Sectt. II-27—60,004—17-11-48.

Edn. & P.H. (1949.) Department.

MS. SERIES

G.O. NO. 1523 (Edn.)

Dated 13. 5. 1949.

p67 Put away & Supdl.

O per RS

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Education - Opening of elementary schools in school-less villagers with population of 500 & above - Part II scheme for 1949-50 - Not approved.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Spl

E.P.C. A Dep.
B2

Mem. 26973/48-1, B2. Edn. 15-4-48.

Schools – opening of elementary schools

The attention of the D.P.I. is invited to the minutes of H.M. (Edn) dated 12.4.48 on the subject noted above (copy sent to him direct) and he is requested to expeditiously forward the particulars required therein with his remarks on the proposal for opening of an elementary school in each and every village with a population of over 500 in which there is none at present.

14/4/48

14/4/48

Dpd [illegible]

To The D.P.I. 16.4.48

14-4-48.

Rem. 1.5.48.

C.F. 32.

Department

From (Name) Sri D. S. Reddi M.A., (Oxon).,

Designation Director of Public Instruction,

Station Madras.

Received Registered

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health
Department, Madras.

Enclosures :

C.No. No. 854-B.1/48 dated 16-4-1948

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
20 APR 1943
28837
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Sir,

Sub: Number of villages in each taluk having a population of more than 500 unprovided with schools - Statistics regarding the -

- --

With reference to the note dated 12-4-'48 of the Hon'ble the Minister for Education, I enclose herewith a statement giving the number of villages in each educational district with a population of more than 500 which are not provided with schools. The information furnished is as per the annual return No. 15 relating to Elementary Schools for Boys for 1946-47.

Details of the villages talukwar have been called for from the Inspecting Officers and will be submitted to Government on receipt.

VRR 19/4

for Director of Public Instruction.

Srd.19.4. 19/4

Number of Villages or groups of Hamlets having a Population of More than 500 unprovided with Schools.

District		
Vizagapatam North	307	
South	310	
West Godavari	...	
Godavari East	19	
Kistna	37	
Guntur North	42	
South	33	
Kurnool	137	
Bellary	208	
Anantapur	166	
Cuddappa	60	
Nellore	324	
Madras	---	
Chingleput	250	+7
North Arcot	---	
Chittoor	199	
South Arcot	401	390
Tanjore	278	
Trichy	212	
Madura	156	194
Ramnad	181	+7
Tinnevelly East	44	
" West	~~135~~ 25	+1
Coimbatore	257	
Salem	253	
The Nilgiris	..	
Malabar North	133	
South	6	
South Kanara	214.	
Total	3.364	

Particulars relating to the districts have not been correctly reported and hence no figures have been furnished.

19/4
For Director of Public Instruction.

sl
19/4

Issue today
Ms
22/4/48

p3 CF

E. & P. H. Dept.

D.O. No.26973-32/48-Edn., dated 22-4-48

Dear Mr. Reddy,

SUB:- NUMBER OF VILLAGES HAVING A POPULATION OF MORE THAN 500 NOT PROVIDED WITH ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS.

REF: Your letter No.854 P1/48, dated 16th April 1948.

***

I am to enclose a copy of the minute of H.M. (Edn.) with reference to your letter cited and to ask you to furnish the information required by the H.M. at an early date.

Yours sincerely

ok
21/4/48 by

Despatched with encl.
22/4/48.

To

Sri D.S. Reddy, M.A.(Oxon.),
D.P.I.
(with a copy of the minute)

p2 NF

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
29 APR 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

6 B2 9

D.O.

Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, 28th April 1948.

R1409

Dear Mr. Subramanian,

Sub: Number of villages having a population of more than 500 not provided with elementary schools.

Ref: Your D.O.No.26973-B2/48-2, Edn., dated 22-4-48.

The information furnished to you does represent in the large majority of cases villages with a population of 500 and above. The hamlets included in the term "group of villages" are at a very short distance from each other and form, as it were, integral parts of the village.

The names of the villages are being called for and will be furnished immediately on their receipt.

Yours sincerely,

To
M.V.Subramanian, Esq.,I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government,
E & P.H.Department,
Fort St.George,Madras.

②

11

P.N. Chamu Nair, B.A., L.T.,
Divisional Inspector of Schools,
Madura Division.

Madura,

21--4--1948.

Respected Sir,

Subject:- OPENING of elementary schools in School-less centres where the population is more than 500 - Statistical particulars in connection therewith - Called for.

--@@--

As desired in person, I am submitting herewith a statement showing the number of School-less centres in respect of the Districts of Tanjore, Chingleput and South Arcot. The particulars from th other District Educational - Officers have not been received and the same will be furnished supplementally. The District Educational Officers concerned have been reminded by telegrams on 19--4--1948.

Yours sincerely,

To

The Honourable Sri T.S.Avanashilingam Chettiar, M.A., B.L.,
Minister for Education, Fort St. George, Madras.

C6 13

ANNEXURE I.

District.	Name of Taluk.	Number of villages having a population of 500 and more and without a single elementary school.
1. TANJORE.	1. Tanjore.	18
	2. Papanasam.	39
	3. Kumbakonam.	5
	4. Mayavaram.	38
	5. Shiyali.	15
	6. Nannilam.	22
	7. Nagapattinam.	17
	8. Mannargudi.	33
	9. Pattukottai and Arantangi.	73
	10. Tiruturaipundi.	18
		278 ✓
2. CHINGLEPUT.	1. Chingleput.	17 ✓
	2. Conjeevaram.	34 — 1
	3. Sriperumbudur.	24 — 1
	4. Saidapet.	16 ✓
	5. Madurantakam.	64 — 2
	6. Tiruvallur.	48 ✓
	7. Ponneri.	54 ✓
		257 ✓
3. SOUTH ARCOT.	1. Cuddalore.	22
	2. Chidambaram.	26
	3. Kallakurichi.	97
	4. Tirukoilur.	47
	5. Villupuram.	79
	6. Tindivanam.	64
	7. Gingee.	29
	8. Vriddachalam.	26
		390 ✓

Divisional Inspector of Schools,
Madura Division.

vl.21.4.

21/4

9

Edn & H. Dept (B2)

Immediate

Memo No. 26973-B2/48 Edn dt. /5

Subj: Schools - opening of elementary schools.

A copy of ~~HM's~~ the minute of HM (Edn) dated 29.4.48 on the subject noted above is ~~enclosed~~ forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction and he is requested to submit ~~a report of the information called for therein at an early date~~ the necessary proposals and particulars as required ~~indicated~~ therein.

To
The DPI
(with copy of HM's minute on p-3 NF)

Desptd
30/4

30/4

30/4/48

30/4/48

30/4/48

OFFICE OF THE DIVISIONAL INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS, MADURA DIVISION, MADURA.
Rc.No. 486-E2/48 dated 30--4--1948.

---@@@---

Sub:- OPENING of Elementary Schools in School-less centres where the population is 500 and more - Statistical particulars in connection therewith - Supplemental lists relating to the Districts of Tinnevelly East and West, Madura and Ramnad.

---@@@---

SUBMITTED to the Hon'ble Minister for Education in continuation of my D.O. letter dated 21--4--1948.

Divisional Inspector of Schools,
Madura Division.

To
The Hon'ble Minister for Education,
Fort St. George, Madras.

vl.30.4.
394

P.T.O.

17 19

ANNEXURE.

District.	Name of Taluk.		Number of villages having a population of 500 and more and without a single Ele. School.
1. MADURA.	1. Madura.	...	37 ✓
	2. Tirumangalam.	...	24 ✓
	3. Periakulam.	...	5 ✓
	4. Nilacottai.	...	18 ✓
	5. Kodaikanal.	...	3 ✓
	6. Dindigul.	...	36 -1
	7. Palni.	...	53 ✓
	8. Melur.	...	18 ✓
			194 ✓
2. RAMNAD.	1. Srivilliputtur.	...	NIL.
	2. Sattur.	...	9 ✓
	3. Aruppukottai.	...	71 ✓
	4. Sivaganga.	...	18
	5. Tiruppattur.	...	15
	6. Tiruvadanai.	...	9
	7. Ramnad.	...	29
	8. Paramakudi.	...	22
	9. Mudukulathur.	...	15
			188 ✓
3. TINNEVELLY EAST.	1. Srivaikuntam.	...	11
	2. Nanguneri.	...	9
	3. Koilpatti.	...	21
	4. Tiruchendur.	...	2
4. TINNEVELLY WEST.	1. Tinnevelly.	...	14
	2. Sankarankoil.	...	8
	3. Ambasamudram.	...	1
	4. Tenkasi.	...	4
			70 ✓

Divisional Inspector of Schools,
Madura Division.

vl.30.4.
394

194
188
70
278
217
250
3764
5141

12 21

Education Department

FROM
SRI D.S.REDDI, M.A. (Oxon),
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
MADRAS.

TO
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATION AND P.H. DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

RC.No. 854/B.1/48 dated 10th July 1948.

Sir,

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
52027
14 JUL 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

SUB:- Education - Elementary - Opening of schools in school-less villages with a population of 500 and above.

REF:- Minutes dated 5th July 1948 from the Hon'ble Minister for Education.

With reference to the above minutes of the Hon'ble Minister for Education, I have the honour to furnish herewith ~~a list~~ lists showing the names of villages in each taluk with a population of 500 and above without a school received from all the District Educational Officers except the District Educational Officers of North Malabar and South Malabar. These two District Educational Officers have been reminded to submit the lists immediately. The lists will be submitted as soon as they are received from them.

