/9/49
8.9.49

9.9.49

5/9/49

To
The Commissioner
Gudiyattam City
(through the CMC)
S/C.

note. After some, the point raised in the last para of the S/C's letter will be examined.

18/9/49
8.9.49

9.9.49

From

Dr. N. Venkatesan, M.B.B.S.,
Municipal Health Officer
& Commissioner Delegate,
Gudiyattam.

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
Madras.
Through the Chairman, Municipal
Council, Gudiyattam.

Roc. C1-No. 261/48 dated 10-9-49.

16 SEP 1949

Sir,

Buildings - Municipal High School - Gudiyattam - Construction of building - subsidy.

Reference No. 2363-G2/48 dated 2-8-49 of the Director of Public Instruction and this office Rc. 261/48 dated 11-8-49.

::

I request early orders.

N. Venkatesan
Commissioner delegate.

Forwarded.

G. A. S. Srinivas Reddy
Chairman. 13.9.49

E. P. H.
13/

The reply to Govt. Memo on p 7 a to may be awaited.

16.9.49.

68610/49

1
7/10.

664

2
6/10/49

one half of the amount
mentioned in para 3 on
p2 up

Σ 104 (107)

Subsidy- Buildings- Municipal High School
Gudiyattam. Construction of building- Provision
to be made in Part I estimates for 1950-51

from the DP 3 Ac. 2364-G2/48 24.9.49

Memo. 68610 B/49- 3 En 2, 6/10/49

The DP 3 is informed that as the
scheme for the construction of a building for
the Municipal High school, Gudiyattam,
is a part paid scheme, necessary provision
may be included for payment of subsidy
in Part I estimates for 1950-51. The
provision may be limited to Rs 16.400
tentatively pending a decision on the question
of the balance of subsidy payable to the

.. Commissioner
Gudiyatham
Municipality
through the CMC

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

FROM Sri A.K.Raghavan, B.A.B.L.,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
GUDIYATTAM.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health
Department, Fort St. George,
MADRAS.
Through
The Chairman, Municipal Council,
Gudiyattam.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
1 - NOV 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Roc. C1-261 PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT 51-10-1949.

Sir,

SUBSIDY - Gudiyattam Municipality - Municipal High School-
construction - Payment of subsidy.

GOVERNMENT Memo No. 68610-B1-49-1 dated 9-9-49.

The revised estimate for Rs.1,31,910/- includes the expenditure already incurred on the execution of works upto basement level.

The unexpended portion of the loan as already obtained for the purpose was refunded to Government as instructed in G.O.No. Ms.596 Ean., dated 20-3-48.

The entire cost of the building now proposed to be constructed and is in execution, will be met from public donations collected for the purpose and the subsidy applied for from Government.

The Committee for the construction of the building has agreed to the stipulations referred to in paragraph 2 of the memorandum read above. A copy of the proceedings of the Committee is enclosed. On receipt of orders from Government sanctioning the subsidy, the Committee will deposit the balance of unspent amount available with them into the Municipal treasury and carry out the work as contractors.

In the circumstances stated above, I request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the subsidy to the Council at an early date. I submit a copy of the Council resolution. *1-14-20*

Arman Cici
COMMISSIONER.

VM.31/10.a.

Forwarded.

Y-on-Bm 213 Aff
31.10.49

CHAIRMAN.

P.T.O.

14
Proceedings of the Gudiyattam Municipal Council passed at its meeting held on 22-10-49.

Council resolution No. 460 dated 22-10-49.

Read Government Memorandum No. 68610-B1/49-1 Edn., dated 9-9-49 informing that the subsidy for the construction of the Municipal High School building will be admissible only if the committee agrees to credit to the Municipal funds the balance of unexpended contribution and carry on the work as contractors to the Municipal Council.

Read also the proceedings dated 10-10-49 of the High School building committee agreeing to the conditions stated paragraph 2 of the Government memo read above.

Resolution:- "Resolution of the High School Building Committee approved. Further collections should be remitted into the Municipal Treasury.

