

E. O. H. (1947) Department.

179 SERIES.

G.O. NO.

2346

En.

Dated

19/7/49

[Despatch Abstract.]

M. E. J. — D. E. as. — Rules regulating recruitment
on a linguistic basis — deleted.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1848						

LETHI, S. A. B. L. M. L. A.
ADVOCATE.

TELLICHERRY

Date 3rd May. 1949.

To

The Hon'ble. A.B. Shetty,
Minister for Education,
Fort. St. George, Madras.

Secy. G.P.H.

Sir,

I might be ^{permitted} ~~permitted~~ to draw your kind attention to certain implications of the rule recently framed by the Govt. regarding the appointment of District Educational Officers. The insistence that the person to be appointed as a D.E.O. should have the language of the District as his mother-tongue or should have taken it as his second-language in his school or college would place the candidates from Malabar and South Kanara under a serious handicap. Under the present rule those candidates will be permanently debarred from appointments in other Districts as District Educational Officers while there are only three places in all in their linguistic areas.

This would be a great hardship to those Senior officers of the ~~area~~ department who were ripe

for appointments as D.E.O.s at the time of the framing of this rule ^{in that case} to be deprived of all chances of such appointments by the adoption of this rule.

I would, therefore, request you to be so good ~~enough~~ as to exempt such officers from the operation of the said rule and also consider the advisability of modifying the rule so as to make a working knowledge of the regional language as sufficient qualification for one to be appointed as a D.E.O.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,
Mohamed Ali

NOTE FOR THE NEXT MEETING OF THE CABINET.

[Sub:- Deletion of newly-introduced rules requiring recruitment of D.E.Os. on a linguistic basis.]

Until recently, there was no restriction, imposed by statutory service rules, on the recruitment as D.E.Os. of persons who had not studied thro' the language or languages of the district concerned. The rules prescribed ~~(just)~~ the condition that the officers should pass, after appt. and before the expiry of the period of their probation of two years, the Special Language Test in the Lower Standard in two of the following languages, viz., Tamil, Telugu, Malayalam, Kannada, Hindustani or Oriya, at least one of which should be either Tamil or Telugu. But, as a convention, officers knowing the language of the area were posted to the districts, wherever practicable.

2. The former Minister for Education considered that the passing of the Language Test would not give a D.E.O. the knowledge in the language necessary to ^{enable him to} discharge ^(his) his duties and that unless he had recd. instruction in high school course through the medium of a particular language, he could not do the inspection of the schools in that language area efficiently. He desired that future appts. of D.E.Os. should be regulated aecg. to the requirements of ~~the~~ each of the four linguistic regions of the Province and that the rules of recruitment should be modified so as to allow the appointment of only those persons who were taught in their high schools thro' the medium of the language of the area. The D.P.I. (Dr. S.R.U. Savor) did not favour the Minister's suggestion and recommended that the matter might lie over till the constitution of the linguistic Provinces. The Edn. and P.H. dept. and the Public dept. agreed with the Dir. But that view was not accepted, in ~~circumstances~~, by the Minister and the ~~then~~ ^{former} Premier.

-2- 4
The then Governor, Sir Archibald Nye, also did not agree to the suggestion at first. In a letter written ^{by him} to the former Minister, he observed:-

" ** I am afraid such a proposal will be open at present to certain objections. Study thro' the medium of the regional language is, of course, a very recent measure and a majority of officers in service now will not qualify as most of them would have studied thro' the medium of English. The proposal would also mean that in search of officers satisfying such a standard, the Govt. will have to go down the list overlooking the claims of many senior officers. In general it seems to me that any legislative or administrative measures which in practice have a retrospective effect invariably cause hardship, injustice and consequent ill-will. I understand - and sympathise with - the the object which you have in mind, but feel that such a measure can only be effected over the course of years and after the new system of instruction has produced the necessary officers.

"I would therefore suggest to you that for the time being a more practicable and equitable method appears to be to pursue the suggestion that persons knowing the language only should be posted to the area concerned, as distinct from the requirement on the study through that medium or its possession as a mother tongue."

Subsequently, however, with his agreement, the decision was reached that the appointments should be on a linguistic basis and that, for an officer to be selected for appt. in a particular region, either (i) he shd. have studied the language of that region as his ^{second} (now first) language in the high ^{school} course, or (ii) he should have recd. instruction thro' the medium of that language through- ^{(iii) that} out the high school course or ~~that~~ language should be his mother- ^{and he shd. be able to read & write in his mother-tongue.} tongue. It was also decided that the passing of the Language

Test by the officers within their probationary period should continue to be insisted on for the reason that there were a few bi-lingual and multi-lingual districts requiring officers with knowledge of more than one language to be in charge of them. The service rules were amended in accordance with the decisions, in Feby. 1949, in consultation with the M.P.S.C. ^(T/10/476) ^(T/CF. 36 & CF. 52) ^(T/10/476) ^(T/CF. 48-5)

3. The present Hon. Minister for Edn. feels ^{seriously} that the amendments ^{seriously} interfere with the prospects of persons already in service and considers that the new restrictions should be cancelled. The Hon. Minister for Law concurs in the view of the Hon. Minister for Edn. and wishes that the matter should be considered and reviewed by the Cabinet. The minutes recorded

by the two Ministers are extracted below: —

-3-
(Here enter minutes at pp. 3-5 N.F. as edited, with H.Ms.' signatures)

The Hon. Premier has agreed to the subject being placed before the Cabinet.

4. The point for decision is whether the new amendments may be nullified. // If the decision is in the affirmative, the M.P.S.C. will be consulted before it is actually given effect to.

20.5.49
27/5/49

22/5/49
23/5

A.B. Shetty,
H.M. (S.M.)

NF 35

NOTE FOR THE NEXT MEETING OF THE CABINET.

[SUB: Deletion of newly-introduced rules requiring recruitment of District Educational Officers on a linguistic basis.]

Until recently, there was no restriction, imposed by statutory service rules, on the recruitment as District Educational Officers of persons who had not studied through the language or languages of the district concerned. The rules prescribed the condition that the officers should pass, after appointment and before the expiry of the period of their probation of two years, the Special Language Test in the Lower Standard in two of the following languages, viz., Tamil, Telugu, Malayalam, Kannada, Hindustani or Oriya, at least one of which should be either Tamil or Telugu. But as a convention, officers knowing the language of the area were posted to the districts, wherever practicable.

2. The former Minister for Education considered that the passing of the Language Test would not give a District Educational Officer the knowledge in the language necessary to enable him to discharge his duties and that unless he had received instruction in his high school course through the medium of a particular language, he could not do the inspection of the schools in that language area efficiently. He desired that future appointments of District Educational Officers should be regulated according to the requirements of each of the four linguistic regions of the Province and that the rules of recruitment should be modified so as to allow the appointment of only those persons who were taught in their high schools through the medium of the language of the area. The Director of Public Instruction (Dr. S.R.U. Savor) did not favour the Minister's suggestion and recommended that the matter might lie over till the constitution of the linguistic provinces. The Education and Public Health Department and the Public Department agreed with the Director. But that view was not accepted, in circulation, by the Minister and the former Premier. The then Governor, Sri Archibald Nye, also did not agree to the suggestion at first. In a letter written by him to the former Minister, he observed:-

"** I am afraid such a proposal will be open at present to certain objections. Study through the medium of the regional language is, of course, a very recent measure and a majority of officers in service now will not qualify as most of them would have studied through the medium of English. The proposal would also mean that in search of officers satisfying such a standard, the Government will have to go down the list overlooking the claims of many senior officers. In general it seems to me that any legislative or administrative measures which in practice have a retrospective effect invariably cause hardship, injustice and consequent ill-will. I understand and sympathise with the ~~km~~ object which you have in mind, but feel that such a measure can only be effected over the course of years and after the new system of instruction has produced the necessary officers.

"I would therefore suggest to you that for the time being a more practicable and equitable method appears to be to pursue the suggestion that persons knowing the language only should be posted to the area concerned

Strictly Confidential.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS HELD AT FORT
ST. GEORGE, MADRAS, ON FRIDAY, THE 3RD JUNE 1949.

The Hon'ble the Premier presided.

Present.

1. The Hon'ble Dr. T.S.S. Rajan
2. The Hon'ble Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam
3. The Hon'ble Sri B. Gopala Reddy
4. The Hon'ble Sri K. Madhava Menon
5. The Hon'ble Sri A.B. Shetty
6. The Hon'ble Sri K. Chandramouli
7. The Hon'ble Sri B. Parameswaran
8. The Hon'ble Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddy
9. The Hon'ble Sri C. Perumalswami Reddi, and
10. The Hon'ble Sri J.L.P. Roche Victoria.

Agenda

Cancellation of orders requiring recruitment to District
Educational Officers' posts on a linguistic basis.

Order

It was decided that the present orders requiring recruitment to District Educational Officers' posts on a linguistic basis should be kept in abeyance until further orders and that in the meantime the Education Department should examine what language tests should be prescribed for District Educational Officers to secure sufficient knowledge of the regional languages and greater efficiency.

(Sd) P. S. Kumaraswami Raja.
Premier

E. A. P. H.

MEMO.No. 39437-0-2 — Edn. and P.H. Dept. (A) 25.6.49

M.E.S. - Recruitment of D.E. Os. - Language qualfn.

Ref:- G.O.No.511-Edn., d/- 24-2-49.

(T) 25.6.49

It has been ^{re-}presented to Govt. that the amendments to the Spl. Rules for the M.E.S., issued by the G.O. cited, requiring recruitment to the posts of D.E.Os. to be made accg. to the needs of the different linguistic regions of the Province, have caused serious hardship to many persons in service by their being made ineligible, under the rules as amended, for recruitment by transfer and that, apart from that, the alternative conditions re: language to be fulfilled by the candidates for appt. would ^uunduly narrow the field of selection and consequently prevent the best available persons from being absorbed in the service. The Govt. have therefore ~~announced~~ ^{held} decided that the new rules should be ~~kept~~ ^{held} in abeyance until further orders and that, in the meantime, the question ^{examined} whether and, if so, what language qualfn. (including the alternative of a pass in a deptl. language test) shd. be insisted on at the time of initial recruitment of ~~the officers~~ ^{the officers} D.E.Os. so that the ~~officers~~ may have sufficient knowledge of the language concerned and their efficiency may be increased. Action to issue formal orders deleting the new rules has been taken. The D.P.I. is requested to consider in detail the question reld. to above and send up his remarks at an early date.

To the DPI.

25/6/49

25/6/49

25/6/49

25/6/49

Letter/Ref.No. 39437-A-4-Edn., d/- 4-7-49

To The Secy., M.P.S.G.

Sir,
M.E.S. - Rect. of D.E.Os. on a linguistic basis.
Ref:- G.O.No.511-Edn., d/-24-2-49.

It has been represented to Govt. that the amendments to the Spl.Rules for the MES, issued by the G.O. cited, requiring recruitment to the posts of D.E.Os. ^{to be made} according to the needs of the different linguistic regions of the Province, have caused serious hardship to many persons in service by their being made ineligible under the rules as amended, for recruitment by transfer and that, apart, ~~from that~~, the alternative conditions re: language to be fulfilled by the candidates for appt. would unduly restrict the field of selection and consequently prevent the best available persons from being absorbed in the service. It is, therefore, proposed to delete the new rules with retrospective effect. I am to forward herewith a draft amendment to the Spl.Rules and to request that the Commn. will kindly offer its remarks thereon. I am also to say that it is also proposed to take up for consideration afresh the matter of recruiting candidates with sufficient knowledge of the regional language ~~concerned~~ concerned, and that, if necy., the rules will be further amended again in due course in consultation with the Commn.

Yrs. ~~SINCERELY~~ faithfully,

Notification.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 241(2)(b) of the Government of India Act, 1935, His Excellency the Governor of Madras hereby makes the following amendment to the Special Rules for the Madras Educational Service (Section 6 of Part III-A of the Service Rules in volume II of the Madras Services Manual, 1946).

The amendment hereby made shall be deemed to have

Conf

Des 10
108
47

(T) CF 49-50

See CF 7-8

x(T) 48-50

cf 9 read on
para 27
note at pp. 6-7 NE

Copy to be sent

21.6.49
24/6/49

25/6/49

20/6

-2-
e into force on the 24th February 1949.

Amendment.

In the said Special Rules, rules 2(f), 6(f) and 9
all be ~~deleted~~ omitted.

Date 7 (T) / 49^{cf.}

25/6/49

1925/6

24/6/49

From
Sri C. Krishnaswami Chetty,
Secretary, Public Service
Commission, Madras-8.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Madras, Education and Public
Health Department, Madras-9

No. 4191-C1/49-1, dated 13-7-1949.

Sir,

Rules - Madras Educational Service - District Edu-
cational Officers - Recruitment on linguistic basis -
Deletion - Special rules - Amendment - Your letter
No. 39437-A4-Edn., dated 4-7-1949.

