

E & P.H(1948) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 683/48 - 1304

(6)

Dated 25-3-48

closed

17

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sub :- Stry Office - Establishment -
Duffadhar - Grant of higher
scale of pay - Proposal - negative

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O. No. 683 d 25.3.48

From
The Superintendent of Stationery,
Madras at Guindy,
Madras 15.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health
Department, Madras.

A2. No. 11568-67 819-12-67.



Sir,

ESTABLISHMENT - daffadar - Stationery Office - grant of
higher scale of pay - request of.
Ref: Petition from Karimullah Baig, daffadar, Madras 20-6-47.

The daffadar of this office was drawing in the old scale the same scale of pay as peons i.e., 15-1-20. It is represented by the present incumbent holding the post of daffadar in this office, that daffadars in many other offices are drawing a higher scale of pay than that of a peon. The scale of pay for daffadars is Rs. 22-2-(A)-30 in the revised scale. The daffadar does better sort of duties than an ordinary peon, as he attends on the officers. Since the officers of this department namely the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent are functioning as the officers of the Stamp Office and also Carnatic Stipends Office, the daffadar in this office has heavy work to do. The request of the daffadar of this office that he should be given similar scale of pay as in other offices seems reasonable. I therefore request that the scale of pay of Rs. 22-2-(A)-30 given to the daffadars in the revised scale may be sanctioned to the daffadar of this office also.

KV.

R. S. D. Singh
3.1.48
for SUPERINTENDENT OF STATIONERY.

Handwritten notes in the bottom left corner of the left page, mostly illegible.

84 E. 22 1. 280 07.0.3

2

29
30/3
11/2

3
3
648.41(62)

Stationery office - Establishment -
Daffadhar - Grant of higher
scale of pay - Proposal - Refused

C. no. 848

From the Superintendent of Stationery & Printing
no. 11568-47 of 19.12.47

Order 683
and Memo no

62 Expts 3-48

apd
5.4.48
To
The Sdys
S.C.

The Superintendent of Stationery
is informed, that his ~~request~~ proposal
to grant higher scale of pay
to the daffadhar of his office
~~cannot~~ be complied with.

W.B.
22348
cua
22.3.48

one
vol. 7148

1/4
B. P. H. (82)

Stationary Office - Establishment - Daffadar -
Grant of higher scales of pay.

C. M. 848 From the Superintendent of Stationary A. 2. no
11.568 - 47 of 19.12.47

The Superintendent of Stationary requests
that the Daffadar of his office may be
given the revised scales of pay of
Rs 22 - $\frac{1}{2}$ (A) 30 in lieu of old scale
of Rs 15-1-20, as the Daffadars of ~~other~~
~~other~~ offices are drawing the revised scales
of pay. This demand must very much support
the proposal of the Superintendent.

The

file may sent to Fin for remarks.

NOB
16/4/48

19/4/48
19/4/48

Financial E. III

Please see the letter from the Superintendent of Stationery on p. 1 of and the note above.
The revised scale of pay allowed to Daffadars viz. Rs. 22-1/2(A)-30 corresponds to the pre-1933 scale of Rs. 20-1/2-25 and the scale of pay allowed to Peons prior to 1933 was Rs. 15-1-20. Thus there were two different scales for the Daffadars and Peons even prior to 1933. The daffadar in the Stationery office was allowed only the scale of pay applicable to peons previously apparently on the ground that his duties were not considered as onerous and responsible as those of the Daffadars in other offices. The daffadar has been drawing pay in the scale applicable to peons for the last 2 decades and there seems to be no

11397 Eu
23 FEB 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

A

B

Sufficient justification for sanctioning a higher scale of pay now unless the proposal is justified in detail.

DR
24/2/48

MB
25/2/48

EPH

EXP. H (C)

11397 Eu
25/2/48

11397 Eu
25 FEB 1948
PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

I think the criticism is well founded - but there is no justification for other even deans to distinguish by duties & give a higher grade.

Finance are not agreeable to sanctioning a revised scale of pay of Rs. 22-1/2(A)-30 to the daffadar of the Stationery office for the reasons stated at portions marked 'A' & 'B' on page 2 ante. As regards portion marked 'A' on p. 2 ante attention is invited to portion marked 'X' on page 1 c.f. The duties of the Daffadar of the Stationery office should be considered as on a par with duties of the Daffadars of the other offices. As regards portion marked 'B' on page 2 ante, the proposal now is to set right the anomaly in the matter of scale of pay to the Daffadar of the Stationery office when compared to similar posts in other offices. Hence this argument cannot be levelled against the proposal.

P.Y.

4

pay of

For orders whether the proposal to grant the scale of Rs. 22-1-(A)-30 to the Daffadar of the Stationery office may be pressed in circulation.

No. Case
to pres.
9. E. in
w/

12/3
5-3-48

ISM

6/3/48 S / HT

W/

1-4-48

1/4 (Em.)

TSA

21-3-48

000
16p

order

A draft memo is placed below for appl.

12/3
21-3-48

CWA
22-3-48

ISM

22/3/48



6