

E & P. H

(1949) Department.

29

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. Ms. 3258 Edn.

closed

✓

Dated 25-10-49.

Supdt.

✓

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant - Buildings - Secondary Schools under private managements -
Inclusion of certain schemes in the list of approved works -
Sanctioned.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of the letter from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras C.699-D2/48 dated 17th January 1949 to the Secretary to Govt., Education and Public Health Department.

Sub: Grant - Building - Construction before inclusion of the scheme - Grant Requested.

Due to urgent need for accommodation and due to the ban on entertainment of building grant applications, some of the management applied for approval of the plans and constructed the school buildings. Now that Government are favourably inclined to admit building grant applications, the management are requesting for entertainment of the schemes for purposes of aid. I submit herewith a short note on the several schemes of the above nature for favourable consideration of Government. Grant may be based on the valuation of the building by the Public Works Department.

Saraswathi Vidyalaya High School, Ganguli, South Kanara.:

The management of Saraswathi Vidyalaya High School, Ganguli applied for approval of plan under Rule 19 M.E.R. It consists of an assembly hall, a library room and two small rooms for scout and cub class. The plan was approved in April 47. It is now reported that the foundations for the above construction were completed and that the building was raised upto its plinth area. Due to financial difficulties on account of increasing deficits and expenditure on equipment, the management could not proceed with the construction since June 47 to complete the above work. If the above building scheme is completed, the library reading room and also three other classes, which are held in temporary sheds can be located in the Assembly hall. The estimated cost of the above construction is reported to be Rs.30,000/-.

2. Navajivan High School, Perdale, South Kanara.

The management of Navajivana High School started the construction of the school building in anticipation of approval of plan. The plan was subsequently approved. The construction is reported to be progressing slowly and that an amount of Rs.21,365/- had been ~~exp~~ spent on the scheme. The engineer in charge certifies that the estimate of work yet to be executed is Rs.29,510/-. As the management have spent a large sum on the construction, the Dt. Educational Officer recommends aid atleast for the expenditure in completing the rest of the scheme.

3. Union High School, Coimbatore:

As the management could not wait till the ban on the entertainment of building grant schemes for Boys Schools is removed and as there was urgent need for additional accommodation the Correspondent applied for permission to erect the "Halls" without claiming grant. Hence the plan of the proposed extension was approved, subject to the condition that no grant is claimed towards the construction.

The entire scheme is estimated to cost Rs.50000/- undertaken in three stages. Due to the ban on the entertainment of building grant applications for boys schools and due to the urgency for additional accommodation the construction was started. The application for aid is towards a part of the first stage of the scheme estimated to cost about Rs.22,500/-.

This part of the scheme consists of 4 halls and is reported to have been almost completed. The Correspondent requests for aid towards this part of the scheme nearing completion.

4. Canara High School, Urva Branch:

The plan of the school building was approved under Rule 19 M.E.R. As the work was in progress the management applied for aid. With reference to Rule 52(1) of the Grant-in-Aid Code, as the construction was started without the scheme being included in the list of approved works, the scheme could not be

not be admitted for aid.

5. Mukkulathur Middle School, Tiruvarambur:

The plan of the building for the above school was approved on condition that the institution should not expect any grant for the construction from provincial funds. Hence when the management applied for aid, the papers were recorded.

6. St. Joseph's Girls High School, Nellore:

Due to the ban on the entertainment of building grant application and due to the urgency, the management of the above school started the construction even before the scheme would be placed on the approved list for purposes of aid. The management withdrew the request for aid and wanted approval of plan. Approval of plan was accorded. In the circumstances the subsequent request of the Correspondent for aid towards the building already completed, was rejected. The management are reported to have spent Rs.25,000/- on the construction. Due to financial liabilities, the Correspondent requests for the sanction of grant towards the above construction. Attention is in this connection invited to my letter Rc.10721-D2/46, dated 30-5-47 on Govt. Endorsement 31343/47-B1-1 Edn. dated 2-5-47.

7. Vidyadayini High School, Surathkal:

Due to the urgent need for additional accommodation the management of Vidyadayini High School, Surathkal completed a part of the scheme for the construction of a block of 5 rooms. Only the basement is reported to have been laid at a cost of Rs.1500/- and that the work is at a standstill. The estimated cost of the entire scheme is reported to be Rs.30,000/- The Correspondent requests that the irregularities may be condoned and the scheme admitted for aid.

/True copy/

Ustilago aswami

Copy of the Telephonic Message (by post) dated 7th May 1949
from the Assistant Secretary to Government, Education and Public
Health Department to the Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

Ref: Your letter C.699-D2/48 dated 17-1-49 regarding Inclusion in the list of approved works of the seven schemes for construction of buildings for aided secondary schools which have already been commenced.

Please furnish very urgently, the estimated cost of construction of building for the Canara High School, Urva Branch and Mukkulathur Middle School, Tiruvarambur as it has not been reported in the Director's letter cited above. It is presumed that in the case of the Navajivan High School, Perdale, South Kanara, the grant is to be limited to one-half of the estimated cost of completion of the building already constructed viz. Rs.29,510 and not towards the entire cost of Rs.50,875. Please say whether the presumption is correct.

/True copy/

128 K. K. Chaudhary

Post Copy of Telephonic Message dated 17-5-49.

Re No 200 D2/49

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

Ref:-- Your Post copy of T.M. dated 7-5-1949 regarding inclusion in the list of approved works of the building schemes which have already been commenced.

The estimated cost of the following two schemes as
by the management is:--

Canara High School (Urva Branch)	Rs. 70,000/-
Mukkathathur Middle school, Tiruverambur.	Rs. 20,000/-

2. Though the cost of completion of Navajivan High school, Pardale is estimated at Rs. 29,510/-; yet the grant may be based on the entire cost of Rs. 50,875/- as in other cases. It may, however be noted that the estimates were not scrutinised and the schemes were also not entrusted to tenderers even though the cost exceeded Rs. 10,000/-.

3. Hence as stated in para 1 of my letter C 699 D2/48 dated 17-1-49, the grant may be based on the valuation by the P.W.D. after the completion of the building.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

ARB : 17-5



The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

Ref: Your post copy of T.M. dated 7-8-1949 regarding

the estimated cost of the following works:

1. Construction of a new school building at

2. Construction of a new school building at

3. Construction of a new school building at

4. Construction of a new school building at

5. Construction of a new school building at

6. Construction of a new school building at

7. Construction of a new school building at

8. Construction of a new school building at

9. Construction of a new school building at

10. Construction of a new school building at

11. Construction of a new school building at

12. Construction of a new school building at

13. Construction of a new school building at

14. Construction of a new school building at

15. Construction of a new school building at

16. Construction of a new school building at

17. Construction of a new school building at

18. Construction of a new school building at

19. Construction of a new school building at

20. Construction of a new school building at

21. Construction of a new school building at

22. Construction of a new school building at

23. Construction of a new school building at

24. Construction of a new school building at

25. Construction of a new school building at

26. Construction of a new school building at

27. Construction of a new school building at



SIPN (BT)

Grants - Buildings - Secondary Schools under
private managements - Works commenced before
inclusion in the list of approved works - Admission
to aid

From the DP D C.No. 699 D2/48 of 17.2.49

Memo No. 36796 B1/49-2 Edm. dated 31.5.49.

