

EDUCATION (1950) Department.

Run and SERIES.

G.O. NO. 1005 Rdm.

Closed

Dated

6. 4. 1949.

Supd.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Schools—Municipal Councils—Employment of Supervisors—Revised instructions—Issued.

PRESS SLIP.

ORDINARY.

URGENT (a).

SPECIAL (a).

Education & P. D. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 558688/48 dated 28-7-1948
 G.O. No. 1005 E. dated 6-4-1949.

Number of MS. pages sent { (b) Correspondence 1
 (c) Notes
 Elementary Schools - Municipal Councils - Employment
 of Supervisors - Revised instructions. Issued
 Brief subject.....

(d) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	"Press" copies to supply on requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)

FOR RECORD.*

Madras Records ...	4	6	
Ooty Records ...		3	
For filing with original ...		1	
Total ...		10	

FOR ISSUE.†

Signature copies.....

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	(b) Special issue copies of G.O.		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
India Office.....		6			
Govt. of India.....Dept.					
Revenue Department.....					
Public Works Department.....					
Local Administration Department.....					
Education and Public Health Dept.					
Public Dept.....					
Home Dept.....					
Dev. Dept.....					
Finance Dept.....					
Legal Dept.....					
Sectt. of the Madras Legislature.....					
Total ...					

Spare copies.

The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
Total ...				

Copies distributed on..... (Press clerk).
 † Copies distributed on..... (Press Despatcher).
 † To be initialled and dated.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently or as special the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item had been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(c) For rules regarding printing of notes, see the chapter on printing and proof correction in the Secretariat Office Manual.

(d) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or clerk concerned.

(e) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(f) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked special of urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Assistant Secretary with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Assistant Secretary any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished: it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Ministers or Advisers should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS.

A	B	C
Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.	Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.	As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.
Type may be released after usual interval.	Type may be released at once.	Type may be released after usual interval.
.....Clerk.	Clerk.	Clerk.
.....Supt.	Supt.	Supt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Edu. G. P. Supt. (B2)

Certified that the number of copies indicated for is the minimum required.

2-13-48
Assistant Secretary to Govt.
Mr. G. V. S. S. S.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

The honourable minister for Education,
Government of Madras,
MADRAS.

Most honoured Sir,

Ref: G.O., No. 77 Education Dated 10-1-1948.

55868

I beg your honour's apology for intruding upon your precious and busy time with the following representation for kind thought and consideration in connection with G.O., No. 77 Education Dated 10-1-1948, restricting the posts of Elementary Schools Supervisor in certain Municipalities to B.Eds alone. This is not a personal grievance, but a general contention of the Secondary grades who have rights over the post in the said municipalities by virtue of their previous service. This may be also treated as a general argument of a common rate payer who has interest in the work of Elementary Education and served in that line for several years under different managements. I venture to take this liberty in view of the fact that your honour has the kind spirit acknowledging fair criticism from all quarters and at all times, in the interests of administration.

(1) The object of the G.O., is to meet the demand of supervision in growing municipalities as the number of schools increase. This can be only met by increasing the supervising staff and could never be done by raising the status of the single post to that of a B.Ed and spend more on that account from public funds. Under Government managements, if a Deputy Inspecting range improves by number of schools additional Inspectors are appointed to meet the demand, because it is not humanly possible for one man to manage a range when it exceeds the normal bulk whatever qualification he may possess. It is therefore only fair in the interests of Elementary Education in any growing municipality to increase the number of supervising staff when the schools increase; than raising the status of the single post which would be only a waste of public funds.

(2) It may be not out of place to recollect here your honours sympathetic pronouncements on several occasions that secondary Grade teachers in local bodies will have the privilege of getting up to the cadre of junior Deputy Inspectors. If that be so why not they deserve the post of an Elementary Schools supervisor in growing municipalities which is inferior in status and remuneration to the post of a Junior Deputy Inspector (Municipal school supervisors are expected to work under the guidance of Deputy Inspectors who may some times happen to be Junior Deputy Inspectors and Secondary grades).

