

Education and P.H.

(194₉) Department.

MS. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2340 Edn. → H 5-6 of

closed.

Roll

Supdt.

Se

Dated 19-7-1949.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant-Building-Mazharul Uloom High School, Ambur-Construction of a building.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	2164	Edn.	12-11-49.				
G.O.	771	Edn.	5-4-48.				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Re. 6718 D2/46

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION: Madras
dated 18--6--49



From

The Director of Public Instruction,
M A D R A S

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort. St. George Madras.

Sir,

48275
SM

Sub :- Grant--Building--Mazharul Uloom High School
Ambur -Construction of building--enhanced
grant--Requested.

(D) 11-12-13 cf

Government in G. O. Ms. 2164 Edn. dated 12--11--46

sanctioned the inclusion in the list of approved works of the scheme for the construction of a storeyed building for the Mazharul Uloom High School, Ambur at an estimated cost of Rs.1,68,000/- rendering the institution eligible for a half grant not exceeding Rs.84,000/-. The plans and estimates were scrutinised by the Consulting Architect and the estimate was recommended for approval for Rs.1,50,800/-. Based on this estimate, Government in their Memo No.3818/47-B1-2 Edn. dated 8--3--47 ordered that the grant for which the institution will be eligible may be fixed at Rs.75,400/-.

not put up

not put up

2. In para 3 of the above Memo Government requested the Director to inform the Correspondent that the half grant for the scheme has been limited to Rs.75,400/-. The tender of the Modern Housing Construction and Properties for Rs.1,64,525/- for the scheme was accepted by the management.

11-16-18 cf of (P)

Item 5 on
p17 of (P)

* 11-17-18 cf
of (P)

3. Government in G. O. Ms. 771 Edn dated 5--4--48 while providing funds for the year 48--49 stated that the maximum grant admissible for the scheme is Rs.40,000/-. Government in their Post Copy of the Telephonic Message dated 29--4--48 confirmed that the maximum grant payable for the scheme has been limited to Rs.40,000/- and that this amount has been provided for in the Budget Estimate of 48--49. The grant of Rs.40,000/- provided for in the above G.O. was paid during 48--49 as work for more than double the amount of grant was executed.

P. T. O.

---2---

4. I submit herewith a copy of letter from the Correspondent, Mazharul Uloom High School, Ambur, requesting for a half grant on the original approved estimate for favourable consideration. The scheme was sanctioned by Government as early as November 1946. Moreover Government in their Memo No. 3818/47 B1-2 Edn. dated 8--3--47 informed the Correspondent that the half grant for the scheme has been limited to Rs. 75,400/-. Government in Memo No. 27236-B1/47-17 Edn. dated 15--6--48, limited the grant in respect of Aided Secondary Schools to one half of the cost of the scheme not exceeding Rs. 35,000/-. As the present scheme was approved by Government about 2 years before this limit was fixed and in the circumstances mentioned above, it is requested that Government may as a special case sanction the half grant of Rs. 75,400/- limited in Government Memo No. 3818/47 B1-2 Edn. dated 8--3--47.

Yours faithfully

M. K. R.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

K.M.R. 22--6--

12/16
23/6

Copy of letter dated 27/4/49 from the Correspondent, Muzharul Uloom High School, Ambur, to the District Educational Officer, North Arcot.

New School building--Muzharul Uloom High School, Ambur.

Ref:- G. O. Ms. 771 Edn. dated 5--4--49 and Rc. 6718 D2/46 dated 25th March 1949 of the Director of Public Instruction

I have the honour to bring to your kind notice that in the Pros. of the Director of Public Instruction Madras Rc. 6718 D2/46 dated 29th March 1947, communicating the Government Memo No. 3818/47 B2 Education dated 8--3--47. In it the Government were pleased to approve the plans and hold out a promise of Rs. 75,400/-. In that hope the building construction was stated even though the promised donations from many were not realised.

2 Now a sum of Rs. 40,000/- has been drawn from Government building grant and the ground floor of the building with six class rooms one hall and a library room have been completed. The first floor is under construction and the management for want of funds without the promised Government grant will not be in a position to complete the entire building scheme.

3 However the management is willing to complete the construction to make it fit for occupation by the end of Aug. 49 provided the Government promise to pay as grant a further sum, and that has been promised to the school in the Government Memo quoted above.

4 The restrictions if any over the maximum that could be given were levied after my school was sanctioned grant. Hence I very earnestly request that the promised amount by the Government in their own Memorandum after due consideration of the plans and the needs, may not be partially held up.

5. On receiving an assurance from Government about a further grant to an extent half the cost of the building, I shall persuade the management to proceed with the work at an accelerated speed and see that it is made fit for occupation during the course of the next school year.

6 I request that the Director of Public Instruction may be pleased to recommend to the Government for giving the necessary assurance to continue the construction work.

(True copy)

M. K. R.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

K.M.R. 22--6--

12/16
23/6

explained by the Correspondent of the School, the maximum grant payable towards the cost of construction of the building will be raised from Rs 40000 to Rs 75,400 (Rupees seventy-five thousand four hundred). The balance of grant due will be paid only in the next financial year. The D.P.I. is requested to suggest necessary provision in Part I of the next year's budget.

12876
13.7.49

14-7-49

15
7

To
The Correspondent
Mahazarul Uloom
High School Ambur
... DP 2/
... A.C. through Pm
25/05.



46887

ExPH

Ambur Muslim High School
N.A. Sh. lost grant for building.
Is there any paper relating to this
in the office?



Item 5 on p174 of P
P/wh 16-18 of

E & P.H. (B1)
Please see the minutes of H.M. (E&P) above.
It is presumed that he refers to the Mahazarul Uloom
High School at Ambur. Sanction was accorded to the
payment of a building grant of Rs 40,000 towards to
the management of the Mahazarul Uloom High School
for the construction of a building vide G.O. No. 771, Edn.
Attention is directed to the note of H. 45 mb in that G.O.
d/2.4.48. There are no other papers in office regarding
building grants to this institution now go through for
it. This may be circulated to H.M. (E&P) with
reference to his minutes above.

24 JUN 1949

22/6/49
12876
22/6/49

Item 5 on p174 of P

24/6

Orders relating to the disbursement of grant
from time to time with reference to the
progress of work, restricting the total
amount of grant to Rs 40,000 the amount
specified in the G.O., can be issued by the D.P.I.

VR
23/6/49
H.M. (E&P) 24/6

It is presumed that H.M. (E.L.) does not require any further action in the matter. The papers may be filed with G.O. No 771 Edn d/5.4.48.

A letter has been received in today's Capital from the D.P.S. It may be put up with a note.

24/6/49.
24-6-49

25/6/49

C. No 487275 from the D.P.S. Rs No. 6718 D2/46 d/18.6.49. H-1-2 of

Please see the letter from the D.P.S. at H-1-2 of.

1) The Director has recommended the raising of the maximum grant admissible to the ^{scheme for the} ~~building~~ construction of a three storeyed building Scheme for the Margharul Uloom High School, Ambur from Rs 40,000 to Rs. 75,400/-.

p 2 of

2) The Scheme for the construction of a ~~three storeyed building~~ for the Margharul Uloom High School, Ambur was included in the list of approved works at an estimated cost of Rs. 1,68,000/- vide

G.O. M.S. 2164 Edn. d/12.11.46. The plans and estimates were then subsequently scrutinised and approved by the J.C.A. who estimated the cost of the scheme at Rs 1,50,800/-. While the inclusion of this scheme in the list of approved works conveyed no guarantee of grant, it rendered the scheme eligible for a grant not exceeding one half of the cost of construction as and when funds were available.

3) The question of providing funds for this Scheme was considered as a Part II scheme for 1948-49 along with other schemes and orders were taken in circulation restricting the maximum grant admissible to the Scheme to Rs. 40,000/.

The management of the school has now represented that with ^{the help of the} grant sanctioned viz Rs 40,000 only the ground

p 3 of

floor has been completed and that without further assistance from Govt. it will not be possible to complete the construction of the first floor and the rest of the building. The management has therefore requested that the maximum grant admissible for the scheme may be raised to the half the cost of the scheme viz Rs 75,400/- as originally stipulated in the order sanctioning the inclusion of the scheme in the approved list and that the balance of grant may be sanctioned at an early date to enable the completion of the construction of the building. The Director of Public Instruction has recommended the request for the raising of the grant for the reasons stated in his letter at pp 1-2 of.

pp 12-13 of (D)

4) It was the general practice formerly to make grants to building schemes towards one half of the cost of construction. As there were several applications for buildings grants, it was considered necessary to restrict ^{in 1947} the maximum grant payable towards any particular scheme to Rs 30,000/- so that more a larger number of institutions may be benefitted by these grants. This limit was subsequently raised to Rs 35,000 ^{in 1948} and under the existing orders building schemes will be eligible for a grant towards one half of the cost ^{subject to a maximum of} ~~not exceeding~~ Rs 35,000/- ^{originally} ~~however~~ In this case ~~the grant was~~ the grant was limited to one half of the cost of construction only. But when the question of providing funds arose, it was considered that the maximum grant admissible should be ^{limited to} ~~fixed at~~ Rs 40,000/ ^{even though the limit at that time was Rs 30,000} Attention is solicited in this connection to the notes on H-4-5 of G.O. No. 771 Edn d/5.4.48 and the orders thereon of the then H.M. (Edn). ~~It would appear, however, that there is some justification for the management to anticipate a half grant. In view of this and in view of the~~

Mem 27236 B, 47-17 Edn. 15-6-48 not put up.

(D) H-12-13 of

K

(P)

Compare Secretary's remarks on p 5 of (P)

4
recommendations of the Director, it is for consideration whether the management may be sanctioned a grant on the half cost basis with and whether the D.P.I. may be requested to ^{provide for the payment of the balance of grant} ~~disburse the difference in the current~~ ^{in 1950-51} coming years due in the budget estimate for 1950-51 - Part I.