The DP D is requested to state urgently
whether there are other schemes for the construction of
buildings for secondary schools under private
managements besides those mentioned in his letter
dated above which have been taken up for execution
by the managements concerned before approval and
inclusion in the list of approved works and for
which grants have been applied for and if so to
specify those schemes (furnish a list of those schemes)

28/5/49

30/5/49

To
The DP D

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side. Some words like "The D.P.G." and "21/7" are visible.]

[Handwritten notes and signatures on the left page of the right-hand sheet. Includes "94/217" and "21/7".]

1/ (41)

E & P.H. (B1).

Grants - Buildings - Secondary schools under private managements - Works commenced before inclusion in the list of approved works - Admission to aid

—

Memo No 36796 B, /49-3 Edn. d/2-7-49

—

The attention of the D.P.G is invited to ~~last~~ Memo No. 36796 B, /49-2 Edn. dated 31-5-49 on the above subject and he is requested to expedite his reply.

[Signature]
 29/6/49
 36796 B

10 in that it is situated in a backward area.
 upon deserving cases. They have also applied for
 grants (as soon) to complete the building with
 the aid of Govt. ~~the interest~~ which, I know,
 they are not in a position to complete it. Please
 let me know if you have attended to this
 already and when the matter ~~is~~ ^{is} decided. I know
 Mr. M. M. Memon is now in charge of
 this portfolio. As you know the circumstances
 of this case very well, you can also enlighten
 him on this and so, that such a backward
 area does not get any help.


Thanking you with kind regards
 Yours affly
D. Srinivasan

R.C.No.200 D2/49

Office of the
 Director of Public Instruction
 Madras, dated, 6th July 1949.

From
 The Director of Public Instruction,
 Madras.

To
 The Secretary to Government
 Education and Public Health Department
 Fort St. George, Madras.



Sir,
 Subject:- GRANT -- buildings -- Aided Secondary Schools --
 Work commenced before inclusion of the Scheme.

Reference:- 1. Govt. Memo.No.36896 B1/49-2 Edn., dated
 31-5-49.

With reference to the Government Memo cited above, I
 submit that the Scheme detailed below is the only Scheme for the
 construction of buildings besides those mentioned in my letter
 Rc.No.699 D2/48 dated 17-1-1949 which was taken up for execution
 by the management before inclusion in the list of approved works.

Name of the Institution:- Gandhi Memorial High School,
 Nandikotkur, Kurnool District.

Scheme:- Construction of school building.

Estimated cost: Rs.84,000/-

P.T.O.

12
Office of the
Director of Public Instruction
Madras, dated, 6th July 1949.

FROM
The Director of Public Instruction,
M. A. R. S.

To
The Secretary to Government
Education and Public Health Department
Fort St. George, Madras.

Subject: -- Buildings -- Aided Secondary Schools
Work commenced before inclusion of the Scheme.

Reference: -- Govt. Memo. No. 3686 dated 21-5-49.
31-5-49.

With reference to the Government Memo cited above, I
submit that the Scheme detailed below is the only Scheme for the
construction of buildings besides those mentioned in my letter
No. 1009 D2/48 dated 17-1-49 which was taken up for execution
by the management before inclusion in the list of approved works.

Name of the Institution: --
Gandhi Memorial High School,
Mandikotkur, Karimnagar District.

Construction of school building.

Estimated cost: Rs. 24,000/-

Estimated cost:

M. A. R. S. No. 200 D2/49

value of work executed: -

Rs. 42,452/-

value of work yet to be completed: -

Rs. 41,548/-

2. It is stated that due to the extreme urgency
of the work, the management was compelled to proceed with the
work before fulfilling all the conditions laid down in the
Grant-in-aid Code. The following irregularities have to be
condoned.

- (i) The work was started before the inclusion
of the scheme in the list of approved works.
- (ii) Plans and estimates were not scrutinised by the
Joint Consulting Architect to Government, and
- (iii) Tenders were not called for and opened in the
presence of the inspecting officer.

3. As the school serves the educational needs of one
or the most backward areas of this province, it is essential that
it should receive some special treatment and encouragement at the
hands of Government. The financial position of the school is also
such that it cannot meet the entire cost.

Yours faithfully

Director of Public Instruction.

SJ/7/7.

With reference to the minutes of H.M. (Fin) at
 plants, it is submitted that the question of including
 the scheme for the construction of a building for the
 S.V. High School at Gauguli is already under
 consideration. This may be added to the
 main file on the subject on its return from
 Finance. (The file will be cd to H.M. (E&L) and
 H.M. (Fin) on its return from Finance).

Sd/
 12/7/49.
 12.7.49

13/7/49

U. S. Mallik

B1

SLP #17

17, Puroshah Road

New Delhi

24-6-49.

(10)

My dear Mr. Mallik

Whatisthis

B. K. M.

(21)

15 JUL 1949

informed that The Sarabhai
 Dnyanesh High School, Gauguli, South Kanara,
 had applied for building grant last year to
 complete the construction of their Hall cum Library
 and Class room Building, after the embargo on
 building grant was lifted. At a time when the
 embargo on building grant was in force, they
 had laid the foundation for this building
 after getting the necessary approval from the
 Educational Dept., and had stopped further
 construction as they were short of funds. The
 High School is situated in the most backward
 and poor area of our District and deserves
 special consideration, as without the grant, they
 would not be able to complete the building.
 The population thereat mostly comprises of
 labourers and fishermen. But the management
 has been striving its best to give a very good
 account of itself and this institution, which, I
 learn, is testified by the Educational Authorities.

18
Therefore, I feel that the good work that they have done so far in such an area should not be allowed to lapse and must be encouraged. It should be possible, under the circumstances, to see that such an institution is aided by Briting grants which it so badly needs, as at present, many of their classes & to the Bous are housed in thatched temporary sheds to withstand a rain fall of 150 inches every year which is not desirable. You will please call for the cancelled papers, and see, what has been done or what can be done.

I also learn that this same school had been sanctioned a grant of Rs 1200/- for buying some adjoining area for playground and though, they completed the papers and sent them before 31.3.49, as required, nothing came out of this. Please see what it has stopped.

Mr. A. B. Shetty is fully aware of all this being his own constituency and I hope such a deserving case will not fail to get justice at your hands. With kind regards
Yours sincerely
S. S. Srinivasan

MEMORANDUM FOR EDUCATION
JUL 1949
The Management of the Canara High School, Mangalore started a High School at Urva with the permission of the Government and in 1944. The Municipality of Mangalore recognized the need for a High School in this rapidly growing part of Mangalore by relinquishing in 1945 about 1½ acre of land vested in it by Government for house-site, with a request to Government that it may be assigned to the school. Under the directions of Government about 1½ acres more were subsequently relinquished in 1947.

