

cler
m
18/1/48

Seott II-27-58,004-4-11-46.

E. & P. H.

(194 8) Department.

SERIES.

7

G.O. NO. Ms. 84 Edn.

Dated 12th January 1948.

[Despatch Abstract.]

College - Law Madras - Sri T.V. Natesan, typist - Grant of
Presidency Allowance - Negatived.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	1112	Edn.	2-6-47				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.

R.C.No.1508-C3/47, dated 19-5-1947.

SUBJECT:- Grant of Presidency Allowance - T.V.Natesan - Typist, Law college, Madras.

Read:-From the Principal, Law College, Madras.
N.Rc.No.105/47, dated 22-4-1947.

Copy submitted.

2) It is presumed that this typist is eligible for the Presidency allowance as the scale of Rs.23- 23-23- 1-35 is the pre-1933 scale corresponding to the Scale of 19- 2/2 - 25- 1/2 - 30 introduced thereafter.

for Director of Public Instruction.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.
kp.20/5.

Copy of the application dated 22-4-1947 from T.V.Natesan, typist, Law College, Madras.

I beg to submit the following for the consideration of Government and favourable orders.

2. I am an unqualified typist employed in the Law College, Madras, drawing a pay of Rs.35/- in the scale of Rs.23-23-1-35 since 1926.

3. In G.O.No.146. P.S., dated 16-1-1945 Government ordered that with effect from 1-1-1945 all unqualified typists who were eligible to draw pay only in the scale of Rs.19 - 2/2 - 25 - 1/2 - 30 should during the continuance of the war, be granted the minimum of the scale of pay admissible to fully qualified typists in the offices in which they were employed and that they would also be eligible for the usual compensatory allowance admissible to fully qualified typists.

(4) The G.O. made no mention about unqualified typists drawing pay in the scale of Rs.23-23-1-35. Apparently the intention of Government was that even such men should draw the minimum pay of the qualified typists if their pay was less than such minimum and if their pay exceeded Rs.30/- it should be treated as a stage in the scale of pay applicable to qualified typists and in either case, they would be eligible to the compensatory allowance admissible to fully qualified typists; otherwise an unqualified typist on the scale could draw only the pay he was already drawing Viz. Rs.29, or Rs.30 or Rs.35 as the case may be, while an unqualified typist in the revised scale of Rs.19-2/2-25 - 1/2 - 30 would draw Rs.30 plus Presidency allowance of Rs.6-8-0 in the City, which resulted in an anomaly to the disadvantage of a typist in the old scale (with more service).

4. As the intention referred to in the second sentence of paragraph 3 above was not specifically expressed in the G.O. of 16-1-1945, no presidency allowance was drawn in my case for the period the concession was in force. I therefore pray that Government will be pleased to sanction the payment of presidency allowance to me for the period 1-1-1945 to 31-3-1946.

for Director of Public Instruction.

kp.20/5.

204(A)

Please see the D.P.I.'s letter on plants.
The remarks of Fin. may be invited in the first instance, on the question raised by the Director.

11-6-47
12/6/47
14-6-47
Finance

Edu. u. o. 43017-2/47A

Finance (E.I.)

Please see the Director of Public Instruction's reference overleaf.

The scales of pay admissible to other typists who are not fully qualified from time to time are as shown below:

Designation.	Old scale of pay. (prior to 1933)	Revised scale in 1933.
Other unqualified typists who do not come under any of the above categories.		
Madras City	23-23-23-1-35 (Average Rs. 30.)	19-2/2-25-1/2-30. (Average Rs. 24 8/9.)
Mufassal	20-1-35. (Average Rs. 28 1/3.)	

It may be observed that the City scale prior to 1933 was only Rs. 23-23-23-1-35 and as no presidency allowance was drawn prior to 1933 it does not seem that a new concession of presidency allowance need now be extended to this ~~class~~ class of subordinates. Finance may therefore advise against the proposal.