5) The file may be sent to Fin. v.o for remarks prior to circulation

28/6/49
1282
23 Aug
This case is parallel to the one disposed of in G.O.No.3125, Education, dated 8-12-48. In 1946, sanction was accorded to the inclusion in the list of approved schemes ~~for~~ the scheme relating to the construction of additional hostels for the Pachaiyappas College at an estimated cost of Rs. 2,39,540/- and the scheme was rendered eligible for a half-grant of Rs. 1,19,770/-.

Subsequently, the D.P.I. was asked to obtain detailed plans and Estimates, arranged for their scrutiny and determine the amount of grant admissible in respect of the scheme. The Consulting Architect to Government

after scrutinizing the plans and estimates, recommended the approval of the scheme for Rs. 2,68,580/-. The D.P.I. recommended the payment of a half-grant of Rs. 1,34,290/- based on the revised estimate. The

question was examined in consultation with Finance and it was decided that the monetary limit of Rs. 75,000/- payable as Building Grant for ^{I grade} Colleges should be

applied in this case notwithstanding the promise of a half-grant made in 1946. The grant has accordingly

been fixed at Rs. 75,000/-. However, the Govt. of India have been addressed for ^{the} inclusion of the Scheme

in the Post-war Development Programme so that the institution may get some more grant. Their reply to the reference is awaited.

mt 2 cl
Please see below



5.
It does not appear that there is a case for accepting the recommendations of the D.P.I., that the building grant for the Ambur High School may be fixed at Rs. 75,400/-. The management has already drawn a grant of Rs. 40,000/- ~~already~~ sanctioned in G.O. No. 771, Education, dated 5-4-48. The request for an additional grant of Rs. 35,400/- may perhaps be negatived. Finance may see the case prior to circulation.

29-6-49
S/F
I am afraid there is a very definite commitment which has been communicated to the school, and which cannot be affected by subsequent general rules made by Govt.

30/6/49
Finance (Exp.A) Dept.

20/6
E & P.H. v.o No.

46887 B/49-1Edn.
30-6-49

Please see the letter from the D.P.I. on pp.1-2 C.F. and the note of E & P.H. on pp.2-5 above.

2. It is no doubt true that the Institution was informed that it would be eligible for a grant equal to one half of the estimated cost of the scheme. But at the same time it was made clear in the orders admitting the scheme to a grant from ^{Provincial} ~~Provincial~~ funds that it did not convey any guarantee of a grant. The intention is apparently that the payment and amount of grant will depend on the availability of funds and the orders governing such grant at the time of its sanction. In fact the then Secretary, E & P.H., was of the opinion that the Institution may be allowed a half grant on the

para 1, pp 12-13 CF.

It also C, P. 5. 1/2

certainty
Aint so sure
was no
unqualified promise
of 1/2
7.7.49

Separate file

basis of the sanctioned estimate of Rs.1,50,800, i.e. limit Rs.75,400. But it was decided in circulation to ~~the~~ grant to Rs.40,000. Further if a departure is made in this case, it is likely to give rise to similar requests from other Institutions. In the circumstances, this Department may advise against the Institution being given any further grant. ^{with case} of Rs.35,400 as proposed by the D.P.I. and supported by E & P.H.

AA B
5749 5.7.49

Cr
5.7.49
7.7.49
E & P.H.

Fin. no. 43451/ED-1
7.7.49



Please see the Director's letter on pages 1-2 of the notes from page 4 ante. The point for decision is whether the maximum grant payable towards the cost of construction of a building for the Mazharul Uloom High School, Ambur, should be raised from Rs 40,000 to Rs 75,400 equal to one half of the cost as originally promised or not. Finance Dept advise against the institution being given any further grant as it is likely to give rise to similar requests from other institutions. For orders whether the suggestion of Finance will be accepted. The file may be circulated to HM (Edn) if the proposal to raise the maximum is to be pressed, the file may be circulated to HM (Fin) also.

usr
8/7/49

u
8-7-49

S HM (Edn)

It is difference to agree with Finance that there was no promise. The grant of Rs 75,400 was stated would become eligible as soon as funds became available. We

(Page 12-13 of D)

CND. 46887B/49

can therefore start off payment, but cannot avoid it further

14.7 (E & L)



It is wrong to repudiate promises and commitments. The D.P.I. recommendation may be approved


Maybe circulated to HM (Fin) also before order approving the Director's proposal is issued

usr
9-7-49

u
11-7-49

S 11/7 (Fin)

May be paid in next financial year. 13.7



A draft order is submitted below for approval. Finance may see before issue


usr
13-7-49

u
14-7-49

S 15/7 Fin

Fin (Exp A)

(Previous Finance note on pp 5-6 above) The orders in circulation above and the draft order may be perused.




AA B
16749 16.7.49

Cr
16.7.49

Fin. no. 46662/29-1
16.7.49

Issue
18/7/49



Notes on the history of the University of California

1911

1911

A short history of the University of California

1911

1911

1911

1911

9