Secrt. Old II-27, New II-15—100,606—21-11-47.

E. PH (1948) Department.

(MS) SERIES.

G.O. No. 2887

Education

Dated 16.11.48

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Schools — Aided — Monthly Payment of teaching grants — Orders passed.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Secretary, L. & P.H.

Mr. Lobo, Dy. D.P.I. (Elementary Education), told me the other day that he is arranging with the Auditor-General for the monthly disbursement of the grants to aided schools. It is many months since he told me that and after that, nothing has been heard. Enquiries may be made as to how the matter stands and when it can be brought into effect.

TSA 27-1-47

H.M. Education.

Copy to Mr. G.L.Lobo, Dy. D.P.I.

Resubmitted.

After a full discussion with the Assistant Accounts Officer, Office of the Accountant-General, Madras, the Accountant-General was addressed on 3--12--46 and his reply was received here on 14-1-47.

Copies

Copies of this office letter R.C.No.7740 B7/46 dated 3-12-46 and the Accountant-General's reply No.T.9.Edn. SC.1-324 dated 11-1-47 are herewith submitted. The third suggestion given by the Accountant-General seems to be acceptable as it is more or less on the lines suggested by this office. As suggested by the Accountant-General the Board of Revenue may be consulted before the scheme is finally accepted.

Dy. D.P.I.

Copy of letter R.C.No.7740 B7/46 dated 3-12-46 from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras to the Accountant General, Madras.

Sub: Elementary Education - Added schools - Payment of monthly instalment of teaching grants.

---

1. This proposal was considered in 1944 but was dropped on account of additional expenditure involved by the appointment of additional staff that was considered necessary in the offices of the Deputy Inspectors and District Educational Officers to make the scheme workable. The Hon'ble Minister for Education is very anxious that teachers should be paid monthly grants and hence this question is being reconsidered now. There are two main points which have got to be considered in connection with this question. The first is the danger of excess payment and thereby loss to Government, if managements close down the schools or if managements which receive full grants are found inefficient and are awarded minus grants in the inspection reports. The other question is the system of payment of grants and the extra work involved in it.

2. As regards the first point, there is no danger involved in regard to the excess payments of grants in the case of schools that have been continuing to be efficient and well managed. In the case of Mission managements which have got a large number of schools under them, there will be no difficulty in getting an undertaking from them that they would accept any adjustment of grants at the end of the year. In regard to well managed schools, a similar undertaking can be taken. In regard to schools which are not well managed and which have been inefficient, it is proposed to give from 50 to 75 percent of the assessed grant, so that just as now the Government has got a hold on 25 percent of the grant at the end of the year, the Government will still hold at least 25 percent of the grant under the proposed scheme. Under the proposals now being considered, it is our idea to calculate the monthly grants to be paid to each school on the basis of the assessed grant of the previous year. As an additional safeguard it is also proposed that every school will have a Local School Committee consisting of a representative of the management, a representative of the staff, a representative of the Parents' Association and a nominee of the Deputy Inspector of schools. This committee will see to the payment of grant to the teachers and to the proper maintenance of the acquittance rolls to be signed by the teachers in payment of the monthly grant. The grant for the next month will not be paid unless this acquittance is actually received by the Deputy Inspector. I consider that the above safeguards are quite sufficient to prevent any loss to Government by payment of excess grant to any school.

As regards the method of payment to the schools, it has to be considered in what manner bills or cheques or Money orders can be paid to the managements. At present grants are paid on bills prepared in the Dt.Edl.Officers' offices. The preparation of duplicate bills on behalf of each school in the district involves quite an enormous amount of work. If the monthly system of payment of grants is adopted, it will not be possible to adopt the present system of payment through duplicate bills without substantial increase in the staff of our offices. Therefore I suggest the following:-

(1) At the beginning of the year, the District Educational Officer will prepare the list for each taluk showing the amount of grant to be paid to each management. In the case of well established managements and efficient schools, this monthly grant will be equivalent to 1/12th of the assessed grant of the previous year. In the case of inefficient schools, the grant will be 50 to 75 percent of the 1/12th of the assessed grant of the previous year. The District Educational Officer will send copies of these lists t -the Deputy Inspectors concerned and to the Sub-Treasury concerned

(2) The Deputy Inspector of each taluk will prepare a consolidated bill for all aided schools. This bill will be in duplica The figures in this e bills will tally with those in the list sent by the District Educational Officer and as a copy of the list will the

Copies of this office letter R.C.No.7740 B7/46 dated 3-12-46 and the Accountant-General's reply No.T.9.Edn. SC.1-324 dated 11-1-47 are herewith submitted. The third suggestion given by the Accountant-General seems to be acceptable as it is more or less on the lines suggested by this office. As suggested by the Accountant-General the Board of Revenue may be consulted before the scheme is finally accepted.

Dy. D.P.I.

Copy of letter R.C.No.7740 B7/46 dated 3-12-46 from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras to the Accountant General, Madras.

Sub: Elementary Education - Added schools - Payment of monthly instalment of teaching grants.

---

1. This proposal was considered in 1944 but was dropped on account of additional expenditure involved by the appointment of additional staff that was considered necessary in the offices of the Deputy Inspectors and District Educational Officers to make the scheme workable. The Hon'ble Minister for Education is very anxious that teachers should be paid monthly grants and hence this question is being reconsidered now. There are two main points which have got to be considered in connection with this question. The first is the danger of excess payment and thereby loss to Government, if managements close down the schools or if managements which receive full grants are found inefficient and are awarded minus grants in the inspection reports. The other question is the system of payment of grants and the extra work involved in it.

2. As regards the first point, there is no danger involved in regard to the excess payments of grants in the case of schools that have been continuing to be efficient and well managed. In the case of Mission managements which have got a large number of schools under them, there will be no difficulty in getting an undertaking from them that they would accept any adjustment of grants at the end of the year. In regard to well managed schools, a similar undertaking can be taken. In regard to schools which are not well managed and which have been inefficient, it is proposed to give from 50 to 75 percent of the assessed grant, so that just as now the Government has got a hold on 25 percent of the grant at the end of the year, the Government will still hold at least 25 percent of the grant under the proposed scheme. Under the proposals now being considered, it is our idea to calculate the monthly grants to be paid to each school on the basis of the assessed grant of the previous year. As an additional safeguard it is also proposed that every school will have a Local School Committee consisting of a representative of the management, a representative of the staff, a representative of the Parents' Association and a nominee of the Deputy Inspector of schools. This committee will see to the payment of grant to the teachers and to the proper maintenance of the acquittance rolls to be signed by the teachers in payment of the monthly grant. The grant for the next month will not be paid unless this acquittance is actually received by the Deputy Inspector. I consider that the above safeguards are quite sufficient to prevent any loss to Government by payment of excess grant to any school.

3. As regards the method of payment to the schools, it has to be considered in what manner bills or cheques or Money orders can be paid to the managements. At present grants are paid on bills prepared in the Dt.Edl.Officers' offices. The preparation of duplicate bills on behalf of each school in the district involves quite an enormous amount of work. If the monthly system of payment of grants is adopted, it will not be possible to adopt the present system of payment through duplicate bills without substantial increase in the staff of our offices. Therefore I suggest the following:-

(1) At the beginning of the year, the District Educational Officer will prepare the list for each taluk showing the amount of grant to be paid to each management. In the case of well established managements and efficient schools, this monthly grant will be equivalent to 1/12th of the assessed grant of the previous year. In the case of inefficient schools, the grant will be 50 to 75 percent of the 1/12th of the assessed grant of the previous year. The District Educational Officer will send copies of these lists to the Deputy Inspectors concerned and to the Sub-Treasury concerned.

(2) The Deputy Inspector of each taluk will prepare a consolidated bill for all aided schools. This bill will be in duplicate. The figures in these bills will tally with those in the list sent by the District Educational Officer and as a copy of the list will b

the

the Sub-Treasury; the Sub-Treasury Officer also will have a check on the figures in the consolidated bill.

(3) The Deputy Inspector will then issue cheques or pay orders to the management concerned for the amounts noted against their school in the D.E.O's list. I would prefer payment by cheque as this involves very little work in filling up. If for any technical reason cheques cannot be permitted, I suggest that you will be good enough to evolve a very simple pay order similar to a cheque.

(4) The manager will present this cheque or pay order at the Sub-Treasury.

(5) The Sub-Treasury Officer will pay the amount indicated on the cheque or pay order after verifying the figure in that pay order with the figure in the Dt.Edl.Officer's list and will pay the grant to the manager after getting the signature of the manager on the cheque or pay order and also on the consolidated duplicate bill forwarded to the Sub-Treasury Office by the Deputy Inspector.

(6) At the end of the month, the Sub-Treasury officer will total up the payments made showing the amounts undisbursed and send the consolidated bill with his signature to the Accountant-General and the duplicate bill to the District Educational Officer.

(7) If a manager has not cashed his cheque or pay order by the 28th of each month, the amount will not be included in the cheque or pay order of the following month. The manager will be required to pay a penalty of Rs.5/- and apply for sanction of the arrears. The District Educational Officer will pass separate orders regarding such arrear payment which will be claimed on ordinary bills as at present.

4 I have discussed the procedure suggested above with the Accounts Officer in your office who agrees with me that my suggestions are practicable. As the cheques or pay orders issued by the Deputy Inspectors will undergo checks by the District Educational Officers and by the Sub-Treasury Officer, there will be no fear of any irregularities in the offices of the Deputy Inspectors of Schools. Further, the work involved in filling up cheques or pay orders will not be so great as to require additional staff in the offices of the Deputy Inspectors except perhaps in the case of a few taluks where the number of aided schools is very large. In such cases, Government will be requested to appoint additional staff.

5 It is proposed to introduce this system from the beginning of the calendar year 1947 and I, therefore, request the favour of your very early consideration of the scheme detailed above.

Copy of letter No.T.9.Edn.Sc.I.324 dated 11th Januayy 1947 from the Accountant General, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Sub: Elementary Education - Aided schools - payment of teaching grants - monthly.

---

1 With reference to your letter No.7740-B/3-46 dated 3-12-46, I have the honour to state that the proposal to introduce the system of monthly payment of grants instead of the quarterly payments now in force will involve considerable increase of work in the treasuries and the Audit office, as the number of bills to be dealt with will be about 25,000 a year. If the sanctions to the quarterly payments are issued sufficiently in advance, that is, in the first week of each quarter, the hardship to the schools will be removed and there seems to be no objection to allow the managements

managements to retain with them, the amount of the grant for one or two months, in view of the safeguards proposed to be adopted as indicated in paragraph 2 of your letter. This solution of the difficulty is recommended to avoid the additional work involved in the alternative proposals dealt with below.

2 There is, however, no objection to monthly payments being made. For this purpose, a consolidated bill for grants due to the various schools in charge of a Deputy Inspector may be drawn by the District Educational Officer and the bill may be endorsed and sent to the Deputy Inspector, who will draw the amounts in lump from the nearest treasury or sub-treasury and disburse the amounts to the managements concerned. As the amounts involved are small, there seems to be no serious objection to entrust this work to the Deputy Inspector as this will avoid the extra work to the treasuries and the Audit Office. If a system of monthly payments is considered essential, the departmental officer should undertake the responsibility for payment and if necessary, the work should be required to be closely checked by the District Educational Officers during their tours.

3 The alternative proposal suggested by you will cause extra work to treasuries. It is not desirable to ask the Deputy Inspectors to issue cheques but a pay-order form may be introduced and the treasury asked to make the payments to each school on the authority of the pay-order issued by the Deputy Inspector. The Treasury Officer who will deal with individual payments can adopt a procedure similar to that adopted in regard to pension payments, that is, by drawing a lump sum amount by debit to "Suspense" and making individual payments after obtaining the acknowledgments of the payees on the consolidated bill and by clearing the suspense head in the accounts of the same month after all the payments are made. This arrangement will be possible only if the managements are all directed to take the payments due to them on two or three fixed dates in a month. It may be difficult under the present conditions obtaining in the treasuries to undertake this extra work and the concurrence of the Board of Revenue may be obtained before the proposal is submitted to Government.

Urgent 12/2

pp 3-6 cf
pp 1-5 cf

7/9-10 cf

p 5 cf

Education and P.H. Dept. C1.

Memo.No.6214 C1/47-1 Edn. dt. 20.2.47

Elementary Education-Aided Schools-Payment of Monthly instalment of teaching grants.

From the D.P.I. to the A.G.No.7740 B7/46 of 3-12-1946.
From the A.G. to the D.P.I.No.T.9.Edn. Sc.I.324 dt. 11-1-1947.

Copies of the letters cited above together with a copy of G.O.No.(Rt) 11 Edn., dated 16-1-1947, are forwarded to the Bd. of Revenue. The Board is requested to offer its remarks on the proposal contained in the last paragraph of the letter of the A.G. to the D.P.I., No.T. 9 Edn. SCI 324, dated 11-1-1947.

OBM 19/2/47

To

The Bd. of Revenue (with encls.)

pp 3-6 cf in orgl. & copy of pp 9-10 cf (r)

The Hindu 12.2.41

17 FEB 1941

10

C16

13552

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

MONTHLY GRANTS TO ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

Sir,—The Government have ordered payment of monthly grants to aided elementary schools. The question arises whether the monthly grant will be the same throughout or will it be calculated according to the monthly returns submitted every month. If the grant is to be based on the monthly returns, increasing and decreasing according to the number of trained teachers and the total number of pupils, the grants for the periods the schools will lay closed for long vacations must be calculated just for the previous month to which it corresponds. The Government should clarify this issue in time.

Ghouse Badsha.

To the Secretary, E.&.P.H.

Please see the cutting above. The question raised in it may be enquired and put up.

TSA 17.2.41

H.M.Edn.

Edn. and P.H. Deptt. (C.1)

MEMO No. 6214 C1/47-2 Edn. D- 28/2/47

ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS - Aided - Grants - Monthly payment.

Ref: U.O. Note from the Dy. D.P.I. dated 7--2--1947.

A copy of the letter to the Editor from Ghouse Badha which appeared in THE HINDU of 12--2--1947 is forwarded to the D.P.I. for remarks.

28/2/47

To

The D.P.I.
(with a copy of letter & Memo No. 6214 C1/47 dated 20-2-47)

R.O.C.NO.7740-B7/46.

Office of the
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, Dated 12--3--1947.

From

Dr. S.R.U. Savoor, M.A., D.Sc., I.E.S.,
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,

Sub: Elementary Education - Aided Schools - Payment of monthly grant.

REF: Government Memorandum No.6214-C1/47-2 Edn., dated 28-2-47.

In inviting your kind attention to my letter Roc.No.7740-B7/46 dated 8-3-1947 I have the honour to state that I have suggested revised proposals for the monthly payment of grant according to which the grant sanctioned for each month will be based on the monthly returns received for that month. Where schools are closed for a whole month for the vacation the figures regarding staff, strength and average attendance of the previous month will be taken into account in fixing the monthly grant for the vacation month.

R. Narasimha

for Director of Public Instruction.

RR.13/3.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 MAR 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Revenue Dept.

Elementary education- instalment of teaching grants- Aided schools- Payment of monthly instalment of teaching grants- Procedure regarding payment- Remarks submitted.

Rt. No. 1589.

20th March 1947.

From

T.M.S.Mani Esq., O.B.E., I.C.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue.

To

W. Scott Brown Esq., C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commr. of L.A., and C.T.

Read: Copy of letter No.Rc. 7740/B.7/46 d/ 3-12-46 from the Director of Public Instructions to the Acct. General.

Copy of letter No. T.9- Edn. Sc. I. 324 d/ 11-1-47 from the Acct. General to the Director of Public Instruction.

G.O.No.R.11 Edn- d/ 16th Jan.1947.
Govt. Memo. No.6214- C.1/47-1 Edn. d/ 20-8-47.

Resolution:-

The Board submits that several reports are frequently received from the Acct. General about treasury irregularities and delays in the submission of accounts to him. The Collectors of most of the districts consider that more and more additional staff is needed to cope up with the pressure of work in the District and sub treasuries. It therefore considers that the system of monthly payments of grants to aided

elementary schools at the district and sub treasuries would considerably overburden them, with extra work.

2. The Board therefore recommends the following suggestion of the Acct. General contained in his letter d/ 11-1-47. :-

"There is however no objection to monthly payments being made. For this purpose, a consolidated bill ~~of~~ for grants due to the various schools in charge of a Dy. Inspector may be drawn by the Dt. Educational officer and the bill may be endorsed and sent to the Dy. Inspector, who will draw the amounts in lump from the nearest treasury or sub treasury and disburse the amounts to managements concerned. As the amounts involved are small, there seems to be no serious objection to entrust this work to the Dy. Inspector as this will avoid the extra work to the treasuries and the audit office. If a system of monthly payments is considered essential, the departmental officer should undertake the responsibility for payment and if necessary, the work should be required to be closely checked by the Dt. Educational officers, during their tours.

It however considers that if the Direcor of Public Instruction finds it difficult to follow the above system of payment on a permanent basis it may perhaps be tried for one year, after which time the system of payment of grants at treasuries referred to in the last para of the Acct. General's letter d/ 11-8-47 cited above, might be considered, if the work in the district and sub treasuries comes to normal by then.

for Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Dept.

J.E.G.20.3.

20/3

To be issued in Secretariat

To The D.P.I.

