

Education and Public Health

(194 9) Department.

Ms. SERIES:

G.O. NO. 2109 Edn.,

Dated 30-6-1949.

*closed
x
Snpd*

(5)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Government Press-Arrear claim Pay and increments-Cases of Junior Foremen, Government Press, Madras-Investigation by the Accountant-General-Authorised.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government Press,

Endt.No.S.B.C/Mis/49-50, dated 10-6-1949.



Submitted to the Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department, Fort St. George, Madras
for favour of orders.

Enc. 9.

Madras, 10-6-49.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.


R. S. S. S.
10.6.49.
Do Superintendent.
1949.

45783

2

Office of the Accountant General, Madras
 TA S & P 215 a 6.6.49
 6.6.49

To
 The Superintendent,
 Government Press,
 Madras.




Sub:- Arrear claim of increments - delay of ^{over three years} in submitting for sanction - cases of ^{govt. employees} ~~govt. employees~~ ^{Madras}

Ref:- Your letter no. S.B.C./Ms/d 13.5.49. 3

Your letter no. S.B.C./Ms/d 13.5.49, on the subject indicated above, is enclosed. It is not known why the paper is submitted through this office. Attention is invited to Art 54 of G.O. I, Vol I, ^{according to which} the arrear claim ^{has to} be investigated only under the special orders of Government, ^{when} the period of claim is over three years old. The receipt of the letter may kindly be acknowledged.

M. J. Mandal
 Asst. Secy. to Govt.

To
 3/6/49
 3/6



9/11/49
9/11/49
9/11/49

Draft submitted for approval, Mr.

3/19/49

Sent to Mr. V.O.

It seems to me that a certain form
of order is not necessary in this
case. I am not sure about this.

in 7/38. Further, the order above
was written by the Director on 13.11.37 when the
A.O. quoted in the order above was issued and
it is not clear from the file in question
that Mr. Hingston has retired.

I do not think we need raise this question. However,

the amount should not be recorded for
we may perhaps ask the Director why
cannot be recorded for the period.

on his own responsibility if the amount
made for. He does not say the amount
under which the necessary should not be
shown upon to be any rule or order
not correct. Known. This does not
the Director at the instance of Hingston, one
of the reasons for the order (the order made
should be recorded for the period by the
Director upon that the order of 15
of the Director and not for Mr. Hingston
last year that the necessary is due for
well as for Hingston, in 8th period
the group of the current year as

It is clear from paragraph 11 (b)
that the group of the current year as
well as for Hingston, in 8th period
last year that the necessary is due for
of the Director and not for Mr. Hingston
the order (the order made
should be recorded for the period by the
Director upon that the order of 15
of the Director and not for Mr. Hingston
last year that the necessary is due for
well as for Hingston, in 8th period
the group of the current year as

not cover
13.7.38
the order
of the
Director
on 13.11.37
when the
A.O. quoted
in the order
above was
issued and
it is not
clear from
the file in
question
that Mr.
Hingston
has retired.

2
A

E.P.H.C.

Sub: Govt. Press - Arrear claims - Pay and increments - cases of
Junior Foremen Govt. Press - Madras - Investigation by the A.C.
Authorized.

Ref: From the S.G.P. No S.B.C/Mis/49.50.8.13.5.49,

9/20/49

ORDER (Ms) No 2109 Edn 2 30.6.49

The Accountant General is authorized
to investigate the claims of Sri. G. Venkataramany, Sri. R. Dama-
daram, Sri. T.P. Sarathy, Sri. C. Srinamulu & Sri. P.S. Kulasakam
Junior Foremen, Govt. Press Madras for arrears of pay and
increment and to pay them the arrears if admissible from
1.1.1949.

The S.G.P.
The A.C. (Whithend)
#58 (f)

20/6/49

20/6/49

ON. Please see the letter from the S.G.P. in ~~the~~ on
to S.G.P. As the claims of the five Junior Foremen, Govt. Press,
referred to therein are reasonable the A.C. may be requested
to investigate the arrear claim. As for the payment of arrears
the A.C. may be authorized to pay the arrear claims only from
1.1.1949. The draft order above is for approval. 1-1-49
Finance may see.

20/6/49

20/6/49

Finance
(S.G.P.)

E.P.H. V.D. 4578302/49
20/6/49.



Finance 41566/49



The draft order above may
be accepted.

20/6/49

E.P.H.

Issue
20/6/49

No. T.2. A. S & P. II-59.

Office of the Accountant General, Madras.
Fort St. George, Dated 5-10-1949.

To The Superintendent,
Government Press, Madras.

Subject: Arrear claim of increments in the case of junior foremen,
Government Press - Delay over three years etc.,

Refce: Your letter No. S.B.C./Mis./dated 13-5-1949 and G.O. 2109,
Edn., dated 30-6-1949.

.....
The cases of Junior Foremen, for whom revised fixation of pay from 1-1-1947 has been effected by you are dealt with below.

1. Sri. G. Venkataraman: The fixation of his pay at Rs. 54/- in the time scale, from 1-1-1947 is in order. But in the explanation given in the letter the dates of accrual of increment to him have been noted as 11-11-44 and 11-11-46 instead of as 13-11-44 and 13-11-46. It is presumed that this a mistake in typing. Please confirm.

2. Sri. R. Damodaram: His pay should be fixed at Rs. 48 from 1-1-47 and the period of 10 months from 1-3-46 to 31-12-46 that has not already been counted for increment in the ~~mix~~ existing scale (in the stage of 37-48-60) may be counted for increment in the revised scale under rule 9 (e) of G.O. 78 Finance dated 6-2-47. His next increment raising his pay to Rs. 51 will therefore accrue on 1-3-47.

In the fixation statement, the date of his entry in the service as junior foreman, has been noted as 1-4-44 instead of 1-3-44 which is presumed to be the correct date and in the explanation given on page 2 of your letter under reference, the date of increment has been wrongly noted as 1-4-46 instead of 1-3-46. The presumption may please be confirmed and necessary corrections carried out and revised fixation statement sent to this office.

3. T.P. Sarathy. His case is similar to that of item (2) above. The initial pay to be allowed to him from 1-1-1948 will be Rs. 48/- and the date of next increment raising his pay to Rs. 51/- in the revised scale will be 27-5-47 only subject to other conditions for the drawal of increment being fulfilled.

4. C. Sriramulu and P.S. Kulasekharan. The cases of Sriramulu and Kulasekharan, are also identical with the two cases detailed below. Their pay should be fixed at Rs. 48/- from 1-1-1947 and the date of their next increment being 23-6-47. In the case of C. Sriramulu, it is presumed that the concession of counting duty towards increment in the scale of junior foremen while he was in A.R.P. duty and while his junior Kulasekharan was given officiating promotion, is allowed under the proviso below Fundamental Rule 30. Please confirm.

Your letter quoted above together with the enclosures attached thereto, are returned for carrying out the corrections pointed out, and it is requested that revised fixation statements may be sent for the cases under reference. The service books of the junior foremen referred to in items 2-5 of the initial pay statement may also please be sent for reference.

Sd. V. Ramasubramaniam,

Asst. Accounts Officer.

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Education and Public Health Department for information.

V. Ramasubramaniam
Asst. Accounts Officer.

EPA/cz

No action called for in this dept.

may be added to papers at S

24

24/10