On this plot, the Management is building a pucca laterite building with ferro concrete floors, on a design which has been approved by Government. The foundation stone was laid by Dr. Savor, the then Director of Public Instruction. Even the accommodation may not be enough to house the growing strength of the school, which has risen from 144 in 1944 to 631 in the present year.

Even the buildings so far built may cost rupees one and a half lacs. The donations which the school authorities have been able to secure for the Building are in the neighbourhood of Rs 75000/- The heavy borrowing which the School Council as had to resort to, to meet the building expenses has heavily strained the sources of the Management. More than once we applied for a building grant in the past, but we were told that there were no Funds. Recently we have received a communication in which are informed that the Government will condone the case of constructions which have been constructed before the sanction of the grants, and we have applied



for condonation in communication dated 28-6-'49. As we

have already stated the school has been built in a part of Mangalore where there was no High School for boys before.

It serves the backward Hindu Communities like fishermen and Billavas, and has brought the benefits of Secondary Education

to all the villages near Mangalore lying the South of the Gurpur River, which were not before this, served even by an

incomplete Secondary School for boys. The way in which the

strength of the school has grown during the last five years,

in spite of admissions having had to be restricted for want of space, shows what a great need there was in the locality

for a boys' Secondary School.

We pray that under the circumstances the Government may

be pleased to sanction a building grant to cover at least a moiety of the expenditure incurred on the building of the

Canara High School, Urva, Mangalore.

Canara High School,)
Urva, 13th July, 1949.) Correspondent.

Correspondent.

Correspondent.

Handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom right corner.

E & P. H. (B.)

The question of including the scheme for the construction of a building for the Canara High School, Urra is already under consideration. This may be

added to the ^{main} file on the subject. H.M.(E&L) wants that the matter should be examined.

18/7/49
USK
19.7.49

20/7/49

Main file
Submitted
Separately
on 16/5/49

With reference to the minutes of H.M. (E&L) at p. ante it is submitted that the question of including the schemes for the construction of buildings for certain schools, (where the construction ^{of buildings} was commenced before obtaining the permission of the D.P.G.) in the list of approved works is under consideration.

Separate file

The scheme for the construction of a building for the Canara High School, Urra is one of the schemes proposed to be included in the list. It was suggested ^{in that file} that prior to issue of orders in this regard, certain principles should be laid down for the purpose of sanctioning grants to construction of buildings for secondary schools. The ~~understanding~~ being

Separate file

cora. It is proposed to consult the D.P.G. in the matter and orders re: the inclusion of these schemes in the list of approved works will be issued ^{only after} ~~once the~~ general principles ~~are~~ ^{to be adopted for inclusion are decided on} presented. In these circumstances no separate action seems called for on the petition.

May be added to the main file after perusal by H.M.(E&L)
~~Plan for up to main file~~

18/7/49
USK
22.7.49

25/7/49

(M)

E & P. H. (B.1)

Grants - Buildings - Secondary Schools under private managements - Inclusion in the list of approved works. Particulars to be furnished in the Consolidated list to be submitted to Govt for selection. Letter from the D.P.G., R.C No 200 8-2/49 of 6-7-49

p 114

Memo No. 36796 B, 1/49 - 5 Edn d/2-8-49

2/8/49

In the consolidated list of schemes for the construction of new buildings for secondary schools under private managements or for additions & alterations to existing buildings submitted to Govt for selection for inclusion in the list of approved works, information is now furnished by the D.P.G. on the following points:-

- (1) Name of the Institution.
- (2) Nature of the Scheme.
- (3) Approximate cost at the time of applying for aid.
- (4) Cost recommended by the J.C.A, if sanctioned.
- (5) Whether the institution was in receipt of building grant or not in previous years and if it has been aided, the amount of assistance received from Govt.
- (6) Remarks

In view of the large number of applications that are likely to be received in future, it is considered that the selection for inclusion in the list of approved works should be made on ^{the following} definite principles. The D.P.G. is therefore requested to furnish information in respect of the following additional points:-

pages 9-10

- (1) whether the area in which the school is located is educationally backward;
- (2) whether the management has funds to meet its share of the cost of the scheme, whether

endorsements or donations have been made by the public for the construction of the building & also particulars of such public benefactions;

(3) whether the proposed scheme for the construction of buildings or alterations & improvements are based upon enrolment & are essential to meet the departmental requirements;

(4) Standard of efficiency as judged by the results of the public examination; and

(5) The number of high schools in the taluk which have no suitable buildings or which have not got sufficient accommodation and whether the school for which an application for aid has been made, is the most deficient in that respect.

requested to give his remarks on these principles and if he agrees he is

the D.P.I. is requested to submit a fresh consolidated list of schemes furnishing information on all the points referred to above including those which have already been recommended for inclusion in the list of approved works, but which have not so far been selected.


18/8/49

D.P.I. may also consider if it will be possible to place the schools in the order of priority for grants, on the basis of the above criteria

$\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{8}$

To
The D.P.I.
Desam
26/8

Post copy of Telephonic Message dated 26-8-49.
Rc. No. 200 D2/49.



From The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras

To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Sub: Government Memo. No. 36796 Education dated 2-8-49 regarding grant Building consolidated list-particulars.

This office reference cited in the above Memo relates to the building schemes commenced before inclusion in the list of approved works.

2. Kindly let me know therefore (i) whether the several schemes mentioned in my letters C. 699 D2/48 dated 17-1-49 and Rc. 200 D2/49 dated 6-8-49 will have to be included in the list for the quarter ending 30-9-49 for the consideration of Government. (ii) whether the particulars now called for, should be furnished only in respect of the schemes mentioned in my letters cited in item (i) above and (iii) whether the particulars now called for, should be furnished in respect of all the schemes submitted in the consolidated list which have not yet been selected.

M. K. K. 26-8
For Director of Public Instruction.

K.K.26-8.

26/8
26/8

M. J. SARGUNAM, M. A., L. T.,
PRINCIPAL
AND CORRESPONDENT.

UNION HIGH SCHOOL
COIMBATORE.

29th August, 1949.

Personal.
SEP 1949

Dear Mr. Roche Victoria,

I was sorry that, during your last hurried visit, I was not able to meet you. I would like you to interest yourself regarding an application for Building Grant which has been long pending. While several applications have already been dealt with and grants paid, our application has been delayed on the ground that the construction was started before the sanction was received. However, I understand it is receiving due consideration in the hands of the Government. I have for a long time been hoping to place this matter in your hands, and if I hesitated to write to you it was because you have assumed a very difficult portfolio, and I did not want to add to your burdens. Kindly speak to your colleague, the honourable Minister for Education on behalf of this School.

A personal matter. If convenient, point out to the Hon'ble Mr. K. Madhava Menon that I had been on the very first Provincial Board of Education, but left out when it was re-constituted. I should be happy to serve on it if and when a vacancy arose.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Mr.
The Hon'ble Roche Victoria, M.L.C.,
Minister for Food and Fisheries,
Fort St. George, Madras.

with him together

THE NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY
ASTOR LENOX TILDEN FOUNDATION
100 N. 5TH ST. NEW YORK 17, N.Y.

16

Grants - Buildings - Secondary Schools under private managements - Inclusion in the list of approved works - Particulars to be furnished in the consolidated list to be submitted to Govt.

Ref:- Govt. Memo No. 36796 B, / 49-5 Edn d/2-8-49.

From the D.P.G. R.C.M. 200 B2/49 (P.C. of T.M) d/26-8-49

Memo No 36796 B, /49-6 Edn dated 12.9.49

The D.P.G. is informed that the ^{additional} particulars called for in the memo cited above are required in respect of all the building schemes ^{included} ~~submitted~~ in his consolidated list but ^{which have not yet been} ~~not yet~~ selected by Govt.

2.

29

for inclusion in the list of approved works. He is requested to submit the revised list immediately with the information ~~required immediately~~ already available in his office without a further reference being made to the subordinate officers.

10/9/49
11-9-49

11/9/49

p13 nf

To
The D.P.I.
Dept.
11/9/49

30

EDN & P.H. DEPT. (B1)

Grant - Buildings - Secondary schools under private managements - Inclusion of certain schemes in the list of approved works - Sanctioned.

From the D.P.I., C.No.699-D2/48 dated 17-1-49.

ORDER (MS) NO. 3258 EDN. DATED 26-10-49

D.P. at once
in section.
29/10/49
keep clean O.C for file

The Govt. sanction the inclusion in the list of approved works, as a special case, of the schemes for the construction of buildings for aided secondary schools specified in the annexure to this order. While this approval does not convey any guarantee of grant it will render the institutions eligible for a grant up to one-half of the ^{cost of} construction not exceeding Rs.35,000, under the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code if and when funds become available provided all the conditions prescribed in the Code are duly complied with.

2. The action of the managements in having commenced the construction of buildings before the plans and estimates were approved by the Joint Consulting Architect and the schemes were placed on the list of approved works ^{and without consulting the tenders} was irregular. In the circumstances explained, the irregularity will be condoned and the schemes will be admitted to aid. The grant will be based upon the valuation of the buildings by the local Public Works Department.

17-7-49

Y. S. S. S.

3. Building grants must be fairly distributed as already noted in Memo No 27236-B-1-47-17 E.O. 1574/48 among the districts and ~~in~~ backward districts may be shown some partiality. The D.P.I. is requested to look to this aspect when recommending schemes for inclusion in the list of approved works in future.

15/11/49

To

The Director of
Public Instruction,
Madras.

25/10/49

23/10/49

24-10-49

24/4

ANNEXURE.

District.	Description of scheme	Estimated cost. Rs.	Grant admissi- ble. Rs.
South Kanara.	(1) Saraswathi Vidyalaya High school, Ganguli - Construction of an Assembly hall and 3 rooms.	30,000	15,000
	(2) Navajivan High School, Perdale - Construction of school building.	50,875	25,438
	(3) Canara High School (Urwa Branch), Mangalore - Construction of school buildings.	70,000	35,000
	(4) Vidyadayini High School, Surathkal - Construction of a block of 5 rooms.	30,000	15,000
Coimbatore.	(5) Union High School, Coimbatore - Construction of four halls to provide additional accommodation.	22,500	11,250
Tiruchirapalli.	(6) Mukkalathur Middle School, Tiruvarambur - Construction of building.	20,000	10,000
Nellore.	(7) St. Joseph's Girls' High School - Construction of building.	25,000	12,500
Kurnool	(8) Gandhi Memorial School Nandikotkur - (Kurnool) Construction of a building	84,000	35,000
			<u>159,188</u>

32
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Education and Public Health Department
G.O.(MS) NO. 3258 Education, dated 25th October 1949.

Abstract

Grant - Buildings - Secondary schools under private manage-
ments - Inclusion of certain schemes in the list of approved
works - Sanctioned.

Read the following:-

From the Director of Public Instruction,
G.No. 699-D2/48 dated 17-1-49 and 17-5-49 +
R.c.No.200 D2/49 dated 6-7-49.

ORDER.

The Government sanction the inclusion in the list of approved works, as a special case, of the schemes for the construction of buildings for aided secondary schools specified in the annexure to this order. While this approval does not convey any guarantee of grant it will render the institutions eligible for a grant up to one-half of the cost of construction not exceeding Rs.35,000, under the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code if and when funds become available provided all the conditions prescribed in the Code are duly complied with.

2. The action of the managements in having commenced the construction of buildings before the plans and estimates were approved by the Joint Consulting Architect and the schemes were placed on the list of approved works and without entrusting to tenderers was irregular. In the circumstances explained, the irregularity will be condoned and the schemes will be admitted to aid. The grant will be based upon the valuation of the buildings by the local Public Works Department.

3. Building grants must be fairly distributed among the districts as already stated in the Memo No.27236-B1-47-17 Edn. dated 15-6-48 and back/districts may be shown some partiality. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to look to this aspect when recommending schemes for inclusion in the list of approved works in future.

P.T.O.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
 Education and Public Health Department
 G.O. (MS) NO. 3258 Education, dated 25th October 1949.
 Abstract
 Grant - Buildings - Secondary schools under private manage-
 ment - Inclusion of certain schemes in the list of approved
 works - Sanctioned.
 Read the following:-
 From the Director of Public Instruction,
 G.No. 699-D2/48 dated 17-1-49 and
 R.O. No. 200 D2/49 dated 6-7-49.

ORDER.
 The Government sanction the inclusion in the
 list of approved works, as a special case, of the schemes
 for the construction of buildings for aided secondary schools
 specified in the annexure to this order. While this approval
 does not convey any guarantee of grant it will render the
 institutions eligible for a grant up to one-half of the cost
 of construction not exceeding Rs. 35,000, under the provisions
 of the Grant-in-Aid Code II and when funds become available
 provided all the conditions prescribed in the Code are duly
 complied with.

2. The action of the management in having
 commenced the construction of buildings before the plans
 and estimates were approved by the Joint Consulting Architect
 and the schemes were placed on the list of approved works
 and without entrusting to tenders was irregular. In the
 circumstances explained, the irregularity will be condoned
 and the schemes will be admitted to aid. The grant will be
 based upon the valuation of the buildings by the local Public
 Works Department.

3. Building grants must be fairly distributed
 among the districts as already stated in the Memo No. 27236-NI-
 47-17 & Co. dated 12-6-48 and back districts may be shown some
 partiality. The Director of Public Instruction is requested
 to look to this aspect.