19/6/47

26/6

E-PH(A)

36048 E.I.
20.6.47

51703



The remarks of Finance may be perused.

2. It is not clear whether

unqualified typists in the scale of Rs. 23-35 were deliberately excluded from being eligible to the concessions granted in No. 146 Pub. (Ser.) d. 16-1-45. It would seem that, as pointed out by the Typist, that these typists also will ordinarily be eligible to the concessions granted in the No. 146 Pub. (Ser.) d. 16-1-45.

P.T.O.

However, Pub. (Ser.) may be requested to clarify the position.

3. Moreover, as pointed out by the Typist, if unqualified typists in the scale of Rs. 17-30 alone are ~~eligible~~ eligible to the concessions in question, they will draw pay Rs. 30/- the minimum in the scale plus the Presidency allowance of Rs. 6-8-0, while typists in the scale of Rs. 23-35 will be drawing only their pay - anyway less than that drawn by an unqualified typist in the other scale. This anomaly does not seem to be justified. Finance may see again for remarks.

The file may be sent to ~~Finance~~ ^{Finance} and ~~for~~ ^{for} remarks.

19/7/47

21/7/47

5

21/7/47

19/7

Pub. (Ser.)

E.P.H.u.o. 43017-2/47A

dated 22.7.47



Fin. u. o. 43952 E.I.-1
25.7.47.

24.7.47

Fin (E.I.)
Said that Pub. (Ser.) may see the con first and offer their remarks with ref. to para 2 above.

19/7/47
21/7/47
Pub. (Ser.)


not for A-93

Pub (Ser) Dept

The file has been sent to this dept with reference to para 2 of E & P.H. note on pp. 2-3 ante. The original of G.O. 146, Pub (Ser) & 16.1.45 referred to therein has been taken by E & P.H. Dept. for the file bearing C.No. 23746 G3/47 - vide C.R.B. slip kept below. That dept may be requested to put up that G.O. for this file.



28.7.47
25.7.47
25.7.47



Pub (Ser-D)
no: 2275/47-1
30.7.47

61780 - E.P.H. (A)

put up the G.O. referred to above. G3 section maybe requested to

2.8.47

The G.O. referred to above has since been taken for a current in the Dept. Dept. - vide E.P.H. u.o. 43017-36/4 dated 6.8.47. Records slip at page 5 infra. Pub (Ser) Dept. may be requested to obtain it from the Dept. Dept. 4/8/47. an



Pub (Ser)

no: 2275/47-1



Dev U.O. 75980 AI(40-1)

27.7.47

Pub (Ser) Dept

Please see the E & P.H. note on p. 4 ante. Devt may be requested to put up the original of G.O. 146, Pub (Ser), & 16.1.45.

9.8.47

Devt. Dept.

With reference to Public (Services) notes above, the original of G.O. no 146, Pub (Services), d: 16.1.45 is put up below at flag (D). The file may be to give information to C.R.B.?

16/8/47 Pub (Ser)

Pub (Ser) Dept

Please see the note of Finance and E & P.H. on pp. 2-3 ante. The file has been sent to this dept with reference to para 2 of E & P.H. note on pp. 2-3 ante. From the papers in G.O.

G.O. 146, Pub (Ser), of 16.1.45 it is seen that the case of ^{unqualified} typists in the scale of Rs. 23-35 was not then considered. It cannot therefore be said that the above class of typists are eligible for the concessions granted in that G.O. The G.O. specifically says that "all unqualified typists who are eligible to draw pay only in the scale of Rs. 19-30 shall be granted the minimum of the scale of pay admissible to fully qualified typists etc." In the circumstances, unqualified typists in the scale of Rs 23-35 do not seem to be eligible for the Presidency Allowance i.e. compensatory allowance sanctioned in G.O. 146, Pub (Ser), of 16.1.45.


With the above remarks the file may be returned to Finance.

