

done
10/11/48

2

(A)

(1)

(2)

E & P. H. (1948) Dept

G.C. No 1649 Edn d 28.6.48
M4

Op. R. J.
p 2 ef } (1948) H. H. H.
p 11 ef }

~~10/11/48~~

Schools. Secondary. Local
bodies. Children of women teachers.
Fee concessions. Revision of limits
of income. Proposal. Not approved.

96N 1617cd d 16.10.47
" 82411 9.5.40
" 3239 L&M d 12/8/1932

36.52cd
18/12

Department Received Registered
From (Name) V.V. Subramanian Esq.,
Designation O.B.E., I.C.S.,
Station Special Officer,
District Board,
Trichinopoly.



Enclosures: 1/12/47
No. PC.A2(ii)21852/47 dated 3/12/47. 194

Subject: Education- Secondary- Board Secondary schools-
Women teachers fee concession- instructions-solicited.

- Ref: 1) G.O. No. 3239 L and M dated 12/8/1932.
2) G.O. No. 824 Education and Public Health dated 9/5/1940.
3) This office PC.A2(ii)21852/47 dated 27/9/47 sent through Director of Public Instruction and District Educational Officer, Trichy.

I request that the Government may be pleased to pass early orders on this office above reference.

sc. 5/12/47.a.

K. Mahalingam
For Special Officer. 6/12/47

E & P. H Dept (B)

From the S.G. Dt. Board, Trichinopoly, No. RC. A2(ii) 21852/47
d 3.12.1947.


Schools. Secondary - Local bodies - Women
Teachers - Fee concessions

Memo No 97316 B/47 - 15 dnd (18/12)

The D. P. I is requested to state
whether the letter of the Special Officer, Dt. Board, Trichinopoly
No. RC. A2(ii) 21852/47 d 27.9.47 has been received
by him and if so, when it will be forwarded
to Govt with his remarks.

C.F. 32.

From (Name) V.V. Subramanian Esq.
Designation O.B.E., I.C.S.,
Special Officer,
District Board,
Trichinopoly.



Received Registered
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.
Through: The Director of Public
Instruction, Madras and
The District Educational
Officer, Trichinopoly.

Enclosures:

No. RC. A2(ii) 21852-47 dated 27/9/1947. 194

Sir,

Subject: Education- Secondary- Women Teachers' Fee
Concession- Instructions of-

Reference: 1) G.O. No. 3239 L & M dated 12/8/1932.
2) G.O. No. 824 E & P.H. (Education)
dated 9/5/1940.

In G.O. No. 3239 L & M dated 12/8/1932 as amended in G.O. No. 1154 Education dated 5th June 1947 and weightage for service given in G.O. No. 2035 (Education) dated 19-9-1947
in the Government have ordered that the fee concession of women teachers employed in Local Boards is to be based on their income viz., Rs.75/- per month in the case of widows and Rs.100/- per month in the case of others.

Now the salary of the teachers has been revised in G.O. No. 1154 Education dated 5th June 1947 and weightage for service given in G.O. No. 2035 (Education) dated 19-9-1947

p/t.o.

4

-2-

Consequently, the monthly income of teachers has been increased. The fee concessions already granted to some teachers are to be withdrawn. In the circumstances, I request to be informed whether the Government have any intention to revise the income limits fixed in the above G.O.

M. Mahalingam Pillai
For Special Officer. 4/10.

sc. 4/10/47.p.

nre

B. 4/10/47

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
R.C.No.2216-G.2/47 dated 8-1-1948.
100

108/92316-5

Education - Secondary - Children of women teachers - Fee concessions - revision of income - limits of parents.

10 JAN 1948
2026
ASST. SECRETARY
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPT.
10 JAN 1948
2026

Letter MC.A.2(11)/21852-47 dated 27-9-1947 from the Special Officer, District Board, Trichinopoly addressed to the Government.
Submitted.

2. The amendment to G.O.No.3239 L & M dated 12-8-32 issued in G.O.No.824 Edn dated 9-5-40 allows fee concessions to children of women teachers in the service of Local Body institutions provided that the income of such teachers together with the income, if any, of their husbands does not exceed Rs.100 a month and that in the case of widows the income does not exceed Rs.75/- a month. Subsequent to the issue of the G.O.No.824 Edn dated 9-5-40 not only the scales of pay of the teachers have been revised, but also Dearness allowance has been given to them. Consequently, the present aggregate income of the parents is such that in many cases children of women teachers will be deprived of the benefit of the concessions, because, for example, in certain cases, it is nearly 100% increase over what they were getting at the time of the issue of the G.O. referred to above. It is, therefore, but fair that the income limits of the parents should also be revised accordingly. It is therefore recommended that the income limits prescribed in the G.O. referred to above may be revised as Rs.150 and 100 instead of Rs.100 and 75 respectively. These limits may be allowed to be in force only so long as the payment of D.A. is in force and the question may be considered again when the D.A. is discontinued.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.
Rn 9/1/-

B. Mahalingam
for Director of Public Instruction.

12/9/11

6

(46)

7

E 8 p. 40 p. 41

23/10
28/10

h3-4cf

Schools - Secondary - Local bodies -
Children of women teachers - fee concessions.
Revision of ~~income~~ ^{of income} limits / proposal.
Not approved.

From the Special Officer, Dt. Board, ~~Tiruchirappalli~~
No. Rc. A2 (ii) 21852-47 d 27.9.47

h5cf

Enclt of the D. P. I., R.C.N 2216-52 / 47 d 8.6.48
Order No 1649 E d 28.6.48.

9736 B / MS

26/6

The Govt see no need to
raise the ~~income~~ ^{income} limits of women
teachers fixed in S.G.N 3239 L&M d
12.8.1932 & as amended by S.G.N 5246 d
d 9.5.1940, for the grant of fee concessions to
children of such teachers

To
The S.G.-Dt. Board,
Tiruchirappalli,
~~Tiruchirappalli~~

.. D.P.I. ~~22/10~~ 22/10
(copy for state file) 23.6.48

25/10/48

8

Handwritten notes in Telugu script, including "అనుబంధం" (Annexure) and "గ్రామీణ" (Rural).

Q1 9 5A - put up 547529 48

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

FROM SRI D.S.REDDI, M.A.(Oxon),
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
M.A.D.R.A.S.

TO The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health
Department.

4 - AUG 1948
Sir,
ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. DEPT. OF
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH

Rc. 2216 G.2/47 Dated 29..7..'48.

*Lothep
obtained
ltd 12/48*

Sub: Secondary Schools - Local bodies - Children of women teachers
fee concession - Revision of limit of income -
Ref: Your 1649 Edg., dated 28.5.'48.

57863
DA

As it is found that in G.O.No. 54 Edn., dated 18.1.'44
Government subsequently raised the limits of income temporarily in
respect of women teachers, without issuing any formal amendment to
the rules, and support that the following may be issued
in the G.O. referred to above between "9.5.'40 and "for the grant of"
and as subsequently modified by G.O.No. 54 Edn., dated 18.1.'44.

Yours faithfully,
M. Srinivasan

for - Director of Public Instruction.

grd.31.7. 347

INDICATION OF DATE

✓

$\frac{25}{3} \div 9$

h 17
p. 17

2/19

To ~~Mr. J. G. W.~~
The S.O. D. & D.
To make a pull
of 2

① 4

$$E_{\text{eff}}(A_2)$$

Schools - Secondary - Local bodies - children of women
teachers - Fee concession - Revision of limits of income
Modification of orders

Read _____
Gono 1649 Edu of 28.6.48

From the DFG Reno 2216 G-2/47 329.7.48

Memo No 57 863 B1/a8. 10 dnd 39/a8

(2) In G.O. no 1649 Enn 2 of 28.6.48, between the words "Gono 824 Enn 2 of 9.5.40" and "for the grant of fee concessions", the words 'and as subsequently modified by Gono 54 Enn 2 of 18.1.44' will be inserted

$$\begin{array}{r} 1872 \\ \hline 22548 \end{array}$$
$$\frac{m}{23 \overline{) 8148}}$$

by 2/21/95

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page, possibly "The end of all things"]


$\frac{h}{18.4 \text{ eV}}$
 $\frac{5 \text{ h}}{60 \text{ min}}$
 $\frac{1 \text{ h}}{2 \text{ min}}$

L.A. Dept (C)

Please see notes of E.P.H. on p1 above. The draft order below may be passed and the file passed on to Fin. Dept.

Local bodies find that the ~~the~~ cost of admin has risen ~~28.4.48~~ enormously as a result of the payment of revised scales of pay and dearness allow. They can ill afford to forego the fee income from the children of school women teachers whose pay and allow have increased of late. This Dept may therefore advise that the suggestion of the D.P.I. need not be accepted.

Lf. H. Dept
25.4.48
Mn
30.4.48



Fin.
2 And. 15638/48-1C
30/4/48

Finance (Exp.-A) Deptt.

Please see the letter from the D.P.I. on p.5 c.f.

The D.P.I. has stated that the increase in pay ^{of women teachers} is very large and that in certain cases, there is nearly 100% increase over the pay drawn in 1940 when the present limits of pay for fee concession were fixed. In view of this, it will be no great hardship to pay the school fees ^{for their} children. The reason given in this case for raising the limit for fee concession will apply also to profession tax or other taxes which are based on income and proposals for raising the limit of income ^{for purposes of taxation} may be put forward. The ultimate loss of revenue to local bodies will be large and the Government ^{may} have to compensate them for such loss. Finance ^{may} therefore strongly advise against the proposal in this case.

If E. & P.H. wish to press for the acceptance of the D.P.I.'s proposal, they will no doubt take orders in circulation, including H.M.(Fin.).


55.6.8
5.5.48

55.6.8
6/5/48
E.P.H.

E & P.H. Deptt (B)

FIN No 34031-EXA1

under the orders issued with G.O.No



341/4

3239 L&M d 12.8.1932 as amended by G.O.No 824 E&M d 9.5.1940, no school fee shall be leviable in any institution managed by a local board for the education of the children of women teachers provided that the income of such teachers together with the income, if any, of their husbands does not exceed Rs 100/a month and that in the case of widows, the income does not exceed Rs 75/a month. The scales of pay of these teachers have been revised subsequently and dearness allowance has also

hscf


Offering the Vic
in price against
the Vic in
enrollment it is
fair that in
many of the 10
prop. in
possible that
receive the
expression. I
be this before
the limits have
to be raised.
We may press the
proposal.

15A
25-5-08

✓ [P.T.O.]

I agree with H.R. (L.H.)
Why should children of women teachers
also get a special concession.

I am personally against the
Concession itself. But he had to
take up that issue now




May be recirculated to HM (Edu),

$\frac{h}{17.6 \text{ kg}} \cdot \frac{5 \text{ mol}}{17 \text{ g/mol}} \cdot M_2 (\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8)$

15A

20. 628


$$\begin{array}{r} 226 \\ 12 \overline{) 2712} \\ \underline{240} \\ 312 \\ \underline{312} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

$\frac{m}{27618}$

W
no back.
S

2 copies with H.R. (A.A.)
 All other copies of same
 are for special cases.
 For further info see the
 (see notes) list below
 City of New York
 B.C. 11
 18 C

121
 100
 20

100
 100

100
 100