(3) The G.O., does not mention any thing about the present staff of supervisors who were already confirmed as such. Does it mean that their previous service and experience should be null and void? Is it not true that experience is a better criterion than degree to decide efficiency? Some years ago a G.O., was passed restricting the post of senior Deputy Inspectors to B.Eds alone. But that was not given effect to the Secondary grades who were on the Deputy Inspectors list on the date of the G.O., and a few such Secondary grade senior Deputy Inspectors are in service of the Madras Education Department till now.

Different thoughts of opinion may prevail in different municipalities according to the local party politics and influences. But certainly the experience and services of Secondary Grade Supervisors in municipalities (either big or small) cannot be ignored and their claims over-looked altogether with the pretext of the G.O., under reference.

In the light of the above facts it would be only right and fair to enforce the G.O., without prejudice to the rights of those who are in service before the date of the G.O.,

A better solution may be to review the whole position and consider the proposals of increasing the number of supervisors in growing municipalities according to the merits of the number of Elementary Schools in each town.

Sub H. 1/17
LA No 29871/48
27/7/48
28 JUL 1948
MINISTER FOR LOCAL ADMINISTRATION
28 JUL 1948
Ed P. H. U. O.
27/7/48
27.7.48
LAD

This view was well clarified in the proceedings of the recent Regional Educational conference at Masulipatam under the presidency of your honourable self. It goes to say that by experience it is found Secondary Grade Teachers prove very useful as supervisors of Elementary Schools in local boards ^{and that} it is desirable to increase the number of Secondary Grade Supervisors in big municipalities.

I beg to remain,

Most honoured sir,

Your most obediently,

Gunn Bungalow,
GUNTUR,
20-7-1948

N. Premachandran

Assistant Literature Secretary, A.G.C.,

Copies submitted to:-

The Director of Public Instruction.

The Inspector of Local Boards & Municipalities,

The Minister for local self Government.

The Editor, "Madras Information".

E.S.P.H. Dept (B2)

82
Memo No. 55868 B2/48-1 Edn dated 10/8/48

Sub: Supervisors of Municipal Elementary Schools.

Ref: Letter from M. N. Premachandran
The Assistant Literature Secretary, A.G.C.,
Guntur, dated 20-7-48

The attention of the D.P.G. is invited to the letter read above, a copy of which has been sent to him and he is requested to offer his remarks on the suggestions contained in the letter. it

To

The D.P.G.

9/8/48
14.8.48

Rgn
9/8/48

9/8/48

9/8/48

Education Department.

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

R.C.No. 2525-B2/48 dated 1st October, 1948.

SIR,

10 OCT 1948

SUBJECT:- SUPERVISORS OF MUNICIPAL ELEMENTARY
SCHOOLS.

Reference:- Government Memorandum No.55868-B2/48-1
Education dated 10.8.1948.

With reference to the above Memorandum I have to state that I now agree with the observations of the Assistant Literature Secretary, A.C.C. Guntur, Mr. Premachandrudu. If the number of schools is large and if the supervisors are meant to exercise supervision over the working of the schools, merely raising the qualification will not be of much use. I consider that where the number of schools is large, it will be more useful to have two supervisors instead of one. It is also the general experience that a secondary grade supervisor is more contented and is able to attend to work more efficiently. After all, the work of a school Supervisor is to visit schools constantly to check attendance, to look to the building and furniture and generally to look after correspondence relating to the schools. For giving guidance to teachers and for testing the efficiency of instruction there are the Deputy Inspectors more than one for big municipal areas.

In this connection, I would like to point out that a L.T. will not be quite contented. He will wait for the first opportunity to get into a Secondary school if there is one under the Municipality as the work will be less strenuous and give him a chance to get an examination in the S.G.L.C., private tuition and hopes of being included in the panel of headmasters. Unless Government agree to a higher scale of pay for supervisors, then the

6/

: 2 :

one for School Assistants, there is little likelihood of getting suitable men L.Ts. for these posts and keeping ~~and keeping~~ them for any long time.