28.8.47.

21/9/47 Pub

Pub (Ser)

U.O: 2275/47-4
2-9-47



Finance (E.I.)

(Previous Finance note at p 2 above)

Please see the note of E.P.H. Sub (Ser) at p ages (2-46) above. The point for consideration is whether the annular provision set out by E.P.H. in para 3 of their note at p. 3 above will have to be set right ^(unqualified typists).

2. Sri T.V. Natesan who was drawing salary at Rs 35/- pm in the pre 1933 scale 23-23-1-35 was not allowed presidency allowance while an unqualified typist in the city who entered service for the first time in 1945 was eligible to draw a minimum salary of Rs 30/- pm plus Rs 6-8-0 presidency allowance.

As Sri T.V. Natesan was thus drawing Rs 1-8-0 less than the new recruit in the city for the period from 1.1.45 to 31.3.46.

As however Pub (Ser) have however stated that the unqualified typists in the old scale ~~are~~ does not seem eligible for the presidency allowance, no further remarks seem called for ^{none} in this department regarding ~~claims~~ ^{annual} claims. ~~to the Education~~

3. The case may be returned to E.P.H.

Thought 89/47
11/9/47 6.9.47
Finance 52497E.T
10.9.47
E.P.H.

72212
29/9/47

E.P.H. (A)

The notes of Pub (Ser) and Finance above may be perused.
2. In G.O. No. 146 Pub (Ser) of 16.1.45 there is no reference to unqualified typists in the pre-1933 scale of Rs 23-35. It cannot however be taken to mean that they were specifically excluded from the concessions granted in the G.O. That ^{the} intention will be clear from the notes at (y) 2-8. The concession was intended for all unqualified typists; and the omission to mention typists

in the pre-1933 scale ^{would} seem to be only accidental; and not deliberate. In the circumstances, and in view of the anomaly pointed out in paras on p. 2 ante, this Dept. may press that the petitioner Sri T. V. Natesan be made eligible for the Concession. Pub (Sec) and Finance may see again. (The fact that the concession has since been terminated does not seem to be relevant and will not affect the question now at issue.)


11/12/47
5/1/48
E.P.H. u.o. 43017-5/47A
8-12-47
Public (Sec) Dept



(This dept's previous note is on p. 4 (ante)).
The further notes of Finance and E.P.H. may be perused. This dept has no remarks to offer.

The file may be passed on to Finance who are ^{preparately} concerned with the question of ~~pay~~ ^{15/1/48}
11/12/47
15/1/48
20. 3723/15/1/48

Finance (E.I.)
Previous Fin. notes on pp. 2 and 5 above.



2. This is a case of an unqualified typist drawing pre-1933 scale of pay who was not all along eligible for a separate Presidency allowance. The scale of pay in vogue prior to the revised scale ordered in 1933 apparently took into account the cost of living in the City. As pointed out by Public on p. 4 above he is not eligible for the Presidency allowance, and it does not seem that the question need be re-opened at this stage.



3. With the above remarks the case may be returned to Edn. & P.H.
23/1/48
E.P.H. (A)
Thro' E. 3

Please see the note of Finance at sec. for orders ~~on this question~~.
11/12/47
7/1/48
This no. 76004 E.I. 1
23-12-47
A

on page 6 ante: A draft order is submitted for approval.

9-1-48
9/1/48
BM
10/1/48

78 G.P.H. (A)

Sub. college - Law, Madras - Sri T.V. Natesan, typist.
Grant of Presidency Allowance - Negatived.
From the D.P.J. No. (508-C3/4) d. 19.5.47.
G.O. No. 84 Edn. dated 12-1-48.

The D.P.J. is informed
that the request of the petitioner cannot
be complied with. The petitioner may be
informed accordingly.

To the D.P.J.

9-1-48 / 10/1/48

10/1/48

469
12/11
10/1/48

C.No. 43017

