

closed
10/17
Sup
Ed P. H. (1949) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3495 Ed

Dated 12.11.49

[Despatch Abstract.]

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Memo	529463	49-1 Ed d	16.7.49				
..	188493	49-1 Ed	25.6.49				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

R.C.NO.2427-G.4/49

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, Dated 11-10-1949.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.



Subject: Subsidy - Buildings - District Board, Coimbatore -
Board High School, Dharapuram - Construction of
additional accommodation.

In submitting herewith a copy of the letter ROC.No.369-
S.E.2--D.B-49 dated 28-9-49 of the Special Officer, District Board,
Coimbatore with the application for grant referred to therein I have
to state that the application is belated. The plan and estimate
relating to the scheme were approved by Director in his Proceedings
Dis.No.659/49 dated 10-2-1949.

Yours faithfully,

Whitaker

for Director of Public Instruction.

RVR 11/10/-

Copy of letter ROC.No.369-S.E.2-DB-49 dated 28-9-49 of the
Special Officer, District Board, Coimbatore to the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras.

The Board High School, Dharapuram with its existing building
is not having sufficient accommodation. It is a very big institution
and the strength of the school is steadily increasing from year to
year. The present strength is over 1200/-. The need for additional
accommodation has thus been persisting from a long time.

The proposal to construct a shed consisting of 2 rooms was
therefore considered in 1946 and accordingly plan and estimate were
called for from the Divisional Engineer (Highways) Coimbatore. An
estimate for Rs.11,000/- received from the Divisional Engineer was sub-
sequently revised as required in your ROC.8554-G.2/46 dated 20-11-46
and the estimate as it stands at present is for Rs.10,800/-. The
technical approval of the Director of Public Instruction for the plan
and estimate has been accorded in Director of Public Instruction's
Proceedings Dis.No.659-49 dated 10-2-49. The total cost of
Rs.10,800/- it is felt cannot be met from the slender resources
of the District Board which has also to meet claims of more
costly schemes of capital construction at Kollegal, Palladam etc.
The work being urgent as well as important, cannot be postponed.

In this circumstances stated above, I request you to be
good enough to recommend the application for Government grant (enclosed
herewith) for sanction and disbursement during 1949-50.

(TRUE COPY)

Whitaker

for Director of Public Instruction.

Application for Government Grant during 1949--50.

S.No.	Name or the work.	Total estimate cost of the work.	Government share of the cost according to General and Special orders that may be applicable to the case.	Whether detailed estimates and plan for the work have been accorded technical approval of the competent authorities.	Has the site been selected and if so whether it is already at the disposal of the local body.	Cols. 7 to 9 respectively appendix 1 of G.O.No.3132 L and M dated 8-8-52.	Having required to particulars under 7 to 9 what is the total amount inclusive of Government grant which the local body expects to spend on L.A. or on actual construction within the financial year for which budget provision to be made.	What is the Government share of item 10 (b) What is the amount of grant likely to be drawn within this year.	What will be the probable total expenditure and Government grant in each of the subsequent years until the work is completed.	How the balance of cost will be met.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1.	Construction of shed for additional accommodation to the Board High School, Dharapuram.	Rs.10,800/-	Rs.5,400/-	Yes. Approved in Director of Public Instruction's proceedings Dis.No. 659/49 dated 10-2-49.	Yes.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Rs.5,400/- during 1949-50.	From District Board General Funds.

Remarks: A grant of Rs.5,400/- may be sanctioned for the year 1949--50 in view of the work being urgent and important.

K. Lakshminarayana
for President, Dist. Board,
Coimbatore.

AS
28.7

3
Education and Public Health Dept
B 1 section.

79515/119
Subsidies - Buildings - District Board, Coimbatore - Secondary
schools at Kollegal, Palladam and Dharapuram - Construction of
buildings - 1950-51 - Request Negatived

Read. Memo 18849B1/49-5 Education dated 25-6-49
Memo No 52946 B1/49-1 Education dated 16-7-49
From the S.O., Dt Bd, Coimbatore, R.O.C.No 369 S.E2 D.B./49 dated
28-9-49
From the D.P.I., R.C.No 2427 G.4/49 dated 11-10-49

Order No
MS

3455 Education dated 12.11.1949
Bundab
The S.O. District Board Coimbatore is informed that

no subsidy will be sanctioned from Provincial funds towards
the cost of construction of buildings for the Board High ~~xxhm~~
schools at Kollegal, Palladam and Dharapuram ^{in 1950-51.} The District
Board should find ways and means to finance these schemes
without Govt aid.

To
The S.O. Dt Bd
Coimbatore.

By D.P.I.
S/c 3
13.11.

C.F. 22.

In reply, please quote
number, date and
short title.



LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT

Received _____ Registered _____

From (Name) Sri V.K. Palaniswami Gounder,

(Designation) President, Dist. Board,

Post Box _____, (STATION) Coimbatore.

Telegraphic Address _____

To

The Secretary to Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

Roc No. 30852/SE.3, dated --17--4-- 19 50.
Sir, DB/48

Sub: Subsidy--buildings--Dist. Board secondary schools
Kollegal, Dharapuram and Palladam--prior orders
reconsideration--requested.

Ref: G.O.Ms. No. 3495, Education, dated 12-11-49. (Y)

ENCLOSURES _____

SPARE COPIES _____

Government have ordered in their order cited that no subsidy will be sanctioned from provincial funds towards the cost of construction of buildings for the Board High schools at Kollegal, Palladam and Dharapuram in 1950-51 and the Dist. Board should find ways and means to finance these schemes without Government aid. It has been considered very necessary to take up the matter again with the Government for favour of reconsideration.

As pointed out earlier the necessity for the proposed construction of buildings for the High Schools arose out of serious difficulties experienced by pupils of the school and by the management. Lack of sufficient accommodation has very adversely been commented upon by the Health and Educational authorities almost every year during their inspection.

It will be very difficult and almost impossible for the district Board to take up the scheme without a substantial grant from Government.

(Y) In the above circumstances, I solicit reconsideration of the orders contained in G.O.Ms. No. 3495, Education, dated 12-11-49 in the current year. The District Board will lose the contributions that are forthcoming from the Public if the constructions are not taken up this year.

CVS/18.4.

CVS
18/4

V.K. Palaniswami
PRESIDENT. DIST. BOARD.

5
Education Department
B

16/6/50
Subsidy - Buildings - District Board Coimbatore -
Secondary schools at Kollegal, Dharapuram and Palladam
Construction of new buildings - Request for re-
consideration of orders

Read.

G.O. No 3495 Education dated 12-11-49

From the P.D.B. Coimbatore Roc No 30852/S.E 3 dated 28/3/48
17-4-50

Memorandum No 35483 Education dated 6.5.50

On an examination of the financial position of the District Board, Coimbatore, it was observed that the General Fund Account for 1949-50 would close with a surplus of Rs 9,21,081 and the Revenue account would work at a surplus of Rs 40,900. It was therefore considered that the district board could afford to meet the amount required for the construction of the buildings, in addition to the contributions realised for the purpose, from its general funds and there was not sufficient justification for the Government to subsidise those costly schemes. The attention of the P.D.B. Coimbatore is invited to the last sentence of para 2 of G.O. No 2670 Education dated 23-8-49 under which the question of sanctioning a subsidy for the works can be considered only if a case is made out by the district that it cannot meet the cost entirely from its general funds. Further it may not be possible to subsidise all the three schemes in any one year. The Dt Bd should therefore take up for execution the buildings schemes one by one in the order of their urgency. It is now too late to consider the question of giving a subsidy for the schemes in the current year. The P.D.B. Coimbatore may if necessary should therefore

renew the application for subsidy for consideration as a Part II scheme for 1951-52 making out a special case that a subsidy is essential towards the cost of construction of the buildings.

To
The P.D.B. Coimbatore

28/4/50

28.4.50

Note

LA & Fin. may see
the draft memo on the
previous page

29.4.50 LA / Fin.

Seen Mr. 18336

Fin. (SA)

The draft memo on p. 1 above may
be pursued. The year "1950-51" at the
draft may be corrected as "1951-52."



3.5.50

En. (B)

May issue

5.5.50

Edun. 85483/50-1
1.5.50.



Fin. No. 31772 EA-1
3.5.50



Education and Public Health Department
B 1 section.

7.9.51/49 Subsidies - Buildings - District Board Coimbatore - Construc-
tion of buildings for certain secondary schools - Application
for 1950-51.

From the D.P.I. R.C.No 2427 G.4/49 dated 11-10-1949

The question of sanctioning subsidies to the Dt
Bd Coimbatore, towards the cost of construction of buildings
for the undermentioned secondary schools has to be considered
in connection with the budget estimates for 1950-51:-

Description of the scheme,	Est. Cost Rs	Max-Subsidy admissible Rs	Amount to be met by the Dt Bd excluding donation Rs
1. Board High school, Kollegal - Construction of a building	324,000	35,000	239,000
2. Board High school, Palladam - Construction of a building	97,000	35,000	37,000
3. Board High school, Dharapuram - Additional building	10,800	5,400	5,400

All the three institutions are intended for boys. Subsidies
can be sanctioned towards the cost of construction of
buildings for these schools only if a case is made out that
a grant is essential i.e the district board is not in a
position to meet the entire cost from its own funds. Attention
is solicited to the remarks of the L.A. Department on the
application for a subsidy towards the cost of construction
of a building for the Board high school, Palladam, that it
will be necessary ~~for the Dt Bd~~ to sanction any subsidy
admissible towards the cost of the work as ~~it~~ cannot meet
the entire cost from its own funds. The same will hold
good in respect of ~~item~~ 1 which is a more costly scheme.

The Dt Bd has been permitted to proceed with the construction
of a building for the Board high school, Kollegal, pending
decision on the question of sanctioning subsidies. In the
circumstances the question of sanctioning a subsidy of Rs
70,000 to the Dt Bd Coimbatore towards the cost of the first

not for 2.

two works may be considered in connection with the budget estimates for 1950-51 as a Part II scheme. The third work is a small work and the Dt Bd may be expected to carry it out from its own funds.

If this is not possible it may renew the application next year.

The D.P.I. has recommended the first two schemes only.

The ~~minutes of Finance~~ file may be sent to Finance for remarks through the L.A. Dept. - the L.A. Dept may be requested to note on the present financial position of the Dt Bd and pass on the file to Finance very urgently

12-10-49 15-10-49

L.A. Dept. (D)

Revised w.r.t. the actual closing balance of the Coimbatore Dt. Bd. for 1948-49, the B.E. of the general fund account of the Dt. Bd. will close with a surplus of Rs 9,29,081. On the basis of the B.E. the revenue account will work at a surplus of Rs 40,900.

The Dt. Bd's E.E. fund budget for 1949-50 closes with a deficit of Rs 5.85 lakhs. A portion of the Dt. Bd's surplus under the general account ~~has to be assigned to the E.E. fund to meet the deficit in the E.E. fund~~ should be devoted to meet off the deficit. The balance in the general fund will be Rs 3,44 Lakhs.

So it will be better to sanction to the Dt. Bd. any Govt. Grant which is admissible for the first two works in question.

As regards the third work, the Dt. Bd. may perhaps be asked to renew the application next year.

25/10/49

As the dt bd's

The application was received late - vide D.P.I.'s remarks

27/11/49
Edn no. 795156/119-1
17.10.49

45087

45203

Rs 18,83,707

at 2/3-5 NF

(vide G.O. No. 106 Edn 8.4.49)

Rs 3,44 Lakhs.

11,04,500

7,79,207

1,49,874

9,29,081

25/10/49



Ely Edu Fund is working at a deficit of Rs 6 lakhs a year and as ~~the~~ any small surplus in the Genl Acct shd be applied to meet part of the deficit in the Ely Edu Fund, it is necessary to sanction the grants admissible for the works in items 1 and 2 on p.1 above.

25/10/49 Secy

L.A.U.O. 45208-49-12

26 x 48

Part II scheme for 1950-51.

Building grant to the Dt. Bd., Coimbatore - Provision of Rs.70,000/-

E. & P.H. propose that a provision of Rs.70,000/- may be made in Part II of the Budget for 1950-51 for building grants to the Dt. Bd., Coimbatore towards the cost of the first two works mentioned on p.1 above. The proposal does not satisfy the criteria laid down for consideration of part II schemes for 1950-51. The financial stringency has become ~~more~~ ^{very} acute and the need for all round economy in expenditure is pressing. Fin. may therefore suggest that the proposal to provide a sum of Rs.70,000/- in the next year's budget for building grants to the Dt. Bd., Coimbatore may be deferred.

With reference to the condition ^{mentioned} referred to at 'A' on p.1 above regarding the payment of subsidies for the construction of buildings for secondary schools for

boys, it may be observed from the note of the L.A. Dept. on pp. 2-3 above, that the financial position of the Coimbatore Dt. Bd. is not bad. It is for the Dt. Bd. to devise ^{special means} ~~some means~~ for raising the funds required for meeting the expenditure on these schemes without a Govt. subsidy.

ms
2.11.49

ms
3.11.49

14.11.49 E.P.H.

ms
3.11.49

Sin 70907 BEL-1
5-11-49.

ETPH (M)

Please see the Finance Dept's note above. ~~As already stated in this Dept's note on page 1 ante,~~ It is necessary to consider the question of giving a subsidy of Rs 35,000 ~~at least~~ towards the cost of construction of a building for the Board High School, Kollegal, at least as a Part II scheme for OSD-51 as the Dt. Bd. has been permitted to proceed with the construction pending a decision on the question of granting a subsidy. ^{Not on page 1 ante} instead of for schemes 1 & 2 as originally proposed.



ms
10/11/49
16-7-49

p.1 ante


The file may be circulated to Mr (Enk) & Mr (Fin) for orders.

ms
6/11/49

ms
7-11-49

S. M. C. E. P. H.
(Fin)

The question is whether we should give a subsidy of Rs 35,000/- for a work estimated to cost 324,000/- The rule in respect of subsidies to local bodies is that they are unable to bear the expenditure. In the case of the Coimbatore Board, the account will close at 3.44 lakhs surplus. There is therefore no strong urgency



ms
8/11

for the subsidy. If the Board wants an imposing structure costing 3.24 lakhs, it should pay for it

12.11.49 (5+4) 11/11
+ 11 (Fin) 11/11
9.11

A draft order is submitted below for approval

ms
10/11/49

ms
11-11-49

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..



... ..

... ..

...

...

...