In view of the above I suggest a revision of the orders on the subject. I recommend ~~in~~ (1) that the minimum qualification for Supervisors be Secondary Grade in the scale of Rs. 45-2-85 and (2) that a municipality which maintains not less than 15 schools or employs 75 teachers may have one Supervisor and (3) that a Municipality which employs 150 teachers may have two supervisors. I may also state that I am not in favour of making ~~any~~ exceptions, but that the general question may be considered.

Yours faithfully,

VRA
For Director of Public Instruction.

ks. 5x.

RAK
5/10

From

Education Department. ①

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras

To.

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

R.C.No.2525-B2/48 dated 24th December, 1948.

SIR,

97606

Elementary Education--Supervisor of Municipal Elementary Schools -
Appointment of -- Revision of existing orders - Orders regarding
solicited.

Reference:-1.Govt.Memo.No.55868-B2/48-4 Education dated 10.8.48
2.This office letter R.C.2525-B2/48 dated 1.10.1948.

I request the favour of orders on this office reference cited
above.

Yours faithfully,

J. V. Paul

For Director of Public Instruction.

ks.27.12.

3
27/12

The file on the subject has been sent to Finance Dept. Its return may be awaited. This may be over.

257
30.12.48

2/1/18

3/1/69

put up press sleep
work
interview

997112
 in 28 1/2
 57 1/2
 of hand
 8012
 5/14/45

79
 2
 4

(A)
 (L)
 (M)

Spin MS to D.P.I.
+ E nr. + put up.
2 spare copies
shelving

possessing it
TSLC

Paul Brown on
12-11-19

Plot
page 2 ref.

The B. P. I.
✓
and
ms
11/4

The Grange Ball Notes
 (through the Chairman
 corner
 " D. P. I. ✓
 " Enr. B. L. A. ✓

Edw. and P. H. Dwyer

Sub :- Elementary Schools - Municipal Councils -
Employment of supervisors - Revised
instructions - Issued.

Read again Cr. No. 77, Edu., dated 10. 1. 1948

11 2056, 11 18-8. 1948.

„ „ 26 47, „ „ 22. 10. 1948.

Recd also: from the D. P. I., ~~file~~ no. 2525-62/48, dated 1.10.1948

~~Order~~ No 1005 ~~under~~ ^{and} 6.4-48

Pres In supersession of all previous orders on the subject, the Govt. direct that, no Municipal Council should employ a S. T. Supervisor for its Elementary Schools and that it is enough if ~~secondary grade~~ supervisors are employed on the following scale:-

- (1) M.L. Cls. employing less than 75 } No Supervisor.
teachers in their elementary schools
- (2) M.L. Cls. employing not less than } One Supervisor.
75 teachers but below 150 teachers
- (3) M.L. Cls. employing not less than } Two Supervisors.
150 but below 250 teachers
- (4) M.L. Cls. employing not less than } Three Supervisors
250 but below 350 teachers
- (5) M.L. Cls. employing 350 teachers } Four Supervisors
and more

2. Such of the M.C.s. as will have to employ more than one supervisor in accordance with the above scale should meet the extra cost on that account from the surplus either in their E.C. Edu. Accounts ^{or} in their General Accounts.

1/4/69

161/9 Pub
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Education and Public Health Department
G.O. (Press) No. 1005, Edn., dated 6th April 1949.

New
Revised instructions- Issued.
Elementary Schools- Municipal Councils- Employment of Supervisors- ~~Re~~

Read also: From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 2525-B2/48, dated 6-10-48.
Read again: G.O. No. 77, Edn., dated 10-1-1948.
" G.O. No. 2056, Edn., dated 18-8-1948.
" G.O. No. 2647, Edn., dated 22-10-1948.

ORDER:
In supersession of all previous orders on the subject, the Government direct that no municipal council should employ a L.T. Supervisor for its elementary schools and that it is enough if supervisors possessing the T.S.L.C. are employed on the following scale:-

- 200
- | | |
|--|-------------------|
| (1) Municipal Councils employing less than 75 teachers in their elementary schools | No Supervisor. |
| (2) Municipal Councils employing not less than 75 but below 150 teachers | One Supervisor |
| (3) Municipal Councils employing not less than 150 but below 250 teachers | Two Supervisors |
| (4) Municipal Councils employing not less than 250 but below 350 teachers | Three Supervisors |
| (5) Municipal Councils employing 350 teachers and more. | Four Supervisors. |

2. Such of the Municipal Councils as will have to employ more than one Supervisor in accordance with the above scale should meet the extra cost on that account from the surpluses either in their Elementary Education Accounts or in their General Accounts.

(BY ORDER OF HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR)

J.M. LOBO PRABHU,

Joint Secretary to Government.
To the Commissioners of all Municipalities (through the Chairmen concerned)

Sub: Supervisors of Municipal Elementary Schools.

Ref: From the D.P.I. No. 2525 B2/48 dated 1-10-48

General orders have been issued regulating the appointment of supervisors for municipal elementary schools. Under these orders, supervisors can be employed under the following circumstances:-

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| (1) Municipal Councils maintaining | } A secondary grade teacher as supervisor. |
| not less than 15 schools or employing | |
| not less than 75 teachers. | |
| (2) Municipal councils employing | } L.T. as Supervisor. |
| 150 teachers or more | |

Municipal councils not coming under either of the two categories mentioned above are not entitled to employ a supervisor.

2. One Sri Premachandran, Assistant Literature Secretary, A.C.C., Guntur, has made certain suggestions about the appointment of supervisors. In his opinion the practice of permitting the appointment of L.T.'s as supervisors of big municipalities may not achieve the efficiency in the administration of municipal elementary schools and he suggests that municipal councils should be permitted to employ more supervisors with reference to the number of schools and teachers under them. The D.P.I. favours the proposal. He says that L.T. teachers may not stick to their appointments as supervisors as they will have better prospects, if employed in secondary schools. He therefore considers that L.T.'s should not be appointed as supervisors of elementary schools and that the selection of supervisors should be only from secondary grade teachers as these will be more contented and will be able to attend to the work more efficiently. In the opinion of the Director, the work of supervisor is not such as to require a person with qualifications higher than that of a secondary grade teacher. The Director therefore re-

Rao

-2-

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
" " Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.

TRUE COPY: FORWARDED: BY ORDER

RP/8.4.

SUPERINTENDENT.

90 N. 77204 (A)
10-1-48

Cop. 20565 du (L)
4/8/48 K

pp 1-2 EF

5 94 56 86 822 204423

93801 p 5 CF

2
recommends that one supervisor may be appointed ^{under} a municipal ^{Council} which maintains not less than 15 schools or employs not less than 75 teachers. In the case of a municipality which employs ~~150~~ 150 teachers, there may be two supervisors, both from the secondary grade.

3. It is for orders whether the suggestions of the D.P.I. to revise the system of appointment of supervisors may be accepted. The question also arises whether the number of supervisors in municipalities should be scaled down at the rate of one supervisor for every 75 teachers or the number of supervisors should be limited to two even though the number of teachers may be over 150. Perhaps a scale may be as follows laid down) *May be accepted.*

For teachers not less than 75 and below 150	-- One supervisor
" " not less than 150 and below 250	-- two supervisors.
" " not less than 250 and below 350	-- three supervisors.
" " above 350	-- four supervisors.

4. Finance Department may see for remarks before circulation.

Fin (ExpA)

Please see the note of the E.P.H. Dept. on pages 1-2 above.

² The financial effect of the above proposals has not been

2424449, 55868 B2/48-2
26.10-48



A + B above

3
fully explained either by the D.P.I. or the E.P.H. Dept. The expenditure involved in the appointment of supervisors will no doubt be met from the Ele. Education Budget of these m.c. concerned. But where a m.c. is unable to meet the cost of the supervisor from its own fund, it will indirectly have to be borne by the Govt. by way of a subsidy to cover the deficit in that fund. Before proceeding with the proposal, it seems necessary to know the financial implications of the proposal viz, the number of supervisors that will have to be employed by each local m.c. according to the scale suggested and whether the m.c. concerned will be in a position to meet its cost. E.P.H. may be requested to obtain this information in the first instance.

