

Pub
1/10/49

Seckt. II-27-60,004-17-11-48.

E O H (1949) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2710 - ED

Dated 26-5-49.

12
8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Alles. - M. H. A. - R. Q. V.
Narayanaswami, Asst. Prof.,
Presy, College, Madras -
Sancto for period of
leave in relaxation
of rules.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	12768						
	131149						
	17468						
	16748						
	1038						

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

MDS
21/3

K₂CO₃ 22/

530 Madras.
Feb 30 1949.

20

The Director Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

Sub: Madras House allowance -
permission to draw - for
my period of leave.

I was granted leave on
average salary on medical
Certificate for 4 months with effect -
from 2.11.1948 to 30.7.49. I
communicated in your Memo: No
2455 C/48 of 31.1.49. I availed
myself of this leave as Addl. Prof.
of Botany Presidency College Madras.
On the expiry of this leave I was
reported to the same post as Addl.
Prof. of Botany Presy. College Madras
in your Memo: No 2455 C/48
P.T.O

7/8

3
dated 21-2-1949. I rejoined
duty on 22-4-49 P.M.
3. As additional Professor of Botany
I was granted net H @ Rs 49/- per m.
from 1-4-48 to 8-11-48 and @ Rs 45/- per m.
9-11-48 to 31-3-49 in your Prog: Rem. 530 J/48
of 18-5-1948. I do hereby also certify
that "I was residing in the same house
for which the above net H was sanctioned
and that I still continue to reside in the
same house". Under the request-
you to good enough to permit
me to draw the net H at the rate
per m. sanctioned by you.

Yours faithfully,
R.V. Narayanaswami
Addl. Prof. of Botany.

Submitted to the Director
of Public Instruction
Madras and V. Canneaded.

Prinice
43. Presidency College Madras

O.J. 32

In reply, please quote
number, date and
short title.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

Received _____ Registered _____

From (Name)

(Designation) The Director of Public Instruction,

Post Box _____, (Station) Madras.

Telegraphic Address: _____



The Accountant-General,
Madras.

ROC No. 530 J/48, dated 4-4-1949.

Sir,

*

Sub:-- Madras Educational Service--Sri R.V. Narayanaswami--Drawal of Madras House allowance during the period of his leave.

Ref:-- Your reference No. G. IV-Edn. 1195 dated 26th March 1949.

ENCLOSURES _____

SPARE COPIES _____

On the application of Sri R.V. Narayanaswami ayyar for permission to draw Madras House allowance during the period of his leave, Government have been addressed in this office letter RC No. 530 J/48 dated 18-3-1949 for necessary permission. A copy of the letter is enclosed herewith. The question of revision will arise only on the orders of Government.

Yours faithfully,

Copy submitted to Government, Edn. and Public Health Dept. with a copy of Accountant-General's reference No. G. IV-Edn. 1195 dated 26-3-49.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Copy of letter No. G. IV. Edn. 1195 dated 26th March 1949 from the Accountant-General, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sub:-- Madras Educational Service--Sri R.V. Narayanaswami--Drawal of Madras House Allowance.

Ref:-- Your proceedings RC No. 530 J/48 dated the 18th May 1948.

In the proceedings cited above, Sri R.V. Narayanaswami, Additional Professor of Botany, Presidency College, Madras, has been sanctioned Madras House Allowance at Rs. 49/- per mensem from the 1st April 1948 to the 8th November 1948 and Rs. 45/- per mensem from the 9th November 1948 to the 31st March 1949. As increment has not accrued to the officer on the 9th November 1948 on account of leave taken by him while officiating in the post, it is presumed that sanction for the drawal of Madras House Allowance at Rs. 45/- per mensem from the 9th November 1948 to the 31st March 1949 will require revision. Pending receipt of the revised sanction the officer has been authorised to draw Madras House allowance at Rs. 45/- per mensem only from the 2nd March 1949, the date of his return to duty from leave.

sd/ x x x x x
Assistant Accounts Officer.

(True copy)

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

A2 AS/19

9

F.O.# (5A) 6

T.M. by post.

From: ^{The} Jt. Secy., E.O.H.

To: The D.O.

M.H.A. - Sri R.V. Narayanaswami Ayyar.

Ref: - Your no. 530 - J/48, dt - 18.3.49.