Yours faithfully,

12/7

for Director of Public Instruction.

KAN.12/7

12/7.

13 23

To H.Pr.

I was glad to see in the Press your statement while opening the Karaikudi College that we are trying to establish elementary school in every village. You will be surprised to know that there are about 3,500 villages in this Province with a population of 500 and above which has not even a single elementary school. We are trying to save by the amalgamation of uneconomic schools and inducing the Dt. Boards to make use of the money so saved for establishing schools in these school-less centres.

But you know the finances of the Local Boards are limited. The aided elementary schools are cheap and so I am sending the enclosed circular to all the M.L.As. and M.L.Cs., about which I have already spoken to you. This is to keep you informed of the step that I am taking in this direction.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
7 JUL 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TSA
6.7.48

H.M. Education.

Copy to Hm (Finance)
Copy to Secy., E.& P.H.

Fort St. George,
19-7-'48.

Dear Friend,

I am sorry to be in a position to inform you that in the following places which has a population of 500 in your constituency, there is not even a single school.

The Govt. are anxious to spread elementary education throughout the Province. The first step in pursuance of it will be to establish a school in every village which has no school. You know the finances of the Dt. Board are not sufficient enough to compel them to establish schools, but the Govt. is paying a very good grant for aided elementary schools. They are now paying Rs.25 plus 19 i.e., Rs.44 per month. Arrangements are also being made to pay it on a monthly basis. In these circumstances I shall be very happy if you take interest in the matter, meet the people of those places and arrange to establish aided elementary schools. The Dept. will give you the necessary co-operation.

The real difficult thing will be not to start those schools but to continue to run them ~~exxxfi~~ efficiently and if you can have influential Committees formed to run a group of schools and have them registered also with some financial backing, it will be a great help and will pave the way for permanence.

Looking forward to your co-operation in this great work.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Sd. T.S. Avinashilingam.

***

27 15

Staff for an elementary school		Grant payable if it is an aided school	Subsidy payable if it is a local body school
		Rs	Rs
Headmaster of the secondary grade	(35-45) (40-75)	480	690
4 Assistants of the higher elementary grade	(25-30 30-45)	1320	1800
Dearness allowance		1128	1176
Rent & contingencies		–	420
Maintenance grant to manager (15%)		270	–
Total		3198	4086

29

Year	cost of schools if opened by private agency	cost of schools if opened by local bodies (in lakhs)	cost if ~~one~~ half the number of schools is opened by local bodies and the other half by private agencies
First year	4.48	5.60	5.04
Second year	13.44	16.80	15.12
Third year	26.88	33.60	30.24
Fourth year	44.80	56.00	50.40
Fifth year	67.20	84.00	75.60
Sixth year	85.12	106.40	95.76
Seventh year	98.56	123.20	110.88
Eighth year	107.52	134.40	120.96
Ninth year	112.00	140.00	126.00
	560.00	700.00	630.00

30

E. & P. H. (B2)

D. O. No.

Dear Mr.Humayun Kabir,

REF: Your D.O. No.F.15-12/48-P, dated 14th July 1948 re: Questionnaire on ways and means of financing educational development.

I am to enclose herewith a statement containing answers to the questionnaire appended to your letter.

Yours sincerely,

To

Humayun Kabir, Esq.,
Joint Educational Adviser,
Ministry of Education
New Delhi.

---

17 31

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

FROM	TO
SRI D.S.REDDI, M.A.(Oxon), DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.	THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

R.O.C. NO. 854- B1/48 dated 5th August 1948.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
8 AUG 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Sir,

SUB: EDUCATION - Elementary - Opening of schools in schoolless villages with a population of 500 and above.

REF: My letter RC.No.854-B1/48 dated 10th July 1948.

=======

59134

I herewith submit lists showing the names of villages in each taluk with a population of 500 and above without a school in respect of North Malabar and South Malabar Districts.

Yours faithfully,

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Ram.6/8/1948.

(PTO)

Schools - Opening of schools in school less centres where the ~~m~~population is more than 500 -Names of Centres - Taluk-War - Submitting.

18

Name of District.	Name of Taluk. S.No.	Name of Taluk. Name.	Names of villages having a population of more than 500 and not having even a single school. S.No.	Names of villages having a population of more than 500 and not having even a single school. Name.	REMARKS.
NORTH MALABAR:					
	1.	Kottay.	1.	Puzhiyote.	
			2.	Perumunderi.	
			3.	Peruva.	
			4.	Mangad.	
			5.	Nellunni.	
	2.	Calicut.	6.	Puduppady.	
			7.	Edachana.	
	3.	Wynad.	8.	Edavaka.	
			9.	Chenad.	
			10.	Trikkaipetta.	
			11.	Ponginichikalloor.	
			12.	Chengadam.	
			13.	Pakkam Thara.	
			14.	Pulpalli.	
			15.	Purakkadi.	

II Statement:-
Schools - Opening of schools in school-less centres where the population is more than 500 - Names of Centres - Taluk-war called for.

Name of District.	Name of Taluk. S.No.	Name of Taluk. Name.	Names of villages having a population of more than 500 and not having even a single school.
SOUTH MALABAR.	1.	WALLUVANAD.	THRIKKALUR IN THACHAMPARA AMSOM.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

35

E. & P. H. Department

D.O. No. 49967-B2/48-2 Edn., dated 20/8/48

Dear Mr.Reddi,

SUB:- Opening of schools in scholless centres.

REF:- Your letter No.854-B1/48, dated 10-7-48.

p2 ICF

3/8
23/8

It is observed from particulars furnished by you that there are about 3,500 villages with a population of over 500 in which there are no schools. The Govt. consider that schools should be opened in these villages as quickly as possible. I am to request you to frame a scheme spread over a period of 5 years for providing these villages with schools. It may be presumed that aided agencies will come forward to provide schools in 1/5 of these centres; for the other centres schools will have to be opened by District Boards. The aim is that Each school must ultimately contain 5 classes. it is presumed that It will be enough if there are 3 teachers for a school though there are 5 standards. The estimate of cost may be worked out on this basis. I am to ask ~~you~~ that necessary proposals with estimate of cost may be forwarded to Govt. in time for consideration as a Part II scheme for 1949-50.

Yours sincerely,

20/8/48

21/8

To

Sri D.S.Reddi, M.A.(Oxon),
Director of Public Instruction.

24/8

32

132

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction.
Letter R.O.C.No.1397-B.1/48.
£@£

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

69356

MADRAS, DATED THE 14TH SEPTEMBER 1948.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 SEP 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC

Sir,

SUBJECT: Elementary Education - Opening of schools School less centres - 1949-50 - Part II Schemex - Budget provision.

R e f: Your D.O.No.49962-B.2/48-2 Edn dated 20-8-1948.

With reference to your above letter, I have included a sum of Rs.9,78,880/- in the Budget Estimate for 1949-50 under Part II scheme. The details are furnished in the Annexure (A) to this letter.

Provision has been made for 560 schools to be opened by the Local Bodies. As there will not be sufficient strength at the beginning in the schools, provision is made for one teacher only for each school. If there is need for more than one teacher, the demand can be met by supplemental provision.

560
140
700

Regarding schools under private management provision is made for the opening of 140 schools for the year 1949-50. A sum of Rs.1,40,000/- under "building" and Rs.14,000/- under "equipment" as x "non-recurring items of expenditure" will be required (Vide Annexure A) and this is included in the Part II schemes for 1949-50. As regards recurring expenditure (Teaching grant and Dearness allowance) no special provision is made as this can be met from the normal budget provision for the year. If any additional funds are however required, provision for the same will be made in the Revised Estimate for 1949-50. At the rate of 140 schools per year, 700 schools less centres are expected to be provided with schools by aided agencies during the 5 year period referred to in the Government reference quoted above.

Yours faithfully,

15/9

for Director of Public Instruction.

RVR 15/9/-

15/9

ANNEXURE A.

Details:

Local Board Schools:

Cost of salary etc. per school.

Non-recurring:

1. Cost of shed	Rs.	1,000/-
2. Cost of equipment	Rs.	100/-
		1,100/-

For 560 schools proposed to be opened Rs.616000

1,100
560
616000

Recurring:

Salary at Rs.30/- p.m. per teacher 30 x 12 ...	... Rs.	360
D.A. at Rs.19/- p.m. 19 x 12	... Rs.	228
Contingencies:		
Rs.5/- p.m. per school Rs.5 x 12	... Rs.	60
	Rs.	648

648
560
38880
3240
362880

Per school Rs.648/- for 560 schools ... Rs.3,62,880/-

Total:		
	1. Non-recurring:	Rs. 6,16,000
	2. Recurring:	Rs. 3,62,880
		Rs. 9,78,880

9,78,880
1,54,000
11,32,880

Schools under Private managements:

Buildings: For 140 schools at Rs.1000/- per school ...	Rs.	1,40,000
Equipment: -do- Rs.100/- -do- ...	Rs.	14,000
Total ...	Rs.	1,54,000

Teaching grant
Salary 140 x 25 x 12 = 42,000
DA — 140 x 18 x 12 = 30,240
— 72,240
or 72,240

for Director of Public Instruction.

15/9

Recurring
Local Boards = 3,62,880
Aided schools = 72,200
4,35,080
or 4,35,100

Non-Recs
6,16,000
1,54,000
7,70,000

(3) 2 (4) ~~33~~

D.O. Office of the Collector, Vizagapatam,
D/ 18-10-1948.

MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
GOVT. OF MADRAS

Dear Sir,

Ref:- Your D.O. dated 7-10-48 regarding the opening of new schools in school-less centres in the Vizagapatam District Board area -

----

I discussed the matter with the District Educational Officer, Vizagapatam (S). At a conference of the Deputy Inspectors of schools, the possibilities of opening of new schools with the provision available by abolishing superfluous posts in the existing Board schools and the savings effected by amalgamating 2 or more schools in the same locality were considered.

2. It is estimated that about 110 teachers can be released for starting new schools in schoolless centres. The District Educational Officer has furnished a list of 110 places where the schools may be started with due regard to the population, the isolated nature of the locality and co-operation likely to be got from the villagers in providing necessary accommodation for the school. In these 110 places, the District Board proposes to open new schools. Copies of circulars issued to the villagers and of the D.Os addressed to M.L.As and M.L.Cs requesting their co-operation for the starting of new schools are enclosed for favour of perusal. The schools will be started as and when accommodation is made available in the above centres.

3. Possibilities for opening schools by private agencies are hampered by the fact that the trained teachers prefer to work under the District Board as they can expect greater security of service under local bodies and as they know that their administration is regulated by definite rules and regulations. Hence it may not be possible to get trained teachers to open schools in all the centres.

A

4. If aided schools are to be opened in school-less centres the Government have to give grant-in-aid towards the salaries of teachers and maintenance of schools. If that amount is made available to the District Board, it will be

42

possible for the District Board to open more schools by utilising the savings caused by the retrenchment of the 110 teachers referred to in para 1 above, to meet the extra recurring and non-recurring expenditure towards the staff and equipment of schools. At present, the Elementary Education Department of the District Board is working with a deficit balance and it is not possible to spare any amount for the opening of new schools.

5. I would therefore suggest that steps may kindly be taken to sanction subsidies equal to the grant permissible for the running of new schools under private management so that schools can be started in the school-less centres a list of which has been furnished with your D.O.

6. I am writing to the District Educational Officer, North Vizagapatam to furnish information on the lines of the particulars furnished by the District Educational Officer, South Vizagapatam. On receipt of his proposals, I shall take action for the opening of new schools in the North Vizagapatam area also.

Yours sincerely,

(A.Uttandaraman)
Collector,VZP.

To

The Honourable Sri T.S. Avinasalingam,chettiar,
Minister for Education,
Fort St. George, Madras.

---

23 ~~43~~ ~~35~~

Copy of D.O. letter addressed to M.L.As.

A list of villages without schools in your taluk as per the latest Educational Survey is furnished below. The District Board in consultation with the District Educational Officer proposes to open Board Elementary Schools in these centres. The provisional list of centres is also appended. Preference will be given to centres where the villagers come forward with a rent free building enough for a school of two teachers. The villagers should undertake to provide facilities for the accommodation of the teachers also. They must be prepared to keep the school house im a good state of repairs for atleast 5 years at their cost. I request you to contact the prominent persons of these villages and persuade them to provide free buildings for locating the school. The villagers may be informed that as soon as they provide necessary accommodation the schools will be opened in their locality.

Please intimate the names of the villagers where buildings will be provided so that further action may be taken in the matter. Kindly apprise the local Deputy Inspectors of schools of the same. He will also do his best in getting the co-operation of the villagers for the starting of new schools.

/True copy/

Copy of circular dated 18-10-48.

--

Sub:- Elementary Education - Opening of schools in school less centres - Conditions.

The villagers and the village-headman of - - - - - are hereby informed that a Board Elementary school will be opened in their village if they are prepared to construct a building for school use as per the plan enclosed together with space for garden and playground and give it free of rent for the school. The villagers should undertake to keep it in a good state of repair for at least 5 years at their cost. They should also provide some accommodation for the teachers that will be appointed to the school.

The schools will be opened in these centres immediately the accommodation is provided. They should therefore intimate this office and the office of the Dy. Inspector of schools of the range concerned their willingness to provide the accommodation and the date by which it will be ready. On hearing from them, arrangements will be made to open a Board School in their locality.

/True copy/

To the Village Officer.
Copy to Tahsildar of the taluk. Immediate reply may be obtained and send before 15-11-48 whether the villagers are willing to have a school in their village on the above conditions.
Copy to Deputy Inspector of schools.
Copy to Firka Development Officer.

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

PART II SCHEME FOR 1949–50.

[SUBJECT.—*Elementary education—Opening of schools in school-less centres.*]

Summary.

Head of account. (1)	Items of expenditure. (2)	Ultimate cost. Recurring. (3)	 Non-recurring. (4)	Cost in 1949–50. Recurring. (5)	 Non-recurring (6)
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
37. Education—k. Primary—Direct grants to non-Government Primary Schools—A. General—I. Ordinary areas—(i) Excluding Post-war Reconstruction.—					
(1) Teaching ..	Grant towards salaries of teachers.	12,85,200	..	..	..
(2) Building ..	Construction of sheds.	..	7,00,000	..	1,40,000
(3) Equipment ..	Supply of equipment.	..	70,000	..	14,000
37. Education—l. Primary—Grants to local bodies for Primary Education—A. Local Bodies—I. Ordinary areas—(i) Excluding Post-war Reconstruction.—					
(4) Teaching ..	Salaries and allowances of teachers.	69,72,000	..	3,62,900	..
(5) Buildings and equipment.	Construction of sheds and supply of equipment.	..	30,80,000	..	6,16,000
	Total ..	82,57,200	38,50,000	3,62,900	7,70,000

Explanatory Note.

There are 3,500 villages with a population of 500 and above in which there are no schools and it is suggested that these school-less villages should be provided with schools within a period of five years by opening 700 schools each year. It is anticipated that aided agencies will come forward to provide schools in twenty per cent of these villages and the remaining schools will be opened by the district boards (140 by private agencies and 560 by local bodies). Each school is to have only one teacher to begin with. But the aim is that each school should ultimately contain five classes, with three teachers—one of the secondary grade and two of the elementary grade.

2. Subsidies and grants have to be paid to local bodies and private managements towards the expenditure on the schools. In the case of private managements, the grant payable will be calculated on the usual basis and the Director of Public Instruction anticipates that this expenditure can be met from the normal allotment for grants and if necessary, by reappropriation of funds in the Budget Estimate for 1949–50. He does not, therefore, recommend the inclusion of any special provision for grant in the Budget Estimate for 1949–50.

3. As regards schools to be opened by district boards, subsidies will have to be paid to cover the entire cost, i.e., pay of higher elementary grade teachers in the scale of Rs. 30—45 and contingent expenditure at Rs. 5 per teacher per month. It has been suggested by the Finance Department that the payment of subsidy to cover the entire expenditure may be limited to such of the district boards as are really found to be in need of a subsidy. Hon'ble Minister for Finance has agreed with this view. In other cases, the subsidies are to be paid at the rates that were in force when the system of payment of subsidies to local bodies was in vogue, i.e., at Rs. 19 per mensem per teacher plus Rs. 6 per school per month for rent and contingencies.

It is not possible to estimate now which of the district boards will be in need of a full subsidy. The matter has to be examined in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction. The estimate of cost given in the summary at the head of this note assumes that full subsidies will be paid to all the district boards.

4. As regards the non-recurring charges, the Director of Public Instruction, has suggested that provision should be made in 1949-50 for the payment of subsidies and grants to district boards and private managements for the construction of sheds and supply of equipment to the schools at Rs. 1,000 per shed and Rs. 100 per teacher towards equipment.

5. The provision required for the several items of expenditure is indicated in the summary at the top. This department recommends that the necessary provision be made in the Budget Estimate for 1949-50.

M. V. SUBRAMANIAN—3-11-1948.

11/11

very urgent

p 37 cf

Edn + P.H. Dept (B2)

Memo No. 49967-B2/48-4 Edn dt 11/11/48

Subj: Ele Edn. opening of Schools in School less centres

Ref From the D.P.I No. 1397. B1/48 dt 14.9.48

It is observed from the letter cited above that ~~in submitting the proposals,~~ the D.P.I has worked out the ~~recurring expenditure~~ cost to Govt. on the basis that subsidies will have to be paid to all ~~Local Bodies~~ Dt Boards to cover the entire recurring expenditure on the new schools. The Govt consider that though some concessions might be given in regard to the initial equipment to the Dist Boards which are not able to meet the cost themselves, the

52

recurring ~~grants~~ Subsidies payable should be governed by the ordinary rules and that if any concession is to be given, it should be confined to the Dist Boards which will not be able to open schools ~~with only the~~ with the aid of ordinary subsidies ~~Boards~~. The D.P.I is therefore requested to work out a revised estimate of cost on the basis ~~referred to~~ indicated above and ~~submit it~~ the figures send it to Govt before 5.12.48.

8/11 8/11/48 10/11/48 11/11/48

To

The D.P.I.

12/11

53.

D.O. 26 B5

Roc.No.4620/48-E1.

Kistna District BoardOffice,
Chilakalapudi, dated 14-2-49.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH
17 FEB 1949

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT. GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
[illegible] FEB 1949

Dear Sir,

Sub:- Education - Elementary- Krishna-District Board Opening of Board Schools in school-less centers having population of 500 and above.
Ref:- Your D.O. D/- 4-10-'48.

******

I have sanctioned the opening of new schools in all villages having population of 500 or above. The District Educational Officer, Krishna, writes that private agencies have been permitted to provide schools in 36 centres already and that I have to sanction opening of Board Schools at Eleven centres only which I have done. Thus all the 47 school-less centres in this District are provided now with schools.

12083

The following are the places at which I have sanctioned new schools.

1. Nandigama Taluka.	1. Brundavanapuram
2. Divi Taluka.	2. Gollapalem
3. Tiruvuru Taluka.	3. Anjaneyapuram 4. Kottagudem 5. Patha Konduru 6. Ramanagaram 7. Chinakomera 8. Gangadevupadu
4. Bandar Taluka.	9. Kona 10. Mekavaripalem 11. Koppalli.

Yours Faithfully,
14-2-49
Special Officer, In-Charge, Dt. Bd., Krishna

To
The Honourable Sri. T.S. Avinasilingamchettiar.,
Minister for Education, Government of Madras.
Fort. St. George, MADRAS.

VR
17/2/49

Edn. & P.H. Dept.

No action seems necessary. May be added to the main file

Xmitted to AS on 17/2/49

18-2-49 18/2/49

17/2/49

54

55

E & P.H. Deptt (B2)

T.M. by post No. 49967/48-7 - B2 - Edu, dated 18/3/49

Put up a T.M. reminder.

Dp.(i) 18/3/49

From

The Asst. Secy. to Govt.

To

The D.P.I.

Refce:- your letter No. 1397-B/48, dated 14.9.1948

re: Opening of schools in school-less centres - Part II scheme for 1949-50

No provision has been made in the budget for the above Part II Scheme. But H.M. desires that, under the powers vested in you, ~~and then~~ you should encourage aided agencies to start schools in as many school-less centres as possible. It ~~was~~ has ~~also~~ been pointed out that such encouragement may be given to ~~local~~ district boards also. But, regarding funds, H.M. wants to know whether the provision made in the budget for primary education includes something like a discretionary grant from which the expenditure on the opening of the addl.

a4 18/3

RC DA

21/3

addl. schools can be met ~~or~~ and, if not, how you will propose to meet the expenditure. Pl. make a report on this point.

It is presumed that normally the ~~[illegible]~~ amount provided in the budget will include provision not only for the existing schools but also for addl. schools which, the Deptt. would have anticipated, would be opened and recognised during the year. It is also presumed that there are likely to be savings ~~from~~ in the budget provision, from which the expr. on fresh schools (which the Deptt might not have anticipated) can be met. Please confirm whether these presumptions are correct.

17/3/49

17/3/49

~~[illegible]~~

28/3

Ed P.H. Deptt. (B-2)

T.M. ~~by Govt~~ No. 49967/48-8-B2-Edn. d/28 3/49

From

The Asst. Secy. to Govt.

To

The D.P.I.

x

Sub:- ~~Ref~~ ~~Your letter~~ Opening of Schools in school-less Centres - Part II Scheme for 1949-50.

Ref:- Your letter No. 1397-B1/48 d/14 9/48 and ~~this office~~ my T.M. No. 49967/48-7-B2 Edn. d/18.3.49

x

~~The reply should~~ may please ~~be expedited~~

Please expedite your reply to my TM. cited above.

29/3/49

Issue 2dp. pe. 29/3/49

Engaged. -

K.S.D 31/3

15/3 4

Issued

P.I. 7/4/49

n cw

MB 5/4

28/3

28/3/49

60

Extract of this office D.O. dated 28-10-1948 addressed to
Hon'ble Sri T.S.Avanasilingam Chetty, Minister for Education
to the Goverment of Madras.

---

xxx xxx xxx

4. If aided schools are to be opened in schoolless centres the Government have to give grant-in-aid towards the salaries of teachers and maintenance of schools. If that amount is made available to the District Board, it will be possible for the District Board to open more schools by utilising the savings caused by the retrenchment of the 110 teachers referred to in para 1 above, to meet the extra recurring and non-recurring expenditure towards the staff and equipment of schools. At present the Ele.Education Dept. of the District Board is working with a deficit balance and it is not possible to spare any amount for the opening of new schools.

5. I would therefore, suggest that steps may kindly be taken to sanction subsidies equal to the grant permissible for the running of new schools under private management so that schools can be started in the schoolless centres a list of which has been furnished with your D.O.

xxx xxx xxx

/true extract/

61

NIVARTHI VENKATASUBBIAH, B.A., M.L.A.
NANDYAL, KURNOOL DT.

30

Camp MADRAS,

31 18th March 1949

To

The Hon. Sri T.S. Avinashilingam Chettiar,
Minister for Education,
Government of Madras,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Dear Sir,

page 25 out

Sometime back you sent me a personal circular letter suggesting that I should take interest in the starting of aided elementary schools in our district. I am very thankful to you for the keen interest you are evincing in the spread of elementary education particularly in our backward districts.

While I am eager to encourage local people to form committees to start aided schools, having regard to the backward conditions in my district, I don't think much headway can be made in starting elementary schools by private agencies. I have examined the matter from the point of view of the finances of the District Board and I find that, with the slender resources which the District Board has, it may not be possible to start elementary schools in all the places necessary and as rapidly as we want.

The paucity of funds at the disposal of certain backward District Boards was recognised by the Madras Government even under the Advisers' regime and, with effect from the year 1943-44, special grants were given to them, including Kurnool District Board. The grants given so far are furnished below:

to Kurnool D Board

1. 1943-44.	Rs.40,000/-	G.O. Ms. 542/Edn.	dated 12-4-'43.
2. 1944-45.	Rs.11,288/-	G.O. Ms.1269/Edn.	dated 28-4-'44.
3. 1945-46.	Rs.66,740/-	G.O. Ms.1285/Edn.	dated 22-8-45.

not put up

You will see that, from the year 1945-46, the special grant was made a fixed grant. The schools which had been started with the help of special grants grew in strength, calling for increase of staff and also involving additional expenditure. So the fixed grant of about Rs.66,000/- has not been found sufficient. Actually, I understand, the Board is spending nearly a lakh of rupees on the new schools. I am very sorry that the needs of my district are not being

62

~~-2-~~

properly recognised by the Government who are treating our case with step-motherly ~~t~~ affection.

Though the District Board has been explaining its case for increasing the special grant by repeated representations, I find that the Government have not so far cared to consider the question. I shall be obliged if you will kindly give personal attention to this matter and see that an increase in the grant is effected so that the additional schools started under the expansion scheme may really function satisfactorily and grow. I shall be obliged to know what action has been taken on this representation of mine.

Yours sincerely,

N. Venkataswami

Copy to the Hon. the Premier, Madras.

~~63~~

Roc.No.1397-B1/48.

B2

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, Dated 5-4-49.

FROM

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department,
Madras.

9 APR 1949

Sir,

Sub: Elementary Education - Opening of Schools in School less centres - 1949-50 - By Local Bodies and Private Agencies.

Ref: Your Telephonic Message by Post No.49967/48-7 B2, Education dated 18-3-1949.

27782 pages 55-56 ante

With reference to the above, I have to state, that the Local Bodies have been asked to open schools in school-less centres by utilising the provision resulting in 1) Closure of uneconomic Schools, 2) Amalgamation of Boys/ and Girls/ Schools wherever possible and 3) Superfluous provision in the existing schools. But the provision may not be available to open schools in large numbers in the School-less centres. The Local bodies may require extra subsidy and they cannot meet the extra expenditure from the Elementary Education Fund of the District Board. The Local Bodies are finding it hard to have a balanced Budget and some of them have deficit Budgets. This is due in many cases to the introduction of the revised time scales of pay with weightage and annual increments and the grant of D.A.

Provision is made in the Budget Estimate every year for the existing schools and for schools in the compulsory area. No provision is specially made for new schools to be opened by the Local Bodies in the Budget Estimate for 1949-50. The extra amount required for the opening of new schools cannot be met from the fixed provision usually made.

Provision of special subsidy towards pay, Dearness Allowance and equipment will therefore encourage the local bodies to open schools in greater number of centres during 1949-50.

As regards aided elementary Schools, so far as recurring expenditure (teaching grant and D.A) is concerned, the additional expenditure in respect of new Schools that may be opened can be met from the normal provision made in the Budget for the year under 'Teaching grants'. I may mention in this connection that a sum of Rs.5/- lakhs over and above the amount normally required

S.S.
9/4/49

27238

(Contd).

:2:

0 32

is provided in Part 2 of the Budget for normal expansion of the department i.e., in respect of new Schools that might be opened.

However, in regard to the non-recurring expenditure for grants for buildings and equipment, provision is generally made in Part II of the Budget Estimate with reference to the Schemes included in the approved list by the Director in the previous years. As this provision is made in respect of certain fixed number of Schools, it cannot be definitely said that there will be savings from this provision for expenditure in fresh Schools.

Yours faithfully,

7.4.49

for Director of Public Instruction.

7/4

KT.6/4.

66

Revised Draft

67

68

Draft II

Edu. and P.H. Dept.

Pr. Issue
W.I.
12-5-49

Sub:- Elementary Education - Opening of elementary schools in school-less ~~centres~~ villages with population of 500 and above - Part II Scheme for 1949-50 - Not approved.

---

pages 37-39 and 63-65 c.f.

From the D.P.I., ~~Rc.~~ No. 1397-B1/48, dated 14-9-1948 and 5-4-1949.

pages 59-60 c.f.

" S.O., Dt. Bd., Vizagapatam, D.O., No. Nil, dt 20-3-1949.

13/5

G.O. (Ms.) No. 1523, Edu., dated 13-5-49

The D.P.I. is informed that the proposals in his letter dated 14-9-1948, ~~cited~~ read above, have not been approved by the Govt.

to be omitted subject to orders

2 ~~He may, within the budget allotment for non-Govt. primary schools, encourage the opening of aided elementary schools in as many school-less centres as possible.~~

~~2. The S.O., Dt. Bd., Vizagapatam, is informed that as no specific provision has been made for the opening of even new aided elementary schools, his request in paragraph 5 of his D.O. [to the former H.M.(E) dated 18-10-1948 cannot be considered.~~

13/4/49

OM
17/4/49

page 60 c.f.

To
The D.P.I. (Para 1 only)
" S.O., Dt. Bd., Vizagapatam (with ref. to his D.O. dated 20-3-49 to the former H.M. (Edn))

(2) 59 c.f.
1 spare

10/5

Draft II

Lr. No. 49967/49-10-B2, Edn 13-5

To
Sri Nivarthi Venkatasubbiah, B.A., M.L.A.,
Nandyal, Kurnool Dt.

OM
10/5

Sir,

pages 61-62 c.f.

Ref:- Your letter to the former H.M. (Edn), dated ?

regarding opening of elementary schools in school-less centres.

I am directed to state that the Govt. regret that, in the present financial stringency, they cannot consider favourably any increase in the subsidies payable to the Kurnool district board towards the promotion of elementary education in the district.

Yours faithfully,

17/4/48

Secretary, E. & P.H.

B2

We have accepted the position that every taluk must have a school and every district must have a xxxx college. I think it is necessary that we must go further and say that every village must have an elementary school. The D.P.I. may be asked to gather statistics about the number of villages in each taluk having a population of more than 500 including nearby hamlets which xxxx xxxx do not have even a single elementary school so that we may take up the question of opening elementary schools in those villages.

26973

If the statistics is ready in the D.P.I.'s office, they may be asked to send it immediately. If it is not available in the D.P.I.'s Office, I understand it is available in the Divisional Inspector's Office. Mr. Chamu Nair who met me today tells me that they can send the information in 10 days and so, this information may be made available to Government before the end of this month so that if possible the Government can consider the matter and issue orders about opening these elementary schools.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
14 APR 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TSA
12-4-48
H.M. Education.

Copy to the D.P.I.

A draft memo to the D.P.I. is submitted.

14.4.48.

14/4/48

p1 CF
p3 CF

(Issued memo 26973-B2/48 dated 15.4.48)
From the D.P.I. C No. 837 B1/48 dated 16.4.48

The D.P.I. has furnished particulars re: the number of villages in each ~~taluk~~ dt. having a population of more than 500 unprovided with schools. / The file

Particulars re: Coimbatore dt. have not been given owing to correct may be circulated to HM with reference to his orders above

The information that we asked for was the number of villages with a population of 500 and above which are not provided with schools. If it will mean groups of villages, that is a different matter. At present we may take villages with a population of 500 and more by themselves. The matter may be examined ~~and~~ from that point of view and the D.P.I. may be asked to make a fresh report.

The names of the villages in each of these districts may also be asked to be sent.

I.S.A

A draft D.O is subd.

21-4-48

21/4/48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
21 APR 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

(Issued D.O 26973-B2/48-2 Edn &
22-4-48) — p7 CF

Immediate

B2 35 3

P.P. 11-13 CF

Secretary, E. & P.H.

Please see herewith the report of the Divisional Inspector of Schools, Madura about School-less centres in the various districts mentioned in his report. It is really appaling that so many places with a strength of 500 and above do not have schools. I think we must do something to establish schools there. It may cost us a good amount but still it has to be done.

We have given certain concessions for opening high schools in different taluks where such high schools do not exist. In the same way, the matter may be investigated as to whether we cannot encourage aided schools to come into existence in those areas by offering certain special concessions for a limited period of about 5 years. This or any other method by which either local bodies or private institutions may be encouraged to open schools in these areas, must be investigated. The D.P.I. may be asked to go into the matter and put up proposals and also work out the financial cost so that the matter may be placed before the Cabinet.

I S A
29-4-48
H.M. Education.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
29 APR 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

P6 CF

From the D.P.I D.O dated 28.4.48

The D.P.I has since reported that the information furnished by him at p.5 ~~ante~~ CF represents in the large majority of cases villages with a population of 500 & above and the hamlets included ~~included~~ in the term 'group of villages' form part of the respective villages. It may be noted that in the cases of ~~the Dist~~ Chingleput and South Arcot Districts the number of such villages as furnished by the D.P.I and the Divisional Inspector Madura are almost the same. The D.P.I has promised to furnish the names of ~~these~~ villages shortly. In the meanwhile a copy of H.M's minutes above may be sent to the D.P.I and he may be requested to submit ~~the report~~ requisite particulars on ~~villages of population reported by HM~~. For orders

P5 + 13 CF

Subject to approval a draft memo is submitted

29/4/48

36

5

Secretary, E.& P.H.

Recently, we had started a file with regard to villages where population is 500 and more where elementary schools do not exist. This also may be kept in the file.

ISA
3-5-48

H.M.Education.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
4 - MAY 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
4 - MAY 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

32905

37 7

6 - JUL 1948

EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
6 - JUL 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

49967

Secretary, E.& P.H.

After the educational Conference of representatives of Local Boards and Municipalities, I had sent a note that there are about 3,500 villages with a population of 500 and above where there are no schools and that we should make an attempt to start schools in such villages. The Dt. Boards are not in a position to do so. I had calculated that the expenditure will be in the whereabouts of Rs.1000/- per school. That will come to Rs.35,00,000/-. We must make a programme for providing facilities for education in these places and I had suggested that these 35 lakhs may be provided in the course of 5 years at the rate of Rs.7 lakhs per year. I don't know what has happened to that. It may be expedited and put up to me so that it may be put before the S.F.C. and the Cabinet.

TSA
5. 7. 48.
H.M. Education.

A above

Submitted that no such ~~proposal~~ communication was received in the office.

H.M has estimated ~~that~~ the cost of opening a school in a village at Rs1000/- a school. To start with one teacher of the higher elementary grade will be sufficient for each school. The expenditure on the school will be as follows

Recurring

			Rs
Pay of teacher	Rs 30×12	:	360
Dearness allowance	Rs 20×12	:	240
contingencies	2×12	:	24
Rent	5×12	:	60
	Total	:	684

(16+4)

Non-recurring

Equipment Rs. 100

In the first year of the opening of the school, the recurring cost will be only for a portion of the year ~~only~~

8/

8

(8 months) There will therefore be a saving (about Rs 200) in the recurring expenditure (which may be utilised for the purchase of necessary equipment for the school. Thus the cost of running the school may be fixed at Rs 700/- a year as against Rs 1000/- proposed by H.M. It appears that the opening of the schools in schoolless centres should be arranged for through the District Boards alone. If private agencies come forward to open schools, they will be eligible for the ordinary grants admissible to them ~~normally~~ and the expenditure on this account may be met from out of the lumpsum allotment made for grants to aided elementary schools in the budget. (It seems also that a subsidy to cover the entire cost of the schools should be paid to the Dist Boards. The cost of opening 700 schools in the first year will be about ~~Rs 3~~ five lakhs of rupees. If a lumpsum allotment is made the D.P.I ~~will~~ may be asked to submit proposals for ~~the~~ opening of the schools in consultation with D.O's.

2. One point requires notice. As and when the strength of the schools increases additional teachers may have to be appointed. It may be assumed that the schools will be fully developed into V std schools with five teachers or one for each standard at the end of the fifth year. The ultimate liability to Govt will then be as follows:

For a school with five teachers

Pay of a teacher (30-45)	Rs
Average pay Rs 37½	450
Dearness allowance (22)	264
Contingencies	24
	738
For five teachers	Rs 3690/-
Rent	Rs 300/-
	3990 or Rs 4000/-
For 3500 schools	Rs 1,40,00,000 or Rs 1.40 crores

~~The ultimate liability will not be reached in the near future~~ I.M

S.T6. ~~3 [illegible]~~

9

38

3. It is not known when the ultimate liability will be reached. This largely depends upon the enrolment of children in schools. There is no information whether there will be a sufficient number of children of school-age in these smaller villages to give full strength in a five class school. Assuming that the process of development will be uniform the recurring expenditure of Rs.1.40 crores will be reached at the beginning of the tenth year, the cost in the previous year being less as indicated in the statement placed ~~x~~below.

X

(A)

4. The file may now be sent to Fin. for remarks before circulation.

S.S.
17/7/48

JSM
19/7/48

S
20/7/48

Fin.

Exp I(A) No. 49967-B2/48-1
20/7/48

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
24 JUL 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

52336 E.A

Finance (Exp.-A) Deptt.

See the minute of H M Edn on p1 above

The file deals with a proposal to open an elementary school in each village which has a population of more than 500 and ~~which does not at present have~~ where there is not even a single elementary school. On the basis of ~~xxx~~ an expenditure of Rs.700 per school, to begin with, the E & P.H. Deptt. have estimated the total cost involved in the scheme at Rs.5 lakhs for the first year. The ultimate expenditure on the maintenance of 3,500 elementary schools assuming that ~~all of them with~~ will have 5 classes is estimated at Rs. 1.40 crores. E & P.H. expect that this amount will be reached at the beginning of the tenth year. It is proposed by E & P.H. to pay subsidy to Dt. Boards to cover the entire cost of running the schools.

p 8 above

p 8 above

X above

Understood from E & P H

A

2. Prior to 1930, the Govt. were giving in respect of elementary schools, grants based on a rate of Rs.16 - 20 per teacher. After compulsory elementary education was introduced in the province, the entire cost on account of the elementary schools was met by the Govt. Now that compulsory elementary education has been discontinued, what the Govt. give to local bodies for elementary education as subsidy ~~xx~~ is calculated at the rate of Rs. 16-20 per teacher in addition to the subsidies payable to them to cover the deficits that may

pto.

10

be caused in the Elementary Education Fund Budgets, ~~to the extent of~~ as a result of the actual expenditure incurred by them on the payment of dearness allowance.

3. In the present case, E & P.H. propose that the entire expenditure should be met from provincial funds. It seems reasonable to ask the local bodies also to bear at least a portion of the expenditure, if not 50% of it. In the first instance, it has not been ascertained from local bodies that they will not be in a position to meet this expenditure. Attention is invited to the D.O. letter of H.M.(Edn.) to all Congress M.L.As. and M.L.Cs. on the matter at page 25 C.F. In view of this appeal it may be possible that some local bodies under the influence ~~of~~ of these gentlemen may be willing to take up the work even under the existing arrangement. Finance may suggest that the local bodies' attitude may be ascertained, before the proposal is pursued further.

A

p. 25 C.F.

B

D
27.7.48

4. In examining the question of opening more elementary schools in villages, two problems ~~confront us.~~ have to be solved. One is the question of finding the necessary teachers. In a period of ten years, we have to find 17,500 teachers. It is not known whether this could be done. Again, there is no evidence to show ~~regarding~~ that the ~~possible~~ required number of children will be available for ~~educa-tion.~~ running a school in each village. If compulsory elementary education is not to be introduced, it appears too sanguine to expect ~~to procure~~ enough children to attend ~~run~~ a school in each village consisting of a small population of about 500.

C

3,500 × 5
17,500

I suppose the no: of teachers will not be increased unless the strength justifies it.
28.7.

5. The proposal will be a 'new service' and the matter should be placed before the S.F.C. and the Cabinet if it is ultimately decided to implement the scheme immediately. Otherwise, ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ it may be considered as a Part II Scheme for 1949-50.

D
27.7.48

HA
26.7.48

E & P.H. may be requested to ascertain the views of the local bodies on the proposal. The proposal assumes that sufficient rented accommodation will be available to run a school in each village. If no such accommodation is available, it may be necessary to give grants for construction of necessary school buildings. It also seems necessary to consider

26.7.48

D

11

the two points referred to in paras 4 above before proceeding further with the scheme and to revise the cost of the scheme as a consequence.

H.M.(Finance) may see at this stage.

D
27.7.48

The letter of H.M.(Edn.) on p. 25 C.F. does not suggest that any change in the basis of grant to the Dt. Boards or private managements is contemplated. This dept. would ∴ suggest that though some concessions might be given in regard to the initial equipment to Dt. Boards which are not able to meet the cost themselves, the recurring grants payable shd be governed by the ordinary rules. If however any concession is to be given it ~~[illegible]~~ shd be confined to Dt. Boards which will not be able to open new schools with only the ordinary grants admissible. In such cases some estimate of the no: of schools to be opened & the extra cost to Govt. (both by way of ordinary grants & and special concessions if any) shd be framed before the proposal can be considered further.

28.7.48. E&PH.

Fin no 52336 E.A/48-1
Dt 28.7.'48

E. & P. H. Dept.(B2)

Please see the minute on page 7 ante and the notes following. The proposal in the file is that elementary schools may be opened in villages with a population of 500 and above where there are no schools at present. It is estimated that there are about 3,500 such villages in the Province. The intention is to open 700 schools every year and thus provide all the villages with schools in the course of five years. It is expected that within 10 years all of them will become complete schools, i.e., schools with V standards. The cost of the scheme has been estimated by this Department at Rs.5 lakhs in the first year and at Rs.1.40 crores at the beginning of the 10th year. This department have suggested that as the finances of the local bodies are not satisfactory, the entire expenditure on opening the schools will have to be met by the Provl. Govt.

2. If private agencies come forward to open schools in these localities, they will be paid grants from Provl. funds. The addl. cost to Govt. will get reduced. But in the case of private managements, practically the entire expenditure on the maintenance of elementary schools is met by Govt. The fact that private agencies have not so far come forward to open ele. schools in these areas shows that it is not worthwhile for them to do so. It will be seen from H.M.'s letter on p.25 c.f. that his intention is that schools should as far as possible be started under private managements. If, as a result of his appeal, committees are formed and schools started, local bodies need open schools only in those places where committees cannot be formed to run schools.

please see p30 CF

3. The Finance Secretary considers that recurring grants payable to local bodies for the schools should be governed by the ordinary rules and that some concession may be given in regard to initial equipment to those local bodies which are not able to meet the cost themselves. He also considers that if any concession is to be given to local bodies in regard to the recurring subsidy, it should be confined to those whose finances are not satisfactory. He also points out that an estimate of the number of schools to be opened and the extra cost to Govt. both by way of ordinary grants and special concession, if any, should be made before the proposal is considered further.

It may be pointed out that until 1930, subsidies were granted to local bodies for opening elementary schools.

The subsidies were determined as follows:-

F10

	Boys' school. Rs.	Girls' school Rs.
Pay of teacher	19	20
Rent and contingencies	6	6
Conductresses	-	3
Total	25	29

In those days, no scales of pay were fixed for teachers. The local bodies were at liberty to fix any scales of pay with reference to their finances. Most of them adopted fixed rates of pay for teachers and subsidies given by Government were distributed as pay to teachers.

4. The Govt. have since introduced revised scales of pay for teachers and the old system of subsidies cannot be adopted. It is also well known that the funds of local bodies are limited and the majority of them are working at a deficit, specially on account of the increased expenditure which they have to incur on the grant of dearness allowance to teachers and new revised scales of pay. The rate of education tax in the case of almost all Dt. Boards has been raised to the maximum possible. It is therefore doubtful whether the local bodies will be able to meet the additional expenditure on the opening of schools in school-less centres. No local body is likely to volunteer to meet the expenditure from ~~their~~ its funds and a reference to them on the point would mean only delay in considering the proposal.

5. As pointed out above, the intention is to arrange for private agencies to open schools in these centres as far as possible and to utilise the local bodies only in places where private agencies do not come forward to open schools. The subsidy payable to local bodies will be slightly higher than that for a private management and it seems that this cannot be grudged in view of the objective. It cannot now be anticipated how many schools will be opened

p31 CF
grant for
private school.
Rs 3200

Subsidy
for a
local body
school
Rs 4100

under private management and how many under local bodies. An estimate of the expenditure involved cannot therefore be framed now. To provide a school in every village or at least to give all reasonable financial assistance to achieve that end seems to be one of the elementary duties of the Govt. ~~In fact the Education Department have been aiming at this for the last 25 years.~~

6. It may also be pointed out that though for the purpose of calculation, it has been assumed that the ultimate recurring cost of Rs.1.40 crores will be reached within a period of 10 years, actually all the schools will not be developed into complete schools within that period. The estimate is based upon the assumption that all the schools will be under local body management. If all the schools are under private management, the cost in the 10th year will be Rs.112 lakhs. If half the no. of schools are under the management of local bodies and the other half under private management the cost will be Rs.126 lakhs

p29CF

7. Finance Department has also expressed doubt whether sufficient number of teachers will be available to staff the schools. It is possible that the number of teachers required may be available; even if they do not become available, untrained teachers may be employed till trained teachers are forthcoming as was done in the case of schools opened under the compulsory elementary education scheme. As regards the question of accommodation raised by Finance, it may be possible to secure rented accommodation in the villages; if not, sheds will have to be constructed, a subsidy being given to local bodies for the purpose. It is also doubtful whether an estimate on the lines of the Finance Secretary's note can be prepared easily.

8. The file may be circulated for orders as to:

(1) whether the suggestion of the Finance Secretary on page 11 ante will be accepted and, if so, the D.P.I. should be asked to prepare an estimate of the cost involved as required in the note or

(2) whether the proposal may be proceeded with on the assumption that private agencies also will come forward to open schools in these areas, that schools should be opened by local bodies only where private agencies do not open schools; that private agencies may be paid the grants

---

C.No. 49967-B7/48

admissible under the rules, and that local bodies may be paid subsidies to cover the entire cost of maintenance.

BM
6/8/48 S

6/8

These places are backward areas in which normally aided agencies are not forthcoming. I am also making a great deal of efforts ~~for~~ in encouraging aided agencies to open out schools in these tracts. We may presume that one-fifth of these school-less centres may be provided schools by aided agencies. The rest may have to be provided through local boards.

The no. of classes that we are aiming at is about 5 classes. Normally, we may presume that there must be at least 3 teacher schools to be efficient, even if the classes to be conducted are 5. The expenditure may have to be calculated according to the no.of years. The D.P.I. may be asked to work out the cost of the scheme as mentioned above and send it on to us.

TSA
11-8-48

A full scheme may be worked out to be considered as Part 2

RGA
15.8

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
12 AUG [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
1[illegible] AUG [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

With reference to the orders in circulation above, a draft ~~memo~~ do to the D.P.I is submitted for approval. The file may be circulated to HM (Edn) for perusal. ~~after~~

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
[illegible] AUG [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

17/8

BM
20/8/48 S

TSA
27-8-48

P.T.O.

From the D.P.I., ~~R.O.C.~~No.1397-B.1/48 dated 14-9-48. p.37/c

----

The D.P.I. has submitted proposals for the opening of new schools in school-less centres during 1949-50. It ~~was~~ is estimated that there will be 3,500 villages with a population of 500 and above which do not have any schools. The intention is that these villages should be provided with schools ~~during~~ within a period of 5 years. Under the proposals of the Director submitted with his letter read above, 700 schools will be opened in 1949-50 (560 by local bodies and 140 by private agencies) In the case of local bodies, subsidies will be paid from Provincial funds for the opening of the schools on the data given on page 39 of the current file. The intention of the Director seems to be that a subsidy should be given to cover the entire recurring expenditure on the schools. Presumably, the Director apprehends that unless a subsidy to cover the entire cost is given from Provl. funds, local bodies may not come forward to open schools in these localities. In the circumstances, his proposal may be accepted.

2. As regards non-recurring expenditure, the Director suggests that a ~~sum~~ subsidy of Rs.1,000/- per shed may be granted towards the provision of accommodation for the new schools and that an equipment grant of Rs.100/- per school may also be paid from Provl. funds. These subsidies are presumably the maximum payable from Provl. funds, the amount ~~actually~~ paid being limited to the actual expenditure. Here also the intention of the Director seems to be that a full subsidy should be paid towards non-recurring expenditure. The proposal may be accepted

p.39/c

3. As regards aided elementary schools, the D.P.I. says that provision may be made for the payment of building and equipment grants to these schools on the basis of the rates adopted for Dt. Boards. (He has also asked for an additional appropriation in the current year for payment of grants to 200 schools that may be opened this year. Please see the linked file.) As regards teaching grants, the Director says that it will be possible to meet the ~~xxx~~

p.37/c

expenditure from the normal allotment for 1949-50; if any additional funds are required, these may be provided by re-appropriation. In any case, the extra expenditure on account of payment of teaching grants will also have to be taken into account for the purpose of estimating the ultimate cost.

4. It has been ordered in circulation that a full scheme may be worked out to be considered as Part II scheme. The proposals of the Director cover the expenditure on opening 700 schools during 1949-50 with one teacher for each. If the scheme of opening schools in school-less centres is extended during future years also, provision should be made for the opening of 2,800 more schools. It may become necessary to employ additional teachers in these schools as and when the strength in them increases and warrants the employment of additional teachers. The Director has estimated the extra cost in the case of local bodies on the basis of the minimum of the time scales of pay. H.M. has ordered that three teachers may be employed in each school if the schools are developed into complete Five Standards Schools later on. Of these three teachers, one may be in the Secondary Grade and the two remaining teachers may be in the Higher Elementary grade. The ultimate extra cost on account of subsidies to local bodies may have to be calculated on the basis of the average in the time-scales. If account is taken of these factors, the ultimate extra expenditure to Government on account of the scheme will be as follows:-

(57½ + 75 + 22 + 38) × 12 × 2800

(40 + 55 + 22 × 36) × 12 × 700

Item of expenditure.	Subsidies to local bodies.	Grants to aided private agencies.
	Rs.	Rs.
Recurring:-		
Salaries & allowances of teachers. 3500 secondary grade teachers & 7000 higher grade teachers	64,68,000	12,85,200
Contingencies ~~on other items~~. ..	5,04,000	
Non-recurring:-		
Construction of sheds.	28,00,000	7,00,000
Equipment. ..	2,80,000	70,000
Total.	1,00,52,000	20,55,200

or 1.21 crores

64,68,000
12,85,200
77,53,200
5,04,000
82,57,200

P.T.O.

Though the cost of the scheme in 1949-50 is comparatively (above 83 lakhs) small, the ultimate cost ~~will be~~ will be considerable over a crore; but it is open to Govt. to discontinue the scheme at any stage if the finances do not permit of the additional expenditure involved. In this view it is for orders whether the proposals of the Director may be accepted.

5. The file may be sent to Finance Department for remarks before circulation.

28.9.48

OBM 4/10/48

S 4/10/48

E&P.H.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
89692 BE
5- OCT 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

49967-B 5/10/48

Finance (B.E.IV) Dept.

Part II Scheme for 1949-50.

Opening of Elementary Schools in villages with a population of 500 and above, where there are no schools at present.

	Ultimate Cost. Rs.		Cost in 1949-50. Rs.
Recurring.	82,57,200	M on p.17 above	4,35,100
Non-recurring.	38,50,000	-do-	7,70,000
			12,05,100

vide pencil entry at Y on p.39 C.f.

The previous Finance note on pages 9-11 above and the subsequent notes and orders in circulation may be perused. It has been ordered in circulation p.15 above that a full scheme may be worked out to be considered as a Part II Scheme. The details of the ultimate ~~cost~~ extra expenditure to the Government on account of the scheme are ~~stated~~ given at 'M' on page 17 above. The cost has been worked out on the basis that subsidies ~~should~~ will have to be paid to local bodies to cover the entire recurring expenditure on the schools. If the suggestion made by this department, viz., that, though some concessions might be given in regard to the initial equipment to the District Boards which are not able to meet the cost themselves, the recurring grants payable should be governed by the ordinary rules and that if, any concession is to be given, it should be ~~continued~~ confined to the District Boards which will not be able to open schools with only the ~~ordy.~~ ordinary grants admissible, is accepted, the extra cost to the Govt. on account of the scheme would be reduced. But E.& P.H. agree with the D.P.I. that, unless a subsidy to cover the entire cost is given from Provincial funds, local bodies may not come forward to open

p.11 above

N

cf k on p.11 above

schools in the localities.

Finance may suggest that specific orders may be taken in circulation on the~~se~~ point made by this Deptt; before a Part II Scheme note is prepared.

7.10.48

7.10.48

DW 6/10/48

7.10.48

7.10.48 E&P.H.

Fin. 89692 BE IV-1

E & P. H. Deptt

(Notes and minutes from p.11 ante may be read)

The file may be circulated for orders:-

(1) whether the proposal to open 700 elementary schools in schoolless centres in 1949-50 (560 by local bodies and 140 by private agencies) may be considered as a Part II scheme for 1949-50; and

(2) whether the Dt. Boards may be paid recurring subsidies to cover the entire cost of the schools or whether the full subsidies should be confined to the Dt. Boards which will not be able to open the schools with the ordinary grants admissible (p.13 ante).

'N', p18 ante

A

BDM 18/10/48

S

TSA

23.X

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
25 OCT 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

B.E. IV

B2

Secretary, E.& P.H.

This may be filed.

A. I believe we are putting up Part II schemes for starting schools in school-less centres . The suggestion at 'A' may also be examined. this note may be filed.

TSA
13·10·48

H.M. Education.

78784

A part II scheme note is put up below. May be sent to Fin for acceptance.

With regard to A above, as it has been proposed to grant subsidies to local bodies for the opening of the schools, the suggestion made by the spl officer Vizagapatam need not be considered. p41C7

29/10 29/10/48

2/11/48

3/11/48

E&PH no. 49967-B2/48-4
3/11/48

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
4 NOV 1948
96183
ASST SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

Finance (B.E. IV)

(Previous Finance note on pp. 18-19 above)

Please see the orders in circulation on page 19 above.

The draft note below may be accepted, subject to the amendments indicated in pencil.

5/11/48

6.11.48.

E & P. H. may please ask the D.P.I. to work ~~out a~~ revised estimate of cost on the basis agreed to by H.M. Finance — full subsidy only to those Dt. Boards which cannot open schools with ordinary grants —. The information will be useful at the time of the consideration of the Part II Scheme by the S.F.C & the Cabinet in January '49 & may be ready before then. The Part II Scheme note need not be held up for this.

6.11.48

E&PH

E&PH

82456

No. 96183 B2
6/11/48

21/11

E. P. H. (B2)

C. No. 49967/48

With reference to the notes of the Fin dept on the previous page a draft memo to the D.P.I is submitted for approval.

The part II Scheme note may be sent to Press for printing. (The additions suggested by Fin will be inked before ~~issue~~ sending the note to the Press)

Printed copies of part II note despatched on 22/11/48.

8/11 9/11/48

10/11/48 S 11/11/48.

Edn. & P.H. Deptt. (B2)

The Standing Finance Committee which considered the Part II Scheme has recommended that the Proposal may be negatived.

The file may be submitted to H.M. for orders whether the recommendations of the Committee may be accepted or whether he will Press the matter before the cabinet on the 27th.

25.1.49 25.1.49

26/1/49 S 26/1/49

H.M. (Edn.)

May be accepted

TSA
26.1.49

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
27 JAN 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

~~A draft order is submitted below~~

Extract from the Note for the Cabinet on "Improving Efficiency of Elementary Education"

PROVISION OF SCHOOLS IN SCHOOL-LESS VILLAGES.

We have provided for at least one College in each district and at least one high school in each taluk. We must provide for one elementary school in every village with a population of five hundred and above. At present, there are nearly 3,500 villages with a population of five hundred and above in the Province which are yet to be provided with elementary schools. The cost to provide them with elementary schools has been worked out in Appendix H.

XI. The question is whether the proposal in Appendix H may be approved and arrangements made for opening an elementary school in every village with a population of 500 and above which has no school.

APPENDIX H.

(It has been assumed that the schools will be started with a single teacher and that additional teachers will be employed one at the end of two years and the other at end of four years. Of the three teachers one will be of the secondary grade.)

Subsidies to local bodies.

Year. (1)	Pay of teachers. (2)	Contingencies. (3)	Sheds. (4)	Equipment. (5)
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS
First year (560 schools with one teacher)	3,29,300	33,600	5,60,000	56 000
Second year (1,120 schools with one teacher)	6,58,600	67,200	5,60,000	56,000
Third year (560 schools with two teachers and 1,120 schools with a single teacher)	13,17,200	1,34,200	5,60,000	56,000
Fourth year (1,120 schools with two teachers and 1,120 schools with one teacher)	19,75,800	2,01,600	5,60,000	56,000
Fifth year (560 schools with three teachers, 1,120 schools with two teachers and 1,120 schools with one teacher)	30,37,600	3,02,400	5,60,000	56,000
Sixth year (1,120 schools with three teachers, 1,120 schools with two teachers and 560 schools with one teacher)	37,70,100	3,69,600	..	..
Seventh year (1,680 schools with three teachers and 1,120 schools with two teachers)	45,02,600	4,36,600	..	..
Eighth year (2,240 schools with three teachers and 560 schools with two teachers)	49,05,800	4,70,400	..	..
Ninth year (2,800 schools with three teachers)	53,09,000	5,04,000	..	..
Ultimate cost on average pay ..	64,68,000	5,04,000		

Grants to managements of aided schools.

Year. (1)	Pay of teachers. (2)	Sheds. (3)	Equipment. (4)
	RS.	RS.	RS.
First year (140 schools with one teacher)	72,240	1,40,000	14,000
Second year (280 schools with one teacher)	1,44,480	1,40,000	14,000
Third year (140 schools with two teachers and 280 schools with one teacher)	2,88,960	1,40,400	14,000
Fourth year (280 schools with two teachers and 280 schools with one teacher)	4,33,440	1,40,000	14,000
Fifth year (140 schools with three teachers, 280 schools with two teachers and 280 schools with one teacher)	6,68,640	1,40,000	14,000
Sixth year (280 schools with three teachers, 280 schools with two teachers and 140 schools with one teacher)	8,31,600	..	..
Seventh year (420 schools with three teachers and 280 schools with two teachers)	9,94,560	..	..
Eighth year (560 schools with three teachers and 140 schools with two teachers)	10,85,280	..	..
Ninth year (700 schools with three teachers)	11,76,000	..	..
Ultimate cost based on average scale of grant ..	12,85,200	..	..

Extract from the Proceedings of the Cabinet Sub-Committee meeting on the 12th and the 13th January 1949

(11) Postponed for discussion during part II.

C.No. 49967/48

E.&P.H. Deptt. (B2)

Please see the extracts overleaf. The Cabinet Sub-Committee decided that the subject matter of this file be discussed during Part II. But during Part II discussions, the S.F.C. has negatived the proposal and H.M(Edu.) has accepted this decision of the S.F.C. – vide note and orders at page 21 ante. The issue of orders in this file need not, therefore, wait for the passing of the budget by the Legislature. A draft order is put up below for approval.

24/2/49

24/2

BM
25/2/49
S

H.(Edn.)

Date
26/2/49

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
26 FEB 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The D.P.I. has always powers to recognise elementary schools and admit them to aid when aided agencies are forthcoming to start such schools. While this proposal has been negatived, he may use his powers to encourage aided agencies to start schools in school-less areas.

A

The draft may be amended accordingly.

ISA
26.2.49

H.M's. minute 'A' above:- It is not known why specific reference should be made to 'aided agencies' alone. There seems to be no harm in the D.P.I. encouraging even local boards to open schools in school-less centres. ~~In this view there~~ The instruction to the D.P.I. may, therefore, be general. In this view, the draft has been amended suitably. It may issue now.

28/2/49

BM
28/2/49
S

H.M.(Edn.)

28/2.

I would infer that H.M's reference to 'aided agencies' is on account of the relative cheapness, very essential if the target of providing 4566 schools is to be achieved. If this is so, the

46

draft to the D.P.I. may be amended in respect of the portion in brackets as follows:

P

"He may, within the budget allotment for non-Govt. primary schools, ~~and~~ encourage the opening of aided schools in as many school-less centres as possible".

1/3/49

C.No. 49967/48

H.M.(Edn.)

I would like to know what is the Budget allotment for non-Govt. primary schools ?

ISA
3.3.49

The provision made in the B.E. for 1949-50 for aided non-Govt. primary schools is Rs. 3,91,87,000/-.

p 238 of Budget Estimate for 1949-50.

5/3/49

Provision for grants to local bodies for primary education is Rs 1,87,00,900.

p 240 of Budget Estimate

BM
7/3/49
S

H.(Edn.)

~~I would like to know whether he has an [illegible] for gaining for the~~

7/3

I do not understand the above note. Does this mean that this 3,91,87,000/- etc. which has been provided for non-Government primary schools are all intended for existing primary schools? If so, the D.P.I. will not have any chance of giving recogniton to further schools. Or, does it mean whether ~~this~~ within this amount, there is a discretionary amount given to the D.P.I. for the purposes of expansion of elementary education ? If so, what is the amount so left at his disposal?

A

B

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
11 MAR 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ISA
11.3.49

'A' in H.M's. minutes overleaf:- Normally, the provision is intended not only for existing schools, but also for the schools which, the D.P.I. would have expected to be ~~started~~ recognised during the year and for which he would have made necessary provision. | P

'B' overleaf:- It always happens that the entire provision is not expended. Savings are always likely to result. These savings (the amount of which cannot be anticipated) can be utilised by the D.P.I. for recognising and giving grants to more schools than he ~~a~~ would have anticipated ~~[illegible]~~ originally. As the proposals in this file have not been approved, the only method by which H.M's. orders at 'A' on page 24 ante can be implemented is to ask the D.P.I. to encourage the opening of schools in as many school-less centres as possible, subject to the provision in the Budget not being exceeded. The alteration to the draft suggested at 'P' overleaf may, therefore, be approved. | R | Q

May be re-circulated for orders.

[illegible] 12/3/49.

BM 14/3/49 S

P [illegible]

R - [illegible]?

[illegible] 13/3

The D.P.I. may be consulted on the minutes of H.M. at p. 25 ante. A draft T.M. is subd. below for approval.

[illegible] 17/3/49

BM 17/3/49

A

B

[T.M. by post to the D.P.I., No. 49967/48-7-B2-Edn., dated 18. 3. 1949] pages 55-5

[T.M. to the D.P.I., No. 49967/48-8-B2-Edn., dated 22. 3. 1949] — page 57

E. & P.H. (B2)

pages 59-60 ~~from the S.O., Dt. Bd., Vizagapatam, D.O. No. Nil, d. 20. 3. 1949.~~

Secretary, E. & P.H.

pages 59-60

Please see herewith a letter from Mr. Shenoy, Special Officer, Vizagapatam District Board. The matter may be considered and put up.

TSA
29.3.49

Hon'ble Minister (Edn.)

25273

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
29 MAR 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
1 APR 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

A.M. 1/4/49

pages 61-62 from Sri Nimmagadda Venkatasubbiah, dated 31. 3. 1949.

B2.

Secretary, E. & P.H.

pages 61-62

Please see herewith a representation from Sri N. Venkatasubbiah, M.L.A. The matter may be gone into and put up.

TSA
2.4.49

H.M. Education.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
4 APR 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
2 APR 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

26070

A.M. 4/4/49

The question of encouraging private agencies and Dt. Bds. to open schools ~~and~~ in school-less centres is under consideration ~~separately~~ ~~in [illegible]~~ — vide the notes and minutes on pages 24-26 ante ~~[illegible]~~. The D.P.I. has been addressed in the matter — vide pages 55-56 ~~[illegible]~~. The points raised at pages 59-60 may be considered after the receipt of D.P.I's. reply ~~in [illegible]~~ ~~and~~ to the T.M. on pages 55-56.

[illegible] 8/4/49

DM 8/4/49

EA

From the D. P. I., Roc. No. 1397-B1/48, dated 5.4.1949. pages 63-65 c.f.

With refce. to the minutes of the former H.M. (Edn.) dated 11.3.1949, at page 25 ante, please see the T.M. on pages 55-56 c.f. and the D.P.I's. reply on pages 63-65 c.f. In the circumstances stated by the Director, he can be asked to encourage the opening of aided elementary schools only, ~~within~~ subject to the budget allotment for the current year not being exceeded.

vide also 'P' on p. 25 ante and 'Q' on p. 26 ante

2. Attention is, in this connection, invited to the representations at pages 59-62 c.f. and the minutes and notes at page 27 ante. As the proposal in this file for levying special provision in the Budget (for 1949-50) for the opening of schools in school-less centres both [illegible] Bds. and private agencies has been negatived, the representations at pages 59-62 c.f. cannot be considered. The S.O., Dt. Bd., Vizagapatam, and the Hon. M.L.A. may be informed that their representations cannot be considered in the present financial stringency.

3. A revised draft order and a draft letter are sub'd. below approval. Finance may see before circulation.

13/4/49

17/4/49

Finance 21/4

D.P.I. 22/4/49

Edn & P.H. W.O.
49967/49-B2-9.
22.4.49.

Finance (ExpA)

[Last Finance note on p 20 above].

The draft order and the draft letter may be perused.

The proposal to make provision in the budget for 1949-50 for the opening of schools in school less centres both by Dt. Bds and by private agencies has been

SENIOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY, FINANCE
27238
25 APR 1949
DA

28/4/49

B2 H8

C. No. 49967 B2/48

A

negatived. It will not be proper therefore to ask the D.P.I. to encourage the opening of new schools even subject to the budget provision not being exceeded as it will ~~be~~ amounting to circumventing the decision of the Cabinet. E&P.H. may therefore be requested to omit para 2 of the draft order.

28/4/49

32719

Finance u.o. 27238/Exp A/49-1
28.4.49

V.R. 29/4/49

28/4/49

28/4/49

Edn. & P.H.

Edn. & P.H. Dept (B2)

Notes from page 21 ante may be read. The file may now be circulated to H.M. (Edn.) for orders whether the drafts below may be issued, omitting para. 2 from Draft I as suggested by Fin. at 'A' above.

29/4/49

29/4/49

S

H.M. (Edn)

May omit para 2 as suggested by Finance

9/5/49

H.M. (Edn) 11/5

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
29 ... 1
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

9/5

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
21 MAY 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT