

closed
un

Sept. II-27-50,904-17-11-46.

(K)

SDP. 41 (1949) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. NO.

1177 Ed

Dated

19-4-49

1971-238-
11-238
AM

[Despatch Abstract.]

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	487	edu	12-3-48				
	1431	"	2-2-48				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

L.E.P.H. Deptt (B)

File No. of (2)

682
1944

19/4/48

Read again G.O. No. 487 dated 12-3-1948

Schools. Secondary - Local bodies - Provision of half fee remissions to one-fifth of the additional income derived from the increase in the levy of instalments of school fees

Memo No 210888, 128 - 2 dated 19-4-48

The Govt consider that the grant of half fee remissions to poor children should also be allowed in the case of secondary schools under local bodies on the analogy of orders (2) The orders issued in G.O. No 487 Edu dated 12-3-48 in respect of the Govt & Secondary schools, as the

A on p. 11.

~~finances of local bodies will get additional income on account of the increase in the number of instalments of school fees by the addition of fee income and Govt grant~~

and on account of the payment of teaching grants on half the net cost basis. ^{which of the fixed lump sum subsidies} The number of

remissions that can be granted by each local body in every ^{secondary} school under its control will depend on the amount of additional fee income that will be derived from the increase in the levy of instalments of fees in each school and on the rate of fees collected in each class of the schools. The D.P.I. is requested to examine the question in consultation with the local bodies and to report the number of pupils to whom half fee remission could be awarded in every school under the control of local bodies to the extent of one-fifth of the additional income derived from the increase in the number of instalments of school fees, the award being limited to pupils in forms I to VI

To The D.P.I.
24/3/48
1482
24-3-48

26.3.48 S M

3 3

E 8 P.H. Dept
B

Schools. secondary. Local bodies.
provision of half fee remissions to one-fifth
of the additional income derived from the
increase in the levy of instalments of school fees
Refce: Memo No 21088B, /48-2 (ch d 19-2-48)

Memo No 21088B, /48-3 (ch d 28/48)

The D.P.I. is requested to
state when his reply to the reference
cited above may be expected.

16
29/7

11/11

26/7

To
The D.P.I. ✓
26/7/48
26-7-48

27/7/48

NO. 954-G.2/48

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, Dated 20-8-1948.

25 AUG 1948

From The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

63001 Sub: Schools - Secondary - Local Bodies - Provision of half-fee remission
Ref: Govt. Memo 21088-B.1/48-3 Edn dated 28-7-48.

Some of the Local bodies have not yet sent their replies. In respect of some of the replies received so far, details have not been given clearly and correctly. They are, therefore, being addressed to furnish correct and complete figures soon and on their receipt in this office my reply will be submitted to Government.

Yours faithfully,

CRPH Dept
The DPZ's further report may be awaited till 22.9.48.
25/8/48
26/8/48
for Director of Public Instruction.
23/8/48

h.s. ante
27/9
Ar 27/9
From the D.P.I., Rm 954 S. 2/4 Ed 208/48
Schools - Secondary - Local bodies.
Provision of half fee remission.
Memo No. 21088 B1/48 - 4 Edn. 27/9/48
The D.P.I. is requested to
state when his final report in the matter
may be expected.
To The D.P.I.
23/9/48
24.9.48

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FROM

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS

The Secretary to Govt.,
Education and Public Health Dept.,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Rc.954G2/48 dated 26-10-48

Sir,

Sub:--SCHOOLS--Secondary--Local bodies--
Provision of half-fee remission--

Ref:--Govt. Memorandum No.21088-B1/48-4 Edn.
dated 27-9-48.

Particulars are still due from some of the
Local bodies. On receipt of those particulars, I shall
submit my reply to Government in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

Wickham
for Director of Public Instruction

CLB.18/10/48

3/9/48

ETP # (A)
Please see the Director's reply of 7-11-48

Next reminder may be sent on 27-11-48

WAP
2-11-48
WAP
4/11/48

Plante

From the D.P.G. Rc. 954-62 dated 26-10-48
Schools - Secondary - Local bodies -

Provision for half-fee remission.

Memo: 21088-B/48-5 Edn dated 3-12-48

The D.P.G. is requested to
report the stage in which the matter
now stands when his final reply may be
expected

WAP
27-11-48
WAP
29-11-48

To the D.P.G.

C. No: 21088/48

9 EHP # (B)
Schools - Secondary - Local bodies - Provision
for half-fee remission.

Ref: Memo no: 21088-B/48-5 Edn dated 3-12-48

Memo no: 21088-B/48-6 Edn dated 18-1-49

The D.P.G. is requested to state
when his final reply may be expected

To the D.P.G.

Ans
20/11

WAP
14-11-49
WAP
16-1-49

WAP
17/11/49

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

R.C.NO.954-G.2/48

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, Dated 27---1---1949.

From The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.
To The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,

Subject: Schools - Secondary - Local bodies - Provision
of half fee remissions to one-fifth of the
additional income derived from the increase
in the levy of instalments of school-fees.

R e f: Government Memo. No.21088-B.1/48-2 Edn dated
19-4-1948.

It was reported to Government in Director's letter
RC.No.954-G.2-48 dated 20-8-48 that the Local Bodies were
being addressed again for furnishing correct and complete
particulars since it was seen from some of the replies
received till then that the details were not given clearly
and correctly. Replies are now still due from some of the
Local bodies but with reference to the particulars received
so far, a statement is prepared and submitted herewith.
Col. 4 of this statement shows the number of Fee remissions that
can be provided for each section in each form (of form I to
VI) in each of the Secondary Schools under the respective
Local bodies on an average. It may be seen from this
column that the number referred to varies from one Local
Body to another (i.e) 1,2, 3 and 5. But in majority of the
cases the number is 2. The variation is obviously due to
the fact that the amount of additional fee income varies
from one Local Body to another, the reason being that it
depends upon the strength in each of the section in the
schools which cannot uniformly be the same in all schools.
It, therefore appears to be desirable to formulate some
date of a general nature so as to fix the number of fee re-
missions for each section on the average.

But I wish to state in this connection that it is a
point for consideration whether it would be desirable to
extend this scheme to local body Secondary Schools also at
the present time and whether the question may not be deferred
for the time-being, if not altogether dropped. The following
facts deserved consideration in this regard.

Firstly the reasons for the increase in the number
of instalments in respect of Local body Secondary Schools
have no comparison with those for the increase in the
number of instalments in regard to Government Secondary
Schools. The system of paying teaching grant to local
body secondary schools on half net cost basis enunciated
in G.O.No.975 Education dated 10-5-1947 is intended partly
for enabling the local bodies to meet the extra cost involved
in regard to the revision of scales of pay of the teachers
in these schools. One of the conditions according to
which grant will be paid to these schools ~~WERE~~ under this

system is that each local body should levy ten instalments of tuition fees at not below the standard rates. Besides this, it is also stipulated in the said G.O. that the Local bodies should explore the possibility of increasing the income now derived under secondary education by increasing the rate of fees for each instalment or by other means such as increasing the endowments for secondary schools - vide para 3(2) of the G.O.No.975 Education dated 10-5-1947. All these indicate that there is a great need for the Local bodies to have more income to meet the several needs of the schools essential among them being the payment of salaries to the teachers at the revised rates; that increasing the number of instalments of tuition fees to 10 and payment of grant on half net cost basis in lieu of fixed subsidy alone will not help this problem.

Secondly, even assuming that the scheme should be extended to Local body Secondary Schools it is a point for consideration whether it can be done at the present time. The revised system of paying grants on half net cost basis has come into operation only recently (i.e.) from 1-1-1947 and grants have not yet been paid under this scheme even for the first time because the balance of grant alone will be paid to local bodies during the current year after scrutiny of the Financial statements. It is now only a transitional stage and some time needs therefore, to be allowed to the Local bodies to examine their position before they are asked to shoulder additional burdens.

Thirdly, even granting that the financial position of the Local bodies will not be materially affected by the revised system stated above, it needs to be considered whether the Local bodies should not have sufficient funds to meet the needs for non-recurring items of expenditure for the schools like buildings, equipment and playground. The present policy of Government is to limit the building grants on any scheme to Rs.35,000/- Rs.75,000/- to Rs.1,00,000/-. For equipment grant Government pay upto an extent of only Rs.5,000/- towards each scheme while the cost to be met on purchase of all equipment for schools in these days will be much more than this amount. The cost of playgrounds has also risen abnormally high and in many cases it is seen that the actual demands per an acre is by far higher than the limits laid down by Government for purpose of payment of subsidies for playgrounds. In all such cases the Local bodies have to meet the amounts required over and above the provincial subsidy only from their own funds. It may be that the Local bodies will have other sources of income but how far can these amounts be diverted towards secondary education in addition to meeting other commitments is also a point to be considered. If they really stand to gain anything owing to the increase in the number of instalments and the revised system of paying grants even after meeting the increased salaries of the teachers, there are many other demands towards the upkeep of the schools like the ones stated above facing the Local bodies and the surplus, if any, which they may have will be very little, when compared to the huge expenditure they have to incur towards these demands.