District.	Description of scheme.	Estimated cost. Rs.	Grant admissible. Rs.
South Kanara.	(1) Saraswathi Vidyalaya High School, Gangulim - Construction of an Assembly hall and 3 rooms.	30,000	15,000
	(2) Navajivan High School, Pardale - Construction of school building.	50,875	25,438
	(3) Canara High School (Urwa Branch), Mangalore Construction of school buildings.	70,000	35,000
	(4) Vidyadayini High School, Surathkal - Construction of a block of 5 rooms.	30,000	15,000
Coimbatore.	(5) Union High School, Coimbatore - Construc- tion of four halls to provide additional accommodation.	22,500	11,250
Tiruchirapalli.	(6) Mukkalathur Middle School, Tiruvarambur- Construction of building.	20,000	10,000
Nellore.	(7) St. Joseph's Girls' High School - Construc- tion of building.	25,000	12,500
Kurnool.	(8) Gandhi Memorial School, Nandikotkur- (Kurnool District) Construction of a building.	84,000	35,000
Total:		-----	1,59,188

xxx/Gn/

 (By order of His Excellency the Governor)
 J.M. LOBO PRABHU;
 Secretary to Government.
 To The Director of Public Instruction.
 FORWARDED I BY ORDER
 K. S. Subramanian
 SUPERINTENDENT.
 159188
 29750
 188938

Particulars	Estimated cost	Actual cost
(1) Saraswathi Vidyalaya High School, Bangalore - Construction of an assembly hall and 3 rooms.	30,000	12,000
(2) Navajivan High School, Baroda - Construction of school building.	50,825	22,438
(3) Canara High School (Urwa Branch), Mangalore - Construction of school buildings.	70,000	32,000
(4) Vidyaadhvani High School, Surat - Construction of a block of 2 rooms.	30,000	12,000
(5) Union High School, Coimbatore - Construction of four halls to provide additional accommodation.	22,500	11,250
(6) Mahatma Jyoti Bapu Memorial School, Tiruvannamalai - Construction of building.	30,000	10,000
(7) St. Joseph's Girls' High School - Construction of building.	22,000	12,500
(8) Gandhi Memorial School, Mandikotkur (Kannur District) - Construction of a building.	84,000	32,000
Total:	3,29,188	1,29,188

By order of His Excellency the Governor,
 J.M. LING PAHNU,
 Secretary to Government.
 To The Director of Public Instruction.
 Forwarded by G.M.

888
 SUP. INSTRUCTION

From
 The Director of Public Instruction,
 Madras.
 To
 The Secretary to Government,
 Education Department, Madras.

R.C.No. 62 D2/48 dated 16/5/50.

Sir,
 Sub: Grant Building - secondary schools - Schemes commenced before approval condonation of irregularity.

1. G. O. Ms. No. 3258 Edn dated 25/10/49.
 2. G. O. Ms. No. 1376 Edn dated 28/4/50.
 3. My letter Rs.62 D2/48 dated 11/11/49.

Advance grants have been sanctioned for the 8 schemes, the inclusion of which in the list of approved works was sanctioned in G.O..Ms. No. 3258 Edn. dated 25/10/48.

2/- With reference to para 1 of my letter R.C. 62 D2/48 dated 11/11/49 disposed of in G. O. Ms. 86 Edn dated 11/1/50, I request the formal orders of Government condoning the irregular action of the managements concerned.

para 1 on
 p13 of (W) in
 Top file

(a) mbe

Yours faithfully,
 [Signature]

FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

C.R. 16/5





From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department,
R.O. No. 62 D2/48 d/16/50.

Sub: Grant Building - secondary schools - schemes commenced before approval of Government of Madras.

1. G.O. Ms. No. 3258 Edn d/25/10/49.
2. G.O. Ms. No. 1376 Edn d/28/4/50.
3. My letter No. 62 D2/48 dated 11/11/49.

Advance grants have been sanctioned for the 8 schemes, the

inclusion of which in the list of approved works was sanctioned in

G.O. Ms. No. 3258 Edn d/25/10/49.

2/- With reference to para 1 of my letter No. 62 D2/48 dated 11/11/49

As disposed of in G.O. Ms. No. 80 Edn dated 11/11/50, I request the formal

orders of Government condoning the irregular sanction of the management

concerned.

Edn(B)

G.O. No. 1376 Edn d/28.4.50 required for the

disposal of this current is put up in a file under circulation.

This may lie over till the return of that file from on

or till 24-5-50.

19/5/50
25/5/50

22/5/50

26/5/50

6/5/50

H 32-33 of (F)
p14

27/5/50
H 32-33 of (F)

35

(N)

Edn(B)

Grants - Buildings - Secondary Schools under private
managements - Commencement of construction before
approval - Irregularity condoned.

G.O. Ms. No. 3258 Edn. d/25-10-49
From the D.P.G. Re. No. 62 D2/48 d/16-5-50

Memo No 11941 B/50-1 Edn d/8-7-50.

In G.O. Ms. No. 3258 Edn d/25-10-49, the
Govt. sanctioned the inclusion in the list of approved
works, as a special, case, of the schemes for the construction
of buildings for certain aided secondary schools,
the managements of which had irregularly commenced
the construction of the buildings before the plans and
estimates were approved by the J.C.A and the schemes
were placed on the approved list and without

[P.T.O]

36
In the circumstances stated the irregularity is condoned.

8.7.50

To
The D.P.I.

H 32-33 of of ⑤

H 16-19 of of ⑤
in top file.

pl of

8.7.50

8.7.50

We do not know how many more cases like this there are likely to be. It may be impossible to accommodate all of them and invidious to make a choice. I think it is better definitely to reject this type of cases.

.. may
H.M. kindly go through D.P.I.'s list of other cases and the tabulation at 'K' and 'I' and pass orders.

(Revised) M.V.S.

Fin.U.O.13721 EA-1
1.1-3-49.

Sub:- Grants - Building - Inclusion of certain schemes works.

Extracts from the notes connected with G.O.No.1443 Edn. dated 9-5-1949.

(2)

3 The D.P.I. has not submitted any schemes relating to Secondary Grade Training schools.

4. The Director has specially recommended for consideration seven building schemes which have already been executed even before their inclusion in the approved list. The managements of these institutions are reported to have applied for inclusion in the approved list now. On account of the pressing need for accommodation they have executed the works even before their approval and inclusion in the approved list. The D.P.I. has suggested that in view of the urgent need for buildings the schemes may be considered for inclusion in the approved list as a special case. If this is done the assessment of the grant will be made with reference to the valuation of the buildings by the P.W.D.

The file may be circulated to H.M.(Edn.) for orders.

V.R.
10-2-49.

M.V.S.
11-2-49.

T.S.A.
26-3-49.

FINANCE (Exp-A)

The D.P.I. has submitted for the consideration of the Govt. seven schemes in respect of which the buildings had been constructed before inclusion in the list for the purpose of aid. Finance may agree with the views of E & P.H. Secretary in regard to the inclusion of the seven new building schemes (already completed) referred to in para 3 & 4 of E & P.H. Dept's notes on pages 4-5 above.

P.T.O.

Edn & P.H. (B1) Dept.

x

x

x

The D.P.I. has recommended for

consideration certain schemes which have already been executed. The Finance Department have agreed with the views expressed by the Secretary in the margin of the notes in para 3 on pp. 4-5 ante that such cases may definitely be rejected.

6. The file may be circulated to H.M.(Edn.) for orders whether the suggestion of Finance may be accepted.

V.R.
15-3-49.

M.V.S.
19-3-49.

T.S.A.
23-3-49.

x

x

x

It is presumed H.M.(Edn.) has approved the suggestion made by the previous Secretary against para 4 of the note on 4-5 ante which has been agreed to by Fin. - Vide p.6 ante and also X on p.8 ante. H.M.(Edn.) may perhaps see the file.

