

Chase
Seydel

④
Bdu (1948) Department.

188 SERIES.

G.O. NO.

1894 Bdu

Dated

31. 7. 68

Op. R. S. 2
H. 3. 4. 6. 7. 1. 4. 5.

⑧

[Despatch Abstract.]

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	326	Fin	5.4.48				
Go	2362	P.W.D	30.6.48				

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 32 (a).

EDUCATIONAL

Department
From (Name) Sri D.S.Reddi, M.A (Oxon),
Designation Director of Pub. Instruction
Station MADRAS.

Received
To
The Secretary to Government,
Education Department.



Enclosures :

R.C. No. 195 F.1/48. dated 26th April 1948. 194

Sir,

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Office of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras - Peons - Standard scale of employment - Sanction of additional peons - Requesting -

Ref: G.O. No. 326, Fin., dated 5--4--1948.

Under the orders of Government referred to above, no peon for the residence of the following Officers of my Office is allowed, as they are not touring Officers:-

- (i) Deputy Director of Public Instruction (Personnel)
- (ii) ---do--- (Finance)
- (iii) Personal Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction
- (iv) Inspectress at Central Office.

I am of opinion that the services of a peon at the residence of each of the above Officers are absolutely necessary in view of the fact that these Officers have to receive visitors at their residences, Office files will have to be taken to their

residences and brought back, urgent messages will have to be sent to the office on holidays etc.

Urgent work cannot therefore be done at all by these Officers out of Office hours and on holidays in the absence of a separate peon at the residence. In view of the above, I request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for to permit house peons to the above Officers.

2. The statement called for in para 3 of the G.O. read above will be submitted separately.

For Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.K. 27/4/48

EDPH Dept.

*The D.P.I.'s request at Anabasa Angre
has been forwarded in this Dept. The file may be
sent to Finance for remarks*

3 EDPH Dept.
E. S. H. - Office of the D.P.I. Scale of peons to D.P.I.'s. Orders passed.

Read again G.O. No 326 F. and S. 4. 48
Read also letter from the D.P.I. No 195 F. 1/48 d 26/4/48

Order/Mr 1894 - D. du 31. 7. 48

In partial modification of orders in G.O. No 326 F. and S. 4. 48, H.E. the Governor of Madras directs that the D.P.I. (Personnel) and the D.P.I. (Finance) in the office of the D.P.I. Madras be allowed two peons each, one for ~~residence~~ office and the other for residence.

2. In regard to the proposal of the D.P.I. for allowing a house peon to his P. A. and also to the Inspectors at Central Office, the Govt. see no reason to accept his recommendation. He is however informed.

P.T.O.

2 4
that adjustments from the strength of office
peons may be made if peons are considered
necessary at the residence of the P.A. to the
D.P.I. and the Inspectors at the Central Office.

CG
29.7.48
1/27/48
30/7/48

To The D.P.I.
" The A.G. & two P.A.
Copy to the P.A. Dept.
S/c. 2/8/48

Ed PH Dept
C. no. 30890 A/48 From the D.P.I. no 195 F/48 d 26.4.48
Estt. Office of the D.P.I. - Peons for the residence
of certain gazetted officers - Request for.



Please see the letter from the D.P.I. on
PPI-2 CF. In view of the reasons stated in it
the request of the D.P.I. at A. on P2CF may be
supported in this Dept. The file may be sent to
Finance for remarks.

CG
7.5.48
11/5/48
13/5/48
E III

248 no 30890 A-1
11.5.48.

Please see the reference from
the Director of Public Instruction in the CF and
the note of E.P.H. above. The point for consideration
is whether there is ^{sufficient} justification ~~for this~~ to
allow two peons - one for the office and the
other for the residence - to the non-barring
officers ~~now~~ in the office of the D.P.I. viz
Deputy Directors of Public Instruction, Personnel and
Finance, Personal Assistant to the D.P.I. and the
Inspectors at the Central Office. The reasons given
by the D.P.I. for the employment of ~~these~~ an
additional peon for the residence of the above
officers, are common in the case of all such
gazetted officers. The orders in G.O. 326 Fin 35.4.8
were issued after duly considering all such
factors as mentioned by the D.P.I. If any
distinction is shown in favour of the officers
of the D.P.I. it will be very difficult to
resist similar claims from officers in the
offices of the other Heads of Dept. ~~this Dept.~~
and the purpose of the orders in the above
G.O. will be defeated. This Dept. may
therefore, ~~strongly~~ advise against the proposal,
Secretary R & S may also see.