For 26/11/48

For 27/11/48 S.M.P.H.

E. & P. H. Dept. (B2)

The financial position of the Municipal Councils is generally satisfactory. Unlike Dt. Boards, the Elementary Education Fund Account of the Municipal Councils is not working at a deficit. Even if there be a deficit, the surplus in the General funds will be available for transfer to E.E.F. Account. Further supervisors are employed only in 25 municipalities. The need for appointment of more supervisors than one may arise only in the case of following Municipal Councils:-

Mathurair, Kumbakonam, Madurai, Calicut, Rajahmundry, Coimbatore, Vizilavada, and Salem.

The financial position of these municipal councils is noted on below:-

SS. The B. Estimates for 1948-49 of all the 8-11-48
Ml. Cls. referred to at 'A' above with the exception

of Calicut Municipal Council do not close with a deficit balance. The B.E. for 1948-49 of the Ml. Cl., Calicut closes with a deficit balance of Rs.15,173. The Commr. has not so far reported the actual opening balance on 1-4-1948. Besides the Council is eligible for the grant of subsidy towards the deficit which is due to the grant of d.a. amounting to Rs.50,670 to the E.E. staff. Therefore the B.E. will not close with a deficit balance.

RJS.
7-12-48

MM
8/12/48
Finance

E & P.H. up. 558682/48.3
8.12.48

Finance (Exp.A) Deptt.

Please see the previous Fin. Note on pp.2-3 above and the further note of E & P.H. on pp.3-4 above.

2. E & P.H. have not indicated the number of supervisors that will have to be employed under each municipal Council under the proposed arrangement, but have merely mentioned that in respect of ^{the} 7 Municipal Councils mentioned at 'A' on p.3 above, more than one supervisor will be required, and that the budgets of these Municipal councils (with the exception of Calicut) will not close with a deficit balance. In respect of the Calicut Municipal Council, the E & P.H. Deptt. have stated that Council is eligible for a subsidy of Rs.50,670 for the payment of D.A. to its Elementary Education staff. As the Govt. have to pay a subsidy of Rs.50,670/- to wipe off the deficit in the Ele.Edn.Fund Account of this Municipal Council, the ~~extra~~ ^{one} expenditure on the employment of more than ^{one} supervisors will also have to be borne by the Govt. as it will go to increase the deficit. As regards the remaining 6 Municipal Councils, if deficits result in the case of these Municipal Councils also, on account of the proposed arrangement of employing more than one supervisor, the Govt. will have to sanction subsidies to wipe off these deficits. E & P.H. may therefore be requested to work out the extra expenditure involved in the employment of supervisors



A above

For
16/12/48


in the scale proposed in each of the Municipal Councils referred to and examine whether the Municipal Councils concerned can meet the extra cost without a deficit in the Ele.Edn.Fund account. If subsidies have to be given in one or more cases the probable amount may also be indicated.

1AA
16/12/48
16/12/48

For
16/12/48
E & P.H. Deptt (B2)

A statement containing particulars asked for by the Finance Deptt. is put up below.

MM
22/12/48
Jai



E & P.H. up. 558682/48.4
28.12.48



4. X on p.3 above

Finance (Exp.-A) Deptt.

With reference to the previous Fin. note on pp.4-5 above please see the statement put up by E & P.H. at (C).

It will be seen that the number of additional supervisors to be employed on the revised scale in the case of Mathurai and Salem will be 3 and 2 respectively and 1 each in the case of Calicut, Rajahmundry, Coimbatore and ~~Madurai~~ ^{Vizayavada}. The total extra cost on account of the employment of additional supervisors is Rs. 7,020. In the case of the other 19 municipal councils, no additional supervisor will be necessary. Out of the six municipal councils, only one ^{viz} Rajahmundry Municipal Council is able to meet the entire expenditure from its funds. Two others, Mathurai and Vizayavada are able to meet only a portion of the extra cost. Thus the effect of the proposal to revise the arrangement regarding employment of supervisors in municipalities will be that the budgets of five municipal

PTD

councils will work at a net deficit of Rs. 4513 which will have to be met by the Govt. ^{indirectly} as additional subsidies. This amount is arrived at on the basis of the financial position of the Elementary Education Fund as disclosed at present. If the position will not improve in the case of these municipal councils in coming years, the deficit on this account will continue to occur. In any case, the Govt. will be committed to a maximum liability of Rs. 7,020 ^{p.a.} assuming that all the six municipal councils will work at deficit ^{in the subsequent years on account of the appointment of} if the additional supervisors are appointed.


It may be mentioned in this connection that at the present ~~moment~~, proposals for retrenching about 12½% of the staff both gazetted and non-gazetted in Govt. ^{are} are under the ~~active~~ consideration of the Govt. In view of the fact that the financial position of ^{many of the} local bodies is not also very sound, it does not seem desirable to increase their liability further. In this view, Finance may advise against the proposal and suggest that the existing arrangement may continue.

If E & P.H. wish to press the proposal, ordinarily such a proposal should be treated as a Part II scheme. Finance may advise that the proposal may be brought forward as a Part II scheme for 1950-51.

1AA
5.1.49
no
5.1.49

From
5.1.49
EOPH
24.11.49 (B2)

Please see the note on pp 1-2 ante. The question under consideration in this file is whether municipal Councils should be permitted to employ more supervisors than one with reference to number of teachers in schools under their management. The Finance Dept. suggest that the proposal need not be considered at present and that, if it should be taken up, it may be brought forward as a Part II Scheme for 1950-51.




01508

2. For orders whether the question raised in the note on pp 1-2 ante ^{may} be further considered ^{or the matter} may be dropped.

May be deferred 9 times
17.1.49
20.1.49
18.1.49

We are spending ~~8~~ crores of rupees for elementary Education and unless there is a proper supervision, we are not able to get the full benefit of this expenditure. I think these Supervisors are necessary.

The cost of the Supervisors is met entirely from the funds of the local boards and I think no provincial expenditure is necessary and so, I think we may approve.



15A
25-1-49

With reference to A above, it is submitted that though the expenditure is met from the funds of the local bodies, the ^{contention} ~~intention~~ of Finance Dept. is that the Govt. may have to pay a subsidy from provincial funds towards the deficit that may be caused on account of the expenditure.

2. The file may be ^{re} submitted to H.M. (Edn) with reference to the orders of H.M. (Edn) dated.

28.1.49
28.1.49
31.1.49



We are spending large amounts for ele. education. If a little staff is necessary for its efficient supervision that must be done in the interest of getting better value for our money.

15A
8.2.49

Please see the minute of H.M. (Edu) overleaf.
 He apparently wants that the proposals outlined at in paras. 2 and 3 of this report. Note at pages 1-2 etc should be approved. As Finance does not agree, the case should be circulated to H.M. (Fin.) It seems better to circulate the file to H.M. (S.A.) also, as (local body) municipal finance is involved. In this view S.A. may also see the case for remarks, if any, before circulation. Finance too may be and say whether in view of H.M.'s minutes overleaf they will reconsider their view.

May be sent to S.A. and Finance.

for
10/2/49
11/2/49
11/2/49
11/2/49

have not seen the case so far.

Recommendations will be put up in return from S.A. in 2/2/49

SP (i)
 18/2/49
 EP 100 55868B2/48-5



Calicut
 Rajahmundry
 Coimbatore
 Berhampore
 Salem

57,000
 34,342
 22,658

The S.R. and the S.R.

L.A. Dept
 The notes from 3-8 above may be perused.

The file has come to this Dept presumably to see whether the general funds of the m.c.s mentioned in the margin can meet the extra cost of Rs 7,020 involved in the employment of additional supervisors for elementary schools.

Vijayawada m.c.s. This council has to employ one more supervisor. The cost involved is Rs 780 p.a. On the basis of the BE for 1948-49 the general fund of this council works at a surplus of Rs 22,658 after providing for extra cost of enhanced D.A. The general funds of this council can meet the extra cost referred to above.

En.
 11/2/49

Coimbatore M.C. On the basis of the BE 1949-50 as proposed to be revised the general account of the council works at a surplus of Rs 4 lakhs. The council can easily meet from general funds the extra expenditure of Rs 780 p.a. involved in the proposal to employ one more supervisor.

Rajahmundry

It is observed from the stat. at (C) that the addl. expe. can be met from the surplus in the E.E. Fund [The cl's budget for 1949-50 has not yet been recd.]

11/2/49
 26.2.49

To budget II

L.A. Dept. (Part II)

Mathurai

The General Account of the Municipal Council is expected to work at a surplus of 3.34 lakhs. The Council is in a position to meet from its gl. funds, the extra cost (Rs 2340) involved in the proposed temporary 3 additional supervisors.

11/2/49
 23/49
Calicut

The general account of the cl. is expected to work at a surplus of Rs. 56,500. The cl. is in a position to meet from its general funds the extra cost (Rs. 780) involved in the proposal to employ an additional supervisor.

11/2/49
 5.3.49

P.T.O.

109 Salem

The General Account of the m.c. is expected to work at a deficit of Rs. 78,422/-. The Council is not in a position to meet from its General Funds the extra cost (Rs. 1500) involved in the proposal to employ 2 additional supervisors.

9.3.49

The file may be passed on to Finance Dept.

11.3.49

11.3.49 Fin

14007689-1 Bud I 12.3.49



Fin (Exp A)

(Last Finance note on pp 5-6 above)

Please see the minutes of H.M. Education on p 7 above and the further notes of E.P.H. and L.A. on pp 8-10 above.

2 L.A. have stated that on the budgetary basis of the budgetary position in the General Account, the Municipal Councils concerned with the exception of Salem will be in a position to bear the additional expenditure on account

of the employment of additional Supervisors. It is submitted that this may be the case according to the present position; but in future years it may so happen that the General Account may not be in a position to contribute to the Elementary Education Account, leaving the deficit that may be caused by this addl. ~~cost~~ expenditure to be met by subsidies from Provincial Funds. The ultimate liability may therefore be put at Rs 7,020/p.a. as already pointed out by this Dept. In the circumstances, Finance may adhere to the view already expressed on p 10 above that the proposal may be brought forward as a Part II Scheme for 1950-51.

18345 19.3.49

According to the note of the L.A. Dept. on pgs. 9-10 above, it will be necessary to pay a grant only to the Salem Municipal Council. The extra cost involved by way of grant from Govt. revenues will be only Rs 1500 p.a. Fin may agree to the proposals on the District understanding that the grant will be given only to Salem Municipal Council and that the liability of the Govt. will be limited to a max of Rs 1500 p.a.



14007689/Exp. 2/19.1 21.3.49

22355

R.S. 22/3/49

With reference to the minutes of H.M. (Edn) page 7 ante, please see the further notes of this Dept., L.A. and Fin. on pages 8-11 above. Fin.

... agree to the employment by the municipal
councils mentioned in the statement at (C), of the
required additional supervisors shown against them
subject to the conditions that a grant is given only
to the Salom M.C. (the non-liability on account
of which should be limited to Rs. 1560/- p.a.) and
that the other M.Cs. should meet the extra cost either
from the surplus either in their E.E. Accounts or in their
General Accounts. The conditions suggested by
Finance may be accepted.

A draft order is subd. below for
approval. H.M.S may see before issue.

13/1/49

11/4/49

2
4
49

H.N (Edn)

H.N (L.A)

TSR
4.4.49



241/7281

3
2/4/49