V.R.
3-5-49.

J.M.L.
3-5-49.

I find that the seven institutions recommended by the D.P.I. for grants in his letter at pp. 23-25 c.f. are similar in all respects to the Devanga High School, Coimbatore, and the F.D. Trust High School, Malkipuram, which have been included in the approved list. These institutions started construction of the buildings after the plans were duly approved, as they were urgently required for running the institutions concerned. Some of the institutions recommended by the D.P.I. are in backward areas and their building works are at a standstill for want of funds. Government should take a sympathetic view of such cases and give them the much needed help. All the seven schemes referred to above may be admitted

P.T.O.

admitted for purposes of grant and included in the approved list.

A.B.S.

6-5-1949.

With reference to the minutes of H.M.(Edn.) above, the draft order already put up may be issued omitting para 3 and action may be taken separately for including in the list of approved works of the seven schemes specified in the letter from the D.P.I. on pp. 23-25 c.f. after ascertaining from the D.P.I., the estimated cost of some of the schemes for which information has not been furnished.

A draft T.M. is submitted below for approval.

V.R.
7-5-49.

J.M.L.
7-5-49.

[Issued as T.M. by post. on 7.5.49]

Further action in this regard may be taken on receipt of the reply from the D.P.I. to the T.M. at p.2 of. The reply may be awaited till 22.5.49.

13/5/49.
13.5.49

13/5/49

C.No. 38270 from the D.P.I. P.C. of T.M.

Re.No. 200 D2/49 d/17.5.49.

The D.P.I. has furnished the estimated cost of the building schemes for the Canara High School (Urva Branch) Mangalore and the Mukkala Thur

Middle

EA

Middle School, Tiruvarambur. The D.P.G has reported that the entire cost of construction of the building for the Navajivan High School Pardale has been estimated at Rs 50,875/- and that the grant may be based on the entire cost of construction and not merely on the cost of completion of the building scheme as originally proposed. The recommendation of the D.P.G. may be accepted and the grant may be assessed on the valuation of the building by the local P.W.D. A draft order is submitted below for approval.

2) The file may be sent to Fin U.O. for perusal of the minutes of H.M.(Edn) at pp 2-3 ante and for the acceptance of the draft order.

by
19/5/49.
19.5.49

23/5/49

Fin (Exp A)


Extracts of the Finance note on p1 above, the minute of H.M.Edn on pp 2-3 above, the note of E & PH on pp 3-4 above and the draft order may be perused.

~~As the inclusion of in the approved list of~~

E & P.H. U.O. No.

36796 B, 149.1 Edn.

23.5.49.



Some of the many grants...
They also...
from their funds.

3. Cf. change...
margin of...
p 1 above

extract of minute...
p 1 above
p 2 above

There is no case to admit such cases now


We do not know whether there are any other cases of this sort.

LA A B
26.5.49
27.5.49

It will be observed from the D.P.I's remarks on pp.1-2 C.F. that the seven building schemes specified on page 2 of the draft order were started, before the lifting of the ban on the grant of subsidies for ~~seven~~ secondary school buildings, without any provision of grant from the Government. The D.P.I. has also stated that the estimates were not scrutinized and the schemes were also not entrusted to tenderers. When it was originally proposed that those schemes might be included in the approved list, ^{the} E & P.H. ^{Secretary} remarked that ~~he~~ did not know how many more cases, like this there are likely to be, that it may be impossible to accommodate all of them and that it would be invidious to make a choice. He was therefore of the opinion that, it was better definitely to reject this type of cases. This Department agreed with the E & P.H. Secretary's view. The then H.M.(Edn.) also agreed to reject such cases.

Before issue of orders, E & P.H. circulated the file to the present H.M.(Edn.). His minutes are extracted at K on pp.2-3 above. The inclusion of these schemes in the approved list is likely to lead to similar requests which it will be difficult to refuse. ^{It is known} However, as the draft order is based on the minutes of the present H.M.(Edn.), E & P.H. may be requested to circulate the case to H.M.(Fin.), also before it is decided.

Orders of H.M. (Fin) may be taken whether grants-in-aid should be admitted in respect of ten school buildings. It is presumed that there are no other school buildings which have been started before inclusion in the approved list. This presumption may be confirmed before O.D.



Education and Public Health
Department (B)

Please see the remarks of the Finance Secretary on page 5 ante. The D.P. may be consulted whether there are any other schemes for the construction of buildings for secondary schools which have been started before inclusion in the list of approved ^{works} for which grants have now been applied for by the managements concerned.

A draft memo is submitted below for approval

USM
28.5.49

[Issued as Memo No. 36796 B, 1/49-2 Edn d/31.5.49] p 5 of

The reply from the Director to the memo on p 5 of has not yet been received. He may be reminded to expedite his reply. The draft reminder below is for approval

USM
29.6.49
29.6.49
29.6.49

[Issued as Memo No. 36796 B, 1/49-3 Edn d/2.7.49] p 7 of

C. No. 50261 from Sri U.S. Malliah d/24.6.49. M 9-10 of

Please see the letter from the Sri U.S. Malliah at pp 9-10 of. The question of inclusion of the schemes for the construction of buildings for the Saraswati Vidyalyaya High School, Ganguli and the Vidya dayini High School, Suratkal, is already under consideration. The Director has been requested to state whether there are other schemes for the construction of buildings for secondary

Item 1 on p 1 of.

Item 7 on p 2 of

p 5 of.

C. No. 36796 B, 1/49

D.P. forwarded on 2/7

P 7 of

schools, which have been taken up for execution without the prior approval of Govt. The Director's report is awaited. Presumably it will take some more time for the Director to furnish the information as it could not be furnished without reference to the subordinate officers. If it is considered that grants should be sanctioned to the two schools referred to in the letter on pp 9-10 of in the current year to enable the managements to proceed with the work, then the two schemes may perhaps be included in the note for the Cabinet in which it is proposed to apply for an adol. allotment (File under circulation separately). Orders of H.M. (Tr.) will have also be taken.

2. The file may be circulated to H.M. (Tr.) with reference to his minutes on page 9 of

USM
6.7.49

A above. It would seem proper to await the D.P.'s reply to the Memo on page 5 of and then proceed further in consultation with Finance.


7-7-49

S

K.M. (E+L)

I am inclined to agree with A above

K.M. (E+L)



M 11-13 of

C. No. 52481 from the D.P. R.C. No. 200 B, 1/49 d/6.7.49.

p 11 of.

The D.P. has reported that in addition to the seven schemes for the construction of buildings for secondary schools already specified by him, there

is only one more scheme viz construction of a building for the Gandhi Memorial School, Nandikotkur, Kurnool District. which has been started before obtaining the

approval of the Govt. The Director has recommended that the irregularity may be condoned in this case

also and the scheme may be included in the list of approved works. It is for consideration whether, in the circumstances stated, this scheme may also be selected for inclusion in the list of approved works.

2) The file may be sent to Fin. U.O. for remarks if any (with reference to their suggestion at p 5 ante). prior to circulation

11/7/49
11.7.49
11.7.49


The total admiss. the Govt. for these 8 schemes relating to the construction of buildings for Secy Schools. which have been started without obtaining the approval of Govt. amounts to Rs 159,188. It may take orders of Govt. for the amount of Rs 159,188. It may be arranged in respect of Govt. School buildings - vide Mr. Secy's remarks on p 5 ante.

13.7.49

12/7/49
E&P.H. U.O. No. 36796 P. 49-4 Edn. 12/7/49
13.7.49

EVON (20)

The case relates to the inclusion in the list of approved works & schemes for the construction of buildings for aided secondary schools which have been started by the managements concerned before they were placed in the list of approved works by the Govt. The circumstances in which this irregular procedure was adopted by the managements concerned are explained in the Director's letters on pp 1-2 & p 11 of the Director



12/7/49

E&P.H. U.O. No. 36796 P. 49-4 Edn. 12/7/49



5426
gum

has reported that the financial position of the managements are such that they cannot complete the works undertaken by them without aid.

If the schemes are now included in the list of approved works now, the question of providing funds in the budget estimate for 1950-51 for sanctioning grants will be considered as a Part II scheme for 1950-51. As pointed out by Finance, the maximum liability for payment of grants in respect of these eight schemes is Rs 1,59,200 (roundly). It will be sufficient to provide in the budget estimate for payment in part having regard to the progress anticipated in the execution of the work till the end of 1950-51.

2. Attention is solicited to the minutes of the previous M (Con) extracted on p 2 ante. The additional scheme ^{recommended by the D.P.D.} now proposed is the scheme for the construction of the building for the Gandhi Memorial School Nandikotkur - Kurnool Dt.

3. The file may now be circulated to M (Con) and M (Fin) for orders as to whether the schemes may now be included in the list of approved works and the question of providing funds for payment of grants may be considered in the usual course as a Part II scheme for 1950-51. Finance have suggested that orders may be taken in favour including M (Fin) & M (Con) Subject orders. A draft order is subd below for approval.

Attention is solicited to the minutes of the previous M (Con) on pp 9 & 15 of. This item appears as item (1) in the draft order.

16.7.49

18-7-49

M (Con)

I am not sure that we should commit ourselves to these grants without reference to accepted principles. There is the danger that all schools in the province will sooner than

later undertake building constructions and apply for grants which may be beyond the means of the Government for sometime to come. Our approval will therefore require to be restricted to definite principles. Have any such principles been laid down so far? If not, should not these principles be related to the policy of Government in respect of secondary education. I can think of the following principles:

- (1) A high school in every taluk.
- (2) This would imply that if the existing accommodation is deficient or poor then the cost of re-construction.
- (3) The size of the enrolment with reference to extensions asked for.
- (4) The results of public examinations which will be a two edged weapon on one hand to improve the teaching and on the other to eliminate fictitious or nominal enrolment.
- (5) Previous assistance from Government.
- (6) The measure of public support as judged from donations and endowments made.

In any case, as these grants will arise only in connection with Part II schemes, it is better that the approval is considered together if possible with reference to the above and other principles which will require orders in circulation. At this stage the D.P.I. may be consulted.

H. M. may see for approval

H. M. (E. L.)

[Signature]

With reference to secretary's note above, attention is solicited to rule 53 and 53 A of the Grant-in-Aid Code in regard to the procedure followed in sanctioning building grants to aided secondary schools. It will be observed that before recommending schemes for inclusion in the approved list the D.P.I. has to satisfy himself that the proposed scheme for the construction of buildings or for alterations and improvements



are essential and suitable. In determining this, the D.P.I. will take into consideration the strength of the school, the adequacy of the existing accommodation and the need for additional accommodation having regard to the gradual increase in the strength of the school, the remarks made by the Inspecting officers of the Educational Dept from time to time in the inspection reports of the schools or in the applications for recognition.

2. Previously the Govt were making grants towards one half of the cost of construction of buildings for aided secondary schools recommended by the Director. In view of the necessity to assist a large number of schools, the policy in regard to building grants was reexamined last year and it was decided in Cabinet that a maximum limit should be imposed for aid ^(even though the cost may exceed Rs 70,000) and it is now restricted to Rs 35,000 for each scheme. This means that unless the private managements ^{has} got funds to meet the balance of cost of the building scheme or in a position to raise the funds required to meet its share by donations from public, it will not be in a position to undertake the construction of a building or carry out alteration and improvements. It was also decided that the distribution should be made district wise. Vide note on pp 80-85 and order of the cabinet on 88 of G.O. No 2613 Edn dated 19-10-48. When submitting the list of building schemes for aided secondary schools from which a selection is to be made by Govt for inclusion in the list of approved works the D.P.I. is furnishing information as to whether the ^{management has} had been in receipt of building grant or not in previous years and it is open to Govt to give preference to schemes pertaining to schools which had not been aided so far. The D.P.I. had already stressed that ~~in distributing the aid~~ preference should be given to backward areas where educational facilities are not adequate and that the needs of each district should be taken into account in making grants on a district wise basis. The policy of Govt is that in a taluk which has not even a high school ^{one} should be provided by converting one of the middle schools into a high school if

Long 185 of 19-10-48

1 there are already middle schools and if not to ~~open new~~
W permit the opening of a new middle school under the system of
t special subsidy and then develop it into a high school if the
middle school is found to work successfully. It is doubtful whether
this policy to provide educational facilities in backward talukas can be applied to building grants
* whether building grant can be restricted to only one high
school in every taluk. There may be more than one high ~~in~~
school in a taluk which is ~~badly~~ in need of new buildings or
extensions and improvements and it may work as a hardship if
the aid is restricted to only one high school in every taluk.
When submitting the list of schemes recommended for inclusion
in the list of approved works, the D.P.I. may be asked to furnish
information whether the management has already on hand the
~~the~~ funds required to meet its share of the cost of the scheme
and the amount it has collected by way of donations or subscriptions.
So that the schemes which are likely to be started on grants being sanctioned maybe for future
3 Whatever new principles the Govt may decide to adopt for selecting
schemes for inclusion in the list of approved works ~~can~~ be applied only
to schemes for which grants are applied for hereafter and in that
connection the Govt may ignore schemes which have been started
without the previous approval of the Department for purposes of aid.
In the case of the eight schemes for which the D.P.I. has recommended
the inclusion in the list of approved works, the works have already
~~to a certain extent~~ it is desirable to have them unfunded
been started and progressed, and the only point for decision now
is whether Govt should assist or not. Unless a decision is taken
on this now and the schemes are placed on the approved list, the
D.P.I. will not suggest provision for payment of grants for these
schemes in the Part II estimates for 1950-51. It is therefore for
consideration whether this should await a decision on the general
question of the principles to be observed in future for selecting schemes for inclusion
in the list of approved works.
4 For orders whether ~~there is~~ an extract of the notes from 8
ante may now be sent to the D.P.I. for remarks. The Director may be
requested to furnish a statement showing district wise the
schemes for the construction of buildings for aided secondary
schools for boys & girls for which Govt have sanctioned grants
so far, the amount of grant that may remain unutilized by
1.4.50 so as to enable Govt to decide whether any fresh liability
should be undertaken or not. The petition on pgs 19-21 of with
H.M.'s remarks on p 19 of, has been added to the file.
A management
will not apply for a
grant unless it
has funds to meet
its share of the
scheme. in
1949
Even in these
cases, necessary
accommodation
has to be provided
to satisfy the
departmental
requirements.
(H.M. note)
1-2-49
In view of the
previous H.M.'s
remarks on
pages 2-3 ante,
the schemes may
be placed in the
approved list
in 1949
Already from
1941-51 H.M.
(H.M. note) the
building grants
were considerably
restricted.
in
1949
1/23.7.49
23.7.49
S

Sections 53 and 53-A do not make provision for
determining priority. Our problem is that there are
or will be far more schools than can be approved for
grants under Part II scheme. We have therefore to
pick and choose those which have preferential claims.
In the circumstances should not the D.P.I. be asked
to put up a complete list including the schools already
recommended by him after he has examined them with
reference to the points mentioned at p. 10 as also
other points noted by office at p. 11? A draft may
be put up.

A draft memo is submitted below for
approval

Memo No 36796 BL/49 -5 Edn dated 2-8-49
From the D.P.I. Post copy of T.M. dated 26-8-49
From the Principal and Correspondent Union High school,
Coimbatore dated 29-8-49
Please see the T.M. from the D.P.I. on page 24 C.F.
Secretary's intention is that the selection of schemes
for inclusion in the list of approved works should be
made only after obtaining from the D.P.I. a complete list
furnishing the particulars called for in Memo No 36796 BL/
49-5 Edn dated 2-8-49..including the 8 schemes which
have already been proceeded with before inclusion in the
list of approved works by the managements of the schools
concerned and which are now specially recommended by the
Director now. It is doubtful whether the D.P.I. will be
in a position to obtain the information and submit the
list in time for consideration as a Part II scheme for
1950-51 after after a selection is made for inclusion
in the list of approved works. It is therefore for

consideration whether the Director may be ^{asked to} submit the list immediately with the information already available in his office without a further reference being made to the Subordinate officers. He may be asked to indicate the order of priority having regard to the urgency ~~for~~ the execution of the schemes giving preference to applications which have been pending for a long time.

2. Attention is solicited to the remarks of H.M. (Edn) on page 26 C.F.. The scheme for the construction of a building for the Union High school Coimbatore is one of the eight schemes which have already been executed and which have been recommended ^{now} for special treatment by the Director. ^{Chm 3 on} ^{with reference to} ~~Vide pp 1-2 C.F. It is subd that these schemes are also included in the eight schemes. Finance Department have suggested that orders may be taken in circulation including H.M. (Fin) in regard to inclusion of these schemes in the list of approved works. Vide summarising note at pp 8-9 ante. and the draft order put up below. It is for consideration whether the question of including these 8 schemes in the approved list may now be decided independently of the consideration of the other schemes which may be recommended by the Director.~~ ^{H4} ^{H4} 3. The file may be circulated to H.M. ~~Edn~~ (E & I) and Finance.

1822
3.9.49

5-9-49

5

Action is at A, to which

14. 7 was already agreed

10
9

A draft memo to the D.P.G. is submitted below for approval.

10.9.49
11.9.49

11.9.49

[Memo no 36796 B/49-6 Edu & 12.9.49]. pp 28-29.

The D.P.G. has not yet furnished the particulars called for in Memo no 36796 B/49-5 Edu & 28.49 pp 22-23 of

9
2

even in respect of the eight schemes which have been partly executed by the managements concerned before the schemes were placed in the approved list. Part II estimates for building grants for secondary schools under private managements should be sent to Finance before 1st November. Unless a decision is taken immediately whether the eight schemes should be included in the approved list or not, it will not be possible to consider the question of provision of funds for these schemes in the BE for 1950-51 as a Part II scheme.

2. The D.P.G. has reported separately that the outstanding liabilities in respect of schemes for which grants have already been sanctioned will be Rs 6.28 lakhs in round figures. It has suggested that a provision of Rs 5.18 lakhs may be made in Part I estimates for 1950-51 for part paid schemes and Rs 50,000 in Part II for three schemes for which grants have already been sanctioned but have not yet been commenced. The provision to be made in respect of these will be settled separately in consultation with Finance.

3. Attention is solicited to the summarising note on pp 8-9 ante & the draft order put up below. The file may now be circulated to HM (Edn) for orders whether the Director's reply to the memo on pp 22-23 of should be awaited or whether the eight schemes may be included in the approved list as a special case and the question of provision of funds for ~~the~~ payment of grants may be considered as a Part II scheme. In the latter case, the file may be circulated to

pp 1-2 and 11 cf.

Vide linked file
dislinked

HM (Edn) has raised queries at pp 9, 17, 19, 26 of and HM (Fin) at page 154. HM (Edn) also asked a few days ago about the question of payment of grant to the St. Joseph's High School for Girls, Bellary. (p. 26)
15-10-49

H.M. (Fin) also as required in the Fin Dept's note on page 8 ante.

15.10.49

15.10.49

H.M. (E & L)
(Fin)

The case for the inclusion of the 8 Schemes for Building Grants is stated at page 1 n.f. The Managements have commenced constructions in anticipation of Government Grants, in some instances, even after they were told that they should not expect such Grants. The question is whether in view of their financial difficulties, these projects should be sanctioned without precise consideration of their necessity according to the criteria which have been communicated to the D.P.I. We have already to provide Rs. 5.18 lakhs in respect of approved Schemes. Perhaps these 8 schemes can stand over for the present.

H.M. (E. & L.)

These 8 schemes

H.M. (Fin.)

may also be included in the Part II schemes.

Building grants must be fairly distributed amongst the Districts. D.P.I. may be asked to distribute the grants to the Districts. It is suggested that the grants be distributed to the Districts in the ratio of 1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1.



With reference to the minutes of H.M. (Finance) above, it is submitted that the decision of the Cabinet

that building grants to non-Government educational institutions should be distributed on a district war basis, has already been communicated to the D.P.I. A statement showing the grants sanctioned to non-Government secondary schools under private managements during 1948-9 and 1949-50 is put up at (E). It will be observed therefrom that the principle referred to by H.M. (Fin) has been followed as far as possible in sanctioning grants.. If applications are received ^{in future} from managements of secondary schools in districts which has not been benefitted during the past they will be given preference to other applications. The D.P.I. may be informed again as suggested by H.M. (Fin).

A para has been added to the draft order already put up. It may now be approved. The file may be circulated to H.M. (Edn) for perusal of the minutes of H.M. (Fin) before the order is issued. (Action will be taken separately in the file relating to Part II scheme for building grants to non-Govt secondary schools for suggesting provision for ~~part~~ payment of grants in 1950-51 for the eight schemes now proposed to be included in the list of approved works)

15.10.49

24.10.49

S 1/24

H.M. (E & L)



24/10