I am to say that the Commission has no remarks to offer
on the draft amendment forwarded with the letter cited.

Encl: Nil.

pmr. 13/7/49.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
for Secretary.

P.T.O.

Stence
1/2

79

19/7

(1) 1/10/49

Small
envelope
1/10/49

- To THE D.S. ✓
" S. M. P. ✓
" A. S. ✓
" S. S. P. (for pubn. of note in
Gazette & if need for issue
of correction slips to vol. II
of Madras Services Manual)
" Pub. Sec. ✓
" Legal ✓
" P. S. to H. S. (2 copies each) ✓
" Secy. Libr. (4 copies) ✓
" Bks. clarks to Secy. & D. S. (4 each) ✓

M. E. J. - D. E. O. S. - ~~Recruitment~~
Rules regulating recruitment on
linguistic basis - deleted.

Read: - G. O. NO. 511 - E. 2, d/- 20.2.49.
From the S. M. P. S.
no. 4191 - C1/49-1, d/- 18.7.49.

G. O. (Mh.) NO. 2346 Edu., d/- 18.7.49

The follg. info. will be
pubd. in the Fort St. George Gazette.
notification.

[H.E. from pp. 11-12 of.]
ASK Secy. M
TYPE "A"
(Particulars)

16/7/49
16/7

12 15

ABSTRACT.

G.O.No.511 Education dated 20-2-1949.
From the Secretary, Madras Public Service Commission, No.4191-C1/49-
1 dated 13-7-1949.

ORDER:

NOTIFICATION.

P.T.O.

29/1/49

Please send me the following files:-

- **
- (3) Recent orders on the selection of D.E.Os. on linguistic basis.
 - (4) Abolition of language tests for Deputy Insps.

A.B.S., 12-4-49.

The G.O. refd. to as (3) above is at "T" below. The file on subject (4) above is linked.

2. The service rules did not require previously that candidates competing for recruitment as DEOs. should possess, at the time of appointment, any proficiency in languages. They imposed just the condition that they shd. pass, after appointment and before the expiry of the period of their probation of 2 years, the Special Language Test in the Lower Standard in two languages one of which should be Tamil or Telugu.

3. The former H.M.(Edn.) considered that the passing of the Language Test would not give a D.E.O. the knowledge ^{in the language} necessary to discharge his duties and that, unless he had recd. instruction ^{in his high school course} thro' the medium of a particular language, he could not do the inspection of the schools in that language-area efficiently. ^{He accordingly} desired that the rules of recruitment should be amended so as to allow the appt. of only ^{those} such persons ^{who were taught in the medium of the language of the area.} It was pointed out by the dept., among other things, that the alteration of the rules would seriously affect the prospects of persons already in service. The views of the then D.P.I. (Dr. Savor) on the Minister's ^{proposal} suggestion are at pp.8-9 C.F. of "T". The Dir. did not favour ~~of~~ the Minister's proposal and said, inter alia, that the question of amending the rules might lie over until the constitution of linguistic provinces. But that ^{suggestion of} was ^{not} not accepted in circn. The minutes at pp.7-9 N.F. ^{and} and the correspondence between the Minister and H.E. at pp.10-14 and 16 C.F. of "T" may be seen.

4. The final decision was that future recruitment of D.E.Os. shd. be ^{separately made} accg. to the needs of the

- 2 -

The amendment hereby made shall be deemed to have come into force on the 24th February 1949.

AMENDMENT.

In the said Special Rules, rules 2(f), 6(f) and 9 shall be omitted.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

J.M. Lobo Prabhu,
Secretary to Government.

To

The Director of Public Instruction.
The Secretary, Madras Public Service Commission.
The Accountant-General.
The Superintendent, Government Press (for publication of notification in the Gazette and for issue of correction slips to Volume II of Madras Services Manual).
The Public (Services) Department.
The Legal Department.
The Personnel Clerks to Hon'ble Ministers (2 copies each).
The Secretariat Library (4 copies).
The Books Clerks to Secretary and Assistant Secretary, (Education).

True copy/Forwarded/By order.

Superintendent.

TJ/19/7.

different linguistic regions of the Province - Tamil, Telugu, Malayalam and Kannada areas - ^{and} that, for an officer to be selected for appointment in a particular region, he should have studied the language of that region as his second (now first) language in the high school ~~xxx~~ course or shd. have recd. instruction thro' the medium of that language throughout the high school course or that language shd. be his mother tongue. For the reason that there were a few bi-lingual and multi-lingual districts requiring officers with knowledge of more than one language to be in charge of them, it was also decided that the passing of the Language Test by the officers within their probationary period, should continue to be insisted on. The amendments issued accordingly on 24-2-49 are at pp.49-50 C.F. of "T".

5. Last year, when the time for selecting candidates for appointment as D.E.Os. by transfer from the Subordinate Services came, the ^{Services} Commission was told that 4 ~~handi-~~ ^{candidate} ~~dates~~ were required but that it might wait ~~pending~~ the issue of orders by Govt. prescribing appt. on a linguistic basis. It has since been asked to proceed to select 8 candidates w.r.t. the amended rules.

6. A pass in the Language Test, by the higher standard, (in two languages) stood, and continue to stand, prescribed for Senior Dy. Insprs. of Schools and School Assts. and Headmasters in the Subordinate Service. The question of abolishing the Test ^{for more officers} was considered previously, ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ having been raised on the floor of the Legislature also. The D.P.I. recommended its abolition but the Govt. did not accept that recommendation.

Recently, with the proposal for recruitment of D.E.Os. on a linguistic basis having got through, the matter was reopened at the former Minister's instance and the decision was arrived at that the Test ^{might} be abrogated. The rules have not ^{however} been actually amended yet.

7. May be cited. to H.M.(Edn.).

Representations have been made to me by legislators and responsible persons representing various sections of public opinion that the recent orders about the selection of D.E.Os. have caused great hardship to those senior officers of the department who were ripe for appointment as D.E.O. at the time of the framing of these rules and that they should be modified so as to make a working knowledge of the regional language as sufficient qualification for one to be appointed as a D.E.O. After a perusal of the relevant papers, I am inclined to agree with them that real hardship has been caused to those in service, whose legitimate claims for promotion as D.E.O. have been ~~been~~ dashed to the ground by the introduction of these new rules for appointment to the post.

According to the G.O. at 'T', a person to be eligible for appointment as D.E.O. in a particular linguistic region should satisfy the conditions that--

- (i) his mother-tongue is the language of that region and he can read and write in his mother-tongue; or
- (ii) he has studied the language of that region as his Second Language in his high school course or, if he has commenced that course in or after the school-year 1948-49, as his First Language in the course: or
- (iii) he has received instruction through the medium of the language of that region throughout his high school course.

This assumes that the knowledge of the regional languages possessed by a candidate satisfying any one of the above conditions is superior to that of a candidate who has studied one regional language in his high school classes and passed the Special Language Test in the Lower or Higher Standard in two languages and that outside the high school classes it is not possible for a person to acquire proficiency in any particular language. I do not agree with this view.

Apart from the fact that the introduction of linguistic regions in the matter of recruitment of D.E.Os. would seriously affect the prospects of persons already in service, it restricts the field of selection and consequently of absorbing the best available persons in the M.E.S.

T/RF.36

T/NT.16
9.32-33

File III

Q/NT.16

S. +
G/8-9

Q/NT.16

Q/NT.16

N.F.3 of File III

26/4

P.P. 12.5.49
15/4/49

16/4/49

29/4

H.N.(Sami)

The new rules are bound to ^{produce} ~~xxxxxx~~ stagnation in the Dept. and create difficulties regarding transfers and reversions of D.E.Os. especially in the districts of Malabar and South Kanara. There has been much discontent in these districts after the issue of these rules. I agree with the views expressed by the late D.P.I. (Dr.Savoor) in this matter in his letter at pp.8-9 c.f. of 'T'. He has rightly pointed out that the recruitment of D.E.Os. on the basis of the requirements of each linguistic area may wait till the proposed linguistic provinces are constituted. If, in the meantime, it is considered that the D.E.Os. should have a more intimate knowledge of the regional languages, the Higher standard of the Special Language Test instead of the Lower standard may be prescribed for new recruits. I strongly feel that we should immediately revert to the position existing before the issue of these new rules,


As regards the Senior Dy. Inspectors, I think, a pass in the Language Test by the higher standard in one language and by the lower standard in a second language should be sufficient, *just as in the case of G.C.S. officers.* The D.P.I.'s remarks on this point may be called for.

I have placed below a letter received from K.M.Seethi Sahib Bahadur, M.L.A., Malabar, protesting against the introduction of the new restrictions regarding recruitment of D.E.Os. H.M.(Law) may also see.

ms
8/5

H.M.(Law)

This is a matter very much to be regretted that such a vital change of Policy should have been made without the Cabinet knowing this. The order is that a Tamil person can be appointed only in a Tamil area, a Telugu only in a Telugu area, a Malayalee only in Malabar & a Kannadiga only in S. Kanara.



EDH-00 no 3743-A-1-EDH

123 S. 48
H.M.(Law) 8/5
approve with
ltdl.

I do not think even if we have linguistic provinces we will pass such an order. I am not surprised even ~~the~~ H.E. thought this order queer. Apart from the inherent badness of the order this will work tremendous hardship to hundreds who are already in service. I want the Cabinet to ~~consider~~ review this order ~~very~~ *can, urgent*.

KM
10/5

May be circulated to H.M.(Edn.) for perusal of H.M.(Law)'s minutes.

May be subd. to H.Br. also as H.M.(Law) desires that the subject shd. be discussed by the Cabinet.

ms 11/5/49 3
11/5

12 107 (Edn) ms 14/5
121.C.8 ✓

12/5

A note for the Cabinet is subd. May be sent. to Pub.(Sec.) for perusal of the file. They may be requested to submit the case to C.S. for ~~submitting~~ appl. of the rule.

ms 20/5/49

ms 22/5/49 3
23/5

Pub (Sec)

The minutes at pp 3-5 and the draft note below maybe passed & the file returned to E.P.H.

24/5/49

1 E.P.H.

Pub (Ser A)
no no. 1959/49-1

Edn. (S.A.A)

24/5/49

May be subd. to H.M. (Edn.) for approval of the note for the Cabinet, below.

39707

24/5/49

25/5/49

25/5

1-7 (Edn.) 25/5

The note has been despatched to all H.M.s., C.S. & O.S.S. The file may be sent to Public (Special).

pp. 6-8 cf.

27/5/49

28/5/49

Pub (Ser A)

[5 5/5. 9 note are placed below]

39437 A-2

The note for the Cabinet is at pp.

6-8 C.F.

2. The Cabinet has decided that the amendments requiring recruitment of D.E.Os. on a linguistic basis should be kept in abeyance until further orders.

The amendments having been issued in the statutory way, it seems that an executive order suspending them or holding them in abeyance would not be enough but that a further amendment deleting the new rules should be issued under section 241(2)(b) of the G. of I. Act and that for issuing such an amendment the M.P.S.C. should be consulted and that, later on, if it comes necessary to revive the ~~amendments~~ rules, fresh amendments have to be issued. A draft notfn.,



cf 9

with a draft letter to the Commission, is subd. in this view. The D.P.I. may be informed in advance of the Govt.'s decision.

3. The Cabinet has also ordered that the Edn. Dept. should examine what language tests should be prescribed for D.E.Os. ^{so that they may have} to secure ^{knowledge} sufficient ^{qualify} of the regional languages and ^{there may be} greater efficiency. The D.P.I. may first be asked to offer his views. A draft Memo. is subd.

4. Pub. (Ser.) and Legal may see after issue of Memo.

21.6.49

25/6/49

25/6

Pub Ser A
Legal

27-6-49

(Last seen at p. bank): The cabinet order at p. 9 C.F. & the drafts below maybe passed & the file passed on to Legal

Pub (Ser. A) no. no
2408/49-1
28/6/49

Legal Department

Seen in Legal. The word "deleted" in the draft amendment may be altered into "omitted".



Legal no. 8161/49
28/6/49

29/6/49

27/6/49

Edn. J. G.H. (A)

CNo 39437 H

Ref: - Notes from middle of p. 6 ante

The draft letter below to the Commission
may be issued after H.M. (E. & L.) sees.

P. 8
29.6.49
29/6/49

RM
30/6/49 S 1/30
2/6

H.M. (E. & L.)

RM
1/7

(Issued as letter no. 39437-A-4-Edn; d/- 4.7.49)
from the Secy., M.O.S., no. 4191-C1/49-1, d/- 13.7.49.



The Commission has no remarks. 13
The amendment may be issued. A draft
order is subd. [for reply to cf. 10,
the D.O. will be reminded.]

* a few days
hence

P. 9
15.7.49
15/7/49

RM
16/7/49 S 1/16
2/7

(Issued as G.O. no. 2246-Edn, d/- 19.7.49.) CF. 15-16