(TRUE EXTRACT)

SD. P.K. Gangadara Mudaliar,
CHAIRMAN.

[Signature]
Commissioner.

15 10
Copy of proceedings of the High School Building Committee held on 10-10-49.

Sub:- Consideration of the letter stipulating conditions for the grant of Rs. 35,000/- for the construction of the Municipal High School.

I. The committee for the construction of the Building Committee agrees to hand over to the Municipal council the following:-

- a. The materials collected till now
- b. The building so far constructed.
- c. The unspent balance of the donations realised.

II. The committee also agrees to collect and deposit in the Municipal treasury the balance of the subscribed donations as and when they are realised.

III. As the Committee has taken up the work of construction, gathered almost all the materials, and the building also has been raised to certain extent, the committee may be permitted to execute the work as contractors to the Municipal council as suggested in para 2 of the memorandum read above.

SD. P.A. Shunmuga Mudaliar,
10-10-49.

(True extract)

[Signature]
Commissioner.

68610/49 Subsidy Buildings - Municipal High school, Gudiyattam -
Construction of buildings - Balance of subsidy payable -
Fixed.

From the Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality R.C.C.

C1 261/48 dated 11-8-49

plcf
Quar Sec
15/11/49
Memorandum *Order 3522* Education, dated 16.11.49

The Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality is informed that under the existing orders the subsidy admissible towards the cost of construction of a building for a secondary school under the control of a local body is one half of the actual cost of construction subject to a maximum of Rs 35,000- As the municipal council has already drawn a subsidy of Rs 12023 towards one half of the cost of the work executed up to the basement level and the revised estimate for Rs 131910 includes the expenditure already incurred on the execution of the work, the balance of subsidy payable towards the cost of construction of the building as per revised estimate will be fixed at Rs 22977. *(Rupees twenty two thousand nine hundred and seventy seven only)* Steps will be taken to provide for payment of this subsidy in 1950-51.

pl3 of
To
The Commissioner
Gudiyattam Mty through
the C.M.S.

.. D.P.I.

14.11.49
15.11.49
13/11
S/c 2

Subsidy Buildings - Municipal High School Gudiyattam -
Construction of buildings. Balance of subsidy payable -
Fixed.

From the Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality R.O.C.
C1 261/48 dated 11-8-49

From the Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality R.O.C.
C1 261/48 dated 11-8-49

The Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality is
informed that under the existing orders the subsidy
admissible towards the cost of construction of a building
for a secondary school under the control of a local body
is one half of the actual cost of construction subject
to a maximum of Rs 35,000 - As the municipal council
has already drawn a subsidy of Rs 12023 towards one half
of the cost of the work executed up to the basement
level and the revised estimate for Rs 131,910 includes
the expenditure already incurred on the execution of the
work the balance of subsidy payable towards the cost
of construction of the building as per revised estimate
will be fixed at Rs 25,955. Steps will be taken to
provide for payment of this subsidy in 1950-51.

The Commissioner
Gudiyattam Municipality through

G.O. No.

D.P.I.

5/5

B 1 section.

Subsidy - Buildings -Municipal Council Gudiyattam -
Construction of a building for the Municipal High school

From the Commissioner Gudiyattam Municipality R.O.C.

C1 261/48 dated 11-8-49

From the D.P.I. Rc 2364 G2/48 dated 4-9-49

In 1937, the Govt sanctioned a subsidy of Rs 24,810
towards onehalf of the cost of construction of a building
for the municipal high school, Gudiyattam, which was then
estimated at Rs 49620 . A loan of an equal amount was
sanctioned in 1938 to enable the municipal council to
meet its share of the cost. The work was executed up
to the basement level and was suspended owing to the
dearth of building materials and the increase in cost
of materials and labour. A subsidy of Rs 12023 was
disbursed towards onehalf of the actual expenditure
incurred on the execution of the scheme.

2/1948
The Municipal Council proposed to resume the work
and entrust its execution to a private Committee which
offered to collect the necessary funds and complete the
work and this was approved in G.O. No 596 Edn dated 20-
3-48. It is now reported that the Committee has raised
donations to the extent of Rs 75,000 and is unable to
raise the balance of the amount required for completion
of the work. The Municipal Council has therefore applied
to Govt for a subsidy of Rs 35,000 .

3. According to the revised estimate approved by the
D.P.I, the scheme is estimated to cost Rs 131,910.
This ^{presumably} includes the expenditure already incurred
on construction up to basement level. If the subsidy for
this work is limited to half cost according to the
original undertaking, the subsidy payable works out to Rs
65,955 - Deducting the subsidy of Rs 12023 already paid

the balance of subsidy payable is Rs 53932. The Commissioner has applied for a subsidy of Rs 35,000. The Council's share of half cost according to the revised estimate works out to Rs 65955. A portion of this viz Rs 12023 has already been met from the loan taken in 1938. As against the balance of Rs 53932 now required, the Committee to whom the execution of the work has been entrusted, has collected a donation of Rs 75,000. The excess of Rs 21068 may be ^{taken into account in fixing the} ~~deducted from the subsidy payable and the~~ amount of subsidy payable ^{and} may be limited to Rs 32,864. This can be decided finally after receipt of the particulars called for in Memo No 68610 B1/49-1 Edn dated 9-9-49 ^{as the amount will vary if the expenditure incurred on the execution of the work up to basement has not been taken into account in arriving at the revised estimate.} The D.P.I. has raised the question whether this scheme may be treated as a part paid scheme and necessary funds for payment of the subsidy may provided in Part I estimates for 1950-51. Under para 53 of the Madras Budget Manual the Part II estimates include subsidies not only for new works but also for works in progress for which no part of the subsidy has actually been disbursed in a past year and no part is likely to be disbursed in the current year. As a portion of the subsidy has already been disbursed in this case, this may be treated as a work in progress and ^{as} a partpaid scheme and necessary provision may be included in Part I of the estimates for 1950-51. Finance have agreed to the adoption of the same procedure in respect of the schemes for the construction of the buildings for the Board High schools at Uthamapalayam and Kamuthi for which subsidies were sanctioned in previous years, the work ~~was~~ stopped and it is now proposed to resume them.

5 The remarks of Finance may be invited u.o. before a reply is given to the D.P.I.

17-9-49 ^{Finance} 19-9-49

The note of E & P.H. on pages 1-2 above may be perused.
2 The view of E & P.H.

65955 - 12023 = 53932

53,932
21,068
32,864

75000 - 53932 = 21068

pages 7-8 of

para on page 6 of

A

pro in


Edn u.o. 68610 B1/49-2 19-9-49

19/9/49

Fin (Exp A)



Fin 61841 EA 1 28.9.49



Thro' B.G. 27.9.49

para 4, 27.9.49

at A on page 2 above may be agreed to in Finance. According to the revised estimate the scheme is estimated to cost Rs 1,31,910/-. It is reported that the committee formed in this connection has collected donations amounting to Rs 75,000/-. Deducting this amount from the total estimate, the net expenditure for the Mbl. Council ^{will} be arrived at Rs 56,910/-. This would make the institution eligible for a half grant of Rs 28,455/-. As an amount of Rs 12,023/- has already been disbursed to the institution, the balance of Rs 16,432/- may ~~not~~ be paid in 1950-51 against the maximum ^{subsidy} of Rs. 35,000/- proposed by the D.P.I.

131,910
75,000
56,910
2
= 28,455
12,023
16,432

26.9.49

27.9.49

27.9.49

28.9.49 S. E.P.H.

The Finance Dept have agreed with this Dept's view at A on page 2 ante that the scheme for the construction of a building for the municipal high school, Gudiyatham, may be treated as a part paid scheme and that necessary provision may be made in Part I estimates for 1950-51. The D.P.I. may be informed accordingly.

2. with reference to the balance of subsidy

EA

payable to the municipal Council towards the cost of construction of the building, it is ^{submitted} ~~subsidy~~ that the amount calculated by Finance in para 2 of their note on page 3 is not correct. According to G.O. No 2012 Education dated 15.9.47 in cases where the private benefaction is in excess of the local body's share of the cost viz one-half, such excess should be taken in deduction of the provincial share of the cost. The calculation in para 3 of this Deptt's note has been made with reference to the above orders. This question may be further considered after receipt of the reply to the memo on 11-7-49.

A draft memo to the D.P.9 is submitted below for approval

1884
5.10.49

11/6-10-49

Memo No 68610 B1/49 - 2 Edu & 6-10-49 pp11-12cf
CRO 84603. From the Commr Gurdigaltam Municipality pp13-14cf
Acc. Cl. 261/48 dated 31-10-49

The Commissioner Gurdigaltam Municipality has reported that the revised estimate for Rs 1,31,910 includes the expenditure already incurred on the execution of the work up to basement level and that the high school building Committee has agreed to the conditions specified in

Memo No 68610 B1/49 - 1 Edu & 9-9-49


The maximum subsidy payable for the work may be raised from Rs 24810 to Rs 44887 and the balance of subsidy payable may be fixed at Rs 32864 as already noted on 2 ante

The remarks of Finance may be invited

1884
3/11/49

11/4-11-49


Fin



Fin. (Expre. A).

(Previous Finance note on pp. 2-3 ante).
Further notes of E & P.H. on pp 3-4 above may be perused. The present policy of the Govt. is that subsidies paid to local bodies towards one half of the cost of construction of secondary school buildings should be subject to a maximum of Rs. 35,000/-. In the present case, therefore, as the D.P.I. has approved the revised estimate for Rs. 1,31,910/-, including the expenditure already incurred on the execution of the work, the scheme is eligible for the maximum subsidy of Rs. 35,000/- only, irrespective of the fact that the scheme was originally sanctioned in 1937 on a full half grant basis. It is stated that a subsidy of Rs. 12,023/- was already disbursed towards one half of the actual expenditure incurred on the execution of the ^{upto basement level} ~~scheme~~ ^{and} This amount has to be taken into account in determining the amount of subsidy now payable.

2. In view of the limit of Rs. 35,000/- referred to in para 1 above, it seems that for the purpose of applying the instructions laid down in G.O. No. 2012 Edn. d. 15-9-1947 to this case, the Municipality's share of cost should be taken as Rs. 1,31,910 minus Rs. 35,000, or Rs. 96,910. As this amount exceeds the donations amounting to Rs. 75,000/-, the Municipality may be allowed to take full credit for the benefaction. ~~If so~~, The Municipality will then be eligible for a grant of Rs. 22,977/- ^{only} against Rs. 32,864/- proposed by E. & P.H., on full half grant basis. Finance may therefore agree to a provision of Rs. 22,977/-, or Rs. 23,000/- roundly, being made in Part I of the Budget Estimates for 1950-51 ^{against R. 16432 previously agreed to} - see para 2 of this Deptt.'s note on pp. 2-3 above.



35,000
12,023
22,977 ✓

K on pp 2 and 4 above

B.E. (II) to Secy
After order is passed by Secy
12-11-49

11/11/49 E & P.H.

16
Edn and P.H. Department
B 1 section

15
Please see the Finance Department's note on page 5 ante. They have pointed out that even though subsidy was first sanctioned in 1937 towards the cost of construction of a building for the Municipal high school, Gudiyattam, on half cost basis without imposing any monetary limit, the limit of Rs 35,000 that is now imposed in respect of ~~new~~ schemes which are newly admitted to aid now should be applied in this case also and the balance of subsidy payable should be fixed at Rs 22,977 or Rs 23,000 roundly deducting from the sum of Rs 35,000 the subsidy already paid viz Rs 12023. In view of the present financial stringency it is for consideration whether the suggestion of Finance may be accepted and a sum Rs 23,000 may be provided in Part 1 of the estimates for 1950-51. If so, the draft ~~memo~~ below is for approval.

tesu
14.11.49

15-11-49

S / 15
+1