Edn. & P.H.Deptt.

Aided elementary schools - Monthly payment of teaching grants.-

Letter from the D.P.I, Roc.No.7740 B7 dated 12-3-47.

Memo. 6214 C1/47-7 dated 20.5.47

It has been suggested that the proposal outlined in the second para of the A.G.'s letter No.T-9-Edn.Sc I-324 dated 11-1-47 addressed to the D.P.I., which has been agreed to by the Bd. of Rev, be tried for a year. The difficulties pointed out by the Director in para 3 of his U.O.note No.7740 B7 dated 16-4-47 can be overcome by asking Dy.Inspectors and Sub Asst. Inspectresses to keep their cash chests in Sub Treasury for safe custody. The Director is requested to report the minimum additional staff required for the offices of Deputy Inspectors and Sub Asst.Inspectresses in areas where Attendance Officers are not to be employed.

17-5-47

F. 32.

Department

From (Name) Sri D.S. Reddi, M.A., (Oxon), M.B.E.,
Designation Director of Public Instruction,
Station Madras.

Received ... Registered ...

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT. 5 JUN 1947

Enclosures:

Roc.No. 7740 B2/46, dated 24th June 1947.

Sir,

SUB:- Elementary Education - Aided schools - Monthly payment of teaching grants - Proposals for additional staff for the officess of the Deputy Inspectors and Sub Assistant Inspectresses - Submitting.

REF:- Government Memo-No.6214 C1/47-7, Edn., dated 20-5-1947.

--@--

I have the honour to report that the following minimum additional staff will be required for the officess of the Deputy Inspectors and Sub Assistant Inspectresses in connection with the monthly payment of teaching grants.

Men's Branch	No. of clerks required in the lower division scale of Rs.45-90.
Godavari Division	77
Anantapur Division.	20
Madura Division.	49
Coimbatore Division.	48
Women's Branch	6
Total	200

The following scale has been adopted in estimating the requirements. Ranges having less than 50 aided Elementary schools - no extra clerk.
Ranges having more than 50 and less than 100 school - 1 extra clerk.
Ranges having more than 100 and less than 150 - 2 extra clerks.
Ranges more than 150 and less than 200 - 3 extra clerks.
Ranges over 200 schools - 4 extra clerks.

calculated at the average cost of Rs.810 per annum (Rs.67½ x12) the extra cost (ultimate) for the employment of the 200 Lower Division clerks in the scale of Rs.45-90 referred to above would be Rs.1,62,000 per annum.

If attendance officers are to be appointed in four districts, the estimated number of additional clerks will be less by the number of clerks included in the estimate for those Districts. The staff referred to above is in addition to the additional staff proposed for the offices of the District Educational Officers and Inspectresses of Girls Schools, i.e. employment of one additional clerk in the Upper Division scale for each of the offices of the District Educational Officers and Inspectresses in my letter Roc.No.7740 B7/47, dated 8-3-1947.

for Director of Public Instruction

Copy to B2 section.

m.k.24/6.

18

Telegraphic advice issued P.C. 4/7/47

Issued at 2.10 P.M. 4.7.47

Dispd 4/7/47

P17 of

Education & P.H. Deptt.

T.M.

12 19

From
The Asst. Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

To
The Director of Public Instruction,
M A D R A S.

Ref: Your letter No. 7740 B2/46 dated 24th June 1947.

It has been suggested that it will be ~~seen~~ sufficient if the additional ~~xxx~~ clerks are sanctioned for the Offices of Deputy Inspectors ~~and Inspector~~ and S.A. Sub-Asst. Inspectresses of schools on the following basis ~~before~~ for the work connected with the monthly payment of teaching grants to aided elementary schools:-

Komp 12 nf A

One Extra clerk for ranges having more than 50 and less than 100 schools.

Two Extra clerks for ranges having more than 100 and less than 150 schools.

three Extra clerks for ranges having more than 150 schools

20

-2-

Please furnish very urgently an estimate of the additional number of clerks that will be required for the work on the above basis. and the extra cost involved.

8/7/47

3/7/47

p.c. to go

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
8 - JUN 1947
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

C1 9/7 21

13

Received EDUCATION DEPARTMENT. *Registered*

From (Name) Sri D.S. Reddi, M.A. (Oxon), M.B.E.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

Post Box ____, (Station) MADRAS.

Telegraphic Address 'INSTRUCTION'

55775

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

Roc. *No.* 77408/2/47, *dated* 7th July 1947.

Sir,

pp 19-20 ante

Enclosures

Spare Copies

Ref: Telephonic Message dated 4.7.47 from the Assistant Secretary to Government regarding monthly payment of Teaching grants to aided elementary schools.

.....

I have the honour to state that the total number of additional clerks that will be required in case the scale suggested in the Telephonic Message is adopted, is 190 as shown below:-

Mens Branch.

P.T.O.

Men's Branch.

Godavary Division.	73
Anantapur Division	20
Madura Division.	46
Coimbatore Division.	45
Women's Branch.	6
Total	190

The extra cost (ultimate) for the employment of the above 190 Lower Division Clerks in the scale of Rs.45-~~90~~, calculated at the average cost of Rs.810/- per annum would be Rs.1,53,900/- per annum.

For Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.7/7.

E.P.H.Deptt.

NOTE FOR THE STANDING FINANCE COMMITTEE

Sub: Monthly payment of teaching grants to aided elementary schools---Employment of additional staff.

*****

In January last the Govt. ordered that grants to aided elementary schools should be paid monthly instead of quarterly with effect from 1st January 1947. In that connection the Director reported that if this system was introduced, the work in the offices of District Educational Officers and Inspectresses and Subordinate inspecting officers would increase very greatly and that it would be absolutely necessary to strengthen the staff of their offices to cope with additional work and responsibility. He recommended, therefore, that an additional U.D.clerk might be employed in each of these offices (36 in all). He also recommended that 200 L.D. clerks would be required in the offices of the Deputy Inspectors and Sub Assist.Inspectresses of schools on the following basis for the work:-

One extra clerk for ranges having more than 50 and less than 100 schools.
Two extra clerks for ranges having more than 100 & less than 150 schools.
Three extra clerks for ranges having more than 150 & less than 200 schools.
Four extra clerks for ranges over 200 schools.

This department accepted the proposals of the D.P.I.; but the Fin.Deptt. however, suggested that it would be enough if L.D.clerks were sanctioned on the following basis:-

One extra clerk for ranges having more than 50 & less than 100 schools.
Two extra clerks for ranges having more than 100 & less than 150 schools.
Three extra clerks for ranges having more than 150 schools.

The suggestion of the Fin.Deptt. was accepted by this Deptt. According to the revised basis, the total number of L.D. clerks required would be 190. The total cost on account of the employment of the additional staff is estimated at Rs. 2,46,300/- as shown in the annexure.

The Hon'ble Minister for Education has minuted as follows:-

"Since a communique has already been issued that the Government would be arranging to pay monthly grants to the aided school teachers, this is only by way of implementing that promise. I wonder whether it cannot be done earlier than the meeting of the Finance Committee as the Finance Committee is going to meet only a couple of weeks hence. If it must be placed before the Fin.Committee, a note may be immediately circulated and this may be included as the first item in the next meeting of the Finance committee."

The Finance Deptt.'s view is that as a very large sum is involved and as a few days' delay only may result if Standing Finance Committee's orders are obtained, it would be preferable to follow the normal procedure, if possible.

PARTICULARS.	Average pay	Dearness Allowance.	TOTAL COST.
190 L.D clerks (45-90)	67.5	18	16,245
36 U.D. clerks.(80-110)	101	18	4,284
		TOTAL	20,529
			12
			2,46,348

or 246300 roundly

A note for the Standing Finance Committee was prepared and on circulation H.M. (Finance) minuted as follows:-

" Just to disburse grants to aided schools monthly, instead of quarterly, is ~~giving~~ going to cost the Govt. nearly Rs. 2½ lakhs annually. It is very expensive. Can't the depts. examine it further ~~and~~ reduce expenditure and devise a cheaper method?

B.G.R./27-7-47.

It was then pointed out by this department that the staff asked for was the minimum and that a less costly method could not be adopted, on re-circulation, the H.Ms. recorded the following minutes:-

" The matter has been referred to the D.P.I. many times before and these are his final proposals. We have already promised by a Communique to give them monthly salaries. The matter was once approved by all departments and the Revenue Board. On their agreement, a Communique was issued that monthly payment will be given to the teachers. After the Communique was issued, the Revenue Board expressed its inability to arrange the monthly payments through the treasuries and so, the D.P.I. had to ask for further staff and these are his proposals. In view of the definite promises that we have made, we must make this undertaking. This will also be conducive to the more efficient working of the elementary schools themselves and so, the expense is not high considering the fact that the amount disbursed is in the whereabouts of Rs. 4½

This may not be considered very high. H.M. Finance may kindly see. "

T.S.A.
6-8-47.

~~S.F.C. may see.~~ "Standing Finance Committee may see".

B.G.R.
6/8/47.

The proposals may be placed before the S.F.Committee and the Committee may be requested to allow orders to be passed without reference to the Cabinet.

6/8(47)

7/8/47.

Announced

[Here ends the table on p 2 and]

Education & P.H.Deptt.

NOTE FOR THE STANDING FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Subject: Monthly payment of teaching grants to aided elementary schools -- Employment of additional staff.

....

In January last the Government ordered that grants to aided elementary schools should be paid monthly instead of quarterly with effect from 1st January 1947. In that connection the Director reported that if the system ~~of the introduction of monthly payment of grants~~ was introduced, the work in the offices of District Educational Officers and Inspectresses would increase very greatly and that it would be absolutely necessary to strengthen the staff of their offices to cope with additional work and responsibility. He recommended therefore that an additional U.D. clerk might be employed in each of these offices (36 in all). He also recommended that 200 L.D. clerks would be required in the offices of the Deputy Inspectors and Sub Assistant Inspectresses of Schools on the following basis:-

One extra clerk for ranges having more than 50 and less than 100 schools.
Two extra clerks for ranges having more than 100 and less than 150 schools.
Three extra clerks for ranges having more than 150 and less than 200 schools.
Four extra clerks for ranges over 200 schools.

This department accepted the proposals of the D.P.I.; but the Finance Department however suggested that it would be enough if L.D.clerks were sanctioned on the following basis:-

One extra clerk for ranges having more than 50 & less than 100 schools.
Two extra clerks for ranges having more than 100 and less than 150 schools.
Three extra clerks for ranges having more than 150 schools

The suggestion of the Finance department was accepted by this Deptt. According to the revised basis the total number of L.D.clerks required would be 190. The total cost on account of the employment of the additional staff is estimated at Rs.246300/- as shown in the annexure.

The Hon'ble Minister for Education has minuted as follows:-

" Since a communique has already been issued that the Government would be arranging to pay monthly grants to the aided schools teachers, this is only by way of implementing that promise. I wonder whether it cannot be done earlier than the meeting of the Finance Committee as the Finance Committee is going

to meet only a couple of weeks hence. If it must be placed before the Finance Committee, a note may be immediately circulated and this may be included as the first item in the next meeting of the Finance committee."

The Finance Deputy Secretary thereupon noted as follows:-

"It is a very large sum and a few days delay only may result if Standing Finance Committee's orders are obtained and I would prefer this normal procedure to be followed if possible."

The proposals may be placed before the Standing Finance Committee and the Committee may be requested to allow orders to be passed without reference to the Cabinet.

Summary of cost

Major Head of account	Minor and Sub-head of account	Ultimate Cost: Recurring	Ultimate Cost: Non-recurring	Cost in the year of introduction: Recurring	Cost in the year of introduction: Non-recurring
37. Edn.	37. Edn - p. Inspection - A. general - Mens' Branch - I. Ordinary areas - ~~Pay of establishments~~ Voted:				
	190 l.d. classes on Rs(45-90)	1,94,940.	Nil.	~~8,1225~~	Nil
	28 u.d. classes on Rs(80-110)	39,984	Nil	~~1666~~ 13,700	Nil
	Total 37 p. A.I	2,34,924	Nil	73,600	Nil
	37. Edn. - p. Inspection - B. General - Womens' Branch - Voted ~~2 - Pay of establishments~~				
	8 u.d. classes on Rs(80-110)	11,424	Nil	~~4760~~ 3,900	Nil
	Total 37 p. B.			77,500	
	Grand Total	2,46,348. or 2,46,300	Nil	1,02,645 or 1,02,600	Nil

42,800 Pay
17,100 DA
59,900

11,200 Pay
2,500 DA
13,700

3,200 Pay
700 DA
3,900

Special

Please take copies and dp.

Education and Public Health Department.

29

NOTE FOR THE STANDING FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Subject: MONTHLY PAYMENT OF TEACHING GRANTS TO AIDED ELEMENTARY SCHOLLS - EMPLOYMENT OF ADDITIONAL STAFF.

In January last the Government ordered that grants to aided elementary schools should be paid monthly instead of quarterly with effect from Ist January 1947. In that connection the Director reported that if this system was introduced, the work in the offices of District Educational Officers and Inspectresses and Subordinate inspecting officers would increase very greatly and that it would be absolutely necessary to strengthen the staff of their offices to cope with additional work and responsibility. He recommended, therefore, that an additional U.D. clerk might be employed in each of these offices (36 in all). He also recommended that 200 L.D. clerks would be required in the offices of the Deputy Inspectors and Sub-Assistant Inspectresses of schools on the following basis for the work:-

One extra clerk for ranges having more than 50 and less than 100 schools.
Two extra clerks for ranges having more than 100 & less than 150 schools.
Three extra clerks for ranges having more than 150 & less than 200 schools.
Four extra clerks for ranges over 200 schools.

This department accepted the proposals of the Director of Public Instruction ; the Finance Department however, suggested that it would be enough if L.D. clerks, were sanctioned on the following basis:-

One extra clerk for ranges having more than 50 & less than 100 schools
Two extra clerks for ranges having more than 100 & less than 150 schools
Three extra clerks for ranges having more than 150 schools.

The suggestions of the Finance department was accepted by this department. According to the revised basis, the total number of L.D. clerks required would be 190. The total cost on account of the employment of the additional staff is estimated at Rs. 2,46,300/- per annum as shown in the annexure:-

The Hon'ble Minister for Education has minuted as follows:-

"Since a communique has already been issued that the Government would be arranging to pay monthly grants to the aided school teachers, this is only by way of implementing that promise. I wonder whether it cannot be done earlier than the meeting of the Finance Committee as the Finance Committee is going to meet only a couple of weeks hence. If it must be placed before the Finance Committee, a note may be immediately circulated and this may be included as the first item in the next meeting of the Finance Committee."

T.S.A(vinashilingam)

P.T.O.

The Finance Department's view is that as a very large sum is involved and as a few days' delay only may result if Standing Finance Committee's orders are obtained, it would be preferable to follow the normal procedure, if possible.

A note for the Standing Finance Committee was prepared and on circulation H.M.(Finance) minuted as follows:-

"Just to disburse grants to aided schools monthly, instead of quarterly, is going to cost the Govt. nearly Rs. 2½ lakhs annually. It is very expensive. Can't the departments examine it further and reduce expenditure and devise a cheaper method?.

B.G.R./27-7-47.

It was then pointed out by this department that the staff asked for was the minimum and that a less costly method could not be adopted. On re-circulation, the H.Ms. recorded the following minutes:-

"The matter has been referred to the D.P.I. many times before and these are his final proposals. We have already promised by a Communique to give them monthly salaries. The matter was once approved by all departments and the Revenue Board. On their agreement, a Communique was issued that monthly payment will be given to the teachers. After the Communique was issued, the Revenue Board expressed its inability to arrange the monthly payments through the treasuries and so, the D.P.I. had to ask for further staff and these are his proposals. In view of the definite promises that we have made, we must make this undertaking. This will also be conducive to the more efficient working of the elementary schools themselves and so, the expense is not high considering the fact that the amount disbursed is in the whereabouts of Rs. 4½ crores. This may not be considered very high. H.M.(Fin.) may kindly see.".

T.S.A./6-8-47.

"Standing Finance Committee may see".

B.G.R./6-8-47.

The proposals may be placed before the Standing Finance Committee and the Committee may be requested to allow orders to be passed without reference to the Cabinet.

M.V. SUBRAMANIAN,
Secretary to Government.

ANNEXURE

PARTICULARS.	Average pay Rs.	Dearness Allowance Rs.	Total cost. Rs.
190 L.D. Clerks (45-90)	67.5	18	16,245
36 U.D. Clerks (80-110)	101	18	4,284
			20,529
		Total	12
	OR Rs. 2,46,300 roundly.		
R.P.S.			Rs. 2,46,348

I. The total Budget allotment Undr the major head of account. } 37. Edn. Rs. 6,99,62,900/-

II. The actual expe. undr the same major head in the current year to the end of June 1947 } Rs. 80,87,164

III. The source from which or the method by which it is proposed to meet the appr. on the scheme in the current year.

It is proposed to obtain a supplementary statement of expe. after the scheme is sanctioned.

a) Budget provision undr the Major Head of account: Rs 699.63 Lakhs

b) Actual expe to the end of June 1947 : Rs 80.87 Lakhs

c) Estimate of extra expe. on the present scheme in the current year. } Rs. 77,500

d) Savings in the budget provision : Not known.