closed Chr

3 Supdt.
8.1.49

Scott. II-27—53,004—27-10-47.

Edn Pla (1948) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 2362 Edn

2967
25/11/48.

→ P33
CF

Dated 25-11-48

[Despatch Abstract.]

OSH. El.-Edn. Dt. Bd. Salem.
Grant of advance increments to
teachers apptd. prior to 2-4-43
in respect of their continuous acting service
proposal - negatived

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Namakkal.
Salem Dt.
7-12-47

V. Pattabi Ra
Asst
B. Ely. Boys
Nam

The Director
of
Public In
Madras.

Through The Head-master
B. Ely. B. School.
Namakkal Fort.

Through The Special officer.
District Board
Salem.

Most respected sir,

Roc No 5265/34 of Salem District Board Salem dated 9.8.1934ம் தேதிய உத்தரவுபடிக்கு நான் 14.8.1934ல் இருந்து உபாத்தியாயர் [illegible] வரை ஒழுங்கியாக (discontinued) ஏற்படாமல் 1.1.47 வரையிலும் வேலை பார்த்துக்கொண்டுவருகிறேன். அதன் பின்னும்

Roc No 1217/38 dated 23.4.1939 of P. D. B. Salem உத்தரவுபடிக்கு என் வேலையை 1.5.1939ல் [illegible] செய்யப்பட்டிருக்கிறது.

அக்காலத்தில் என்னுடைய ப்ரொபேஷனரி Period இரண்டு வருஷ காலம் முடிவடைந்ததும் என் வேலையைக் காயம் செய்யும்போது, நாமக்கல் ரேஞ்சு; சேலம் தாலூக்கா அக்ரஹார போர்டு ஆரம்ப பாடசாலை தலைமை ஆசிரியர் ஸ்தலமாகவும் அதற்குப் பின்னும் காவே ஜில்லா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டு அவர்களுக்கு மகா-ா-ா-ஸ்ரீ நாமக்கல் ரேஞ்சு டிப்டி இன்ஸ்பெக்டர் அவர்களிடமாக பலவிண்ணப்பங்கள் சமர்ப்பித்துக்கொண்டிருக்கிறேன். என் ஸர்வீஸை அனுசரித்து காயம் செய்யப்படவில்லை.

1943ம் வருஷம் ஆரம்ப ஆசிரியர்களுக்கும் சம்பள உயர்வுகள் அளிக்கப்பட்டபடியால், நான் இதற்கு முன்னுடையே வேலை பார்த்துக்கொண்டுவந்தும், என் ஸர்வீஸை கவனித்து சலுகை காட்டப்படாமல் தொடர்ந்துகொண்டே வருவதால் G. No 122/1.6.43ல் என் ஸர்வீஸுக்கு தக்கபடி போதிய உயர்வுகள் அனுமதிக்கப்பட வேண்டுமாய் மகா-ா-ா-ஸ்ரீ ஜில்லா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டு அவர்களுக்கு மனுச்செய்துகொண்டேன். அந்த மனுவையும் இவ்விண்ணப்பத்துடன் வைக்கத்துடன் அனுப்பிக்கொண்டிருக்கிறேன். அந்த மனுவை சர்க்கார் படித்துக்கொள்ளக் காரணம் என் ஸர்வீஸை அனுசரித்து போதிய உயர்வுகள் அளிக்கப்படாமையே உரியகாரணமாகும்.

Roc No E7—26224/47 of Salem District Board Office dated 10.10.47ம் தேதி உத்தரவுபடிக்கு ஒரே சம்பள உயர்வுமட்டுமே அனுமதிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கின்றது அது [illegible].

DISTRICT BOARD
10 DEC 1947
SALEM.

ஆதலால் நான் 13.10.47ல் ரிமனு தயார் செய்து சேலம் ஜில்லா போர்டாரின் அவர்களின் சமூகத்திற்கு சமர்ப்பணம் செய்துகொண்டேன். அந்த மனுவையும் எஜமானர் அவர்களின் பார்வைக்கு வணக்கத்துடன் அனுப்பிக்கொண்டிருக்கிறேன்.

என்னுடைய சம்பளம் எவ்வளவு வரை உயர்த்தப்பட்ட போதிலும் என் தொடர்ந்த சர்வீசைப் பார்வையிட்டு சர்வீஸ்க்குத் தக்கபடி போதிய உயர்வுகள் அளிக்கப்படவில்லை. இதைப்பற்றி சமூகம் ஏழ்மை ஆசிரியனுக்கு உ. சலுகைக் காட்டி எஜமானர் அவர்கள் தக்கபடி வகை செய்வார்கள் என்று நம்புகிறேன்.

தவிர நான்கு வருஷத்திற்குக் குறைவான சர்வீஸுள்ள அதாவது உ வருஷத்திற்குள்ளிருக்கும் ஆக்டிங் சர்வீஸுள்ள ஆசிரியருக்கும் ஒரு சம்பள உயர்வு அனுமதிக்கப்பட்டும்; 1-1-47 க்குள் 12 வருஷம் 4 மாதம் 17 நாட்கள் தொடர்ச்சியாக பிளவுபடாமல் வேலைபார்த்து வந்த எனக்கும் ஒரு உயர்வு தான் கொடுக்கப்படும் என்று அறியப்பட்டபடியால் ஏழ்மை ஆசிரியன் சமூகங்களின் பார்வைக்கு என்னுடைய குறைகளை பரிசீலனை செய்து, அவசியம் விண்ணப்பித்துக் கொள்ள வேண்டிய நிலைமையும்; எஜமானர் அவர்களின் கவனத்திற்கும் சமூகத்திற்கும் [illegible] கொள்ள ஏதுவாயிற்று.

ஆதலால் என்னுடைய சர்வீசை அனுசரித்து, விண்ணப்பத்தையும் கவனித்து தக்கபடி போதிய உயர்வுகளுக்கு உத்திரவிட்டு, எஜமானர் ஆதரவளித்து காப்பாற்ற வேண்டுமாய் வணக்கத்துடனும் பணிவுடனும் வேண்டிக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.

சமூகங்களின் எவ்வித உத்திரவுகளுக்கும் கீழ்ப்படிந்து நடந்துகொள்ளுகிறேன்.

I beg to remain
Most respected sir,
Your most obedient servant
V. Pattabi Raman.
Asst.
7-12-47

Copy submitted
~~direct~~ to director
of Public Instruction
direct to save delay.
B. Ely. Boys School
Namakkal.
7-12-47

No 220 உம் NDIS 31976/47 dated 18-10-47 [illegible] 7-12-47 [illegible]

3/

3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPECIAL OFFICER, DISTRICT BOARD, SALEM.

Present : Khan Sahib G. Abdul Sattar Sahib Bahadur, B.A.

Roc.No.E7.26224/47 dated 10-10-47.

Establishment -Elementary Education- Grant of advance increments to teachers-in revised scales-Orders passed.

Read: (1) This office Proceedings Roc.No.E7.26224/47 dated 13-9-47 and
(ii) G.O. No.Ms.2035 (Edn) dated 19-9-47.

ORDER.

In this office proceedings Roc.No.E7.26224/47 dated 13-9-47 orders were issued for claiming the revised scales of pay for teachers in Board Elementary schools with effect from 1-1-1947.

The Government have now sanctioned the grant of weightage for the services prior to 1-1-1947 in their order G.O.No.Ms.2035 (Edn) dated 19-9-47. In accordance with the instructions contained in the above G.O. the teachers mentioned in the appendix to this proceedings are given advance increments according to their qualification service and their pay after giving effect to this order is noted in column 6.

(B)

The Head teachers of Board Boys schools and Girls schools will carefully note the revised pay fixed and submit supplemental bill for this additional amount atonce.

Sd. P. Duraiswami,
For Special Officer.

To the Head Teachers of the concerned schools.

/True Copy/
Forwarded/By order.

11/x
Superintendent.

r/10-10. 10/x

APPENDIX.

Name of schools and names of teachers.	Grade of training	Scale of pay	Pay fixed in the revised scale.	Date from which the revised scale given effect.	Pay after advance increment.
1	2	3	4	5	6
V. Pattabiraman Asst. B.B.S Namakkal Fort	E.H.	30-45	30	1-1-46	31.

4

5

A

C.F. 32.

Local Administration Department

From (Name) Khan Sahib G.Abdul Sattar
Sahib Bahadur B.A.,
Designation Special Officer,
District Board,
Station Salem.

Received Registered

To

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

22 JAN 1948
Rc. 3647-B2/47

Enclosures Roc No. E.1-37482-47 dated 29th January 1948.

Sir,

Appeal-Pattabiraman (Sri) V.-Assistant-Board Boys school, Namakkal Fort-against orders sanctioning advance increments-forwarded with remarks.

Your proceedings No.3647-B.2-47 dated 10-10-47,

P.P. 2 ante

I forward the appeal petition dated 7-12-47 of Sri.V.Pattabiraman, Assistant, Board Boys' school, Namakkal Fort, against this office proceedings Roc No.E.7-26224 47 dated 10-10-47, copy enclosed, with the following remarks.

P.B ante

2. This District Board adopted the F.R. with effect from 1-4-1930. Hence the service of this appellant from 14-8-34, which is continuous may be counted for the purpose of granting advance increments in the revised scale with effect from 1-1-47. But under the District Board, it had been the practice not to count the period of acting service of Elementary school staff for purpose of increments in the time scale. This proceedure though against the rules in the F.R., was followed uniformly in all cases till instructions were issued in G.O.No.502, Education, dated 2-4-43. In view of the wrong proceedure adopted and ratified by the Government, the acting service of the appellant from 14-8-34 to 30-4-39, which was already ignored for the purpose of increment in the old scale of pay, cannot be counted for the purpose of advance increments in the revised scale. Vide rule 3(b) of G.O.No.Ms.2035 Education dated 19-7-47. Excluding this period, the appellant has at his credit on 31-12-46, a total service of 7 years and 8 months and he is only eligible for one advance increment, which has been allowed to him in the order now appealed against.

F.11 C 77 (a)

(B)

3. In this connection, I have to point out that if his request is to be granted, there will be many cases to follow suit and it will not be possible to re-open these cases at this distance of time in view of the orders in G.O.No.502, Education dated 2-4-43, and the financial implications involved.

Sd.K.P.Sankunni.
For Special Officer.

(True copy) forwarded (By order).

20/1
IA.20.1.

Superintendent. 20/1

Enc : 2.

5

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
R.C.3647 B2/47 dated 6-3-1948.

Sub: Elementary Education - District Board, Salem - Appeal against orders sanctioning advance increments - V.Pattabiraman - submission of.

Ref: Letter Roc.No.E1-37482/47 dated 29-1-1948 from the Special Officer, District Board, Salem forwarding the appeal petition of V.Pattabiraman dated 7-12-1947.

Submitted for favour of orders.

2. The Examiner of Local Fund accounts who was addressed in matter states in his endorsement L.F.8338 Dated 25-2-48 that the matter may be referred to Government for orders.

18908

IKR 11/3

for Director of Public Instruction.

To the Secretary to Government, Education & P.H.Department, Madras.
K.h.10-3.

3/10/3.

E&P. H.

G.O. No. 502 Edn d. 2.4.43 quoted on p5 ante has been called for from the Chittoor records. This may lie over till the receipt of the G.O. or till 25.3.48 whichever is earlier.

D/20.3.

Rs/[illegible]/3/48

[illegible]/22/3/48

9

6

E&P. I. Deptt

~~Dis~~ Memo No. 18908 B2/48-1 dated 14.5.48.

15

9/15/5

Sub:- Estt. - Dt. Bd., Salem - Appeal against orders sanctioning advance increments - V. Pattabiraman

Ref:- 1) Appeal petition from V. Pattabiraman dated 7.12.47. pp1-2 ante

2) From the Spl. officer, Dt. Bd., Salem ~~Roc~~. No. E1-37482/47 dated 29.1.48. p5 ante

3) Endt. of the D.P.I. Rc. No. 3647 B2/47 dated 6.3.48 p7 ante

The Spl. officer, Dt. Bd., Salem is requested to report how many cases there are, similar to ~~the one~~ that of V. Pattabiraman and what the financial liability will be if the pay of all such teachers ~~will~~ ~~be~~ is be revised in the new revised scales of pay (taking into account the total continuous acting service put in by them, without These teachers

10

However, fractional item,
need not ~~be granted the~~ arrears of salary, they of allowed the
refixation of pay to ~~may~~ take effect ~~from~~ from a convenient
date say 1-4-48.

9/17.5.48

9/8.5.48

B.M. 13/5/48

14/5/48

To
the Sp. Officer,
Dt. Bd., Salem

11

G.F. 32.

Local Administration Department

From (Name) Khan Sahib G.Abdul Sattar Sahib Bahadur B.A.
Designation Special Officer,
Station District Board, Salem.

Received Registered

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.,
M A D R A S.

Enclosures: Roc.E7 No. 26224 -47 dated 17th May 1948.

19 MAY 1948

8 JUN 1948

Rem 12441/B1/47

Sir,

ESTABLISHMENT - Elementary - Grant of advance increments to teachers - instructions - requested.

Consequent on the abolition of the Taluk Boards in 1934, the Board elementary schools in the Taluk Board areas were transferred to the control of the District Board. The then taluk boards were adopting different scales of pay. To achieve uniformity, the district Board adopted the scales of pay mentioned in G.O.No.5096 L.&M., dated 7-12-1933.

Not put up

2. The Government have since introduced a revised scale of pay in G.O.No.1154 Edn. dated 5-6-47 and the same has been given effect to from 1-1-47.

3. Subsequently the Government have also granted advance increments to teachers in G.O.No.2035 Edn., dated 19-9-47 for the past service. In sub para (h) to para 3 and the note thereunder it is construed that for fixing the advance increments, the service in the exist-ing scale of pay in relation to any post up to and inclusive of 31-12-46 should be taken and that service which has been or may not be counted for increments in any scale of pay applicable to the post at that time. Accordingly the services for grant of advance increments were counted from 1-7-34 in the case of teachers who held permanent post on that date and for others the services were taken up ~~xxx~~ from the date of confirmation sub-sequently and their pay revised.

4. Now in G.O.No.541 Edn., dated 16-3-48, it is stated that for determining the advance increments to board elementary school teachers in the revised scale of pay the services in time scale of pay alone should be taken into account and that services on fixed rates of pay should not be counted.

5. Hence, it is requested whether the procedure adopted already by this board as per note (h) of para 3 of G.O.No.2035 Edn., dated 19-9-47 may be allowed to continue or further revision is necessary by granting advance increment to teachers taking their services from the date on which they entered the time scale of pay irrespective of the fact whether they were on different scales of pay and whether their services counted for increments or not in any such scale of pay.

K. Seshadri
18/5
For Special Officer,
District Board, Salem.

Rk.18/5

17

12

B1

E & P. H.

Endt. no. 36638-B3/48-1 dated 1.6.48.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

~~J. B. K.~~

Asst. Secy. to Govt.

3/31.5.

31/5/48

To
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

---

13 B2 8

C.F. 32 (a).

Local Administration Department

Received ____ Registered ____

From (Name) Khan Sahib G. Abdul Sattar Sahib Bahadur B.A.

Designation Special officer, District Board, Salem

Station

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
28 JUN 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Enclosures:

To
The Secretary to Government
Education and Public Health
department,
Madras.

46651

No. Roc. L7- 26224-47 dated 22nd June 48

Sir,

Establishment-Elementary schools under local bodies-grant of advance increments to teachers-instructions requested.
This office letter Roc.No.L7-26224-47 dt. 17-5-48
---

p11 ante

I request early orders in the matter.

For Special officer,
District Board, Salem

Rk. 24/6

24.6

14

E&P. H (B3)

The Spl. officer's letter quoted overleaf has been sent to the D.P.I for remarks on 1.6.48. He may be reminded. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

3
2.7.48.

[illegible]
2/7/48

6/7/48

15

9

5c
7/7

E&P. H

Memo No. 36636-B3/48-2 dated 7.7.48.

Sub:- Establishment - El. - Grant of advance increments to teachers - Instructions.

p 12 ante

Ref:- 1) Govt. endt. No. 36636-B3/48-1 dated 1.6.48

p 13 ante

2) From the Spl. officer, Dt. Bd., Salem, No. E7-26224/47 dated 23.6.48.

1) The matter is under consideration.

2) The D.P.I is requested to expedite his reply to the Govt. endt. cited above.

To
The Spl. officer,
Dt. Bd.,
Salem

The D.P.I (Para 2 only)

D/P/W 10/7/48

3
2.7.48.

2/7/48

6/7/48

17 DR

10

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
R.C.NO.1244-B.1/47 Dated 5-7-1948
£@£

Establishment - Local Body Elementary Schools - Salem District Board - Revision of scales of pay

p12 ante p11 ante

Ref: Govt. Endt. No.36838-B.3/48-1 Edn and P.H. dated 1-6-48 referring for remarks the letter of the Special Officer, District Board, Salem ROC.E.7-26224-47 dated 17th May 1948

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 - JUL 1948
EDUCATION & PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

50188

Resubmitted.

2. In para 3 of his letter, the Special Officer, District Board, Salem states that for purposes of giving weightage (advance increments) services of teachers were counted from 1-7-1934 in the case of those teacher who held permanent post on that date and for others the services were counted from the date of confirmation after 1-7-34 and their pay revised on this basis with ref. to the instructions issued in G.O.No.Ms.2035 Edn dated the 19th September 1947. But reckoning of services from 1-7-34 or fromany subsequent dates would not have benefited many of the teachers to extent to which the G.O. intends

Ⓑ

3. It will be only just and fair if the services of the teachers in each grade are counted from the date on which they entered the time scale of pay in that grade irrespective of the fact whether the posts were on different scales of pay at different times and advance increments given on this basis in accordance with the instructions contained in G.O.No.Ms.2035 Edn dated 19-9-47. The attention of the Special Officer may also be invited to Government Memorandum No.96332-B.2/48-1 Edn dated 12-3-1948 and Memorandum No.48429-49 dated 19-3-1948.

Ⓑ Ⓣ

Not put up

VRR 6/7

for Director of Public Instruction.

To the Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

RVR 6/7/-

3/6/7

18

E&P. H. (3d)

A similar question in an individual case has been raised and the connected file is in circulation. This may lie over till the return of the file.

22/7/48

21.7.48.

21.7.48

---

19 B2

11

28 JUL 1948

C.F. 32.

EDUCATION Department	Received Registered
From (Name) SRI D.S.REDDI,M.A.(Oxon)	To
Designation DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,	The SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Station MADRAS.	EDUCATION AND P.H. DEPARTMENT, FORT ST.GEORGE, MADRAS.

Enclosures:

No. RC.1244/B1/47 dated 22nd July 1948.

560.17

Sir,

SUB:- Establishment - Elementary Schools - Local Bodies - Grant of advance increments to teachers by the District Board, Salem.

REF:- Government Memorandum No.36838/B2/48-2. Education, dated 7th July 1948.

p15 ante

With reference to Government Memorandum cited I am to report that the Government Endorsement No.36836/B2/48.1. dated 1st June 1948 was resubmitted with my remarks in my Endorsement RC.No.1244/B.1/47 dated 5th July 1948, despatched on 6th July 1948.

p12 ante
p17 ante

Yours faithfully,

VRR

for Director of Public Instruction.

KAN.25/7

20

21

NR 12

C.F. 32 (a).

Local Administration Department

From (Name) [illegible] Sri H.V. Subba Row, M.

Designation Special officer,

Station District Board, Salem

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6 AUG 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Received Registered

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educations & Public Health Department,
Madras.

Enclosures: Roc.El No. [illegible]-47 dated 5th August 1948.

Sir,

APPEAL - Pattabiraman (Sri) V., Assistant-Board boys' school, Namakkal fort-Against orders sanctioning advance increments. Government Memo No.18908-B2/48-1, Education and Public Health department, dated 14-5-48.

409-10 ant

58756

There are about 431 cases similar to that of Sri V.Pattabiraman. The additional expenditure would amount to Rs.460 a month or Rs.5,520 per year.

For Special officer,
District Board, Salem

Rk.5/8

9/5.8

22

23/ **SALEM DISTRICT BOARD** 13

C.F. 32 (a).

Local Administration Department | Received | Registered

From (Name) Rao Bahadur Sri.
P.V.Subba Row M.A.,
Designation Special Officef,
District Board,
Station salem.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health
Department, Fort St. George,
MADRAS

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 AUG 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Enclosures:

60673

Roc E7 No. 26224/47 dated 11-8-48 194 .

Sir,

Establishment-Elementary schools under local bodies-grant of advance increments to teachers-instructions requested.

(1) This office letter Roc.No. E7-26224/47 dated 17-5-48. p11ante

(2) Government memorandum No. 36838-B2/48-2 dated 7-7-48. p15ante

*****

I request early orders in the matter.

FOR SPECIAL OFFICER
District Board Salem

PVR/11-8-48

9/11.8

24

25 / 1A

105
23/11

E&P.H. deptt (B7)

Sub:- Estt. - Ele. Edn. - Dt. Bd., Salem - grant of advance increments to teachers appointed prior to 2.11.43 in respect of their continuous acting service - proposal - negatived.

pp11-12 c.f (d) Ref:- 1) G.O. No. 502 Edn. dated 2.11.43.

pp1-2 c.f 2) Petition from Sri. V. Pattabiraman, Assistant, Bd. Boys School, Namakkal dated 7.12.47.

p5 c.f 3) Endt. of the ~~D.P.I.~~ Spl. officer, Dt. Bd., Salem No. E1-37463/47 dated 29.1.48.

p7 c.f 4) Endt. of the D.P.I. No. ~~R~~ No. 3647 B2/47 dated 6.3.48.

5) From the Spl. officer, Dt. Bd., Salem No. E7-26224/47 dated 17.5.48 and endt. of the D.P.I. No. 1244-B1/48 dated 5.7.48.

Order M.No 2967 Edn. dated 25-11-48

The Govt. agree with ~~the views of~~ the Spl. officer,

21

Dt. Bd., Salem in his endt. dated 29.1.48 ~~cited~~, that the continuous acting service put in by teachers ~~prior to~~ prior to 2.4.43 ~~2.4.43~~ need not be taken into account for the purposes of grant of advance increments ~~as already specified in G.O. No. 502 Edn. dated 2.4.43.~~ He is requested to inform the petitioner accordingly.

2. In view of the orders in para 1 above, the question raised ~~by~~ by the Spl. officer in his letter No. E7-26224/47 ~~[illegible]~~ dated 17.5.48 will not arise. The procedure adopted by the Bd. as explained in para 3 of the letter is correct & needs no modification.

3
23.11.48.

22.11.48

p5 c.y.

pp11-12 c.7 (d)

p11 c.y

To

The Spl. Officer,
Dt. Bd.,
Salem,
The D.P.I.,
The Examiner of L. F. Accts.

29/11

---

22 15

Government of Madras
Education and Public Health Department
G.O.Ms. No.2967 Edn. dated 25th November 1948.

--

Abstract.

Establishment- Elementary Education- District Board, Salem- Grant of advance increments to teachers appointed prior to 2-4-43 in respect of their continuous acting service- proposal- NEGATIVED.
-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X--X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-

:Read:

G.O.No.502 Edn. dated 2-4-43.
Petition from Sri V. Pattabiraman, Assistant, Board Boys School, Namakkal dated 7-12-47.
Endorsement of the Special Officer, District Board, Salem No.E.1. 37482/47 dated 29-1-48.
Endorsement of the Director of Public Instruction No.3647 B2/47 dated 6-3-48.
From the Special Officer, District Board Salem, No.E.7.26224/47 dated 17-5-48 and endorsement of the Director of Public Instruction No.1244 B1/48 dated 5-7-48.

--

ORDER:

The Government agree with the Special Officer, District Board, Salem that the continuous acting service put in by teachers prior to 2-4-43 need not be taken into account for the purposes of grant of advance increments. He is requested to inform the petitioner accordingly.

2. In view of the orders in paragraph 1 above, the question raised by the Special Officer in his letter No.E7.26224/47 dated 17-5-48 will not arise. The procedure adopted by the Board as explained in paragraph 3 of the letter is correct and needs no modification.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

M.V. Subramanian,
Secretary to Government.

To the Special Officer, District Board, Salem.
" " Directorof Public Instruction, Madras.
" " Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.

--

True copy- Forwarded-By Order

Superintendent.

RP/25.11.

28

Government of Madras
Education and Public Health Department
G.O.Ms. No. 2967 Edn. dated 25th November 1948.

Abstract.

Establishment- Elementary schools- District Board, Salem- Grant of advance increments to teachers appointed prior to [illegible] in respect of their continuous acting service- proposal- NEGATIVED.
-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-X-

Read:

G.O.No.[illegible] Edn. dated [illegible].
Petition from Sri V. [illegible], Assistant, Board boys School, [illegible] dated [illegible]-47.
Endorsement of the Special Officer, District Board, Salem No.E.1. [illegible]/47 dated 20-1-48.
Endorsement of the Director of Public Instruction No.[illegible]/47 dated 6-3-48.
From the Special Officer, District Board Salem, No.E.7.26224/47 dated 17-5-48 and endorsement of the Director of Public Instruction No.[illegible]/48 dated [illegible]-48.

ORDER:

The Government agree with the Special Officer, District Board, Salem that the continuous acting service put in by teachers prior to [illegible] need not be taken into account for the purposes of grant of advance increments. He is requested to inform the petitioner accordingly.

2. In view of the orders in paragraph 1 above, the question raised by the Special Officer in his letter No.E7.26224/47 dated 17-5-48 will not arise. The procedure adopted by the Board as explained in paragraph 3 of the letter is correct and needs no modification.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

K.V. Subrahmanian,
Secretary to Government.

To the Special Officer, District Board, Salem.
" " Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
" " Examiner of Local Fund accounts.

True copy- Forwarded-By order [illegible]

Superintendent.

Con 13 21 BS/49

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

Local Administration DEPARTMENT. 1 MAY [illegible] MADRAS

Received ____ *Registered* ____

From (Name) C.V.Narasimhan Esq., M.B.E., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Special Officer,District Board,

Post Box ____, (STATION) SALEM

Telegraphic Address ____

16

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St.George, MADRAS.
Through: The Director of Public Instruction, MADRAS.

Roc.E.21 *No.* 7398-49, *dated* 12th April 1949.

Sir,

* SCHOOLS-Elementary schools-Service of teachers prior to July 1934-taking into account for purposes of advance increments in the revised scales of pay-reference.

This office letter Roc No.E.7-26224-47 dated 17-5-48 and G.O.M.S.No.2967-Edn. dated 25th November 1948.

- p11 c 7 (A)

ENCLOSURES ____

SPARE COPIES pp25-26 c 7 (A)

-.-.-

As permitted in G.O.No.2035 Education dated 19-9-47 and G.O.No.MS.2967-Education dated 25-11-48, teachers working in Elementary schools were allowed advance increments counting their services only from 1-7-34 the date on which the scales of pay for teachers in Elementary schools were revised and came into force. In G.O.No.315, Education dated 7th February 1949, the Government have ordered that the service of teachers in Secondary schools prior to 1st November 1934 may be counted for the purpose, if it was in the same grade or category on a time scale of pay. In view of this Government order, the orders passed by the Government in their MS.No.2967-Education dated 25th November 1948 with reference to teachers in Elementary schools entails hardship on the Elementary school teachers as their services on a time scale prior to 1-7-34 does not count for advance increments and therefore no teacher in Elementary schools is eligible to get 4 advance increments in the revised scales of pay. Further I understand that in the Tiruchirapalli District Board the entire services of Elementary school teachers, excluding periods during which they were on fixed pay have been counted for advance increments and that G.O.No.Ms.2967 Education dated 25-11-48 has not been apparently applied to that District Board.

Not put up
pp25-26 c 7 (A)

(g)

pp25-26 c 7 (A)

pp25-26 c 7 (A)

I therefore request that G.O.No.MS.2967 Education dated 25-11-48 which appears to have been passed only in the case of this District Board may kindly be re-considered and the services of teachers in Elementary schools prior to 1-7-34, may also be counted for purposes of advance increments if it was in the same grade or category on a time scale of pay excluding periods on a fixed pay.

p25-26 c 7 (A)

Mr. Chitamdam

For Special Officer,
District Board,Salem.

IA.14.4.

[illegible] are [illegible] information

14.4.49.

2

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

R.C.No.1321-B2/49 dated 22nd October,1949.

28 OCT 1949

Submitted together with a copy of L.F.4256 dated 19.9.1949 of the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts,for favour of orders.

For Director of Public Instruction.

83617

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education & P.H. Department,Fort St.George, Madras (with enclosures).
SJ/25-x-

26/10

Enclosure.

EXAMINER'S OFFICE

L.F.4256/19.9.1949.

Sub:-Elementary Education--District Board,Salem--Fixation of pay-Elementary School teachers in the revised scales of pay.
Ref:-Director of Public Instruction's Proceedings Rc.No.1321-49 dated 2nd August 1949.

---

pp25-26c.f Ⓐ

1. According to the orders contained in G.O.Ms.No.2967-Edn., dated 25.11.1948 the service put in by the permanent teachers of the Elementary Schools of the Salem District Board from 1.7.1934 have alone to be taken into account for purposes of advance increments in the revised scales of pay. Consequent on the abolition of the taluk boards with effect from 1.4.1934, the Elementary School teachers under the Taluk Boards were transferred to the control of the District Board and the service under the defunct taluk boards can also be taken into account for purposes of weightage provided it was not on a fixed scale and it was otherwise admissible under revised pay rules 1947. The circumstances under which the service from 1.7.1934 was alone taken into account in respect of the Elementary School teachers under the Salem District Board are not known.

2. According to the orders in G.O.No.Ms.315-Education dated 7.2.1949 the service put in by the teachers of the Secondary Schools before 1.11.1934, the date on which the previous revision of scale of pay came into force,may be counted for purposes of advance increment provided the service was in the same grade or category on a time scale of pay and not on a fixed pay. The previous revision of scales of pay sanctioned in G.O.No.5096-L. & M. dated 7.12.1933 were given effect to from 1.1.1934 in respect of the teachers in the Elementary Schools under taluk boards and the orders issued in G.O.No.Ms.315-Education,dated 7.2.1949 in respect of the teachers under the Secondary schools may not be made applicable to the teachers of the Elementary Schools under reference as there is no question of service on the previous revision of scale of pay involved here.

3. If however a modification of orders issued with G.O.No. Ms.2967-Education,dated 25.11.1948 is considered necessary on any ground other than the one mentioned in paragraph 2 above the matter may perhaps be referred to Government.

sd. x xExaminer of Local Fund Accounts.
/True copy/.

27-10-49

For Director of Public Instruction.

2/2

Edn. & P.H. Depr
B2

18

Edn. District Board, Salem – appeal against orders sanctioning advance increments – V. Pattabiraman –

p1-7 c.f. Ry from the D.P.I. no. 3647 B2/47 dated 6.3.48.

Under the District Board, Salem, it had been the practice not to count the period of service of elementary school staff for pay

17

167/7-11

E&P.H. deptt (B2)

Memo No. 83617 B2/~~49~~-1 Edn. dated 5.11.49.

Sub:- Schools - Ele. schools - Dt. Bd., Salem - Service of teachers prior to 1.7.34 - Taking into account for purposes of advance increments in the revised scale of pay - Proposal - not approved.

pp25-26c.f Ⓐ

~~Ref~~ Read:- G.O. Ms. No. 2967 Edn. dated 25.11.48

p1-5 c.f

From the S.O., Dt. Bd., Salem No. E21-7398/49 dated 12.4.49.

p2 c.f

Endt. of the D.P.I. No. 1321-B2/49 dated 22.10.49.

p3 c.f

Endt. of the Examiner of L.F. Accts No. L.F. 4256 dated 19.9.49.

pp25-26 c.f Ⓐ

The S.O., Dt. Bd., Salem is ~~informed that~~ the orders in the G.O. cited above, do not require any modification ~~will not be reconsidered~~, as no time scales of pay would have ~~[illegible]~~

excluding the period of from 14.8.34 to 30.4.39 which was ignored for the purpose of increments in the old scale of pay. The petitioner's request is for the grant of advance increments with reference to the length of his continuous service from 14.8-34. The Spl. Officer points out that if this request is

B2

2

28 OCT 1949

Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

R.C.No.1321-B2/49 dated 22nd October,1949.

Submitted together with a copy of L.F.4256 dated 19.9.1949 of the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts, for favour of orders.

27-10-49

½ For Director of Public Instruction

83617

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education & P.H. Department, Fort St.George, Madras (with enclosures).
SJ/25-x-

26/10

Enclosure.

EXAMINER'S OFFICE

L.F.4256/19.9.1949.

Sub:-Elementary Education--District Board, Salem--Fixation of pay-Elementary School teachers in the revised scales of pay.
Ref:-Director of Public Instruction's Proceedings Rc.No.1321-49 dated 2nd August 1949.

---

pp25-26c.f Ⓐ

1. According to the orders contained in G.O.Ms.No.2967-Edn., dated 25.11.1948 the service put in by the permanent teachers of the Elementary Schools of the Salem District Board from 1.7.1934 have alone to be taken into account for purposes of advance increments in the revised scales of pay. Consequent on the abolition of the taluk boards with effect from 1.4.1934, the Elementary School teachers under the Taluk Boards were transferred to the control of the District Board and the service under the defunct taluk boards can also be taken into account for purposes of weightage provided it was not on a fixed scale and it was otherwise admissible under revised pay rules 1947. The circumstances under which the service from 1.7.1934 was alone taken into account in respect of the Elementary School teachers under the Salem District Board are not known.

2. According to the orders in G.O.No.Ms.315-Education dated 7.2.1949 the service put in by the teachers of the Secondary Schools before 1.11.1934, the date on which the previous revision of scale of pay came into force, may be counted for purposes of advance increment provided the service was in the same grade or category on a time scale of pay and not on a fixed pay. The previous revision of scales of pay sanctioned in G.O.No.5096-L. & M. dated 7.12.1933 were given effect to from 1.1.1934 in respect of the teachers in the Elementary Schools under taluk boards and the orders issued in G.O.No.Ms.315-Education, dated 7.2.1949 in respect of the teachers under the Secondary schools may not be made applicable to the teachers of the Elementary Schools under reference as there is no question of service on the previous revision of scale of pay involved here.

3. If however a modification of orders issued with G.O.No. Ms.2967-Education, dated 25.11.1948 is considered necessary on any ground other than the one mentioned in paragraph 2 above the matter may perhaps be referred to Government.

sd. x x Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
/True copy/.

27-10-49

For Director of Public Instruction.

167/7-11

pp25-26c.f Ⓐ

p1c.f

p2c.f

p3c.f

pp25-26c.f Ⓐ

E & P.H. deptt (B2)

Memo No. 83617 B2/49-1 Edn. dated 5.11.49.

Sub:- Schools - Ele. schools - Dt. Bd., Salem - Service of teachers prior to 1.7.34 - Taking into account for purposes of advance increments in the revised scale of pay - Proposal - not approved.

~~Ref~~ Read:- G.O. Ms. No. 2967 Edn. dated 25.11.48
From the S.O., Dt. Bd., Salem No. E21-7398/49 dated 12.4.49.
Endt. of the D.P.I. No. 1321-B2/49 dated 22.10.49.
Endt. of the Examiner of L.F. Accts No. L.F. 4256 dated 19.9.49.

The S.O., Dt. Bd., Salem is informed that the orders in the G.O. cited above, do not ~~will not be~~ require any modification ~~reconsidered~~, as no time scales of pay would have ~~would be~~

Edn. Dept.
B2

1

Estt. District Board, Salem – appeal
against orders sanctioning advance increments –
V. Pattabiraman –

18

p1-7 c/. Ref. From the D.P.I. no. 3647 B2/47 dated 6.3.48.

Under the District Board, Salem, it
had been the practice not to count the period of
acting service of elementary school staff for
p7 c/ purpose of increments in the time scale of pay.
This irregular procedure followed by the Board
against the rules was brought to the notice of Govt
and instructions were issued
pp5-6 c/ ⓓ. by the D.P.I in 1943
pp11-12 c/ ⓓ. to the Board in L.Dis. 502 Edn dated 2.4.43
to the effect that the continuous active service of ~~those~~ teachers
after the issue of orders should be taken into
account for the purpose of granting increments
in the case of all teachers whether new entrants
or those already appointed. The Govt did not,
p12 c/ ⓓ however, propose to reopen the past cases of the
irregular grant of increments by the Board.
In view of the wrong procedure adopted by the
Board till 1943, the Special Officer has allowed
p7 c/ the petitioner only one advance increment in the revised scale of pay after
excluding the period of his continuous service
from 14.8.34 to 30.4.39 which was already
ignored for the purpose of increments in the
old scale of pay. The petitioner's request is
for the grant of advance increments with reference
to the length of his continuous service from 14.8.34.
The Spl. officer points out that if this request is

2

been in force
~~rule under the Dt. Bd.~~, in respect of teachers in
elementary schools prior to 1.7.34. Orders in
G.O. No. 315 Edn dated 7.2.49 ~~were~~ issued,
in the case of
~~as~~ ~~those~~ teachers in secondary schools, under local
cannot be taken as an analogy as ~~those~~ teachers in those schools
bodies, ~~were~~ allowed, time scales of pay given before
1.11.34. If elementary school teachers who in
receipt of pay in time scale prior to
1.7.34 the service of those teachers in
3/3.11.49. time scale may be taken into account
for purpose of advance increment.

4/11/49

10/11

To
The ~~Special officer~~ President,
Dt. Bd.,
Salem,
The D.P.I.,
The Examiner of
L.F. Accts.

granted it will be difficult to resist a crop of similar claims from other teachers similarly hit hard. If the wrong done by the Board prior to 1943 is to be set right at this distance of time, a report will have to be called for in regard to the financial implications involved in giving due weightage to the teachers. It does not however seems necessary as suggested by the Special Officer to reopen the past cases at this distance of time ~~especially than the~~ for orders.

LA. and Finance may see.

Res
8.4.48.

12/4/48

Will have to be taken up as a general question by [illegible]

A

We will not be making arrears payments. We will only be fixing pay after counting in a period normally which would be taken into account, had been [illegible] (by an [illegible]) [illegible]

S/LA

I feel it is [illegible] actually. However there will also be increments. [illegible] accurately, if we do not do this, [illegible] from 1943 onwards will get persons recruited the same weightage as those who had put in officiating service in previous years. But prima facie I would be inclined [illegible] the case as at 'A' above. The [illegible] in a [illegible] similar set of cases, in Tinnevelly [illegible] a proposal of this nature [illegible] down. Pl. verify & see whether the issues were the same.

B

A draft memo with ref. to the orders of Secy. at A above is submitted for approval. With ref. to B above attention is solicited to the

---

19

3/

papers at Ⓔ wherein petitions from teachers under the Munl. Cl, Tirunelveli for refixing their pay of the teachers in the revised scales of pay were ~~not~~ rejected. The case of Tirunelveli is (slightly) different from that of Salem. The grievances of the teachers under the Munl. Cl, ~~of~~ Tirunelveli arose on account of the frequent revision of salaries ~~of~~ by the Ml. cl. In the case of Salem the acting service put in by the teachers were not taken into account for the purpose of increments in the old time scale.

T.S. 8.5.48

13/5/48 S

14/5/48

p9cf

[Issued as Memo No. 18908 B2/48-1 d. 14-5-48]

p17cf

From the DPI. No. 1244 B1/47 d. 5 7-48

p27c-f

p1 ante

Vide G.O. at ⓓ

Ref: From the Special Officer, Dt. Bd., Salem, No.E1-3748/47, dated 5--8--1948.

The file relates to the question whether for purposes of advance increments in the revised scales the acting services put in by elementary school teachers under ~~the~~ District Board, Salem, can be taken into account. In the case of the Salem District Board, the procedure followed was to admit a teacher to the benefit of a time scale only from the date of his confirmation under the district board, ~~Even~~ even though Govt. ordered that the ~~new~~ scales of pay (old) should be introduced for all teachers from 1-7-34. In effect acting teachers were admitted to time scales only from the date of confirmation. This irregularity was discovered in 1943 when the Govt. issued orders directing the district board to discontinue the practice. Thereafter teachers are admitted to time scales from the date of their appointment under the district board. The hardships created to teachers appointed prior to 1943 under the old scales of pay was ~~were~~ ~~was~~ not removed, on ~~on~~ the plea that it might not be desirable to reopen all past cases of irregularities.

2. With reference to the orders of the Secretary on page 2 ante a reference was made to the Special Officer asking him to report the extra cost ~~that would~~ would be ~~ed to be~~ involved if the acting service of teachers appointed prior to 1943 was taken ~~to~~ into account for purposes of advance increments in the time scale. The Special Officer has reported that the extra cost would be about Rs.6,000 a year. The point for consideration is whether acting service put in by teachers under the Dt. Bd. (on the minimum of the time scale) should be taken into account for the grant of advance increments in spite of orders at 'Z'. The orders in the G.O. at 'Z' prohibit taking into account for purposes of advance increments only services on fixed rates of pay without any reference to time-scales. In the case of the Dt. Bd. Salem, acting ~~xxxxxx~~ teachers were ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ drawing pay in ~~the~~ time scales but were not granted increments in ~~the~~ time scales. ~~Even~~ ~~Even though~~ As they may have been drawing ~~draw~~ the minimum of ~~the~~ time scales ~~pay~~ for a number of years before their confirmation, it does ~~It xx~~ not seem ~~seem~~ that their service ~~were~~ can be treated as one on ~~they had been allowed only~~ fixed rates of pay without any ~~with reference to~~ the ~~time-scale~~. If this view is upheld, there is a case for conceding the request that acting service put in by teachers prior to their confirmation should be taken into account for purposes of advance increments. Strictly speaking ~~x~~ this service should have been ~~be~~ taken into account and the pay of the teachers in the ~~with~~ old scales ~~should~~ fixed ~~be~~ refixed. ~~The teachers should also be granted advance increments taking the acting service into account.~~ The ~~first~~ concession was ~~however~~ not granted to the teachers in view of the orders at 'D'. It may not be fair to deny even this small concession by way of advance increments in the new scales.

Please see also the letter of the D.B. on page 17 C.F.

3. In the case of the District Board, Malabar, the Govt. recently issued orders that the service put in by teachers on fixed rates of pay prior to their admission to the time scale should not be taken into account for purposes of advance increments. G.O. at (A) The case of teachers discussed in the notes ~~on~~ the G.O. (A) is different from ~~those~~ those of teacher under the

p.9 c.f.

p.27 c.f.

District Board, Salem. In the case of ~~xx~~ Malabar teachers who were appointed on fixed rates of pay below the minimum of the time scale were admitted to time scales only after the expiry of a period of 3 years of service. In the case of Salem, however, the time scales of pay were ~~was~~ given effect even in ~~at~~ the beginning but ~~with the difference that~~ no increments were allowed ~~to teachers~~ till teachers ~~they~~ were confirmed in their posts. Thus for the reasons at 'B' ~~xx~~ above the case of teachers, ~~under~~ the Dt. Bd., Salem, can be differentiated from that of teachers under the D.B. Malabar and their acting service may be taken into account for purposes of advance increments.

4. The file may be sent to L.A. Finance for remarks.

15.9.48

21/9/48 S

For the reasons which I have already indicated in my note on p. 2 ante, I think this must be allowed.

E&P. H.U.O. 18908 B2/48-2

24.9.48

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPT.
38091
24 SEP 1948
SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

L A Dept

Please see the note of E&H. above ~~[illegible]~~. The view of that Dept at 'S' may be agreed to in this Dept and the file passed on to Finance Dept. ...

25.9.48

25.9.48

The teachers in question were not only ~~disallowed~~ denied increments while they were in acting service but also were denied the benefit of higher pay on confirmation. In G.O. No 502 Edn d 2-4-43 Govt. noticed the irregularity but they stated that they did not propose to reopen all past cases. They contented themselves with saying that the D.B. should take into account continuous acting service after the date of the order for purposes of

~~Exp(A)~~ EAIS

granting increments in the case of all teachers whether new entrants or those already appointed. The position now is this. The teachers did not get increments for the period of acting ~~periods~~ service prior to the issue of the above G.O. Yet E&P.H propose that the period which was not counted for increment and during which they got no increment at all should be counted for purposes of advance increment. In other words, the present opportunity is taken to set right an old wrong, while they had decided as early as ~~not~~ in 1943 not to reopen old cases. This Deptt may advise against the proposal. Dt. Bds. in the present state of their finances cannot afford to be generous.

X

RP 27/9

S.S. 25.9.48 / 27

Secy RP 27.9.48

Fin.

AP 27/9

L.A. No 38091-19. 27.9.48

Finance (Exp. A)

The notes from the middle of page 3 above may be perused. Although the irregularity, viz. that the acting elementary school teachers were denied the benefit of increment while acting service and higher pay on confirmation was noticed by the Govt. in 1943 (X), it was considered that there was no necessity to reopen past cases. In view of what L.A. have stated at X above, it does not appear necessary to allow the request which involves a recurring expr. of Rs 6,000/- p.a. If the Dt. Bd's (Salem) ~~financial position~~ finances are ~~in an~~ unsatisfactory ~~state of finances~~, the Govt will have to meet this expr ~~indirectly~~ ultimately by way of subsidy. In the circumstances, Fin. may advise that the proposal may be negatived. E&P.H. will no doubt take steps in circulating including H.M. (Fin.) if they wish to press their proposal.

6.10.48

7.x.48 ADS.

The anomaly referred to by E&P.H. Secy. on p.2 above frequently occurs at the time of general revision of pay scales and it is inevitable.
7.x.48

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
28 SEP 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

88230

2

(d) In G.O. 502 Edn. d/ 1/4/43, the Govt. specifically decided that past cases need not be reopened (in regard to the counting of acting service of Ele. School teachers of Salem Dist. Board). This decision was reached mainly on two grounds, namely (1) that the Ele. Edn fund of the Salem Dist. Board could not meet the additional financial burden involved and (2) that service registers were not maintained for non-permanent teachers prior to 1934. It would appear from the L.A. ~~Board~~ Deptt. note on P. 6 above, that reason (1) applies now with equal force. In fact, this argument against the proposal has greater force now in view of the increase in ~~Board's~~ expenditure caused by the grant of dearness allce at higher rates and revision of pay scales of teachers. Reason (2) also applies even now. Finance may therefore agree with the L.A. Deptt. and advise against the proposal.

7.x.48

E&PH.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
75466
18 OCT 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Fin. U.O. 88230 EA-1
18.x.48

E&P.H. (B2)

~~Forwarded~~ Please see the notes of L.A and Finance on pp 5-7 above. In the circumstances it is for orders whether the suggestion of L.A and Finance may be accepted or the case may be passed in circulation including H.M. (Fin.)

18.10.48.

18/10/48

19/10/48

May be accepted.

20/x

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
25 OCT [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

I think we must do justice to these poor teachers. That some wrong was done to them some years back is no readon for continuing it now. Their services must be taken into consideration and the salaries refixed. After all, the cost is only Rs.6,000.

H.M., L.A. and H.M. Finance may see.

TSA
23.10.48

8

B2 1890

The file may be circulated to H.Ms ~~Fin~~ L.A. and Fin. w.r.t. the minute of H.M.(Edn) on page 7 ante

B.M.
25/10/48 S

26/10/48

H.M. (L.A.) ✓

H.M. (Fin.) ✓

I agree with the views expressed by L.A. and Finance Departments. It has been specifically decided that past cases should not be reopened. There is no need to reopen this case which involves a recurring expenditure of Rs.6,000, which, I am afraid, the Salem District Board may not be able to meet in view of its slender resources.

2/11

7.XI

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
2 NOV [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The file may be circulated [illegible] (Edn).

12/11/48 S

T.S.A.
19.11.48

A draft order is submitted below for approval.

B.M.
22/11/48

22.11.48

22/11/48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P.H. DEPT.
19 NOV [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS