Scott. II-27—58,001—27-10-47. 7/12

2

E.& P.H. (194 8) Department.

Miscellaneous. **SERIES.**

G.O. NO. Ms.2162 Education → p15cf

Dated 1st September, 1948.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Madras Educational Service - Post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction - Created in the place of the Deputy Director.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	448	Edn	10. 3. 47.				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	3586	Edn	16/11/49				
Go	1133	Edn	10.4.50				

12/3/48

18615

2

CONFIDENTIAL.

From
Sri D.S. Reddi, M.A.(Oxon.)
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.

R.C. No.648 F1/47 dated 11th March 1948.

Sir,

Sub: Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras - Creation of the post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction with immediate effect - Sanction - Requesting.

----

pp9-10 cf (F)

I have the honour to invite your attention to my letter R.C.No.648 F/47 dated 28th September 1947 requesting sanction of the post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction in my office, on which I have not received orders as yet and I presume that it may take some time for Government to issue orders on the said proposal of mine. I wish to point out that my work has been constantly on the increase with the result that I find it extremely difficult to attend to the present enormous amount of work. In addition to the regular work of the office, I have got to attend meetings of the various University bodies in the Province and a large number of committees and sub-committees, statutory and ad hoc, not to mention the various public functions where the Director is expected to be present. Further, my work as Commissioner for Government Examinations has increased to a considerable extent. As there is a pressing need for immediate relief for me and as Government orders have not yet been received on the recommendation made by me in my letter referred to above, I propose that Government may be pleased to order the immediate conversion of one of the posts of Deputy Directors in my office into that of a Joint Director of Public Instruction, who in addition to his own work will attend to such of the duties and responsibilities as I may transfer to him and thus afford me the relief which I badly need. A

2. The Joint Director of Public Instruction may be granted a special allowance of Rs.250/- p.m. which will involve an extra cost to Government to the extent of only Rs.100/- and will mean a net additional income of only Rs.150/- to the officer selected for the post, deducting from the allowance of Rs.250/- proposed a sum of Rs.100/- (Duty allowance Rs.50/- and cost of living allowance Rs.50/-). Further, the pay with the allowance suggested is not more than the maximum pay in the scale of pay sanctioned for the Principals of the newly started Engineering Colleges and less than the maximum pay of the Principal, Engineering College, Guindy. Considering the heavy and responsible nature of the work which the Joint Director will have to do, the allowance of Rs.250/- p.m., I believe, is in no sense excessive and will be acceptable to Government. In the circumstances set forth above, I request that Government may be pleased to issue urgent orders on my recommendation so that I may have immediate and much-needed relief. X

for Director of Public Instruction.

PG 12/3/48

R.C. 11/3.

Very Urgent.

Issue today

16/3/48

E. & P. H.

Appointment of a Joint ~~Deputy~~ Director of Public Instruction.

***

Letter from the D.P.I.No.648 F1/47, dated 11th March 1948.

***

18615

Memo No. 72206A-8 Edn., dated 16.3.48.

The D.P.I. is requested to state:/ (1) which of the responsibilities and duties he proposes to delegate to the proposed Joint ~~Dy.~~ Director and which of them cannot be delegated as things stand ~~that~~ to a Deputy Director and

(2) whether a Deputy Director will really be able to take on additional duties in view of the facts that there was a request for an additional Deputy Director and that that request has ~~not~~ been ~~sanctioned~~ turned down.

16/3/48

To

The D.P.I.

16/3/48

X

C.F. 32.

EDUCATIONAL Department

From (Name) SRI. D.S. REDDI,
MA.(OXON), M.B.E.,
Designation Director of Public Instruction
Station Madras.

Received Registered

To
The SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATIONAL AND PUBLIC
HEALTH DEPARTMENT.
MADRAS.

Enclosures :

R.C No. 648-E.1/47 dated 6th April 1948.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
25291
1 - APR 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Sir,

SUBJECT: Office of the Director of Public Instruction - Creation of the post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction

-@@@-

p 3 ante

Ref: Government Memorandum No.72206-A-8, Education dated 16th March 1948.

-@@@-

p 3 ante

With reference to the Government Memorandum quoted above, I have the honour to state that I will continue to have an over-all responsibility for the whole Department, an inelastic division of the responsibilities and duties will not be conducive to efficiency. It should always be open to him to make any alterations. Subject to this provision, I consider that the Joint Director of Public Instruction should look after all elementary schools, including training, special schools, medical inspection, text books for elementary schools and all examinations other than the Secondary School Leaving Certificate. In addition, I am an ex-officio member of the Syndicates of three Universities and of numerous committees consituted by Government. Part of this class of work will also have to be transferred to the Joint Director of Public Instruction. None of these duties can, under the existing arrangements be transferred to a Deputy Director. The routine work relating to all these items of work except the last two is dealt with by the Deputy Director concerned, but all important files are invariably put up to me. As regards the last two items which pertain to my duties as Commissioner for Government Examinations

B

K

P.T.O.

no delegation to a Deputy Director is possible.

p 3 ante

2. As regards para two of the Government Memorandum, I feel that a Deputy Director cannot take up all those additional duties without serious detriment to his own legitimate work. All the Deputy Directors are heavily overworked and it will not be fair to transfer additional responsibilities to any of them. I confess, however, that in asking for the conversion of a Deputy Director's post, I was thinking primarily of securing some relief for myself, as I need it urgently. In the interests of the Department which has expanded very considerably and is likely to expand still further, I would very strongly urge for the consideration of Government that the post of the Joint Director of Public Instruction, should be an additional post.

for DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

SN/6.4

SP
6/4

Depd wall. H.MS in [illegible] [illegible]
14/6

Note for the Standing Finance Committee of the Cabinet.

--

M.E.S.- Creation of a post of Joint D.P.I. in the place of a Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

--

Summary of Cost.

Major head.	Minor and sub-head of appropriation	Ultimate cost		Cost in the year '48-49 (8 months)	
		Recurring	Non-Recurring	Recurring	Non-Recurring.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
37 Edn.	O.General-Direction-Voted	2012	-	2920	-

This amount is less than that in Column 5. The reason being that the Joint D.P.I. will get D.A. only in the year 1948-49 and he will not get it in [illegible] [illegible] years.

pp1-2 CF (W)

A on p1 CF (W)

p 7 CF (W)

p11 NF (R)

pp5-6 CF

p1 CF

In September 1946 the D.P.I. proposed ~~the creation~~ that ~~of~~ a post of a Joint D.P.I. ~~in his office~~ in the scale of Rs.1200-100/2-1500 might be sanctioned for his office. Subsequently he agreed to one of the posts of Deputy Director of Public Instruction being converted into that of a Joint D.P.I. It was eventually decided to defer the proposal. ~~Then In connection with the Part II Estimates for 1948-49~~ He renewed the proposal for the employment of a Joint D.P.I. in connection with the Part II Estimates for 1948-49. But it was considered that the post did not seem to be very necessary ~~then~~ and the proposal was ~~therefore~~ dropped.

The Director has again pressed for ~~the creation of~~ a separate post of a Joint D.P.I. in the scale of Rs.1200-100/2-1500. In support of his proposal he has stated that the work in his office has been constantly on the increase with the result that he finds it extremely difficult to attend to the present enormous amount of work. In addition to the regular work of the Office, he has to attend meetings of the various University bodies in the Province and a large number of Committees and Sub-Committees, statutory and ad hoc, not to mention the various public functions where the Director is expected to be present. Further, his work as Commissioner for Government Examinations has increased to a considerable extent. As there is pressing need for

immediate

relief for himself he has pressed for ~~the creation of~~ the post of Joint D.P.I. in the ~~salary~~ scale of Rs. 1200-100/2-1500 ~~and~~ He proposes to transfer the following ~~of his~~ duties to the Joint Director subject to the provision that he will continue ~~to continue~~ to have an over-all responsibility for the whole Department:-

The JointDirector of Public Instruction should look after all elementary schools, including training, special schools, medical inspection, text books for elementary schools and all examinations other than the Secondary School Leaving Certificate. In addition, a part of the work of the D.P.I. as an ex-officio member of the Syndicate of three Universities and of numerous committees constituted ~~by~~ Government will be transferred to the Joint Director of Public Instruction.

K on p5C

The E. & P.H. Dept. supports the proposal of the D.P.I. ~~for to sanction for~~ ~~the creation of the~~ for a post of a Joint D.P.I. But the Finance Dept. do not agree to the proposal. They have suggested the delegation of some of the powers of the D.P.I. to the Dy.D.P.Is ~~which~~ would give him ~~some~~ all the relief necessary. E.P.H. Dept. pressed for this proposal in circulation and the following minutes have been recorded: ~~in circulation~~.

p2NF

They pointed out that previously the DPI was satisfied with the proposal to convert a Dy DPI's post into that of a Jt DPI, & that such a proposal was merely a matter of promoting an officer & giving him more pay, to which they were opposed.

Minutes of H.M.(Edn.)

" I have had a talk with the D.P.I. over the matter. He says that the work in the Director's office has increased tremendously. The number of institutions has grown. Certain responsibilities with regard to appeals from teachers employed in aided institutions, which did not exist previously, have been created recently. The present revised form of agreement between teachers and aided managements provides for appeals. Besides, the work of the Education Department has generally increased in leaps and bounds and he says that he is not able to do his work efficiently. All the Deputy Directors and the Director are so much driven to attending even merely routine work that they do not have any time to think. In the interest of efficiency, he requests the creation of a Joint Director.

We are spending nearly 8 1/4 crores of rupees in the Education Department. Unless we have men who have the time and the leisure to plan for the future, all these 8 1/4 crores cannot be utilized to proper benefit.

I would suggest to H.M.(Finance) to go through the matter and do the needful.

T.S.A./29-4-48.
~~H.M.(Edn).~~

H.M.(Finance)

" A Joint Director may be created in lieu of a Dy.Director Pay 1000-50-1250. If the Deputy is already ~~getting~~ getting, the maximum of Rs. 1000/- he may be started on 1050/- ~~Our~~ I.A.S. Collectors are going to start on Rs. 800 hereafter. Most of them would be retiring before they reach Rs.1200/- (Provincial) Let all Departments remember that fact."

p6NF

B.G.R/13-5-48..
~~H.M.(Finance)~~

" The suggestion of H.M.(Fin) may be accepted."

T.S.A./15-5-48
H.M.(Edn.)

p4NF

May go before Standing Finance Committee."

B.G.R/26-5 H.M.(Fin.)

O.P.R./28/5. Hon(Pr)

T.S.A./29-5-48.
H.M.(Edn.)

6

The proposal is accordingly placed before the S.F.C. An estimate of additional cost involved is given in the Appendix.

4/6/48

C/o
3-6-48 / 3/6/48

Appendix.

Estimate of additional cost.

Item of expenditure.	Average pay	Dearness allowance	Special pay	Cost permensem	Cost in 1948-1949 (8 months)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Joint D.P.I (on pay Rs.1000-50-1250)	1166.7	-	-	1166.7 -	8800 -
Deduct					
1 Dy.D.P.I. (on a pay of Rs.600-100/2-1000)	849	100	50	999	5880
			Total	167.7	2920

Additional annual recurring cost ~~thereof~~ therefore is-

Rs. 167.7 X 12 = 2012.4 or Rs.2012.

(a) Budget provision under the Major head of account — Rs ~~8,19,23,100~~ 8,20,42,900

(b) Actual expenditure under the major head in the currentyear to the end of April 1948 ~~the latest month~~ — Not yet known

(c) Estimate of expenditure on the scheme in the year 1948-49 — Rs.2920

(d) Savings in the Budget provision for 1948-49 — Too early to anticipate any savings

(e) Total commitment in the current year under the major head on account of new schemes (not provided for in the budget) sanctioned since the beginning of the financial year upto the end of the month of April 1948. — Nil

(f) Total commitment in the current year under all major heads on account of new schemes (not provided for in the budget) sanctioned since the

10

the beginning of the financial year upto the end of the month of April 1948. 82,97,400

3.6.48.

4/6/48

4/6/48

11

7

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

***

Note for the Standing Finance Committee of the Cabinet.

SUBJECT:- MADRAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE - Creation of a post of Joint Director of Public Instruction in the place of a Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

***

Summary of Cost.

Major head.	Minor and sub-head of appropriation.	Ultimate cost		Cost in the year 1948-49 (8 months)	
		Recurring	Non-Recurring	Recurring	Non-recurring
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
37.Education	O.General-Direction-Voted	2,012	...	2,920	...

In September 1946, the Director of Public Instruction proposed that a post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction in the scale of Rs.1,200-100/2-1,500 might be sanctioned for his Office. Subsequently he agreed to one of the posts of Deputy Director of Public Instruction being converted into that of a Joint Director of Public Instruction. It was eventually decided to defer the proposal. He renewed the proposal for the employment of a Joint Director of Public Instruction in connection with the Part II Estimates for 1948-49. But it was considered that the post did not seem to be very necessary and the proposal was dropped.

The Director has again pressed for a separate post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction in the scale of Rs.1,200-100/2-1,500. In support of his proposal he has stated that the work in his office has been constantly on the increase with the result that he finds it extremely difficult to attend to the present enormous amount of work. In addition to the regular work of the Office, he has to attend meetings of the various University bodies in the Province and a large number of Committees*, statutory and ad hoc, not to mention the various public functions where the Director is expected to be present. Further, his work as Commissioner for Government Examinations has increased to a considerable extent. As there is pressing need for immediate relief for himself he has pressed for the post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction in the scale of Rs.1,200-100/2-1,500. He proposes to transfer the following duties to the Joint Director subject to the provision that he will continue to have an over-all responsibility for the whole Department:-

* and sub-committes

The Joint Director of Public Instruction should look after all elementary schools, including training, special schools, medical inspection, text-books for elementary schools and all examinations other than the Secondary School Leaving Certificate. In addition, a part of the work of the Director of Public Instruction as an ex-officio member of the Syndicate of three Universities and of numerous committees constituted by Government will be transferred to the Joint Director of Public Instruction.

p.t.o.

12

The Education and Public Health Department supports the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction for a post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction. But the Finance Department do not agree to the proposal. They pointed out that previously the Director of Public Instruction was satisfied with the proposal to convert a Deputy Director of Public Instruction's post into that of a Joint Director of Public Instruction and that such a proposal was merely a matter of promoting an Officer and giving him more pay, to which they were opposed. They have suggested the delegation of some of the powers of the Director of Public Instruction to the Deputy Director of Public Instructions which would give him all the relief necessary. Education and Public Health Department pressed for their proposal in circulation and the following minutes have been recorded:-

Minutes of the Hon'ble Minister for Education

"I have had a talk with the Director of Public Instruction over the matter. He says that the work in the Director's office has increased tremendously. The number of institutions has grown. Certain responsibilities with regard to appeals from teachers employed in aided institutions which did not exist previously, have been created recently. The present revised form of agreement between teachers and aided managements provides for appeals. Besides, the work of the Education Department has generally increased in leaps and bounds and he says that he is not able to do his work efficiently. All the Deputy Directors and the Director are so much driven to attending even merely routine work that they do not have any time to think. In the interest of efficiency, he requests the creation of a Joint Director.

We are spending nearly 8.25 crores of rupees in the Education Department. Unless we have men who have the time and the leisure to plan for the future, all these 8.25 crores cannot be utilized to proper benefit.

I would suggest to the Hon'ble Minister for Finance to go through the matter and do the needful."

T.S.A./29-4-48.

Hon'ble Minister for Finance:

"A Joint Director may be created in lieu of a Deputy Director pay Rs.1,000-50-1,250. If the Deputy is already getting the maximum of Rs.1,000/- he may be started on Rs.1,050/-. Our I.A.S. Collectors are going to start on Rs.800 hereafter. Most of them would be retiring before they reach Rs.1,200/-(Provincial) Let all Departments remember that fact."

B.G.R./13-5-48.

"The suggestion of the Hon'ble Minister for Finance may be accepted".

T.S.A./15-5-48.
H.M.(Edn.)

"May go before Standing Finance Committee".

B.G.R./26-5-48. H.M.(Finance)
O.P.R./28-5-48. H.Pr.
T.S.A./29-5-48. H.M.(Educati

p.t.o.

---

13 8 13

The proposal is accordingly placed before the Standin Finance Committee. An estimate of additional cost involved is given in the Appendix.

M.V.Subramanian,
Secretary to Government.

Appendix.

Estimate of additional cost.

Item of expenditure	Average pay	Dearness allowance	Special pay.	Cost per mensem	Cost in 1948-1949 (8 months)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.Joint D.P.I. (on pay Rs.1,000-50-1,250)	1,166.7	-	-	1,166.7	8,800
DEDUCT 2.Dy. D.P.I. (on a pay of Rs.600-100/2-1,000)	849	100	50	999	5,880
			Total	167.7	2,920

Additional annual recurring cost therefore is Rs.167.7 x 12 = 2,012.4 or Rs.2,012.

(a)	Budget provision under the major head of account	...Rs.8,20,42,900
(b)	Actual expenditure under the major head in the current year to the end of April 1948	..Not yet known
(c)	Estimate of expenditure on the scheme in the year 1948-49	.. Rs. 2,920
(d)	Savings in the Budget Provision for 1948-49	.. Too early to anticipate any savings.
(e)	Total commitment in the current year under the major head on account of new schemes (not provided for in the budget) sanctioned since the beginning of the financial year upto the end of the month of April 1948.	Nil.
(f)	Total commitment in the current year under all major heads on account of new schemes (not provided for in the budget) sanctioned since the beginning of the financial year upto the end of the month of April 1948	... 82,97,400

Issue at once & send file to Govt
M

Edn and P.H. Dept

M.E.S. Post of a Joint Director of Public Instruction created in the place of one of the Dy. Directors –

Ref letter from the D.P.I No. 648 F1/47 d/ 11.3.48 & 6.4.48.

Order 2162 (Edn) 1-9-48.
MS.

The Govt direct that a post of Joint Director of Public Instruction ~~in the scale of Rs. 1000 - 50 - 1250~~ be created in the place of ~~one~~ of the temporary post of Deputy Director of Public Instruction Elementary Edn. This arrangement will be in force for a period of two years.

