Secrt. II-27—58,004—27-10-47.

Education and Public Health

(194 8) Department.

Ms. **SERIES.**

G.O. NO. 1837 Education.

Dated 23--7--1948.

20

[Despatch Abstract.]

Record Office - M.A. Devarajan, attender, Madras Record Office Egmore - Transfer to Chittoor - Reconsideration of orders passed by the Curator, Madras Record Office, Chittoor - Rejected.

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
30	62	20/1/48					

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

By Regd Post A.D.

(2) Madras,
Dated, 24.6.1948.

28 JUN 1948
1558 A

From

M.A.Devarajan,
29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkam, Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George, M a d r a s.

Through

The Curator,
Madras Record Office,
C H I T T O O R.

Most Respected Sir,

1/ I beg to prostrate before your honour the following lines for your favourable and benign consideration.

2/ I beg to submit that I was appointed as an Attender in the Madras Record Office, Egmore, in the year 1942. Since then I am discharging my duties, without any least offence during my 7 years service; but unfortunately, my aged mother fell ill and as her condition grew worst, I applied casual leave for two days on 27-2-48 to give immediate medical treatment to my mother. Then abruptly on 3.3.48, the transfer order to Chittoor was issued by the Office Assistant-in-charge, Egmore and I was relieved on 6-3-48 afternoon. As soon as I received the transfer order I was confused and puzzled, and I was unable to know what to do and what not to do. At that time, my mother was very precarious than my wife who is in the family way. So, I requested the Assistant Curator for only one month earned leave, as there is no help except myself having an idea to make necessary arrangement for her help at home, and then proceed to Chittoor. But I regret, that the leave was refused though I got leave at my credit and the Government Circular Memorandum No.236 was furnished to me as I should not apply for any leave. I earnestly beg to draw the very kind attention of the Government, that even according to it I may be granted leave by the Clause, I underlined in the Circular. Added to this, one C.V.Jagannathan, Attender of this Office also was transferred to Chittoor; but he was kept quite for about 10 days and then he applied earned leave for 15 days which was granted. (Ref. Office Memo No.612/48 dated, 17.3.48 which is also enclosed herewith for your kind perusal). I regret very much that such facilities were not given to me. Being a low paid official and my bad domestic circumstances I was dumb founded, practically I was confused, when I find both end meet, sickness in my family has reduced me to a state of inaction and utter helplessness.

p9/(M) not found
P
p11/(M)

3/ Then the charge sheet were framed against me, to show cause why I should not be removed from service. I submitted my humble explanation stating all the difficulties and my loyalty to the Government. I produced medical certificate from whom I gave medical treatment for my mother and a Corporation C.W.C. Hospital chit(a copy of which is enclosed herewith) in support of my statements which are bare truths, and I requested the Assistant Curator to retain me in the Madras Office for sometime. In response to it, the Assistant Curator asked me to state whether I desire to be an oral enquiry or to be heard in person, as I have not stated in my explanation. I presume that there is no necessary for this Memo when I put all my difficulties in written and I requested the Assistant Curator for the clarification of the Memo as I was unable to understand the meaning of the Memo "in oral or in person", due to my scanty knowledge. I believe that this Memo clearly shows, that the Assistant Curator purposely and wantonly desires to give

p13/(M)
p3/(M)
p1/(M)
p15/(M)

pto.

unnecessary troubles and inconvenience to me when I am undergoing extreme and unberable domestic difficulties. But instead of clarification, the Assistant Curator granted me an earned leave for 29 days and one month and one day leave without allowances, enclosed with an order for stoppage of my increment for 3 months as punishment. By the by I beg to draw your very kind attention that in this 7 years service, I took 82 days including this 29 days(i.e., 53 days in 1947 and this 29 days) and such I do not know how there is no leave at my credit.

I beg to state that I made an appeal to the Curator for the consideration of the stoppage of my increment; but I regret the Curator accused me with another accusation which is quite different from the Assistant Curator as I deliverately ignored the Office Order, tries to evade the transfer, and put office into inconvenience. I beg to state, that (1) S. Thangadorai (2) Veeraraghavalu (now resigned) were transferred to Chittoor and they sent to the Surgeon as they applied medical leave; but Thangadorai got operation and Veeraraghavalu resigned his job. Similarly one Mr.Appadurai Pillai also was transferred to Chittoor. Immediately he applied medical leave for a month and the Office Order served on him to appear before the Surgeon II Dt. and in connection with it, a requisition also was sent to the Surgeon II Dt. But Mr. Appadurai Pillai was disdain the office order, and he did not appear before the Surgeon but he was retained in the branch office at Egmore (Ref.Office Memo No.1149A/48-1 dated 4-5-48). He joined duty on 5-5-48 whereas, I was asked to join duty at Chittoor on the expiry of my leave 5-5-48 though I requested the Assistant Curator and the Curator several times to retain in the Branch Office, at least for sometime; but it was overlooked. Now I beg to draw the very kind attention of the Curator that *Has he not evaded the transfer? Has he not put the office into inconvenience? Thus I earnestly beg to state injustice have been done to me. In addition to this, one Mr.Nadanasabapathy, Attender (a native of Chittoor) is tranferred to Madras from Chittoor. The Travelling allowances and house rent allowance etc. to him is an unnecessary expense to the Government. If he has transferred on the basis that he is an expert attender in handling the 7th block records a most senior, experienced and efficient hand Mr.M.Parthasarathy Naicker is employing in that block now. If I am allowed to work under him, I will learn that work within a few days and I will become more expert than Natanasabapathy. Moreover there is is an Office Order that every-body must know every work of the Office. So I find it is an unnecessary transfer and I do not know under what grounds Mr.Chakrapani (Binder) has transferred?

