

disc
m
7/11

11-27-00,001-17-11-48.

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH.

(194 9) Department.

MS
SERIES.

G.O. NO.

2747 Education.

⑦

Dated

31-8-1949.

[Despatch Abstract]

over = Office of the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Kottayam West = Payment of fees to the Government Pleader in rent control appeal = Sanctioned.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Education Department.

From The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

52508



S. 5 A2/49 dated 4-7-1949.

Sir, 10 JUL 1949 Suite 2. Office of the Deputy Inspector of
Schools, Kottayam West - Sanction of -
Pleader's fees.

The building now occupied by the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Kottayam West is a rented one. The owner of the building filed an application at the Rent Controller's Court for evicting the Department from the building, but the application was dismissed by the Rent Controller. Thereupon the owner filed an appeal in the Court of the District Judge, Tellicherry. The District Judge while dismissing the appeal ordered that the parties should bear their own costs. The case in the Court of the District Judge was defended by the Government Pleader, Tellicherry. The Government Pleader has claimed a fee of Rs.25/- for his work in connection with the case and has also submitted a Court verification certificate for the amount. In the circumstances stated above I request that Government may sanction a sum of Rs.25/- towards the Pleader's fees.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

for Director of Public Instruction.

KHR.6.7.

[Handwritten initials]

2

Education Department.

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.


From

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

To

AS/9 dated 4-7-49.

Office of the Deputy Inspector of
Schools, Kottayam West - Sanction of
Teacher's fees.



The building now occupied by the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Kottayam West is a rented one. The owner of the building filed an application at the Rent Controller's Court for evicting the Department from the building, but the application was dismissed by the Rent Controller. Thereupon the owner filed an appeal in the Court of the District Judge, Tellicherry. The District Judge while dismissing the appeal ordered that the parties should bear their own costs. The case in the Court of the District Judge was defended by the Government Pleader, Tellicherry. The Government Pleader has claimed a fee of Rs. 25/- for his work in connection with the case and has also submitted a Court verification certificate for the amount. In the circumstances stated above I request that Government may sanction a sum of Rs. 25/- towards the Pleader's fees.

Yours faithfully,

33

Edn and P.H. Dept

Telephone message by post

From 52508A/49 Edn dt 29.7.49

The Post Secy to Govt, Edn & P.H. Dept

56/307

To the Joint D.P.S.

Suit - Office of the Dy Inspectors of Schools,
Kottayam West - Pleader's fees.

Ref D.P.S.'s letter No: 375A2/49 dt
4.7.49

Please ^{finish} ~~send~~ particulars of the appeal to its number etc.; this is necessary to avoid any objections.

It is presumed that the reference to the Govt Pleader, Tellicherry, is to the Govt Pleader, North Malabar, Panamuram.

27/7/49

27/7/49


C.F. 32 (a).

EDUCATIONAL

From (Name) THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
INSTRUCTION, Madras
Designation
Station

Received _____ Registered _____
To
The Secretary to Government
Education and Public
Health Department
Madras.

No. Rc 375 A2/49 dated 12th August 1949.



Sir,

Sub:- Suit - Office of the Deputy Inspector of schools
Kottayam West - payment of pleader's fees -
Ref:- Government T.M.(by post) No. 52508 A/49 Edn.
dated 29-7-49

The suit was given the No. C.M.A. 12 of 48 in the
District Court, Tellichery.

2. The reference to the Government Pleader, Tell-
chery is to the Government Pleader, North Malabar.

Yours faithfully,

For Director of Public Instruction

MSR 13/8

16/8 16/8

78
31 | 8
p14

From the D.P.I. No. RC. 375 A2/49 D/12-8-49.
From the ~~D.P.I.~~ No. RC. 375 A2/49 D/12-8-49

Sanction is accorded to the payment of a fee of Rs 25/- (Rupees twenty five only) to the Government Pleader, N. Malabar for the defence of the province of Malabar in the civil suit No. C. M. A. 12 of 48 filed by the owner of the building now occupied by the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Kottayam West against the said province in the Court of the District Judge, Zelli-cherry.

27. b - Administration of Justice - Law
officers - ~~to C Contingencies - Fees Voted~~
~~Fees to pleaders not in Government Service~~
~~and other charges~~ - b - C - Allowances and
Honoraria - Voted - Honoraria (Fees to
Government pleaders".

1000
2078148

281 20/8/19

5250 8/11/49

for it

not in office

The DPJ
The AG. This is

EPH 5250 8/11/49 Ed
13/7/49

46246
14 JUL 1949
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

no. 46246 Pen-1

15 JUL 1949
HOME DEPARTMENT

Per

EPH (A)

6

Suit - office of the Dy Inspector of
Schools, Kottayam West - payment of
fees to the Govt pleader ^{in rent control appeal} - Sanctioned

From the D.P.D. no 375 A2/49 D. 4.7.49

Order No. Edu Tales
Ms

Sanction is accorded
to the payment of ^{a fee of} Rs 25/- (Rupees
Twenty five only) to the Govt pleader,
N. Malabar
Tellicherry for the Province defence
of the Province of Madras in a
rent control appeal filed against
the said Province in the Court of
Dr. Judge, Tellicherry.

It is noted that the expenditure will be met
from the educational budget.

MKS 11/11/49
12/7/49

BM 13/7/49

note: ~~Home~~ Finance Dept has
for the acceptance of the draft
order above.

MKS 11/11/49
12/7/49

BM 13/7/49
Finance (Pen)

65794
16/7/49

May be accepted. The
case may be referred to EPH through
Home Dept who may see the
15/7/49

15/7/49
Home Dept.

Please see the letter from the

The D.P.I., Madras at p.l. C.F. and the notes of E and P.H. and For on p. ante.

2. It is presumed that the reference to G.P., Telli chetty & Co. to the G.P., North Malabar. Law charges on account of Pleadings ^{are} debited to the Admin of Justice ^{head & account} budget. It is not clear why the Edin Dept. propose to debit the expenditure to ^{their departmental head & account} the ~~Edin~~ budget. They may be requested to omit para 2 of the draft on p.l. ante. Finance may advise on this point.

3. The case may be referred to E and P.H. The draft overleaf does not specify particulars of the appeal, i.e., its number etc. This is necessary to avoid audit objections. E & P.H. may be requested to obtain this information from the S.P.D.

4. This may be referred to E & P.H. for Finance.

For 18.7.49. P/1817 Jm / E & P.H. Finance (Pen)

(Finance last noted on p.l. above) The views of Home on A & B above may be agreed to in this despatch. 2/. E & P.H. may be requested to alter the draft accordingly.

19.7.49
E & P.H. (CA)

The presumption at X above is correct. The budget head has correctly been altered. Hence this Dept need not accept the suggestion Home & Finance Dept that para 2 of the draft order

This is an order in which the audit is not debited to the P.H.

Home No. 65194 F/1817/49
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
47320
18 JUL 1949
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

55625
10 JUL 1949
PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT
20.7.49

15 of at B on p 2 ante is C.M.A 12 of 48. The above changes have been incorporated in the ^{revised} draft order which is submitted for approval. ~~Has may~~
20/8/49
30/8/49
30/8/49

2/8/12