Please say ^{whether at the time} when Sri
 R.V. Narayanaswami Ayyar
 proceeded on leave &
 the leave was recommended by
 you for sanction, he
 was expected to return to the
 post of Addl. Prof. in the
 Presy. College, or to a
 similar post in the Madras
 City, on the expiry of the
 leave.

3. 5/4/49
 4/5/49. ^{over} 5/5/49

RVR 14/7/-

for Director of Public Instruction.

1949. *duy*



66
Office of the Director of
Public Instruction,
Madras-6.

TELEPHONE MESSAGE (BY POST) DATED 16 MAY 1949.
----- (C.No.530-J/48)

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To


The Joint Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.



38259
Sub: Madras Educational Service - Sri R.V.Narayanaswami Ayyar -
Madras House Allowance.

Ref: Your Telephone Message by post dated 5th May 1949. p. rank

At the time when Sri R.V.Narayanaswami Ayyar proceeded on
leave and the leave was recommended, he was expected to return to
the post of Additional Professor in the Presidency College, or to
a similar post in the Madras City, on the expiry of the leave.


for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

J.R.
 27/6
 66
 27/6
 Sri R.V.
 Narayana
 Swami
 Narayana
 Allowance

T.M. by post ^{m-23146-A-4} _{m.6.49} E.S.#.4)

From: The Secy, E.S.H.
 To: The S.I.V. ^{Dsd.} _{27/6/46}

Ref: - Your T.M. No. 530 - J/40, dt-16.5.49

please elucidate:

① When there was the expectation that Sri R.V. Narayana Swami, Aggar would return to the post of Addl. Prof. or a similar post in the city, why was the grant of M.H.A. not recommended in the Dtl.'s letter forwarding his appln. for leave and why was the point about his returning to the ^{same} post commented upon then?

② Was it neeq. for the officer, under any rule, to ^{specifically} ask for the leave when he applied for the leave.

③ The Addl. Prof. being eligible for transfer ~~eligible~~ for the as lecturer in any

8-2
infant college, ~~however~~ ^{best} anticipated that
he would not be re-posted to a college
in a place other than the city and that
he would return only to a post in Madras?

19.6.49
26/6/49
JRM
27/6/49

R.C.NO.580-J/48

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, Dated 13-7-1949.



From The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.
To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,
Subject: Madras House Allowance - Sri R.V. Narayanaswami Ayyar
R e f: Your T.M. by post dated 27th June 1949.

54771

The queries raised by Government are answered below:-

U/A

1. As the leave application of Sri R.V. Narayanaswami Ayyar did not contain a specific request for drawing the House allowance during the period of his leave, no recommendations in this behalf were made while submitting the application to Government.
2. With reference to para 14 of G.O.No.67^{Fin} dated 14th March 1942 Government servants who desire to draw house allowance during leave should apply for permission to draw such allowances while applying for leave to permit the competent authority to pass necessary orders.
3. Sri R.V. Narayanaswami Ayyar was the Additional Professor of Botany, Presidency College before he proceeded on leave. There is no Botany M.E.S. post in any mofussil College and the only other post to which he can be transferred is that of Lecturer in Natural Science, Teachers' College, Saidapet which is also within the City of Madras. Hence the anticipation that he would be re-posted to the post from which he proceeded on leave or to a similar post in the City was justified.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

RVR 14/7/-

14/7/49

ALLCES. - M.H.A. - Sri R.V. Narayanaswami,
Addl. Prof., Presy. College, Madras - Sanctd.
~~relaxing rules~~ for period of leave in relaxation
of rules.

Read:- From the DPI, No. 530-J/48, d/- 18-3-49.

G.O. (MS.) No. 2710 Edn., d/- 26-8-49.

Under F.R. 5-A read with F.R. 44,
the Govt. direct that the provns. of s.r. 4 under F.R. 44
be relaxed in favour of Sri R.V. Narayanaswami, Addl.
Prof., Presy. College, Madras, with a view to his being
paid M.H.A. for the period of the leave sanctd. to him
in G.O. Rt. No. 7 d/- 19-1-49.

NOTE:- Fin. u.o. for acceptance before circn.

To the DPI.
" AG (thru' Fin.).



P. 20 of R. 157

Finance (Pen)

Under note 4 to F.R. 44, M.H.A. is
admissible to Govt. servants employed in
the city during the first 4 months of leave
subject to the following conditions:-

a) The Govt. servant is expected
to return to the same or ~~sim~~ similar post
to which a similar allowance is attached, and

b) The Govt. servant continues to
incur the expenses during the period of leave.

A certificate ^{the above} ~~what~~ effect will have
to be issued at the time of grant of leave
and this is strictly ^{enforced} followed in regulating
the grant of M.H.A. during the period of
leave. In this case, ~~to R.V. Narayanaswami~~


It is obvious that there was no certainty of the officer being posted to Madras at the time of sanctioning the leave in go. R. 7 Edn of 19.1.48 and the Certificate referred to on p.1 above was not also given at the time of grant of leave. It appears that the claim for MHA has been preferred at this stage after the officer was actually re-posted to the same post and is obviously an after thought. As the conditions referred to in the leaf are not satisfied, there seems to be no justification to allow MHA as now proposed.

E.P.H. may be requested to issue orders accordingly.

DR 22/4/49 ^{MS} 22/4/49 E.P.H. may find out from the D.P.1. whether Mr. Narayanaswami was expected to return to the same or similar post in the City when leave was granted to him.

The D.O. reports that there was the expectation of A above when the leave was granted to the officer. In view of this & on the strength of the precedent at this dept. may recommend the D.O.'s proposal at C.F.1. May be sent for further remarks.


5/4/49 23/5/49 DM 24/5/49 Finance



AS
E.P.H.

MS 28/4/49
E.P.H. (A)
Fm 00.25949 Pen-)
28/4/49

X
9.1038
30.4.48



EPH
Fm 00.34623 Pen-)
28/4/49

[File obtained from E.P.H. for reference]

X
pp. 1 + 2 and
pp. 2 + 3 above

C.F.3

(The Finance Memo 1-2 above) Finance (Pen)
Please see the report of the D.P.1 on p.6 of with reference to D.S. in Memo at A on p.2 above. In the circumstances stated therein, this dept. may agree with the view of E.P.H. at X on p.2 above. (p. 20, BK. 15)
DR I think it is desirable that in requirement of note 4 under F.R. 44 should be strictly complied with. As they are not in this case, you may advise against the proposal.

(cf.) The D.O.'s proposal is that Mr. R.V. Narayanaswami, Addl. Professor, Arady. College, be granted M.H.A. for the period of his four months' leave from 2.11.48. For the reason that at the time when the officer was granted leave there was the expectation that he would return to the same post or to a similar post in the City, this dept. recommended the proposal. Finance object as a certificate in terms of X above was not embodied in the order granting leave, as required by the rules. Mr. Narayanaswami continued to incur the expenses in a house in the City during his leave. It is for orders.

4
whether in view of the precedent at ⑤
his dept. may pass the proposal and
take orders in on, of H.M. (Edn.) & H.M. (Fin.)

BM
10/6/49 S

who had to issue
the certificate. Also, in view
of the expectation that the
officer, would return to his
post, why was the certificate
not issued

② Did the officer
ask for the certificate, if the
rule so required him to
do

③ Is what other post
was he eligible outside Madras,
that there could be a presumption
of his not returning to his
post in Madras

11
6

(1) and (2) above; The Govt., who are the authority
sanctioning the leave, should incorporate the certificate in the
order granting the leave. In Sri Narayanaswami Ayyar's case,
the certificate was not given as the D.P.I. did not make the neccy.
recommendation. The reason why the Dir. says he did not
recommend the issue of the certificate was that Sri Narayanaswami Ayyar
who was expected under the rules issued in 1942 to raise the
matter did not do so in ~~his~~ his application for leave.

(3) above: He was not eligible for any post outside
Madras. This is an important point in view of which this dept.



5
a specific request in his leave application
for drawing house allowance during the period
of the leave. These Lecturers are generally ignorant
of the technicalities of rules. Further, it is clear
from the Director's letter that this lecturer could
not have been posted to any place outside
the city. The Finance ^{dept} may be requested
to re-consider and allow the allowance as
a special case.

BM
25/7/49 S
26/7/49 Fri

F.O.H.
2023/46-A-5
26.7.49.



Finance (Pen)
(Finance last noted on p.3 above)
The ~~note~~ discussion following may
be perused.