I beg to crave your indulgence to appreach you, with a prayer that I am innocent, guiltless for the allegations brought against me and I am very glad to have this opportunity to reaffirm my loyalty to the Government. I am obedient, earnest and loyal to the Government and my superior officers. Now a male child has born to my wife on 6-6-48 and 12-45 A.M. in the Corporation Child Welfare Centre at 109, Purasawalkam, for which, I enclosed herewith a chit from the C.W.C, and the fact has been intimated to the Corporation. If your honour is not satisfied with this chit, I request your honour to kindly make an enquiry with the Health Officer, Corporation of Madras. I beg to state that all the statements,& difficulties I revealed to the office is real and irrefutable and which are bare truths.

Due to my financial difficulties and the worries caused by the office, I was unable to give adequate care to my wife at this critical time of her pregnancy, as a result of which, she is

pto.

*: Has he not vehemently, deliberately ignored the Office order?

very weak and keeping ill-health. I regret that my mother also relapsed into sickness. Now I am confused and fickle minded. I extremely find very difficult to get even for my daily bread in thsese worst economic days and I am involved with debts. If I go on extending, extra-ordinary leave as per orders of the Curator, my difficulties will be in never ending lines. Moreover if I proceed to Chittoor, I will have to loose my house rent allowance of Rs.5/- in these hard days and I believe it is quite against of the public service rules.

Therefore I humbly, earnestly pray your honour to be kind enough to sympathise, with my deplorable condition and retain me in the Madras Office, Egmore, at least for 4 or 5 months considering my pathetic situation and troubles so that by gods grade all my distress will be vanished. I also request your honour to cancell the order for the stoppage of my increment, as it is mercilessly passed against me and I felt very sorry for wasting your precious time on this unnecessary and ~~km~~ trifling matters. I am forced to apply for your kind consideration, as I am suffering from poverty and unavoidable circumstances. For which act of kindness and generosity, my whole family will ever feel grateful to you.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient and dutiful servant,

M. Devarajan

Enclo: 14.
Copy of the Office Orders are enclosed for your kind perusal.

Copy to: The chief secretary to Govt in advance.
" The Secretary E. & P.H. Dept

Endt.No.1558-A/48-1. Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 2nd July 1948.

Forwarded.

2. The petitioner, M.A.Devarajan, was first appointed in this office as an attender on 4-2-1942. He has been continuously holding the post from that date at the Egmore branch office and has now put in a service of nearly 6 years and 5 months. In G.O. No. 62, Finance, dated 20-1-1948, the Government suggested a periodical transfer of the attenders at Chittoor to Madras to mitigate the hardship caused to them by their prolonged retention at Chittoor. In consequence, it was decided to transfer, in the first instance, three attenders at Chittoor to Madras and an equal number of attenders from Madras to Chittoor. The Office Assistant-in-charge of the Egmore branch of this office was asked to suggest the names of suitable persons from among the staff at that branch, bearing in mind the fact that elderly men would not be fit to do the work at the Chittoor offices where the attenders have to climb up high racks and collect and restore a large number of papers every day. The petitioner was one of those suggested by the Office Assistant at Egmore for transfer to Chittoor. Orders transferring him were accordingly issued on 2-3-1948 and he was relieved from the Egmore office on 6-3-1948. It may therefore be seen that there was no discrimination in his case.