Fourthly, some of the Local bodies have already introduced the 10% scheme (vide Rule 32(ii)(b) of Grant-in-aid Code) in the schools under this management and according

to the revised system compensation has to be paid from provincial funds upto half of this expenditure. It is not clear whether Government intend to pay compensation likewise towards the present scheme also, but if it is not to be paid it is very likely that the Local bodies will make demands for compensation towards this loss also. Even though they may not make such a demand now itself they may very probably insist upon compensation atleast after some time when they find that their financial condition is not satisfactory.

The fifth and the most important point is that already Local bodies are giving fee remissions to poor and deserving pupils based on the results of competitive examination with reference to a scheme approved by the Director of Public Instruction. The introduction of this (10%) scheme is no doubt discretionary and so far, this has been introduced only by a few local bodies. But under the revised system of paying grants, Government pay half this expenditure and it is, therefore, probable that more local bodies will introduce this scheme. It is not, therefore, clear whether it is the intention of Government that 2 kinds of fee remission schemes should be introduced in Local body secondary schools - one under 10% scheme referred to above and the other under the scheme under consideration. The operation of two kinds of schemes for one and the same purpose will be superfluous apart from the fact that both these items of expenditure will be a burden to the Local bodies. The case of Government secondary schools is different in this respect also, because 10% scheme has been required to be introduced only in Forms IV to VI of girls schools and, therefore, forms I to III of girls secondary schools and Forms I to VI of boys secondary schools are not required to introduce the 10% scheme and, therefore there will be only one kind of scheme (the one under consideration) in regard to the latter which form the bulk of the Government Secondary institutions.

3 I wish to add in this connection that recently it was suggested to Government in Director's U.O. RC.No.2880-E.4-47 dated 13-3-48 (with reference to the minutes of the Hon'ble Minister for Education) that the introduction of 10% scheme should be made obligatory in Secondary schools under all managements so that 60% of the fee remissions under this scheme can be awarded to the children of secondary grade and elementary grade teachers employed not only in elementary schools but in other educational institutions also. Orders of Government on this proposal are awaited. In case Government agree to this suggestion all the local body secondary schools will have to introduce the 10% scheme compulsorily and it would mean an additional expenditure for the local bodies because Government pay only half of this expenditure the other half being met from out of Local body funds. In such a case it is to be doubted whether the local bodies can conveniently meet the expenditure under both the schemes. Though according to revised system of paying teaching grants half the expenditure under 10% scheme will be paid by Government (which facility was not existing before) only very few local bodies have introduced this scheme so far in their secondary schools (after the revised system came into force) which indicates that the local bodies are hesitating to commit themselves to this expenditure for want of sufficient financial ability and

such being the case it does not seem proper to require them to introduce not only one (10% scheme) but also another new scheme (the one under consideration) and that too compulsorily. It appears, therefore, to be sufficient if the Local bodies are required to introduce 10% scheme compulsorily as already recommended to Government in Director's U.O. RC.2880-E.4/47 dated 13-3-1948 and this will be serving the purpose of the scheme under consideration almost fully besides enabling the award of fee concessions to the children of teachers as explained below.

(i) 10% scheme:

60% of this amount for teachers' children and 40% for general awards.

The latter works out to

$$\frac{10}{100} \times \frac{40}{100} = \frac{1}{25} \text{th of the}$$

income derived by fee collections during the preceeding year.

(ii) Scheme under consideration:

Income by additional instalments means 2 out of 10 or 1/5th.

1/5th of this 1/5th income is 1/25th.

It may thus be seen that the amount in both the cases is the same though there may be some difference owing to the fact that the former is reckoned at standard rates ~~which~~ while the latter is reckoned at the actual rates and this difference is negligible.

I, therefore, suggest that the scheme under consideration may altogether be dropped once for all incase Government were to agree to insist 10% scheme being introduced compulsorily (as already recommended in Director's U.O. RC.No.2880-E.4-47 dated 13-3-48) so far as Local body secondary schools are concerned. If Government were not to insist upon 10% scheme being introduced compulsorily, then also the scheme under consideration need not be enforced in Local body secondary schools and it may be dropped altogether once for all or in the alternative postponed for atleast three years to come in view of the difficulties explained above. The reasons for agreeing to the introduction of 10% scheme for the local body secondary schools even at the present moment is due to the facts that it may not be burdensome to local bodies since Government bear one half of the expenditure; that it will enable the award of fee remissions to children of teachers and that the introduction of this scheme was already permitted by Government though only as a discretionary measure.

Yours faithfully,

M. S. S. S.

for Director of Public Instruction.

S.No.	Name of the Municipality.	One fifth of the additional income derived from the increase in the No. of instalments of schools fees in all secondary schools under the Municipality.	No. of pupils to whom half-fee remission could be awarded in each section in each form in each Secondary Schools under the Local Body on the average. if no differentiation to be shown between Higher and Lower forms	Total amount of fee income that would be foregone by the management on account of the proposal.
-------	---------------------------	---	---	---

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

MUNICIPALITIES:

1. Bimilipatam	457	14	0	3	405 0 0
2. Chicacole	838	0	0	1	
3. Rajahmundry	1059	0	0	2	1054 0 0
4. Ellore			9	2	
5. Palacole	1357	9	2	3	
6. Narasaraopet	510	5	0	24 Fee remissions (No. of sections in the school not given)	480 0 0
7. Tenali	491	0	0	30 Fee remissions (No. of sections in the school not given)	490 0 0
8. Vigapatam	165	0	0	31 Fee remissions (No. of sections in the school not given)	233 12 0
9. Nandyal	535	8	0	1	510 0 0
10. Cuddapah	1435	4	0	3	1327 8 0
11. Proddatur	1225	0	0	2	937 8 0
12. Anantapur	1950	0	0	16 Fee remissions (No. of sections in the school not given)	1920 0 0
13. Hindupur				2	
14. Tadipatri	985	10	0	105 Fee remissions (No. of sections in the school not given)	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Municipalities (contd.)				
15. Adoni	952	0 0 0	2	947 8 0
16. Tirupati	487	0 0	2	325 0 0
17. Tirupattur	830	0 0	2	720 0 0
18. Tiruvannamalai	1109	0 0	2	1095 0 0
19. Walajah	623	4 0	2	507 8 0
20. Cuddalore	258	4 7	1	240 0 0
21. Mayuram	3284	0 0	3	3191 4 0
22. Dindigul	801	8 0	2	720 0 0
23. Kodaikanal	1170	0 0	5	1132 8 0
24. Palni			2	
25. Virudhunagar	373	0 0	1	360 0 0
26. Salem	2100	0 0	2	1510 0 0
27. Pollachi	739	0 0	2	724 0 0
28. Tiruppur	1736	12 0	88 Fee	1604 0 0
			remission (No. of sections in the school not given)	
29. Palghat	760	0 0	1	760 0 0
30. Calicut	599	14 0	3	585 0 0
31. Kancheepuram	114	0 0	2	97 0 0
DISTRICT BOARDS:				
1. Vizagapatnam	7246	0 0	2	5774 8 0
2. West Godavari	8444	12 0	2	8377 4 0
3. Bellary	3024	0 0	2	2962 4 0
4. Kurnool	2864	0 0	3	2727 0 0
5. Nellore	5980	0 0	2	5760 0 0
6. Madura	3762	0 0	4	3662 8 0
7. Ramnad	2520	0 0	132 Fee	2515 0 0
			remission. (No. of section not given)	
8. Coimbatore	6722	0 0	2	6338 0 0
9. The Nilgiris	1151	0 0	2	788 0 0

Whiskered
for Director of Public Instruction.

22
EHPH (B1)

G.O. No: 687 Edn dated 12-3-48 is

put up in another file and which has been
circulated to HRL (Edn). This may be over

File 15-2-49

W.R.
7-2-49

21088/48

109
19/4
11-11-1708

18/4/49

To
the S.D.
12/4/49

13

23

EHPH (B1)

Schools - Secondary - Local bodies - Provision of half
fee remissions upto one fifth of the additional
income derived from the increase in the levy of
distalments of school fees - Proposal - Dropped

From the DPJ RC. no 954. G.2/48 3 27.1.49

Order 1177 Edn - d/19-4-49

In the circumstances stated by the DPJ,
the proposal that local bodies should be required
to provide for the grant of half fee remissions
to poor children ^(of all communities) in secondary schools under their
control upto one fifth of the additional income
derived from the increase in the levy of distalments
of school fees, will be dropped

12/4/49

12/4/49

Secondary schools
under local bodies -
Additional fee income -
Provision of half fee
remissions

The proposal in this file is set out in the summarising note on pages 4-5 ante. The further notes on pages 5-7 above may be read.

2. The file may now be circulated to H.M. (Edn.), H.M. (Fin.), Hon. Premier and H.E. for orders.

(1) Whether 726 half fee remissions amounting to one fifth of the total additional income derived from the levy of ten instalments of school fees may be granted in the 23 Govt. Secy. schools with Forms I to III and 49 schools with Forms I to VI.

(2) Whether the grant of such remissions to students in secondary schools under the managements of Municipal Councils and District Boards may be deferred for the reasons stated in para 2 on pp.4-5 ante, and

(3) Whether the suggestion of Finance Department that the remissions in Govt. schools may be given effect to from 1-1-48 instead of from the beginning of the current school year may be accepted - vide para 3 of Fin. note on pp.7-8 ante.

(If the proposal is approved the new service procedure will have to be followed with ref. to para 2(VII) of Fin. U.O. Note no.65500 B.G-2, dated 27-12-46).

K.S.K.
23-12-47.

S.S.D.
24-12-47.

M.V.S.
3-1-48.

H.M. (Edn.)
H.M. (Fin.)
H.Pr.*
H.E.

* * *

(1) The recommendation may be accepted.

(2) I think in the Local Board schools also the concession may be given. After all, we have put them on the half grant basis and this extra two instalments will also give them more funds. This is only 20% of the collections and so that can be done.

(3) May be given effect to from 1-1-48.

With regard to the new service procedure, the increase of fee was conditional upon this scholarship and so when we agreed to the increase of fee, this must also be presumed to have been agreed to. And so, I think the approval of Finance and Hon. Premier must be sufficient.

B.G.R.
12-1-48

T.S.A.
9-1-48

(2) It must be only 20% of the extra collections and not more. It must be checked, as to how many scholarships can be given by Local Boards Schools also may be intimated.

O-P.R.
29-1-48.

Circulation to H.E. may be interrupted with refce. to 'T' above: Finance may see.

M.V.S.,
29-1-48.

Please see the orders in circulation on page 10 ante. H.M. (Edn.), H.M. (Fin.) and the Hon. Premier have agreed to points (1) and (3) on pages 9-10 ante.

2. With reference to point (2), H.M. (Edn.) has minuted that the grant of half fee remissions may be allowed in the case of secondary schools under the control of local bodies also. Hon. Premier has minuted that the concession should be restricted to 20% of the extra collection of school fees, that it may be checked and the number of remissions to be given may be intimated. The difficulty in allowing this concession

has already been pointed out in the notes in para 2 on pages 4-5 ante. The number of remissions that is to be granted by each one of the local bodies in each of the secondary schools under their control will depend upon the amount of addl. fee income that will be derived from the increase in the levy of fees collected in each class of the schools. This information will have to be called for from all the local bodies in respect of each school under their control. As it will take a long time to collect the information required and it may not be possible to introduce the scheme in the secondary schools under local bodies before the next school year, it is for consideration whether this question may be taken up separately.

3. With reference to the adoption of the 'New Service' procedure, H.M. (Edn.) has minuted that the approval of H.M. (Fin.) and Hon. Premier is enough - Vide portion marked 'Z' on page 10 ante. Finance may see on this point before orders are issued.

K.S.K. 30-1-48. S.S.D. 1-2-48.

Please see the orders of the Assistant Secretary in the margin above. The levy of ten instalments of school fees was ordered in Memo No. 27647. B1/47, Edn., dated 18-4-1947 in the case of secondary schools under local bodies and in G.O. No. 1431 Edn., dated 7-7-47 in the case of secondary schools under Govt. management. They (originals) are put up at flag 'F' and 'b' respectively. It will be seen from the notes that the increase of the instalments of school fees was not conditional to the grant of scholarships or fee remissions.

2. The file may now be sent to Finance u.o. as previously intended before circulation.

K.S.K. 9-2-48. S.S.D. 11-2-48. M.V.S. 13-2-48.

x x x Finance (Exp.C.) Dept. Finance last noted on page 9 above.)

The further notes and orders in circulation on pages 9-12 may be perused.

2. The file has been sent to this Department with reference to 'X' on page 12 above. It is submitted that the procedure referred to in para 4 of this Department's previous note, on page 8 above is not the 'New Service' procedure as no expenditure to the Government is involved in granting half fee remissions. As the proposal has already been approved by H.M. (Education), H.M. (Fin.) and Hon'ble the Premier, E. & P. H. may issue orders as proposed and then circulate a summarising note to the Hon'ble the Premier, Ministers who have not seen the case as well as to the C.S. and the Finance Deptt. Circulation to H.E. the Governor is not necessary according to the financial procedure now followed. The question of obtaining a supplementary

X Further the increase of instalments was not 20% in all schools; there were institutions which were levying 9 instalmts and even 10 even before the increase was ordered to 10 instalments. S.S.D. 1-2-48.

X J Was the increase of instalment conditional to the grant of scholarship or fee concessions. This point should be noted with ref. to the discussions in the originals if any. S.S.D. 1-2-48.

V The orders increasing the instalments of fees were issued under the orders of H.M. (Edn.) H.M.'s intention was apparently that the increase was conditional to the grant of fee concession or scholarship. S.S.D. 11-2-48.

But the express intention was only to enable the local bodies to meet the extra cost of revision of salaries. (F/IN.9) M.V.S.

21088

grant from the Legislature will not also arise.

3. With the above remarks, the case may be returned to E & P. H.

Edn. & P. H. (B1.)

The notes from pages 11-13 above may be read. Action may be taken as at 'Z' above after orders are issued.

2. The file may now be circulated to H.M. (Edn.) for orders whether in the circumstances explained in this department note at 'x' on page 11 ante, the question of grant of half fee remissions to students in secondary schools under local bodies may be taken up separately, and orders may now be issued, for the grant of the concession to students in Govt. Secy. schools only. Even in respect of the latter it may be noted that the school year is drawing to a close.

K.S.K. S.S.D. 26-2-48. M.V.S. 27-2-48.

T.S.A. 9-3-48.

E & P. H. Deptt (B1.)

Please see the orders above. The question of grant of half fee remissions to students in secondary schools under local bodies on the analogy of the orders issued in G.O. No 487 Edn. 12-3-1948, has to be considered now. Submitted that detailed proposals may, in the first instance, be called for from the D.P.I. in the matter. A draft Memo is submitted for approval. L.A. may see before issue.



21088 B1/48-102 54.28



L.A.D. Draft may be perused. M. S. L. E. & P. H.

EP. H. Deptt

The draft Memo below may now issue

16/4/48
USK
16.4.48

16.4.48

[Memo No: 21088-B/48 - 2 Edn dated 19-4-48]

CRD 6506/49. From the DPJ RC. No. 954G.2/48 & 27.1.49.

Please see the Director's letter on p/ 11-17 of. He has pointed out that under the revised system of payment of teaching grants to all recognised secondary schools under local bodies introduced from 1.1.47, local bodies are eligible for a grant towards one half of the expenditure incurred on payment of Scholarships and fee remissions upto a limit of 10% of the fee income in respect of schemes approved by the Government and even with this inducement local bodies are reluctant to introduce the 10% scheme in their schools for want of financial stability and that it will be sufficient if this is introduced in all the secondary schools under local bodies. The Director has given sufficient reasons as to why the scheme for the provision of half fee remissions to one-fifth of the additional income derived from the increase in the levy of instalments of school fees should not be introduced at this stage. As pointed out by the Director, the finances of most of the local bodies are unsatisfactory and they find it difficult to meet the existing commitments. In the circumstances it is for consideration whether the proposal may be deferred or dropped.

LA may see prior to circulation

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPT
15072
2 APR 1949
SECRETARIAT, MADRAS

May be dropped as proposed by the DPJ in the last para on p/17 of

29-3-49

As II / S / LA
10/4/49
31/3/49

USK
28.3.49

29-3-49

21/4/49
Edn. No. 21088 B. 143-7

B, 16 L.A.D

This dept may agree that the proposal may be dropped.

21/4/49
2.4.49

Secy
S. P. H.

15072
LA. No. 15078-B
6/4/49

0 APR 1949
26901

STOP (M)

L.A. have agreed with this Dept that in the circumstances stated in the Director's letter on p/ 11-17 of the proposal that half fee remissions amounting to one-fifth of the additional income derived from the increase in the levy of instalments of school fees in secondary schools under local bodies should be sanctioned. Vide note from p/ 17.

The file may be circulated to Mr (Eon) for order whether the proposal may be dropped.

USK
6.4.49

8/4/49

May be dropped, as suggested by D.P.I

9/4/49

H.N (Edn) 12/4

12 APR 1949
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A draft order is submitted below for

approval

USK
12.4.49

12/4/49

14.1

After 1st day
from 1st day
from 1st day
from 1st day

14.1

17

14.1

14.1

14.1

14.1

14.1

14.1