5 - JUL 1948

Thos Co
13.5.48
13/5/48


N.S.
I feel that the Committee went rather far in
[Signature]

defining such officers of firms at their residences. I suggest this be over until a decision is reached in the case of similar officers of the P.W.D. E-14 may see & send again to this dept.

14/5/48

E-14

Fin. no
36592 E11-7
14/5/48



ETPH Dept: 35359

The note of Penance above may be phrased and the file may be sent to them w.v.c. view note at X above.

ETPH no 30890 A-2
24.5.48




Finance (E11)

(Penance note on pp 1-2 above)

P.W.D. may be requested to state, at what stage the proposal referred to at X above, is

sub - sent plans for bridge chief engineers



Fin. no
39367 E11-7
15/5/48

B8
27-5

Fin. may be informed that the file referred to above is still being circulated to HM(PW) & HM(Fin) on 15/5/48. It has not yet been received back from circulation.

N
29-5-48

P.W.D. no. 2883-R/48-1

Finance (E11)

31-5-48

Please see the note of P.W.D. above.

The file may now be sent to E.P.H. and they may be requested to examine the case.



in the light of the decisions that may be arrived at in the file referred to by P.W.D. at A on p2 above, and send the file again to this dept for remarks.


8m
14/5/48

2/6/48

E-14

ETPH Dept: A

P.W.D. may be requested to state at what stage the file referred to at A on p2 above is. If any decision has been arrived at on that file, it may be put up for reference and return.



40896

ETPH no 30890 A-3




8.6.48

9/6/48 P.W.D.

P.W.D.(R)

E.P.H. may be informed that the file has not yet been received back from Circ.



9/6/48

N
11-6-48

P.W.D. no. 31081/48

30890
 Please see the note of the P.W.D. on p 3 ante. They
 may be reminded again on 27.6.48.
 12.6.48
 15/6/48
 P.W.D. may now be requested to state
 at what stage the file referred to at A on p 2 ante
 is. If any decision has been arrived at on that
 file, it may be put up for reference and return.
 2.6.6.48
 20/6/48
 21/6/48
 E.P.H. may be informed today
 that the file referred to at A
 is at p. 3 ante in circulation
 and the original of the same
 2362 P.W.D. is put up at 'c'.
 The file may now be returned to
 E.P.H. 30890 A-5
 29.6.48
 3450
 28.7

5
 E.P.H. and they may be requested
 to send the necessary information to
 the record.
 49527
 P.W. no. no.
 3450 P.W.D.
 3748
 3.7.48
 E.P.H. Dept.
 In his letter on p 1 et. the D.P.9
 has proposed that the following non-
 touring officers in his office may be
 allowed a leave each for their residences:-
 (1) Dy. D.P.9 (Personnel)
 (2) Dy. D.P.9 (Fin)
 (3) P.A. to the D.P.9
 (4) Inspectors at Central office.
 Following the analogy of the
 orders issued in G.O. No 2362 P.W.D.
 d 30.6.48 this Dept. may be requested
 pp 5-6 of 7 ©

that the D.Y. D.P.I. (Personnel) and the D.Y. D.P.I. Nos (D.K.) on
(Bri) whose rank is equal to that of the P.S. ante
D.Y. C.B.S., may be allowed each a residential
peon and that the P.A. to the D.P.I. and the Inspectors
at central office (Nos 3 and 4 on p. 5) may be
asked to utilise the services of the office peons
if possible, for attendance at their residences.
No house peon for these officers need be sanctioned. No 3 & 4
Attention is in this connection invited to rule of the P.W.D.
at A on p. 5 of the G.O. at C. The file may be
sent to Finance for remarks.

As regards peons for residences of P.A.s,
6.7.48. No final decision was taken in the P.W.D.
file. P.W.D. merely left it to be decided
as a general question and asked the C.E.
to make some local arrangements.

The D.P.I. has already explained of



(Previous note of Finance on pp 2-3 above)
12/7/48. Please see the further note of E.P. on
pp 5-7 above. The proposal in the file is

that the following non-towing officers in
the office of the D.P.I. may be allowed a peon
each for their residences which is not admissible
under the orders in G.O. 2362 Fin of 5.6.48.

- 1) Deputy D.P.I. (Personnel)
- 2) Deputy D.P.I. (Finance)
- 3) Personal Assistant to the D.P.I.
- 4) Inspectors at the Central Office.

2. Peons for the Deputy D.P.I. at their
residences: On the analogy of the orders in
in G.O. 2362 P.W. of 30.6.48 allowing
peons for Deputy Chief Engineers, this Deptt
may agree that the Deputy D.P.I.s
may be given each a peon at their
residences.

3. Peons for the Personal Assistant to the
D.P.I. and the Inspectors at the Central Office at
their residences: Attention is invited to
the note of this Deptt at 'K' on p. 2 of
of G.O. 2362 P.W. of 30.6.48 regarding the
proposal to allow residence peons to the
Personal Assistant to Chief Engineers. This
Deptt. may likewise advise that adj.

that the Dy. D.P.D. (Personnel) and the Dy. D.P.D. Nos (D & R) on (Pun) whose rank is equal to that of the Dy. C.B.S., may be allowed each a residential peon and that the P.A. to the D.P.D. and the Inspectors at central office (Nos 3 and 4 on p 5 ante) may be asked to utilise the services of the office peons if possible, for attendance at their residences. No house peon for these officers need be sanctioned. Attention is in this connection invited to rule of the P.W.D. at A on p 5 N.P. of the G.O. at C. The file may be sent to Finance for remarks.

As regards peons for residences of P.A.s. 6.7.48. No final decision was taken in the P.W.D. file. P.W.D. merely left it to be decided as a general question and asked the C.E. to make some local arrangements.

The D.P.D. has already explained of



the need for allowing house peons to this P.A. and the Inspectors, but that this Dept may recommend that the Director's proposal may be sent to the Finance Dept for remarks before circulation.

12/7/48 3/14/48 in E.M.

(Previous note of Finance on pp 2-3 above) 12/7/48. Please see the further note of E.M. on pp 5-7 above. The proposal in the file is that the following non-touring officers in the office of the D.P.D. may be allowed a peon each for their residences which is not admissible under the orders in G.O. 326 D. & S. 6.48.

- 1) Deputy D.P.D. (Personnel)
- 2) Deputy D.P.D. (Finance)
- 3) Personal Assistant to the D.P.D.
- 4) Inspectors at the Central Office.

2. Peons for the Deputy D.P.D. at their residences: On the analogy of the orders in G.O. 2362 P.W. & S. 30.6.48 allowing peons for Deputy Chief Engineers, this Dept may agree that the Deputy D.P.D.s may be given each a peon at their residences.

3. Peons for the Personal Assistant to the D.P.D. and the Inspectors at the Central Office at their residences: Attention is invited to the note of this Dept at 'K' on p 2 of G.O. 2362 P.W. & S. 30.6.48 regarding the proposal to allow residence peons to the Personal Assistants to Chief Engineers. This Dept. may likewise advise that admi

C. no 30890 A/16

from the strength of office peons may be made
if peons are considered necessary at the residence
of the P.A. to the D.P.I. and the Inspectors at the
Central Office and that no separate residence
peons need be sanctioned to them.

4. With the above remarks the case may
be returned to E.P.H.

H.M. Fin. may see.



Fin no 50525 E-1

14.7.48
52515

E.P.H.

A.

Enclosed for the P.A. to the D.P.I. and the Inspectors at the Central Office are 100 rupees each for their residences. This sum may be used to give a peon each to the residence of the P.A. to the D.P.I. and the Inspectors at the Central Office. The bill may be circulated to H.M. (Fin) and H.M. (In) for order.

The recommendation of Finance
may be accepted.

18.7.48
22.7.48



The draft order herewith is for approval.

29.7.48
28/7/48