Orders on the scale of pay for the post will be issued later. Pending the fixation of the scale the Officer promoted may draw whatever pay he had been drawing in the post which he was occupying before promotion

2 The D.P.I is requested to forward to Govt immediately a draft of the ad hoc rule to be framed in this connection.

3 The expenditure will be debited to the head "37.C - Edn - General - Direction" The A.G is requested to admit the addl. expenditure pending provision of funds.

1/9/48

10/8/48.

OSM
11/8/48

11/8

To the D.P.I
" A.G. (Civ. Gen.)
~~Dy. ... P.T~~
5 spare copies

16

~~E. & P. H. Deptt.~~

p1 cf

From the D.P.I. No.648 F1/47. , dated 11-3--48.

M.E.S. - Creation of a post of Joint D.P.I.

pp1-2 cf (W)

In September 46, the Director proposed the creation of a Joint D.P.I. in his Office in the scale of Rs.1200-100/2-1500. Subsequently he agreed to one of the posts of Dy. Directors being converted into that of a Joint D.P.I. It was eventually decided to defer the proposal - vide notes and orders in G.O.No.448 Edn., dated 10--3--47.

A on p6 cf (W)

pp1-6 and 7 p7 cf (W)

The Director renewed the proposal for a Joint D.P.I. in the scale of pay of Rs.1200-100/2-1500 for consideration as a Part II Scheme - vide pp.9-10 c.f. H.M. (Fin.) considered that "the Joint Director does not seem to be very necessary just at present" and the proposal was, therefore, dropped.

(x) (F)

R on p11 NF (F)

p1 CF

The Director has again ~~proposed~~ pressed for the appt. of Joint D.P.I. by the conversion of one of the posts of Dy. D.P.I. He recommends that the officer selected may be granted a special allowance of Rs.250. The addl. cost involved is ~~xxxx~~ only Rs.1,200/-.

para 2 on p1 CP

Even now it is open to the Director to delegate to his Deputies certain of his duties and responsibilities, and it does not seem that a Joint Director should be created The proposal has been vetoed twice by Govt. and it is doubtful whether the decision will be reconsidered especially as no new reasons have been adduced in support of it.

(x) p7 cf 4 (W) and p11 NF 7 (F)

For orders. Finance may see before circulation.

16.3.48.

16/3/48 S

DPI may be asked to state 1, which of the responsibilities & duties he proposes to delegate to the proposed Joint Director & which of them cannot be delegated, to the Deputy Director & 2, whether a Dy. Dir. with these additional duties will really be able to take on these additional duties, seeing that there was a request for an additional Dy. Director, & in view of the fact that that request has been turned down.

16/3/48.

Issue Memo below

2

[Issued as Memo No 72206A-8 Edn. d 16.3.48]

C. No. 259291 A/48 from the D.P.I No 648 F1/47 d 6.4.48.   pp5-6cf

Please see the D.P.I's reply on pp5-6cf
to this Dept's Memo No 72206A-8 Edn. d 16.3.48 on p3cf.
The duties that will be assigned to the Joint Director
are specified at K on p5cf. For the reasons
stated in para 2 of his letter on p6cf. the D.P.I
now requests that the post of Joint Director
should be sanctioned as an additional post.   p6cf.
It may be mentioned in this connection that
he has reverted back to his original proposal for   pp9-10cf (F)
the creation of the post of Joint Director in the scale
of Rs 1200-100/2-1500. For orders whether the D.P.I's   M
proposal may be supported in this Dept. ~~The file~~
~~The file~~ especially in view of his remarks at X on
p6cf. The file may be sent to Finance for remarks.

8.4.48.
8/4/48.

9/4/48 S

(Box)

It is obvious that the proposal to convert one of the Dy. Director's posts into that of a Joint Director will, on the one hand, not give sufficient relief to the D.P.I., and will, on the other, merely enable one of the Deputy Directors to get additional pay as Joint Director without his really being able to handle efficiently the extra work which will have to be done in the capacity of Joint Director - for, it may be noticed that the Director had reported that all the Dy. Directors were heavily worked even as things stand now and the Director had, in fact, asked for an additional Deputy Director ~~to administer~~ at the Hqrs. I consider, therefore, that the more logical and appropriate measure is to appoint a separate Joint Director. In the circumstances reported by the D.P.I. this proposal for the creation of a Joint Director's post may be supported.

12.4.48

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
28377
12 APR 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

E&P.H. Memo 18615A-2
12.4.48.

3

Finance (E.III)

(Please see the note on pp 1-2 above)

A on p1 above

1. The D.P.I. originally proposed the conversion of one of the posts of Dy. Directors in his Office into that of a Jt. Director for the reasons stated by him on p.1 c.f. He has now modified his proposal and for the reasons stated by him on pp. 5-6 c.f. he proposes the creation of a separate post of Jt. Director of Public Instruction. The proposal is supported for the reason that the work has greatly increased in all directions and that ~~he~~ the present D.P.I has to attend ~~xh~~ to meetings of the various University Bodies and a large number of Committees and Sub-Committees. It is further stated that this work as Govt. Examiner has also increased considerably. For the reasons stated in para 2 p.6 of his letter in the c.f. the D.P.I. thinks that the conversion of one of Dy. Director's post into Jt. Director will not be helpful.

pp5-6c.f

para 1 p1c.f

para 2 on p6c.f

E.& P.H. support the proposal and suggest the creation of the post of Jt. Director in the scale of Rs. 1,200--100/2--1500. The extra cost involved is Rs. 16,720 p.a.

M on p2 above

2. From the letter of the D.P.I. on p.5 c.f., it is observed that even after the creation of the post of Jt. Director of Public Instruction, the D.P.I. will continue to have an overall responsibility for the whole Deptt. and only a portion of the work now devolving on the D.P.I. will be transferred to the Jt. Director. It may be mentioned in this connection that the proposal for the creation of ~~the~~ post of Jt. Director was twice considered in the past, and ~~was~~ negatived. Even the proposal for the creation of an additional post of Dy. D.P.I. was turned down by the S.F.C. in Jan., 48 ~~in~~ while considering the Part II scheme for the employment of addl staff in D.P.I's office. The D.P.I has not adduced any new ~~xxxxxx~~ grounds now in support of his proposal. The proposal was mainly turned down in past for the reason that no new posts should be created until the Reorganisation Committee ~~have~~ has reported ~~their~~ its recommendations. The same reason still holds good and it seems advisable, to await the recommendations of the R.R. Committee regarding the reorganisation of the Education Dept. In the circumstances this Department may advise that the proposal ~~may~~ be negatived.

B on p5cf

X on p13 n.f.

Z on p5 n.f.

K on p7 n.f.

2. Scale of Pay:- The ~~D.P.I.~~ ~~has~~ E.&P.H. dept have proposed a scale of

Rs. 1200--100/2--1500 for the post of Jt. Director. As previously suggested by this Dept., it seems enought if a scale of Rs. 1200--100/2--1400 (AV. 1333-3) on the analogy of the pay of the Director of Industries and Commerce ~~ex~~ Provincial Service Officer (Rs. 1200-100/2-1400). ~~If the suggestion is accepted~~ The extra cost will then be Rs. 16,000 p.a.

3. Financial Procedure: The procedure indicated in Finance B.G. u.o. note 26978(A)-48 21-12-47 may be followed if the case is pressed.

SM
15/4/48

15/4/48

It is clear from the D.P.I's letter at p 1 c.f. that ~~the idea~~ his original suggestion was merely to make one of his Dy. Directors a Joint Director, and that the idea of making the Joint Director an additional post is an afterthought. Finance are therefore opposed to the creation of an additional post, which is not shown to be necessary.

The making of a Dy. Director into a Joint Director is merely a matter of giving an officer more pay (with some enhancement of powers). Finance Dept are also opposed to this as Govt have already given their opinion that the pay fixed for higher officers is sufficient. Enhancement of powers does not lead to any considerable addition of work — in fact the contrary as the officer has less explaining to do. Finance would not object to some delegation of powers to a Dy. Director which would give some relief to the D.P.I. The proposal to create a Joint Director may therefore be negatived.

16.4.48

E-PH

Fin. no
20371 Sect
16/4/
E.

15 APR 1948

C. no 18615 A/48

Edn and P.H. Dept

The proposal is to sanction a post of Joint Director of Public Instruction. Notes from page 1 ante may be read. The file may be circulated for orders.

19/4/48

28577

20/4/48 S

21/4/48

Hr (Ed.)
Hr. (Fin.)

I have had a talk with the D.P.I. over the matter. He says that the work in the Director's Office has increased tremendously. The no. of institutions has grown. Certain responsibilities with regard to appeals from teachers employed in aided institutions, which did not exist previously, have been created recently. The present revised form of agreement between teachers and aided managements provides for appeals. Besides, the work of the Education Department has generally increased in leaps and bounds and he says that he is not able to do his work efficiently. All the Deputy Directors and the Director are so much driven to attending even merely routine work that they do not have any time to think. In the interest of efficiency, he requests the creation of a Joint Director.

We are spending nearly 8¼ crores of rupees in the Education Dept. Unless we have men who have the time and the leisure to plan for the future, all these 8¼ crores cannot be utilized to proper benefit.

I would suggest to H.M.(Finance) to go through the matter and do the needful.

TSA
29.4.48

29 APR 1948

O.S. 30/4

A

DEO may be requested to state the scales of pay of Jt. Director of Agr, Ind, & Co-op.

H.M. (Fin)

5.5

5 MAY 1948

The file may be sent to the Dev dept for furnishing the information required by H.M (Fin) – please see minute on the previous page

5/5/48

OSM 5/5/48

~~[illegible]~~ Dev

51949 Di/48 7-5-48. EH&PH no 18615 A-3 5.5.48.

DEV DEPT

TO AS

M on p5 above

The scales of pay attached to the posts of Jt Directors of Agriculture, Industries & Jt Regr of Cooperative Societies are 1000-50-1250, 1000-50-1250 and 700-100/2-1000 respectively

Vide M on flag (P)

P

7.5.48 7.5.48 11.5.48 10-5

CPP 11.5.48

EH&PH
A

EH&PH.

The file may be recirculated to H.M (Fin).

Through A I 7.5.48

Dev no no B I/84 10.5.48

51949 Di/48-1 d/11-5-48.

OSM 11/5/48 S / H.M. (Fin) 14/5/48

M. (Fin).

A Jt Director may be created in lieu of a Dy Dr. Pay 1000-50-1250. (BOC)

35175

If the Deputy is already getting the maximum of Rs1000/, he may be started on 1050/.

Our I.A.S Collectors are going to start on Rs800 hereafter. Most of them would retire before they reach Rs1200 (Provincials) Let all Depts remember that fact.

B.G.R.

18 MAY 1948

C no 18615 A/48

BOP EIII 13

The file may be circulated to H.M (Edn) with reference to the minutes of H.M (Fin) on the previous page.

13/5/48

OSM 13/5/48 S

14/5/48

The suggestions of H.M. Fin are accepted. M. (Edn).

ISA 15-5-48

(BOC)

17 MAY 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Ordinarily the usual service procedure should be followed in this case and the proposal should be placed before the S.F.C and the Cabinet before ~~issue of~~ orders sanctioning it. But the D.P.I has requested that urgent orders sanctioning the post of a Joint D.P.I may be issued so that he may have immediate and much needed relief. In the circumstances the emergency procedure, of waiving ~~the~~ a reference to the S.F.C and the Cabinet, issuing orders after circulation to H.Ms concerned and the Premier and then circulating a summary note to all H.Ms who have not seen the case, may be followed. The file may be sent to Finance for remarks before circulation.

X on p16P

Through A I
IB

CBG 18.5.48

18/5/48.

OSM 20/5/48 S

20/5/48

M.

EH&PH no 18615 A-4 20.5.48

(Cover)

Finance (EIII)

(Pre finance note on pp. 3-4 above)

Please see the orders in circulation on pp 6-7 above and the further note of E H.H. A reference to the Standing Finance Committee and the Cabinet can be waived only in cases of extreme urgency, when

ASST. SECRETARY TO [illegible]
38704
20 MAY 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

C. no 18615

immediate action is essential and there is no time even to refer the proposal to S.F.C. The present proposal does not seem to ~~be so~~ satisfy that criterion ~~very urgent~~ ~~and~~ This dept may advise that ~~this~~ it may go before the S.F.C and the Cabinet, in the normal course.

If E&PH press, orders of H.M (Edn), H.M (Fin) & H.Pr. are necessary in order to waive reference to S.F.C.

SM 21/5/48

21/5/48

P 21/5

E.PH.
A

Fin. no 37804 E III-1
24.5.48

The proposal in this file is that a post of Joint D.P.I. in the scale of pay of Rs. 1000-50-1250 may be sanctioned in the place of a post of Deputy D.P.I. This department has suggested that the proposal need not be placed before the Standing Finance Committee and the Cabinet and that orders may be issued after circulation to the Hon'ble Ministers concerned and the H.Pr., a summarising note being circulated to all H.Ms. who have not seen the case. The Finance Department advised that the proposal should go before the Standing Finance Committee and the Cabinet. For orders whether this Department's suggestion above will be pressed. For orders as to circulation.

p 7 ante

1166.6

24/5/48

24/5/48

TSA 25-5-48

H.M. (Edn) ✓
H.M. (Fin.) ✓
H.Pr. ✓

24/5

May go before S.F.C

BGA 26.5

OPR 28/5

May be recirculated to H.M (Edn).
~~[illegible]~~ ~~H.M. (Fin.)~~

28/5/48

28/5/48

H.M. (Edn.)
~~H.M. (Fin.)~~

TSA 28.5.48

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
28 MAY 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SECRETARIAT, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
29 MAY 1948
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A draft note for the S.F.C is submitted. May be sent to Finance for acceptance.

3.6.48

8/6/48

8/6/48

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SECRETARY
42666 E III
7 JUN 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Finance (E III)

E&PH no 18615 A-5
7.6.48.

Fin. no 42666 E III-7
8/6/48

(Previous note of Finance on pp 7-8 above)

Please see the orders in O.n onp. 8 above. The draft note for S.F.C. may be accepted & ~~the~~ case returned to E&PH.

Thro BGA

8/6/48

8/6/48

The pencilled additions & amendments on p 2 of the note may be made to show the view of Finance Dept.

8/6

E-PH.

4297

E&PH (A).

The amendments indicated by Fin in pencil in the draft note for S.F.C have been inked. Copies of the note may now be circulated to all H.M.S. After circulation of the note the file may be sent to the Fin dept.

11.6.48.

11/6/48

12/6/48

Fin (after circulating note).

Note circulated to all H.Ms. Vide pp 7.10 cf.

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SECRETARY
44454
15 JUN 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Standing Finance Committee.

The Committee agreed to the proposal subject to further examination being made in Finance and other departments concerned as to whether the Joint Directors' scale of Rs 1000-50-1250 should not be biennial instead of annual.

6/8/48

E-PH

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
59324
9-AUG 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

Fin. O. 44454 / SFC 4
6.8.48

Extract taken

6/8

E&PH (A).

Please see the ~~orders~~ decision of the S.F.C on p9 ante. This Dept may recommend that the increment for the post of Joint Director may be annual. Otherwise the scale will have to be allowed as Rs1000-100/2-1300 if the increment is biennial. ~~The file may be sent to Finance for remarks w.r.t the orders of the S.F.C on p9 ante.~~ On the ~~[illegible]~~ basis that the increment ~~may~~ be annual a draft order is subd. ~~It~~ may be sent to the Fin dept for acceptance.

cp
10.8.48.

As increments for the scales of pay for all Provincial services are biennial, a scale of Rs 1000-100/2-1300 may be sanctioned for the post of Joint Director.

10/8/48.

BSM
11/8/48

11/8/48

A

Finance (E.III) Department.

E&PH no 18615-A-8
11.8.48.
p9 above

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
12 AUG 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
'M' on p 2 above

F(iii)
77499

(Previous Finance note on page 9 above).

With reference to the decision of the S.F.C. on page 9 above, E.& P.H. propose a scale of pay of Rs.1000-100/2-1300 for the post of Joint Director of Public Instruction. The D.P.I. originally suggested a scale of Rs.1200-100/2-1500. The scale then suggested by this dept. was Rs.1200-100/2-1400 on the analogy of the pay of Director of Industries and Commerce-Provincial service Officer. However it was accepted in circulation that the scale of Rs.1000-50-1250 may be allowed to the post of the Joint Director of Public Instruction, presumably on the analogy of the scale of pay allowed to the Joint Directors of Agriculture and ~~the Director of~~ Industries (both of which carry a scale of Rs.1000-50-1250.)

'K' on p 6 above
Cf HM(Fin) minute on p 5 above
'P' on p 6 above

2. From the discussions in the notes relating to the fixation of pay for the post of Joint Director of Industries and Commerce, it is observed that though the scale of Rs.1000-50-1250 for the post of Joint Director of Industries and Commerce was accepted in circulation, this department ~~have~~ pointed out the necessity for fixing up a scale with biennial increments, in conformity with the policy of the Government to sanction biennial scales since 1933 and requested Development Department to take speceific orders of H.P.M. on this point. However Devt. have brushed aside that suggestion for the reason that orders have been passed in circulation and no action was therefore necessary. On the same analogy this department may suggest that a scale of Rs.1000-50/2-1250 may be adopted for the post of Joint Director of Public Instruction. If however it is considered that the rate of increment is low, this department may suggest a scale of Rs.1000-50/2-1100-75/2-1250 in which case the ~~xxxxx~~ maximum and the minimum of the scale accepted in circulation will remain the same.

'Z' on p 8 N.F.,48
G.O. 2452 Dev
24/4/48
(T)
'A' on p 9 N.F.
(T)

'K' on p 10 N.F.48
(T)
'P' on p 12 N.F.48
(T)

Fin. accepted this at p. 14 n.f. of (T), ~~There appears however to be a gap of~~ (T) ~~16 & 17 n.f. missing which contained a note of D.S. Fin.~~ though apparently the the ~~next file~~ specific point between ~~[illegible]~~ was missed.

(1000-1250)

DS
E&H

BSM
16/8/48

DR
16.8.48

There does not appear any necessity for such a complicated scale. The biennial increment should I think be Rs 100/- on the analogy of similar ranking posts. The only question is whether Rs 1000-100/2-1200 is sufficient or whether Rs 1000-100/2-1300 is necessary giving a 6 year scale. Please examine the case of all officers on Rs 1000-50-1250 (as all should have the same treatment) whether they normally will hold their post for 6 years or at least 5.

17/8.

After the introduction of the biennial scale in 1933 the scale of Rs.1000-50-1250 with annual increments has been sanctioned so far only for the posts of Joint Director of Agriculture and Joint Director of Industries and Commerce. The post of the Joint Director of Agriculture is held by Sri B.M. Lakshmipathi who is due to retire in 1958. He is holding the post from September 1947 and he will reach the maximum ~~on~~ in September 1952.

And for Jt. Dir of Animal Husbandry
P

Scale of pay
1000-50-1250

The post of the Joint Director of Industries and Commerce is held by Sri P. Govinda Menon who is due to retire in 1954. He is holding the post from June 1947 and he will reach the maximum pay of the post in June 1952. Thus these two Officers will hold their posts for more than 6 years. But it is

12

But it is submitted that the scales of pay generally sanctioned for posts carrying a pay of Rs.1000 and above are only 4 years' scales as shown below:

Superintendent Engineers	...	1000-100/2-1200
Chief Presidency Magistrate	...	1000-100/2-1200
Jt. ~~Chief Conservator of Forest~~	...	~~1000-100/2-1200~~
Director of Veterinary Services	..	1200-100/2-1400
Inspector ~~of~~ General of Registration	..	1200-100/2-1400
Director of Industries and Commerce	..	1200-100/2-1400
Director of Agriculture	...	1200-100/2-1400
R.C.S.		-do-
Chief Judge, Court of Small Causes	..	1200-100/2-1400
Director of Public Health	...	1300-100/2-1500
Director of Public Instruction	...	1500-150/2-1800
Chief Engineers	...	1500-150/2-1800

The scale of Rs.1000-100/2-1200 may therefore be suggested for the post of Joint Director of Public Instruction, and for the posts of Joint Director of Industries, & Joint Director of Agriculture, & Joint Director of Animal Husbandry. Present incumbents (of the last 3 posts) will have to be given the option of remaining on the present scale, unless they revert. Dev. and Pub (Serv) may also see

18/8

DS
EPH

PTR
18.8.48

E-PH. FIN.U.O. 77499(E III)-1
18.8.48

The Finance Dept. suggest a scale of Rs.1,000-100/2-1,200 for the post of Joint D.P.I. For orders whether the suggestion will be accepted.

If the proposal is to be considered along with the revision of the scales of pay for the posts of Jt. Director of Industries, Jt. Director of Agriculture and Jt. Director of Animal Husbandry there will be delay in the issue of orders in this case. It is therefore for consideration whether Development may be asked to take extracts ~~in~~ from this file with reference to the 3 posts mentioned above and deal with the matter separately.

The file may be sent to Devt. and Pub. (Service) Depts.

I would press for the acceptance of the scale accepted in Fin. Dept., 1000-50-1250, & would suggest that it may be cleared of other than scale is altered in the case of these other posts.

I
This will his case also on his part.

13

Both Departments may be requested to treat the case as specially urgent.

BRM
20/8/48 S / 16
20/8/48

Dev.
Pub. (Ser.)

BHPH 18615 A-9
20.8.48
(Recd on 21.8.48 at 8-30 PM)

91770 DI/48.

Development Department.

TO A.S (Typed notes from p.8 above)

With reference to "K" and "L" on p.12 above necessary extracts have been taken in this Department for taking action separately in regard to the revision of scales of pay of Jt. D.I& C., Jt. D.A. and Jt. D.A.H. to Rs. 1000-100/2 - 1200. The file may now be passed on to Public (Services).

DRG
22.8.48

22.8.48 AS II / AS III / J.S / S
23.8.48
24/8
24.8
Pub (Serv)

Thro A I
23/8/48

E Theo
24.8.48.

There is a pending file on the subject in this section. for addition. Extracts ~~will be added~~ to that file.

24.8.48

Dev U.O. No 91770 DI/48-1
D/25.8.48

Pub (S) Dept

The draft order below may be perused & the file returned to E+PH

25.8.48

When biennial increment is the normal rule for Provincial Service officers, there is no reason for adopting the annual incremental scale in this case. Once an officer is appointed to the post on the 'annual incremental scale', he will claim protection. E+PH may let Fin see again

25.8.48

DS / E+PH
A.

Finance may see again

26 AUG 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

80704—E.III

Public (Ser) U.O. 3001/48-1
26.8.48.

3001.
25 AUG 1948
CHIEF SECRETARIAT

BRM
20/8/48 S

BHPH 18615 A-10
26.8.48

Fin. (E. III)

Please see the previous Fin. notes on pp. 10–12 above and the notes following.

This dept. may adhere to the views already expressed at K on p. 12 above.

DPR
26.8.48

Yes. I agree with the view of Public. The scale must be decided on before the officer is appointed, or at least orders regarding pay may be withheld and it may be stated in the draft that orders ~~may~~ will issue separately regarding the scale of pay of the post, & the A.G. asked to allow Rs 1000/- p.m. meanwhile until the scale is fixed. The S.F.C. did not agree to annual increments.

A

If E & PH agree to issue orders accordingly, the file may then be sent again to this dept., who will take extracts and obtain orders regarding the pay of all the posts, as ~~Secy. E & PH agrees~~ it is agreed that it should be the same in all cases.

27/8

E-PH

Edn and P.H. Dept

Fin. U.O. 80204 E III/48-1
22.8.48

A above

Two of the three present Dy. D.P.I's are ~~now~~ drawing a pay of Rs.1,000, a special pay of Rs.50 and a dearness allowance of Rs.50. One of them is likely to be apptd. as Joint Director. It would seem better if the order is worded that, pending the fixation of the scale of pay, the officer promoted will get whatever pay he ~~would have~~ had been drawing in the post which he was occupying before promotion. ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

Of the three posts of Dy. Director, the post of Dy D.P.I (Ely Edn)

17

Cons 18615 A/48

is temporary. The Joint Director's post may be in the place of this temporary post. The draft order has been suitably amended.

Finance may see before issue.

30/8/48

That dept. may kindly take necessary extracts and obtain orders regarding the scale of pay for the post

30/8/48 S
31/8

Fin. (E. III)

E & PH no. 18615 A-11
31.8.48.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCE DEPARTMENT 31 AUG 1948

81201

Please see the previous Fin. notes on p. 14 above and the notes following.

The draft order as amended in pencil may be accepted. (As the orders are to be issued urgently, E.P.H. may be requested to send the file for taking extract after issue of the orders.)

DPR
31.8.48

31/8

E-PH

Fin. U.O. 81201/E III-1
31.8.48

E-PH.

A.

The draft order may issue. After issue the file may be sent to Fin.

31/8/48

1/9/48 S
1/9

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT. 1 SEP 1948 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TSA
1.9.48

[Issued as G.O. No. 2162 (Edn.) d. 1.9.48]

Fin. U.O.

p15 cf.

E & PH no. 18615 A-12
2.9.48.

81876

Finance (E III)

Necessary extracts from the note file have been taken for further action in this dept. The file may now be returned to E.P.H.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCE DEPARTMENT 2 SEP

65942

4/9
DPR
4.9.48

4/9

E-PH

Fin. U.O. 81876/E III-1
4.9.48

16

E.H.P.H. Dept (A)

Finance have taken extracts for further action from this file. The case may now be put away.

8/9/48

Mus
7.9.48
7/9/48

pl. an entry at p15 ante

A 17 18

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
R.C.No. 797/F.1/48.
***
MADRAS, 22nd September 48.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
25 SEP 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

71532

From
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Sir,

SUB:- Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras - Conversion of one of the posts of a peon into that of a Daffadar - Requesting.

With the sanction of the post of a Joint Director, I consider that for personal attendance on him, the appointment of a Daffadar is necessary. The daffadar will also accompany the Joint Director of Public Instruction on tour. I request, therefore, that Government may be pleased to sanction an additional post of Daffadar by converting one of the posts of peons sanctioned for the office.

A

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

KAN.23/9

23/9

A/ 18 ~~2~~

25 SEP 1948

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
R.C.No. 797/F.1/48.
***

71541

MADRAS, 22nd September 1948.

FROM

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
MADRAS.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE, MADRAS.

Sir,

SUB:- Establishment - Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras - Conversion of the post of Stenographer into that of Upper Division Clerk's Post - Sanction - Requesting:

(J)

REF:- G.O.No.MS.2302, Education, dated 13th Sep.48.

In my office, there are now 6 Stenographers - 2 Permanent and 4 Temporary. Of the two permanent Stenographers, the post of one Stenographer, i.e. my Stenographer is in the cadre of Upper Division Clerks and the other in the cadre of Lower Division Clerk and Typists. The chances of promotion of a stenographer (in the cadre of Lower Division clerks and Typists) to that of a Stenographer in the cadre of Upper Division Clerks will come once in 20 years as things stand now. Apart from this, the Joint Director of Public Instruction will be a presidency touring officer and if a steno typist in the cadre of lower division clerks and typists accompanies him on tour, the travelling allowance and daily allowance he is entitled to will be very inadequate in these hard days.

B. 2. In the circumstances I request that Government may be pleased to sanction the temporary conversion of one of the posts of temporary stenographers into that of a stenographer in the cadre of upper division clerks. The sanction may stand so long as the post of Joint Director of Public Instruction is in existence.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Public Instruction.

KAN.23/9

RAM 23/9

19 20

5-4
29/10

Memo No 71532 A/48.2 Edn Dated 28/10/48

Office of the D.P.I. – Conversion of one post of Stenographer (Lower Divn) into Stenographer (upper Divn) and one of the peons into Duffadar. – proposals of the D.P.I. – not complied with

pp 1-3 cf

Read : Letters from the D.P.I. No. 797 F1/48 Dt 22.9.48

The D.P.I. is informed that ~~the proposals contained in his letters read above cannot be accepted by Govt~~

Govt are not convinced that the Joint Director should have only a Duffadar and not a peon in attendance or that he should have only an upper division Stenographer and not a lower division one.

Mk 28/10/48

To
The D.P.I.
Spare copy.

2/11

28/10/48

27/10/48

A / E (III)

20

Edn and P. H. Dept

Cf

C. No: 71532 A. letters ~~from~~ from the D. P. I. No: 797 F-1/48 d/ 22. 9. 48.

—

Office of the D. P. I. – Conversion of one post of Stenographer (Lower Division) into ~~typ~~ Stenographer (Upper Division) and one post of peon into that of Duffadar.

—

In the circumstances explained by the D. P. I. his proposals at A and B on pp 1 and 3 respectively may be accepted. The file may be sent to Fin for remarks.

4/10/48

DM 5/10/48 S

I am afraid I am not [illegible]. Considering that the [illegible] Director [illegible] has [illegible] a duffadar and not a peon in attendance, and that he [illegible] has [illegible] an u. d. stenographer and not a lower division one. I suggest that the proposals may be [illegible].

6/10/48

Fin (E III)

Please see the letters from D. P. I. on pages 1 & 2 cf. In the circumstances this dept. may agree with the views of the Secy. E & P. H. at A above.

13/X

E-DH

~~For orders as to circulation~~

DM 17/10/48 S

9/10/48

TSA 23-10-48

L. H. [illegible] 71532 A/48. 1 6/10/48

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
90095
7 OCT 1948
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
75362
13 OCT 1948

Fin. O.C. 90095/E III-1 13-X-48

7/10/48

DR 7. X. 48

SECRETARY, EDN. AND P. H. DEPT.
25 OCT
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

15/10/48

90095 19/X

2/ 21

A draft memo to the D.P.I. is submitted for approval. ~~[illegible]~~

MKS
28/10/48

28/10/48

27/10/48

The papers may now be added to lv.

2162 Edn D. 1. 9. 48. at Ⓡ.

you may add

MKS
3/11/48

22