3. The petitioner, after relief, on 8-3-1948, applied for one month's leave on the ground that his mother was suffering from consumption. As it was suspected that he was trying to evade the orders of transfer, the leave was refused and his attention was drawn to Government Memorandum No. 236, Public (Services) dated 3-3-1947 in which it has been ordered that applications for leave of such a nature should be taken serious notice of and that disciplinary action should be taken unless there are reasons for showing leniency. The order refusing the leave was despatched on 10-3-1948. As nothing was heard from him till 2-4-1948 and as his silence was a further proof of his indiscipline, proceedings for taking disciplinary action against him were instituted, a charge of dereliction of duty was framed against him and he was asked to show cause why he should not be removed from service -- vide copy of Proceedings of the Assistant Curator, No.559-A/48 dated 2-4-1948 enclosed with the petition. These proceedings were despatched to him on 3-4-1948 and they reached him on 6-4-1948. The attender, after keeping quiet for about 10 days, submitted a petition on 15-4-1948, praying that in view of the illness of his mother and the condition of his wife who was in the family way he might be retained in the Egmore office for some time, or granted any kind of leave till the middle of May. In support of his statements he produced a certificate from a registered medical practitioner to the effect that his mother was suffering from Colitis (not consumption as was stated by him before) and a chit from a corporation child welfare Unit to show that his wife was pregnant but he did not answer the charge framed against him. Although his difficulties might have been real it was considered that he should not have kept quiet without taking notice of the office memorandum dated 10-3-1948 until proceedings were actually instituted against him. Before, however, awarding any punishment, he was asked whether he desired on oral enquiry or only to be heard in person (please see copy of Office Memorandum No. 559-A/48 dated 21-4-1948). He thereupon replied that he could not understand what the wording of the memorandum meant and requested to be granted leave on half pay, or leave without allowances for 2 months. This reply was regarded as unsatisfactory, but it was not considered necessary to remove him from service as his domestic difficulties appeard to be real. He was therefore punished with a stoppage of increment for 3 months (please see Assistant Curator's Proceedings No. 559-A/48-4 dated 29-4-1948). At the same time, he was granted as much earned leave as he had to his credit and extraordinary leave for as many days as was necessary to make up the period of 2 months' leave for which he had applied (please see Office Memorandum No. 559-A/48-5 dated 29-4-1948). Subsequently, on 5-5-1948, the attender again applied to be retained at the Egmore branch, or to be granted a further extension of leave on loss of pay for one month stating

that

that his wife's confinment was not yet over. He was accordingly granted an extension of extraordinary leave for one month (please see Office Order No.559-A/48-6 dated 11-5-1948).

4. On 7-5-1948, the attender submitted a petition to the Assistant Curator repeating what he had already stated in his previous applications and praying that the order of punishment dated 29-4-1948 referred to above might be cancelled. He was thereupon informed that it was not possible to reconsider the orders already pased, since there was nothing extenuating in what he stated in his petition (please see Office Order No. 559-A/48-7 15-5-1948). Subsequently on 19-5-1948 he submitted an appeal to the Curator repeating what he had already stated in his petition to the Assistant Curator and in his previous applications and requesting that the orders of punishment may be cancelled. After considering the appeal carefully the Curator rejected the appeal, stating that he had not been harshly dealt with, that instead of explaining his difficulties when he was asked to join duty at Chittoor he had deliberately ignored the orders of transfer and tried to evade the transfer, thus putting the office to much inconvenience (please see Office Order 559A/48-8 dated 27-5-1948). Again, on 1-6-1948, the attender applied for a reconsideration of the Curator's order and prayed that he might be retained at the Egmore branch for 4 or 5 months stating that the confinement of his wife was at hand. He was thereupon informed that the Curator did not find any reason to reconsider his order, that it was not possible to retain him at the Egmore branch and that he might, if necessary, apply for an extension of extraordinary leave for a short period (please see Office Order No.559A/49 dated 5-6-1948). Subsequently, on 18-6-48 the attender stated that his wife's delivery had taken place but that she was keeping ill health and that therefore he might be retained at the Egmore branch or granted an extension of extraordinary leave for one month. He was thereupon granted, as a special case, further extnsion of extraordinary leave for one month from 6-6-1948 and he was ordered to join duty at Chittoor on the expiry of the leave.

5. He has now submitted this petition to the Government.

6. It may be seen from what has been stated above that he has not been harshly dealt with and that he was punished not because he applied for leave when he was ordered to join duty at Chittoor, but because he ignored the orders of transfer and kept quiet until proceedings were commenced against him.

7. In regard to his statement that C.V.Jagannathan, another attender who was transferred to Chittoor from Madras, was granted leave while the (the petitioner) was refused leave, it has to be stated that that attender applied for leave within the period of his joining time and that too for a short period of 15 days. He also was furnished with a copy of Government Memorandum No.236 Public (Services) dated 3-3-1947 when he was granted leave and ordered to join duty at Chittoor on the expiry of that leave. That attender accordingly joined duty at Chittoor at the end of the leave. If he had not done so similar action would have been taken against him also.

8. In regard to his statement that another attender, Appadurai Pillai, who was also ordered to be transferred to Chittoor, was allowed to remain at Madras, the facts are as follows: This attender (Appadurai Pillai), when he was ordered to come to Chittoor, produced a medical certificate and applied for leave but he was asked to appear before the Surgeon, III District, to whom a requisition was sent to examine him and report whether any leave was necessary in his case. Soon after, however, the attender produced a certificate of fitness from a registered medical practitioner and requested to be retained at the Madras office. As by that time a leave vacancy had arisen in that office in which it was possible to retain him, he was allowed to continue there, but was told that he should join duty at Chittoor on the expiry of that leave vacancy. It may therefore be seen that Appadurai Pillai

did

not ignore the office order or tried to evade the transfer and that no discremination was shown in his case.

9. As regards Natanasabhapathy, the attender mentioned in the petition who was transferred to Madras from Palamaner when the records kept at Palmaner were transferred back to Madras, it may be stated that, as that attender was thoroughly conversant with those records, it was considered necessary to transfer him to Madras along with those records, although he is a native of this district.

10. Chakrapani Pillai, the binder of this office referred to in the petition who was previously working at Chittoor, had to be transferred to the Madras branch of this office in January 1948 since the major part of the binding work of this office has to be done there and not at Chittoor. Till then most of the volumes and mended records which had to be bound were being sent to Chittoor thourgh the office messenger from the Madras branch of this office and as this procedure was found to be very inconvenient, the binder was transferred to Madras.

11. In regard to the petitioner's protestations of loyalty to the Government it has only to be mentioned that he was one of those who participated in the strike of the non-gazetted members of the staff of the Madras branch of this office during December 1947.

12. In conclusion, it may be reiterated that the transfer of the petitioner was only ordered in pursuance of a regular scheme of

transfer

-4-

transfer of attenders from Madras to Chittoor and vice versa and that no discrimination was shown against him, or in the case of any one else, in making such transfers. Only the exigencies of public service and administrative necessity dictated the course adopted by this office in regard to these transfers and the petitioner has therefore no grievance in the matter. I may add that, if personal considerations like this are to prevail, it will be difficult to transfer anybody from one place to another in the exigencies of service.

CURATOR.
3-7-1948.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS.

BR.

Encl 1 petition.

C.No: 19642/48/G3.

Record Office-

EdPH(G3)

~~Appeal~~ - M. A. Devarajan, attender, M.R.O.
Egmore - Transfer to Chittoor - Reconsideration of orders passed by the Curator, M.R.O. Chittoor - Rejected

pp 1-3 cf — From M. A. Devarajan, attender, M.R.O., Egmore dated 26.6.48.

pp 5-8 cf — From the Curator, M.R.O., Chittoor, Endt no: 1558-A/48-1 dated 2.7.48

Order 1837 — Edn dated 23.7.48.

To
Sri. M. A. Devarajan,
29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkam,
through the Curator.
Madras.
The Curator, M.R.O.,
Chittoor.

~~Appeal is rejected~~ The petitioner is informed that the Govt. see no grounds to modify the orders passed by the Curator.

Note

Note: The case is explained at length by the Curator at pps 5-6 of. It will be seen from his report that the petitioner deliberately tried to evade being transferred to H.R.O. Chittoor. The contention of the petitioner that descrimination was shown to him, as against certain persons pointed out by him, does not seem to hold water, under the circumstances explained by the Curator in paras 7-10 of pps 6-7 of. The punishment viz stoppage of increment for three months without cumulative effect, meted out to him in as much as he wilfully disobeyed the orders served on him ~~wilfully~~, the Curator contends, is not harsh. In the circumstances, it is for orders whether the ~~appeal~~ representation may be rejected. Subject to orders, the draft- overleaf is for approval.

para 2, para 4/pp 1-2 of

p 17 of (M)

Punishment p. 6 of

15/7/48

21-7-48

15/7

Maternity ward.

C.W.S. III—807.
1,00,000—Jan. 1948.

CORPORATION OF MADRAS.
CHILD WELFARE CENTRE

Serial No. Age
Name Ruckmony Disease

Date	Treatment
	No 29 Srirangam
Husband's name	Pillai Lane
	P. Puruswalkam
	M. A. Devarajan
M.C. alive at 12.45 AM.	
18th on 6.6.48 by.	
Para 48 of W.C. - W.C 105	
Puruswalkam High Rd.	
(Nurse) P. S. K.	H.V.

Th. & Co., Ltd., Madras.

W.H.O. C.W.C. Triplicane 11/6/48

Dr. B.S.Mallaya L.M. & S.,
4505.

150, Nyncappa Naicken St.,
P.T. MADRAS, 11th April '48.

Certified that Mrs. Periana[illegible]agammal mother of Mr.M.A.Devarajan is suffering from colitis and her condition is bad. She is under my treatment for the last two months.

/true copy/

(Sd.) B.S.Mallaya.

CHILD WELFARE SCHEME.
Corporation of Madras.

(8) 5

1.	Centre ---	Purasawalkkam.
2.	Register No.	507
3.	Patients full name.	Rukmani.
4.	Age ---	18
5.	Pregnancy ---	Yes.
6.	Husband's name	Devarajan.
7.	Income and occupation of the husband.	Attender Rs 46/-
8.	Full address.	29, Sundaram Pillai Lane, Purasawakkam, Madras.

/true copy/

(Sd)
Lady Dr. in-charge.
23-4-'48.

4/

Dr. B.S.Mallaya L.M. & S.,
4505.

150, Mynoppa Maistan St.,
P.T. MADRAS, 11th April '48.

Certified that Mrs. Periamaygammal mother of Mr.M.A.Devarajan is suffering from colitis and hor condition is bad. She is under my treatment for the last two months.

/true copy/

(Sd.) B.S.Mallaya.

No. 559-A/48.

Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 10th March, 1948.

MEMORANDUM.

Sub:- Devarajan, M.A. -Earned leave - refused.
Read :- Application from M.A.Devarajan Dated 8-3-48.

The earned leave applied for by M.A.Devarajan is refused. He is directed to join duty at Chittoor forthwith. His attention is also drawn to Government Memorandum No.236, Public Services, dated 3rd March 1947 a copy of which is furnished to him.

Sd/ xxx
ASSISTANT CURATOR,
10-3-1948.

To

M.A.Devarajan, 29, Sundaram Pillai Lane, Purasawalkam, Madras.

Copy to Office Assistant,Egmore.

(True copy)

CHILD WELFARE SCHEME.
Corporation of Madras.

1. Centre	---	Purasawalkam.
2. Register No.		507
3. Patients Full name.		Rukmani.
4. Age	---	18
5. Pregnancy	---	Yes.
6. Husband's name		Devaraj an.
7. Income and occupation of the husband.		Attender Rs 45/-
8. Full address.		29, Sundaram Pillai Lane, Purasawakkam, Madras.

(Sd.)
Lady Dr. In-charge.
23-4-'48.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
(Public (Service) Department.

(10)

Circular Memorandum No. 236, dated, 3rd March, 1948.

Sub: Applications for leave from Government servants when transferred from one station to another.

Instances have come to notice, of Government officials applying for and taking leave when they are transferred from one station to another. In many such cases there are no valid reasons for taking leave and the object obviously is merely to evade the orders of transfer. It is incumbent on Government servants to serve wherever they are asked to, in the public interest, and attempts to defeat the orders of the competent authority would constitute indiscipline. Heads of Departments are requested to circularise the entire staff under them that, in future applications for leave of such a nature will be taken serious notice of and may lead to disciplinary action against the government servants concerned unless in any particular case, judged on its merits, lenient treatment is called for.

Sd/ K. Ramunni Menon,
Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.

(True copy)

Sd/ xxx
Assistant Curator.
10-3-48.

No.612 A/48.

Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 17th March '48.

OFFICE ORDER.

Sub: Jagannathan, C.V. - Attender Madras Record Office, Egmore - earned leave - granted.

Read: Application from C.V.Jagannathan dated 13-3-'48.

C.V.Jagannathan is granted earned leave for 15 days from 7-3-'48 to 21-3-'48.

His attention is drawn to Governemtn Memorandum No.236, public Services dated 3-3-'47, a copy of which is enclosed and he is informed that no extension of leave will be granted to him. On expirxy of the leave he will join duty at the Main Office at Chitoor.

/true copy/

(Sd)
ASST. CURATOR,
17-3-'48.

To

C.V.Jagannathan, 13, Labbai St., Pudupet, Madras.

Copy to Office Asst., Egmore.
" G.D.C.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
(Public (Service) Department.

Circular Memorandum No. 236, dated, 3rd March, 1948.

Sub: Applications for leave from Government servants when transferred from one station to another.

Instances have come to notice, of Government officials applying for and taking leave when they are transferred from one station to another. In many such cases there are no valid reasons for taking leave and the object obviously is merely to evade the orders of transfer. It is incumbent on Government servants to serve wherever they are asked to, in the public interest, and attempts to defeat the orders of the competent authority would constitute indiscipline. Heads of Departments are requested to circularise the entire staff under them that, in future applications for leave of such a nature will be taken serious notice of and may lead to disciplinary action against the government servants concerned unless in any particular case, judged on its merits, lenient treatment is called for.

Sd\ K. Ramunni Menon,
Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.

(True copy)

Sd\ xxx
Assistant Curator.
10-3-48.

BY REGISTERED POST - ACK. DUE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ASSISTANT CURATOR, MADRAS RECORD OFFICE, CHITTOOR.

--

Name: M. C. Subramanian, M.A.,

No. 559-A/48 dated, 2nd April, 1948.

Short title - Devarajan M.A. - Failure to join duty - charges framed.

Read: 1. This Office Order No. 1289/A-47-4 dated 2-3-48.
2. His application for leave dated 8-3-48 and
3. This Office order No.559-A/48 dated, 10/3/48.

...

Mrxxx M.A. Devarajan was transferred from Madras to Chittoor on 2-3-48 in this office order No.1289-A/47-4 dated 2-3-48 cited above, He was relieved by the Office Assistant-in-charge, Madras Recored Office, Egmore to join duty at Chittoor on 6-3-48. The leave applied for by him was, however, refused in this office order dated 10-3-48 cited above and he was asked to join duty at the main office forthwith. A copy of the Government Memorandum No.236, Public(Services), dated 3-3-1947 in which the Government have ordered that applications for leave from persons on transfer fromcone station to another which are not supported by valid grounds should be taken severe notices of and that disciplinary action may be taken in such cases, was also furnished to him. He has not however joined duty at Chittoor till now. Nor has any communication been received from him. Under rule 15(b)(i) of the Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal(Rules the following charges is therefore framed against him.--

That he failed to report for duty at Chittoor on relief from Egmore in defiance of the orders issued to him and that thereby he became guilty of a serious derelection of duty.

and he is directed to show cause why he should not be removed from service. Under the same rule, he is directed to put in a written statement of his defence with 15 days of the receipt of this proceedings by him and to state whether he desires an oral enquiry or only to be heard in person.

Sd/ M.C.Subramaniam,
Assistant Curator,
3-4-1948.

To
Mr.A.Devarajan, 29, Sundaram Pillai Lane, Purasawalkam, Madras.

/true copy/

No.559-A/48. Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 21st April 1948.

MEMORANDUM.

Sub: Devarajan,M.A. - Attender - Failure to join duty - charges.

Ref: 1. Proceedings of the Asstt. Curator,Madras Record Office, Chittoor No. 559-A/48 dated, 2-4-48.
2. Explanation from M.A.Devarajan dated 15-4-48.

M.A.Devarajan is directed to state whether he desires an oral equiry or only to be heard in person. He has not stated this in his reply to the office proceedings cited above.

Sd/ M.C.Subramaniam,
Asst. Curator,d/21.4.48.

To
M.A.Devarajan, 29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,Purasawalkam, Madras.

/TRUE COPY/

BY REGISTERED POST ± ACK. DUE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ASSISTANT CURATOR, MADRAS RECORD OFFICE, CHITTOOR.

--

Name: M. C. Subramaniam, M.A.,

No. 559-A\48 dated, 2nd April, 1948.

Short title - Devarajan M.A. - Failure to join duty - charges framed.

Read: 1. This Office Order No. 1289\A-47-4 dated 2-3-48.
2. His application for leave dated 8-3-48 and
3. This Office order No.559-A\48 dated, 10\3\48.

...

Mrxxx M.A. Devarajan was transferred from Madras to Chittoor on 2-3-48 in this office order No.1289-A\47-4 dated 2-3-48 cited above. He was relieved by the Office Assistant-in-charge, Madras Record Office, Egmore to join duty at Chittoor on 6-3-48. The leave applied for by him was, however, refused in this office order dated 10-3-48 cited above and he was asked to join duty at the main office forthwith. A copy of the Government Memorandum No.236, Public(Services), dated 3-3-1947 in which the Government have ordered that applications for leave from persons on transfer from one station to another which are not supported by valid grounds should be taken severe notices of and that disciplinary action may be taken in such cases, was also furnished to him. He has not however joined duty at Chittoor till now. Nor has any communication been received from him. Under rule 15(b)(i) of the Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal(Rules the following charges is therefore framed against him.--

That he failed to report for duty at Chittoor on relief from Egmore in defiance of the orders issued to him and that thereby he became guilty of a serious dereliction of duty.

and he is directed to show cause why he should not be removed from service. Under the same rule, he is directed to put in a written statement of his defence with 15 days of the receipt of this proceedings by him and to state whether he desires an oral enquiry or only to be heard in person.

Sd\ M.C.Subramaniam,
Assistant Curator,
3-4-1948.

To Mr.A.Devarajan, 29, Sundaram Pillai Lane, Purasawalkam, Madras.

\true copy\

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ASSISTANT CURATOR, MADRAS RECORD OFFICE, CHITTOOR.

--

Name: SRI M.C.SUBRAMANIAN, MA ,

--

No. 559 A/48-4 dated, 29th April 1948.

--

Short Title - Devarajan M.A. - Failure to join duty - stoppage of increment.

REF: 1.Proceedings of the Asst. Curator,Madras,Record Office,Chittoor No.559A/48 d/ 2-4-48.
2. This Office MemorandumNo.559 A/48 d/ 21.4.48.
3. Explanation of M.A.Devarajan d/ 15.4.48 and 24.4.48.

The explanation given by M.A.Devarajan, Attender, is not satisfactory. He should have explained all his difficulties at the time of his transfer and should not have kept quiet as he did until proceedings were instituted against him. Under rule 8 (iii) of the Civil Services(Classification, Contral and Appeal) Rules his incremennt is therefore stopped for 3 months. Thks will not, however, have the effect of postponing his future increments.

Sd/ M.C.Subramanian,
Asst. Curator,
30-4-48.

To
Mr.M.A.Devarajan,
No.29, Sundaram Pillai Lane, Purasawalkam,Madras.

Copy to G.D.C.
Copy to file.

/true copy/

No.559-A\48. Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 21st April 1948.

MEMORANDUM.

Sub: Devarajan,M.A. - Attender - Failure to join duty - charges.

Ref: 1. Proceedings of the Asstt. Curator,Madras Record Office, Chittoor No. 559-A\48 dated, 2-4-48.
2. Explanation from M.A.Devarajan dated 15-4-48.

M.A.Devarajan is directed to state whether he desires an oral enquiry or only to be heard in person. He has not stated this in his reply to the office proceedings cited above.

Sd\ M.C.Subramaniam,
Asst. Curator,d\21.4.48.

To
M.A.Devarajan, 29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,Purasawalkam, Madras.

\TRUE COPY\

No.559 A/48-5.

Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 29th April1948.

15 19

MEMORANDUM.

Sub: Devarajan M.A. - Leave -Grant of.

Ref: 1) His application dated 8-3-48.
2) This Office order No.559 A/48 d/ 10.3.48.
3) His application dated 24-4-48.

M.A.Devarajan, Attender, is granted Earned Leave for 29 days from 7-3-48 to 4-4-48 and Extraordinary leave without allowances for one month and one day from 5-4-48 to 5-5-48. He is informed that he has no more earned leave to his credit and that no further extension of leave will be granted to him. On the expiry of his leave, he should join duty in Main Office at Chittoor.

2. The Card of the Child Welfare Centre of the Corporation of Madras sent by him with his explanation dated 15-4-48 is returned herewith.

Sd/ M.C.Subramaniam,
Assistant Curator,
30-4-48.

To
Mr.M.A.Devarajan,
29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkam, Madras, with 1 card.
Copy to G.D.C.

/true copy/

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ASSISTANT CURATOR, MADRAS RECORD OFFICE, CHITTOOR.

--

Name: SRI M.C.SUBRAMANIAN, MA ,

--

No. 559 A/48-4 dated, 29th April 1948.

--

Short Title - Devarajan M.A. - Failure to join duty - stoppage of increment.

REF: 1.Proceedings of the Asst. Curator,Madras,Record Office,Chittoor No.559A/48 d/ 2-4-48.
2. This Office Memorandum No.559 A/48 d/ 21.4.48.
3. Explanation of M.A.Devarajan d/ 15.4.48 and 24.4.48.

The explanation given by M.A.Devarajan, Attender, is not satisfactory. He should have explained all his difficulties at the time of his transfer and should not have kept quiet as he did until proceedings were instituted against him. Under rule 8 (iii) of the Civil Services(Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules his increment is therefore stopped for 3 months. This will not, however, have the effect of postponing his future increments.

Sd\ M.C.Subramaniam,
Asst. Curator,
30-4-48.

To
Mr.M.A.Devarajan,
No.29, Sundaram Pillai Lane, Purasawalkam,Madras.
Copy to G.D.C.
Copy to file.

\true copy\

No.559 A/48-6. Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 11th May '48

OFFICE ORDER.

Sub: Devarajan, M.A. - extension of extra ordinary leave - grant of.
Ref: Application from M.A.Devarajan dated 5-5-'48.

M.A.Devarajan is granted an extensionof extraordinary leave for one month from 6-5-'48 to 5-6-'48. He is informed that no further extension of leave will be granted to him and that he should positively join duty at the main office at Chittoor on the expiry of this leave.

/true copy/

(Sd)
ASSISTANT CURATOR,
12-5-'48.

To
M.A.Devarajan,
29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkkam, Madras.

Copy to G.D.C.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ASSISTANT CURATOR, MADRAS RECORD OFFICE, CHITTOOR.

No.559 A/48-6. Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 30th April'48.

MEMORANDUM.

Sub: Devarajan M.A. - Leave -Grant of.

Ref: 1) His application dated 8-3-48.
2) This Office order No.559 A/48 d/ 10.3.48.
3) His application dated 24-4-48.

M.A.Devarajan, Attender, is granted Earned Leave for 29 days from 7-3-48 to 4-4-48 and Extraordinary leave without allowances for one month and one day from 5-4-48 to 5-5-48. He is informed that he has no more earned leave to his credit and that no further extension of leave will be granted to him. On the expiry of his leave, he should join duty in Main Office at Chittoor.

2. The Card of the Child Welfare Centre of the Corporation of Madras sent by him with his explanation dated 15-4-48 is returned herewith.

Sd/ M.C.Subramaniam,
Assistant Curator.
30-4-48.

To
Mx.M.A.Devarajan,
29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkkam, Madras, with 1 card.

Copy to G.D.C.

/true copy/

No.559 A/48.

Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 15th May '48

22

OFFICE ORDER.

Sub: Devarajan, M.A. - extension of extra ordinary leave - grant of.

Ref: Application from M.A.Devarajan dated 5-5-'48.

M.A.Devarajan is granted an extension of extraordinary leave for one month from 6-5-'48 to 5-6-'48. He is informed that no further extension of leave will be granted to him and that he should positively join duty at the main office at Chittoor on the expiry of this leave.

/true copy/

(S)
ASSISTANT CURATOR,
12-5-'48.

To

M.A.Devarajan,
29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkkam, Madras.

Copy to G.D.C.

No.559 A/48-6.

Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 11th May '48

22

OFFICE ORDER.

Sub: Devarajan, M.A. - extension of extra ordinary leave - grant of.

Ref: Application from M.A.Devarajan dated 5-5-'48.

M.A.Devarajan is granted an extension of extraordinary leave for one month from 6-5-'48 to 5-6-'48. He is informed that no further extension of leave will be granted to him and that he should positively join duty at the main office at Chittoor on the expiry of this leave.

/true copy/

(S)
ASSISTANT CURATOR,
12-5-'48.

To

M.A.Devarajan,
29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkkam, Madras.

Copy to G.D.C.

No.559 A/48-8.

Madras Record Office,
Chittoor, 27th May '48

OFFICE ORDER.

Sub: Devarajan, M.A. - Attender - Stoppage of increment - appeal to the Curator, - Orders.
Read: Petition from M.A. Devarajan dated 19-5-'48.

The Curator does not think that M.A.Devarajan has been harshly dealt with. If he was in difficulties, he should have explained them when he was asked to join duty at Chittoor. He has on the other hand deliberately ignored the office order and tried to evade the transfer and thus put the office to much inconvenience.

The appeal is rejected.

/True Copy/ (Sd)
CURATOR.

No.559 A/48-9.

Madras Record Office,
Chittor, 5th June '48.

MEMORANDUM.

Sub: Devarajan, M.A. - Request to be retained at Egmore - Orders.

Read: 1. This office order No.559 A/48-8 dated 27-5-'48.
2. Application from M.A.Devarajan d/1-6-48

M.A.Devarajan is informed that the Curator has carefully considered his application, but does not find any reason to reconsider the orders passed in this office order cited above.

He is also informed that it is not possible to retain him at the Egmore Branch as requested by him. He may, if necessary, apply for extraordinary leave for a short period in continuation of the leave already granted to him.

/true copy/

CURATOR.

Madras,
Dated, 18.7.48

From

M. A. Devarajan,
No. 29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkam, Madras.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
21 JUL 1948
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

54251

To

The Chief Secretary toGovernment,
Fort Saint George,
MADRAS.

Through

The Curator,
Madras Record Office,
Sub Court Buildings,
CHITTOOR.

Most Respected Sir,

I beg to submit, that in connection with my petition dated 26-6-48, I have not yet received any reply from your honour's Office. In addition to this, I beg to state, that I am undergoing extreme hardship for want of financial depression even to purchase 1/2 ollock milk to feed my child.

Therefore I humbly beg your honour to remind you of my petition dated 26-6-48.

Hoping to be excused for the trouble, and anxiously awaiting for your early favourable reply.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obadient servant,

M. Devarajan

Original submitted through the Curator, M.R.O., Chittoor.
Copy submitted to the Secretary, E. & P.H. Department, Madras

28/

No.559 A/48-9.

Madras Record Office,
Chittor, 5th June '48.

MEMORANDUM.

Sub: Devarajan, M.A. - Request to be retained at Egmore - Orders.

Read: 1. This office order No.559 A/48-8 dated 27-5-'48.

2. Application from M.A.Devarajan d/1-6-48

M.A.Devarajan is informed that the Curator has carefully considered his application, but does not find any reason to reconsider the orders passed in this office order cited above.

He is also informed that it is not possible to retain him at the Egmore Branch as requested by him. He may, if necessary, apply for extraordinary leave for a short period in continuation of the leave already granted to him.

\true copy\

CURATOR.

Madras,

Dated, 18.7.48

SECRET. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
19 JUL 1948
PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.

From

M. A. Devarajan,
No. 29, Sundaram Pillai Lane,
Purasawalkam, Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary toGovernment,
Fort Saint George,
M A D R A S.

Through

53500

The Curator,
Madras Record Office,
Sub Court Buildings,
C H I T T O O R.

Most Respected Sir,

I beg to submit, that in connection with my petition dated 26-6-48, I have not yet received any reply from your honour's Office. In addition to this, I beg to state, that I am undergoing extreme hardship for want of financial depression even to purchase 1/2 ollock milk to feed my child.

Therefore I humbly beg your honour to remind you of my petition dated 26-6-48.

Hoping to be excused for the trouble, and anxiously awaiting for your early favourable reply.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. A. Devarajan

Original submitted through the Curator, M.R.O., Chittoor.

Copy submitted to the Chief Secretary to ~~the~~ Government, Madras.

ELPH(S3)

Orders are under issue. The papers may be lodged & ~~with~~ the file

23/7/48 CC 23/7

23/7/48

(2)

23200