2/. In view of the position referred
to at A on p.4 above, it is for orders
whether this dept. may now agree to
the proposal of Est. H. to grant M.H.A.
to Sri R.V. Narayanaswami, as a special
case, during the period of leave
sanctioned to him in G.O. RV 7 Edn of 19.1.49.
As relaxation of ^{the provisions under} note 4 under F.P.44
is involved in the above proposal, Est. H.
may be requested to circulate the case
to H.M. (Fin) and H.Pr. C.S. also will
have to see to it before circulation

Amv. 29.7.49 (Pen)



DR
29.7.49
28/7/49

BM
30/7/49

F.O.H. dept. (A)

May be sent to Chief Secy. before

BM
31/8/49 S
28/8/49

The proposal dealt with in this file is that, in relaxation of F.Rs., Sri R.V. Varajanaswami, Add. Prof., Presy. College, Madras, may be granted Madras House Allowance for the period of his four months' leave from 2-11-48. Finance agree to it, as a special case. C.S. has no obj. The file may be circulated to H.M. (Edn.), H.M. (Fin.) and H.O. for orders.

May approve

PT. 48/49
 5/8/49
 6/8/49
 9/8/49
 H.M. (Edn.)
 H.M. (Fin.)
 H.O.



The draft on p. 1 is approved. The draft on p. 2 is approved. The draft on p. 3 is approved. The draft on p. 4 is approved. The draft on p. 5 is approved. The draft on p. 6 is approved. The draft on p. 7 is approved. The draft on p. 8 is approved. The draft on p. 9 is approved. The draft on p. 10 is approved. The draft on p. 11 is approved. The draft on p. 12 is approved. The draft on p. 13 is approved. The draft on p. 14 is approved. The draft on p. 15 is approved. The draft on p. 16 is approved. The draft on p. 17 is approved. The draft on p. 18 is approved. The draft on p. 19 is approved. The draft on p. 20 is approved. The draft on p. 21 is approved. The draft on p. 22 is approved. The draft on p. 23 is approved. The draft on p. 24 is approved. The draft on p. 25 is approved. The draft on p. 26 is approved. The draft on p. 27 is approved. The draft on p. 28 is approved. The draft on p. 29 is approved. The draft on p. 30 is approved. The draft on p. 31 is approved. The draft on p. 32 is approved. The draft on p. 33 is approved. The draft on p. 34 is approved. The draft on p. 35 is approved. The draft on p. 36 is approved. The draft on p. 37 is approved. The draft on p. 38 is approved. The draft on p. 39 is approved. The draft on p. 40 is approved. The draft on p. 41 is approved. The draft on p. 42 is approved. The draft on p. 43 is approved. The draft on p. 44 is approved. The draft on p. 45 is approved. The draft on p. 46 is approved. The draft on p. 47 is approved. The draft on p. 48 is approved. The draft on p. 49 is approved. The draft on p. 50 is approved. The draft on p. 51 is approved. The draft on p. 52 is approved. The draft on p. 53 is approved. The draft on p. 54 is approved. The draft on p. 55 is approved. The draft on p. 56 is approved. The draft on p. 57 is approved. The draft on p. 58 is approved. The draft on p. 59 is approved. The draft on p. 60 is approved. The draft on p. 61 is approved. The draft on p. 62 is approved. The draft on p. 63 is approved. The draft on p. 64 is approved. The draft on p. 65 is approved. The draft on p. 66 is approved. The draft on p. 67 is approved. The draft on p. 68 is approved. The draft on p. 69 is approved. The draft on p. 70 is approved. The draft on p. 71 is approved. The draft on p. 72 is approved. The draft on p. 73 is approved. The draft on p. 74 is approved. The draft on p. 75 is approved. The draft on p. 76 is approved. The draft on p. 77 is approved. The draft on p. 78 is approved. The draft on p. 79 is approved. The draft on p. 80 is approved. The draft on p. 81 is approved. The draft on p. 82 is approved. The draft on p. 83 is approved. The draft on p. 84 is approved. The draft on p. 85 is approved. The draft on p. 86 is approved. The draft on p. 87 is approved. The draft on p. 88 is approved. The draft on p. 89 is approved. The draft on p. 90 is approved. The draft on p. 91 is approved. The draft on p. 92 is approved. The draft on p. 93 is approved. The draft on p. 94 is approved. The draft on p. 95 is approved. The draft on p. 96 is approved. The draft on p. 97 is approved. The draft on p. 98 is approved. The draft on p. 99 is approved. The draft on p. 100 is approved.

12